	Treeting Sury 10, 2021
	Page 1
1	CCAC Public Meeting
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	Moderated by Jennifer Warren
7	Tuesday, July 16, 2024
8	10:00 a.m.
9	
10	
11	
12	Remote Proceeding
13	Department of the Treasury
14	U.S. Mint
15	801 9th Street Northwest
16	Washington, D.C. 20220
17	jennifer.warren@usmint.treas.gov
18	(202) 360-3154
19	
20	Reported by: Ashtyn Plunkett
21	
22	Job No. CS6719415

Page 2 1 APPEARANCES 2 List of Attendees: Arthur Bernstein, Representing the General Public 3 Dr. Harcourt Fuller, Recommended by the Speaker of the 4 5 House Dr. Christopher Capozzola, the member Specially 6 7 Qualified in American History Jeanne Stevens-Sollman, Specially Qualified in Sculpture 8 9 or Medallic Arts 10 John Saunders, Recommended by the House Minority Leader Michael Moran, Recommended by the Senate Majority Leader 11 12 Sam Gelberd, the member Specially Qualified in 13 Numismatics 14 Kellen Hoard, Representing the General Public 15 Annelisa Purdie, Representing the General Public 16 Peter van Alfen, the member Specially Qualified as a 17 Numismatic Curator and the Chairperson of the CCAC 18 April Stafford, Chief, Office of Design Management 19 Megan Sullivan, Senior Design Specialist 20 Roger Vasquez, Senior Design Manager 21 Boneza Hanchock, Design Manager 2.2 Sukrita Baijal, Design Manager

July 16, 2024

Page 3 1 APPEARANCES 2 List of Attendees: 3 Joseph Menna, Chief Engraver 4 Michael Costello, Manager of Design and Engraving Jennifer Warren, Director of Legislative and 5 Intergovernmental Affairs and Liaison to the CCAC 6 7 Greg Weinman, Senior Legal Counsel and Counsel to the 8 CCAC Brendan Tate, Senior Government Affairs Specialist, 9 10 Office of Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs 11 Mike Unser, Founder and Editor of CoinNews Louis Golino, American Numismatic Association 12 13 Paul Gilkes, Senior Editor Coin World 14 15 16 17 18 19 2.0 2.1 22

1 PROCEEDINGS

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Good morning. It call back in order the meeting of the Citizens

Coinage Advisory Committee for Tuesday, July 16,

2024. The time is 10 a.m.

This is the second of two days that the CCAC will be meeting. I would like to remind members that as we are all participating via videoconference to please mute your phone or microphone on your Microsoft Teams program when not talking and to enunciate when you speak for the benefit of the transcriber as well as for the listening public.

But before we begin, I would like to reintroduce members of committee. So please respond "present" when I call your name.

Arthur Bernstein, representing the general public?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Present.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Dr. Harcourt Fuller, recommended by the Speaker of the House?

	Page 5
1	Dr. Christopher Capozzola, the member
2	specially qualified in American history?
3	DR. CAPOZZOLA: Present.
4	DR. VAN ALFEN: Jeanne Stevens-Sollman,
5	specially qualified in sculpture or medallic arts?
6	MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Present.
7	DR. VAN ALFEN: John Saunders, recommended by
8	the House Minority Leader?
9	MR. SAUNDERS: Present.
10	DR. VAN ALFEN: Michael Moran, recommended by
11	the Senate Majority Leader?
12	MR. MORAN: Present.
13	DR. VAN ALFEN: Donald Scarinci, recommended
14	by the Senate Minority Leader?
15	[NO RESPONSE]
16	Sam Gelberd, the member specially qualified in
17	numismatics?
18	MR. GELBERD: Present.
19	DR. VAN ALFEN: Kellen Hoard, representing the
20	general public?
21	[Silence]
22	Annalisa Purdie, representing the general

July 16, 2024

Page 6

1	public?
---	---------

2.2

MS. PURDIE: Present.

DR. VAN ALFEN: And I am Peter van Alfen, the member specially qualified as a numismatic curator and the chairperson of the CCAC.

And I believe we have a quorum.

So the agenda for today's public meeting includes review and discussion of the candidate designs for the 2026 Semiquincentennial half dollar; and overall discussion of Semiquincentennial circulating portfolios that we have reviewed yesterday and today; and review and discussion of the candidate designs for the 2026 Semiquincentennial "Best of the Mint" Silver Medals.

Before we begin our proceedings, I ask the Mint liaison to the CCAC, Ms. Jennifer Warren, if we are aware of any members of the press who are remotely watching the public meeting?

MS. WARREN: Good morning. This is Jennifer
Warren. Mike Unser, founder and editor of
CoinNews; Louis Golino from the American Numismatic

Page 7 1 Association; and Paul Gilkes, from -- Senior Editor of Coin World. And also, Chairman, Kellen just 2 joined as well. 3 Wonderful, thank you. 4 DR. VAN ALFEN: right. And welcome our members of the press at 5 today's meeting. 6 7 For the record, I would also like to confirm 8 that the following Mint staff are in attendance 9 today. So please indicate "present" after I've 10 called your name. April Stafford, Chief of Office of Design 11 12 Management? 13 MS. STAFFORD: Present. 14 DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, April. 15 Sullivan, senior design specialist? 16 MS. SULLIVAN: Present. 17 DR. VAN ALFEN: Roger Vasquez, senior design 18 manager? 19 MR. VASQUEZ: Present. 20 DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you. Russel Evans, 21 design manager? 2.2 MS. STAFFORD: Not present.

Page 8 1 DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you. Boneza Hanchock, 2 design manager? 3 MS. HANCHOCK: Present. 4 DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you. Sukrita Baijal, 5 design manager? 6 MS. BAIJAL: Present. 7 DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you. Joseph Menna, 8 Chief Engraver? 9 MR. MENNA: Present. 10 DR. VAN ALFEN: Michael Costello, Manager of 11 Design and Engraving? 12 MR. COSTELLO: Present. 13 DR. VAN ALFEN: Jennifer Warren, Director of 14 Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs and 15 liaison to the CCAC? 16 MS. WARREN: Present. 17 DR. VAN ALFEN: Greg Weinman, senior legal 18 counsel and counsel to the CCAC? 19 MR. WEINMAN: Present. 20 DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you. Brendan Tate, 2.1 senior government affairs specialist, Office of

Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs?

2.2

1 MR. TATE: Present.

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you. So I'd like to begin with the Mint. Are there any other issues that need to be addressed before we start today?

[NO RESPONSE]

All right. Hearing none we will move right on to the first portfolio for consideration which is the 2026 Semiquincentennial half dollar. April Stafford and Boneza Hanchock will present the candidate designs for the obverse and reverse of this portfolio. April, all yours, please.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. Some background;
Following the Constitutional Convention in 1787,
Benjamin Franklin was said to have asked "what type
of" -- was said to have been asked "What type of
government the United States was going to adopt, a
republic or a monarchy?" His famous reply - "A
republic...if you can keep it." - emphasized the
difficult work that lay ahead for the American
people.

The 2026 half dollar coin explores what is required from us to keep our Republic for the next

250 years, and emphasizes the critical role of the citizen in a participatory and knowledge-based democracy. As Supreme Court Justice Louis D.

Brandeis said, "the only title in our democracy superior to that of President is the title of citizen."

So the obverse for the half dollar in 2026 will feature a depiction of Liberty, and the reverse designs, as previously noted, will explore what is required to keep the United States for the next 250 years. Artists were asked to consider "a call to action" for a participatory and knowledge-based democracy so that the reverse designs could inspire a renewed interest in civics and highlight the role that citizens play in preserving democracy, specifically the importance of knowledge and reason and rational informed debate.

Specifically, the artists were asked to give special consideration to the following James

Madison quotes, - "knowledge will forever govern ignorance; and a people that mean to be their own

Meeting July 16, 2024

Page 11

governors must arm themselves with the power that knowledge gives." And, - "the advancement and diffusion of knowledge is the only Guardian of true liberty".

Required obverse inscriptions for the half dollar are "United States of America" which is [sic] mentioned in yesterday's meeting. That is the required inscription that artists were asked to probably feature in their obverse designs. As well as the inscriptions "In God We Trust" and "1776" and "2026".

Reverse inscriptions that are required include "Liberty", "half dollar", "E pluribis unum".

So we'll move on to show you the new candidate designs for the half dollar obverse, starting with Obverse 1, 2, 3, 3A, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11. Moving on to the reverse designs, for your consideration we have Reverse 1, 1A, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 8A. Mr. Chairman, that concludes the candidate designs.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Beautiful. Thank you, April. Before we begin our consideration, are there any

2.2

technical or legal questions from the Committee about this program or the designs for the 2026 Semiquincentennial Half Dollar? Arthur, I see your hand is raised.

2.2

MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. Thank you. This is

Arthur Bernstein. I have a question with regard to
the use of the word "circulating". Will this coin
in fact be produced in quantities to be distributed
as circulating coins?

MS. STAFFORD: That is a great question. So we -- we produce the amount of coins requested -- ordered by the Federal Reserve. So I believe we had previous conversations with the semiquincentennial work group that was formed by the CCAC, that this kind of straddles that. The idea of circulating and -- those coins that are merely connected. It certainly will not circulate or isn't anticipated to circulate the same way the other circulating coins will. But I believe, Greg, there might be -- I think there is an understanding in general about the new programs that the United States Mint takes on that we work with all of the

agencies and entities to try to widely disperse these as -- as much as possible. I don't know if there's any specific provision -- (crosstalk) --

2.2

MR. WEINMAN: There is a provision. It's not a mandate, but it's one that we -- (inaudible) -- will work with us to ensure a wider distribution of the circulation coins. I don't know -- I can't say that this has been specifically discussed, with respect to the halfs, but if it's the opinion of the committee that this is something that you'd like to address, I'm sure that's something -- that we can do so. There is a provision in the legislation that authorizes us to -- encourages the -- (inaudible) -- to work with us. To -- to aide in that type of circulation.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Jen, I see your hand is raised.

MS. WARREN: Yeah. I was just going to add, I am aware that at least the last couple of years there has been some orders of half dollars by the FRB for circulating. Whether that would happen in this year, it's unclear, but it has been happening

periodically. Not large amounts, but at least something has been bought for circulating. So if there was a demand by the banks for half dollars, they would be purchasing them.

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Any other questions or comments before we --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

MR. SAUNDERS: Peter, it's John Saunders.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Sure, go ahead.

MR. SAUNDERS: Can't seem to raise my hand. Something went wrong. Anyway, I'm old enough to remember before the Kennedy halves and then all the silver got hoarded. The half dollar was used in change. You know, if you got 90 cents change, you got a half dollar, a quarter, a dime, and a nickel. And I think this would be occasion to, you know, push the half dollar again. I'd like to a -- a real effort made to increase the circulation. mean, people are going to want to get one just to have one if they get the other two coins. it -- it's a good reason to go out and make them more available to the public. So I -- I'd like to see that as a goal.

DR. VAN ALFEN: I -- I have a question for the Mint staff, perhaps they -- in order for us to encourage the circulation of the half dollar, would we then make a motion at the end of our discussion, and have that as a part of our recommendation?

2.2

MR. WEINMAN: I mean, it's -- admittedly, it's a little bit outside the scope of the CCAC's review of designs, but I don't -- so don't think it's official. But there's -- because there is something in the legislation about this, I don't think it would be inappropriate for the committee to -- to express on the record -- if you want on the record or just during -- discussion during the meeting and we'll take that into consideration. The minutes from this meeting and there having been a recording of it are evidence enough.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Wonderful. All right. Thank
you. Any other questions or comments before we
begin? All right then. Let's begin our
consideration. And I would, again, like to remind
members to please try to keep your comments to five
minutes or less and to identify yourself prior to

speaking. Let's begin with Jeanne Stevens-Sollman.

If you would, please.

2.2

MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I always prefer to come after Christopher because

he -- he's just such a -- (inaudible) -- history

and I am not qualified for that.

I will start with -- let's see, the obverse, if we can go to obverse -- yeah, Obverse 1, please. Yes, thank you. I don't have very much to say about this one, because it's just kind of plain and I felt that we needed to have -- say something a little more on the half dollar. And I agree with my colleague, who just spoke, about having this half dollar circulated. I think that would be beautiful.

So that being said, I want to skip down to number 3, so 3, please. Yes. To me this is something very powerful about what's happened in our last 250 years. I love the fact that the flag fills the space and that Liberty is extremely happy and determined. And the child that's with her, you know, her hands as though she's searching --

searching. And I think that this is -- says something very powerful.

2.2

The -- number 4 -- 3A or 4 -- the next one, yep. 3A, this is comparable and very good, but I think 3 is a little more powerful. Again, the flag, sort of, composition -- design, it fills -- it fills the space. And I love that they have little sandals on, you know, it's a very contemporary costume. So congratulations to the artist who, you know, proposed this -- these designs.

We have a lot of diversity in the children.

However, it's very complicated and I have sort of put this one aside because of its, kind of, confusion. I'm not sure if all those little people will be recognized.

Number 5, it's just a little bit too contemporary for me. So I'm going to pass that by.

And 6 is the same. I -- I like it, but I don't think it fits this particular series.

Number 7, again, I think this is just a little

too much of a comic image. The laurel on her head -- sorry Donald isn't here to speak to this, but the laurel on her head kinda looks like horns. So you're never going -- you're never going to forget that, Michael. But the child, you know, lighting the torch is very endearing, but I don't think it fits this program.

2.2

Next, number 8, I ended up passing this by.

9 -- 9, it's -- it's just not very mature.

Liberty and the child, it's -- I think it's more powerful in design number 3.

Number 10 -- yes, number 10. I love the fact that this is an ethnic child, I think this is really perfect. But I also think it's a little too complicated, the design isn't quite working. And her -- I think it's a little confusing, so I'm not going with that.

Now if we can go with reverse please? Number 11, okay -- this number 1, I think this would be great, but I think we need to say "knowledge over ignorance". It would be better. You know, we -- I don't think this particular design fits this

purpose. And the same with number 2 -- or 1A.

2.2

Great. Number 2, I can understand the reason for having the obvious oak tree here, but I believe we have better designs.

Number 3. In the beginning, I really thought this would pair very nicely with design number 3. I like the fact that we have the different hands, this diversity, a child's hand, and older person's hand. This is really wonderful. But wondering if we use this design we could put in "knowledge over ignorance" which I think is a really important part of the reverse.

And to skip down to number 5, please. This is very simple. If the hands were a little more defined that might be good. I like the fact that this is more of a -- kind of a Native American influence with feathers bursting up from the flame. It makes it a little bit more interesting.

"Knowledge over ignorance", I think is important.

We can go to the next. This is good. I love this, but it isn't as powerful to me as some of the other designs.

1 And 7, it's a possibility. 8, is a possibility. And 9 -- or 8A, yeah. These -- these 2 last few are possibilities, but I think it would be 3 4 nice, since we have used the torch in so many other designs, maybe we can change. Now, I'm sorry, 5 Jennifer, I did not turn off my camera. I hope you 6 7 were able to hear me. 8 MS. WARREN: We were -- (crosstalk) --9 MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: That's the end, thank 10 you. 11 DR. VAN ALFEN: All right, Jeanne. Kellen Hoard, if you would, please. 12 very much. MR. HOARD: Sure. 13 Thank you. To me, the 14 obverse really even -- even in close question is 15 Obverse 3. It's exciting, it's compelling, it 16 lives up to that intergenerational theme 17 beautifully, it's patriotic. The perfect mix of 18 what a literal allegorical -- uses -- (inaudible) 19 -- of the half dollar well. It's beautifully 20 structured. We passed on this one for the American 21 Liberty Coin and Medal series in part because they 2.2 didn't have -- (inaudible) -- and I just think it's

wonderful and that's pretty much all I have to say about that. I think this is the clear choice.

On the reverse, I have no superstrong

preferences. Maybe toward Reverse 3, which kind of

best ties that theme of preserving Liberty

--(inaudible) -- probably best or maybe towards

Reverse 8. It was just kind of a -- a clean, solid

dime with a touch of continuity, especially with -
(inaudible) --

The one thing I move just away from is probably Reverse 1, mostly because I don't think me personally or the American public is super confident in the U.S. Capitol aka Congress. It isn't exactly leading us towards a more perfect union at the moment. I think there might be some -- some laughter about that. So that's what I would probably not lean towards. But for the most part I'm excited about Obverse 3 and Reverses 3 and 8. Thank you.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you very much. Annelisa Purdie, if you would, please.

MS. PURDIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is

2.2

Annelisa Purdie, good morning everybody. Of the portfolios that we've been looking at for this meeting, this is the one I'm excited about the most. I echo the excitement about the half dollar and the general enthusiasm for this coin. I can say that there definitely is an interest in it.

During my outreach work with children, they were fascinated by the half dollar, even though many of them likely weren't around when they were circulating heavily. But they all did want to get their hands on them. They were really -- really enjoyed them. So these designs are really exciting.

2.2

My preference for the Obverses are 3 and 3A, with a general leaning more towards number 3 as well. I love the expressions, I love the forward movement, I love her hairstyle. It's very evocative of Langston Hughes "I, Too Sing America" which I think is a necessary reminder. And I think that it gets across the overall message of trying that we're trying to put in with the half dollar. I kept coming back to that one.

I also did like number 7, Obverse number 7. I think that this particular design, there's -- the thing about it that, sort of, put me off slightly was the fact that her covering the child, she looks disjointed a little bit. You see the hands, but you don't see where there -- she's connected to her. But I do like this concept of her shielding the child and guiding her to the next generation of preservation and carefully watching over her. I just think that there's just some tweaking -- I also agree about the horns on the -- on her hair. Less Athena more Hecate, which I don't think that anyone was particularly going for.

2.2

I also was interested in Obverse number 5. I think it's very evocative in some ways of what we were -- I like the style. I was noticing this coming up for the coin -- I'm not sure that it works very well for the half dollar, but the overall style of emphasizing the torch and moving towards Liberty, I think this is something that has potential.

For the Reverses, my strong preference was

Reverse number 6. And this is another one that when looking through the designs, I kept coming back to. My only caveat with this one is that I think the activity in the back is a bit busy.

Because there is so much with the American flag in the background, I'm not sure how well that would show up on the reverse, whether it's going to be recessed, whether there's some shading involved.

And I'm also not sure about the message of "knowledge being the guardian of true liberty" being conveyed all the way, but I really like the concept of passing the torch along.

2.2

My other preference for the reverse is Reverse number 3. This is another one that I kept reviewing, thinking back to. I love the concept of all people working together in order to, not just grow liberty, but mature it, which is necessary. And it's again evocative of -- referencing a lot of tree imagery lately in these portfolios. And I like the idea of -- putting forth this message of the necessity of growing the tree, of growing liberty, of keeping it maintained and nurturing it.

It's also very gentle -- perhaps with greater definition around the edge, because the people's hands do sort of blend into the outside of the coin. But this one I was also very compelled by. And I think that it would make an impact on others too, especially if this is going to enter circulation. And those are my thoughts. Thank you.

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: Wonderful, Annelisa. Thank you very much. Art Bernstein, if you will.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Good morning. This is Art
Bernstein. Two opening comments before getting
into the specific designs. I wanted to go back to
something that Chris Capozzola mentioned yesterday,
which would apply to this coin as well as to the
quarters. I've been thinking about his comment
with regard to the dash between the dates. And I
just wanted to lend my support to his concept that
it shouldn't be a dash, it should be some other
kind of mark, so that it doesn't look like a born
and die date.

My other comment, I just wanted to lend

support to the concept that April had discussed which was, I would like to see this be a circulating coin. And by whatever means we have available to us, I'd like to support that -- that concept.

2.2

Going to the specific designs on the obverse,

I heard Jeanne's comment about 1 being a little

simple. I -- I found it to be elegant. I guess

it -- I guess it could be both, simple and elegant.

I liked the fact that Lady Liberty is looking

towards the rising sun.

Obverse 2, I thought the Liberty figure seems to be holding a crystal ball and I rejected that design.

With regard to Obverses 3 and 3A, I also love the look of 3. I'm a little less inclined towards 3A. As a father and a grandfather, the idea of the child holding a torch struck me as a little dangerous and unrealistic. So I would -- if we're going with that design, I -- I'd head towards design 3.

Annelisa mentioned an interest in design 5. I

too liked design 5 and I wanted to give credit to the artist who I think followed the direction to create a bold, modern style. This is definitely a bold, modern style and I like it.

And I would say the same thing about design Obverse 6. Again, a bold, modern style. I'm not sure we're ready for this boldness yet, but I do support the concept.

With design 7, I also saw the giant horns.

With design Obverse 9, I thought it was an attractive design. I was a little -- well, I just thought that on Liberty's chest, there seems to be an odd clump. I assume it's the gathering of her gown, it looked like a baseball. And I just -- if we're going with that design, I thought the clump needed a little bit of modification.

I only have one comment with regard to the reverses. And that is 1 and 1A, I've mentioned this each time we looked at the quarters as well.

I see using historic buildings as a common theme that could be on each of the reverses, and I would support that concept. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Thank you very much. John Saunders, if you would, please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

MR. SAUNDERS: Thank you, Peter. It's John Saunders here. First, kind of, historical -- I mean, not to steal Chris's thunder, but if you compare our democracy with the Athenian democracy or the Florence democracy or the Dutch Republic democracy, ours is much more inclusive. I mean, it started out not being totally inclusive, but where we started was more inclusive then the ones that were previously. And as our series goes on, we show how we expanded with Woman's Suffrage and Civil Rights to make it inclusive. So I think that's kind of one half -- I kind of like something that looks a little bit historic because this is -we're celebrating history here, we're not celebrating, like, you know, a new invention or a -- something like that. So I -- I'm a little bit traditional.

With the designs, I thought 1 was okay, but not inspiring. I thought 2 was beautiful. I -- I didn't like the crystal ball. I'd prefer -- prefer

a book or something of that nature to symbolize knowledge, but I thought the Liberty and the way it was presented was beautiful. And I know Don will hate me for this, but I like the spikes in her hair.

2.2

Going -- my favorite is kind of combination
between 3 and 3A. I think Art saying we don't want
our kids playing with fire -- but I like the little
girl holding the torch. The other one the girl's
kind of got her hand up and I -- I guess she's
looking out, but it also could be like she's got a
headache. So I do like the -- the way this
Liberty's carrying the flag better in 3. So I
would be -- a combination of 3 with the girl from
3A, would be my super thought.

I think the next one's too busy.

I'm not quite ready for the next one, though it is good.

O6, I think I've commented on this artist before, this kind of looks like the Sphinx, it looks too Egyptian to me.

7, I agree with -- with the 7 we'd have to get

Page 30 1 rid of the horns. I kind of like that sun. It's 2 kind of pretty. 8 was kind of okay, but I wasn't inspired. 3 9 -- 9 was okay. 4 10, I like this for Civil Rights. I don't 5 think it's appropriate for the -- the constitution, 6 7 but it would be great for Civil Rights. 8 I don't think 11 works on a coin very well. Going to reverses, if we don't use from the 9 10 quarter and -- (inaudible) -- idea I -- I kind of 11 like the Liberty Hall with the -- Independence 12 Hall, excuse me. But I'd -- I'd rather than 13 "towards a more perfect union" I would say something like "knowledge is the only guardian 14 15 of -- of true liberty" or "knowledge over 16 ignorance" as opposed to that. And I don't see a 17 need for the stars. 18 I like 2. It reminds me of the Connecticut 19 quarter, the -- (inaudible) -- there. I like oak 20 trees, I like trees. I think it's a nice design. 21 3, I thought it was too busy with all those

hands in there. I -- I -- everybody else seems to

2.2

like it, but I was -- there wasn't anything that turned me on there.

- 4, I think it's too busy.
- 5, is kind of okay.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

6, I think is too busy.

And then of the torches I like 8 better than 7 or 8A.

So my thought on the reverse should be either the Independence Hall, the oak tree, or the torch. And on the obverse, I think 3 is hands down the winner, but I would rather see the girl with a torch or doing something other than having her hand to her head. I mean, even if it's just walking without her hand to her head, I -- I would prefer that. Thank you very much.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thanks, John. Thank you. Sam Gelberd, if you would, please.

MR. GELBERD: Thank you, Peter. This is Sam

Gelberd. Before I begin, I just wanted to say -how we were discussing about whether or not the
half dollar is truly designed for circulation. We
know it doesn't really circulate widely amongst the

American public. But I'm optimistic that if we are able to come up with designs that are very powerful and effective, we may be able to sway the public's opinion about using this as actual money. So that's kind of what I'm hoping for.

2.2

And in accord with the theme, you know,

"knowledge over ignorance", Obverse design 1, it's

very simple and elegant as Art said and a couple

others have stated. It just -- I think it misses

the mark if what we're going for is a more modern

interpretation.

With that being said, I really enjoyed Obverse number 2. I love the layout of it. I understand that the artist is trying to go for a halo effect -- trying to guard, you know, the young sapling of an oak with the acorn. It does come off a little bit like a crystal ball, so maybe that element could be replaced. But just overall, just elegant, beautiful design. I think it would really translate well to a half dollar size coin.

Obverse 3 and 3A, it's tough to argue against them. They are gorgeous. I prefer 3A if only for

July 16, 2024

2.2

the reason that the "In God We Trust" and the dual date are in exergue, underneath that line. I do like that look, you don't see it enough on too many modern United States coins. But as Jeanne said earlier and a couple of others have alluded to with -- the way the flag fills the space on design 3, I really do like that. So as John just said, maybe hybrid thereof -- of both of those coins maybe would work.

Obverse 4, it's a little bit too cutesy for me. I understand the idea. It's a little bit busy and I'm still not sure why there's the Corinthian helmet in there, but -- yeah, I wasn't a big fan of that.

Regarding the modern designs of 5, 6, 7, and 8, 5 I agree, very powerful. Just not sure if we're ready for that.

- 6, wasn't a huge fan of.
- 7, there is no getting past the horns. I think we all pretty much felt that that was the feel there, but I really do like the layout. I love the Art Deco font. So 7 maybe with some

rework of -- of the laurel wreath especially, it maybe could happen.

Design 8, not a big fan.

Design 9, read kind of strange. It's almost like a dancing Liberty half dollar. Wasn't sure if that would really work too well. I don't think that would be received by the collecting public very well.

Design 13 -- I'm sorry, design 10, I also agree that might be better received on the Civil Rights Quarter. So maybe we reserve it for that, albeit a little busy with the background.

Obverse 11, not for me. I get the not so subtle symbolism of the torch being passed. Just kind of came off a little corny for my tastes.

That's just personal opinion.

With the reverses, I understand with Reverse 1 and 1A, especially with Reverse 1, how we're trying to say "toward a more perfect union." I can't get past what Kellen said, seeing the Capitol dome and saying we're trying to go toward a more perfect union. So maybe if we did replace that inscription

2.2

with something to the effect of "knowledge over ignorance". And the stars, take them or leave them, I'd be okay either way.

2.2

I did like Reverse 2 with the young oak tree.

I'm not exactly sure exactly what the book it's growing out of -- the words may be a little bit tricky to read, even on a larger size coin like a half dollar. But I do like trees on coins, I do think it fills the space very well even with Liberty being as large as it is. I think it's great on a half dollar sized coin.

Reverse 4, I do love eagles on our coins, just not a big fan of this one. Reads a bit strangely in my opinion.

Reverse 5 is pretty clean. It's simple. I do like a bit more of the Native American theme there, but I don't like it if we paired it with Obverse 2 or -- or I'm sorry, Obverse 2 or 3A.

Reverse 6 is very patriotic. It's a better rendition of a torch being passed as opposed to Obverse 11 for sure, just not sure if it's the right one to go with.

Reverse 7, it just fills the space way too much to me. It just swallows the entire reverse.

2.2

That's why I think the clear winner would be Reverse 8. I just love the way it's laid out as opposed to 8A, where it says "knowledge" at the base of the torch. I do love the positioning of the motto as well as the denomination in 8A, but I think Reverse 8 just really shows it better. I don't like the sentence fragment in 8A, so I think it would lose something there. And that's what I had to lend up [sic] with this portfolio. Thank you, Peter.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Wonderful, Sam. Thank you.

Mike Moran, if you would, please.

MR. MORAN: Thought you'd lost me there,
Peter. This is Mike Moran.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Don't worry.

MR. MORAN: I know. With the exception of the stylistic power, all the obverses have really been well executed. I agree with everybody, 3 and 3A are standouts. I also feel like 3 is better than 3A. I suspect this one is going to get chosen when

we get through with everything in October. The only caution I have is that the figures being stuck in such a way that they stand out against that flag, otherwise the little girl's hand might get lost and what would otherwise be negative space on 3. But it -- it's a clear winner.

I also want to point out that 10 is good. I like it. It is a bit busy, if we downsize it into a quarter, particularly with the background designs there.

And 2, featuring an oak sprout seems to me inappropriate for the half dollar theme "looking forward".

Turning to the reverse, the Capitol dome, I agree with everybody else, it seems inappropriate. It wasn't even completed until 1864.

Again, I have trouble here with the planting of the oak sappling. It just doesn't seem right that we're planting an oak sappling after 250 years of nation. It just doesn't make sense to me.

And finally on 8A, Sam just touched on it.

You put "knowledge" on the base of that torch,

2.2

July 16, 2024

Page 38

nobody will see it. You have a half phrase on the -- the perimeter of the coin and the key subject matter's missing. So that won't -- that won't -- (inaudible) -- won't work. That's it, Peter.

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right, Mike. Thank you very much. Dr. Christopher Capozzola, if you would, please.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right. Good morning.

This is Chris Capozzola. It's a pleasure to go

last actually, because some of you see things

that -- that I don't otherwise see. But I'm

actually going to be aligned with much of what has

been said already, so I will be brief. On the -
and again, you know, I feel like I'm trying to make

a virtue of the fact that this is a circulating and

not quite circulating coin and that it's a

little -- and that it's larger; right? Then

quarter; right? You can get some more detail than

you would in a quarter or a dime.

For me on the obverse is, I -- my preference is also for -- for 3. And this is, you know, one

July 16, 2024

that we've talked about and seen before. I think it's -- you know, I think it's a fantastic design for the reasons Jeanne laid out at the beginning. I think it's -- it works well as the third vision of Liberty in this series. And just chronologically speaking, it's forward-looking. You know, maybe you could tweak the little girl's hand a little bit, but I do -- I prefer the hand to the -- to the torch. It just really clearly communicates that it's looking forward. And so that -- you know, that's my -- that's my number one.

2.2

I would also draw some attention to Obverse 1.

I really like it. I actually wonder, and I haven't thought it all through, if it would work on -- on a different quarter, you know, on one of the quarters. When we looked at some of the other Liberty's yesterday, I think it's just a really, you know, a nice, clean design. I think the sun, you know, is a good feature there as well. So I just wanted to draw some attention to that.

And then also I'm going to give a lot of

points to 05, Obverse 5. And, you know, we've wanted to go with a bold modern design that doesn't -- you know, that shakes people up, it draws their attention, but doesn't get out ahead of where they might be aesthetically or historically. And I think actually, like, this artist nailed it. And, you know, maybe it won't happen on this half dollar, but, you know, I think this is exactly the kind of thing that we have been waiting -- waiting to see. For some who can bring that different visual vocabulary without -- you know, and bring -- and bring the American public along to that -- in that vocabulary along the way. So basically all I will say about the obverses.

2.2

On the reverses, obviously I'll -- I'll start with 1 and 1A. Having, you know, endorsed them on -- for other coins, I think they could also work here. Although, oddly maybe not. I don't know that Independence Hall makes the most sense for forward-looking, which is the third of the three, you know, sort of dime -- dime, quarter, half -- half dollar sort of approach or any of the other

places we might use it. And so I'm just not a hundred percent sure that it -- that it would work for the half dollar. But obviously as a design, it's quite successful.

2.2

I thought, certainly, 3 works. And I -- and I think I support that.

I also support 0 -- (inaudible) -- 0 6, this

Obverse 6. This is, you know, used as an aesthetic

like the dime reverse 5, like some of the

Constitution quarters. It could be worth keeping

in the mix as we think about, you know, just sort

of aesthetic unity across the whole

Semiquincentennial portfolio.

And then, I'll just second what others, I
think Sam said most clearly and most recently. 8
works but not 8A in terms of the word, you know,
"knowledge" gets hidden on 8A. I think of the
torches in 7, 8, and 8A, I prefer 8. And so, thank
you.

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right, Chris. Thank you very much. For my own comments, I have to say, I really find the half dollar to be a really cool

coin in the hands. You know, it is the largest coin produced at least since the Eisenhower dollars of my youth, you know, dropped out of circulation. I think that most people who do get a half dollar in hands rejoice when they do so and do spend a few moments looking over the coin, perhaps more so than the quarters and dimes. You know, so I do think that is worthwhile trying to push this coin into circulation in 2026 as much as possible.

And also to go with just wonderful exceptional designs, I don't have a whole lot to add to what has already been said. I find Obverse 3 to be a -- a clear winner choice. I think that this is an exceptional design. I love it. I love the imagery of the mother and child as the future, I love the flag, big and bold as it is there.

I think it would pair very well with Reverse

6. I really do quite like Reverse 6. I think on a half dollar would be really quite impressive. You know, the flag as it carries from the obverse to the reverse and then the hands of -- of perhaps an older person handing the torch to a younger person,

2.2

you know, would reflect the obverse as well.

2.2

I also had no problem with Reverses 8 and 8A.

I -- just in closing, I also want to mention that I do find Obverse 10 actually also to be really quite compelling. I really liked the design elements,

I'm just not sure it would be as appropriate for this portfolio. So with that said, do we have any further discussion about this portfolio before we score it?

MR. SAUNDERS: I don't know if my hand went up, but --

DR. VAN ALFEN: No, John. You're still muted.

So -- so whatever glitch is happening there just --

MR. SAUNDERS: I'm going to echo what I think
Annelisa said, particularly on the obverse. The
Mint really needs -- should be complemented for
these obverse designs of the half dollars. I -- I,
you know, a couple people made comments with some
of the other coins, they were a little disappointed
with this or that. I don't see any disappointment
here. I mean, I could've liked almost all of them.
So congratulations to the Mint. And I also echo

what you say about getting the half dollar into circulation. I don't know how you'd do it, but --but maybe the Mint and/or some officials can talk to companies like Walmart or other good retailers to have a program -- they were doing the -- the bicentennial coins -- would like for you to use that, take the half dollars and use them. But you get, you know, 10, 12, big retailers doing that you -- you got a pretty good distribution there. And there may be some other ways of doing it too so I -- (inaudible) -- those comments.

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: Well, thanks, John. Very good. So what we will do at this point then is score the half dollar portfolio. We will then take a break. Greg, do you think you'll need 10 or 15 minutes?

MR. WEINMAN: I think it should -- give me 10 minutes to score -- or to compile the scores for the half dollar and then we'll be ready to go and we can talk about what all this scoring looks like.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Okay. That sounds good. So the Committee will know score the obverse and

reverse design candidates for the 2026

Seminquincentennial Half Dollar. And once again, everyone should have your score sheets and please do send them to Greg by email if you're able. And once we return from our break at the top of the hour at 11:00 o'clock, Greg will present not just the scores from this portfolio, but all of the portfolios that we reviewed yesterday. And we will then have a discussion about the Semiquincentennial program as a whole. So I will see you in ten minutes.

2.2

(Break from 10:50 a.m. to 11:00 a.m.)

DR. VAN ALFEN: So we are back. I recognize Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC to present the results from the scoring sheets. If you're ready, Greg.

MR. WEINMAN: Do you want to start with the half dollar or do you want to go all the way back to the dime?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Let's go all the way back to the dime.

MR. WEINMAN: Okay. So -- so let's begin with

the dime that we reviewed yesterday. Tell me if you're all ready. Obverse is first, Obverse number 1 -- this was out of a possible 33 points.

Yesterday everybody attended so out of a possible 33 points.

2.2

Design number 1 received 25 points making it
the high-scoring design. Number -- Obverse 2
received 24 points, very close behind. Obverse
number 3 received 12, Obverse number 4 received 12,
Obverse number 5 received 10, Obverse number 6
received 14 points.

Moving on to the dime reverses. Reverse
number 1 received 21 points, making it the high
vote-getter. Reverse number 2 received 20, close
behind in the scoring. Reverse number 3 received
10, Reverse 3A received 4, Reverse 4 received 4,
and Reverse 5 received 11 points. That is the
dime. Do you want to move forward to the
Declaration of Independence quarter?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Yeah, let's do that.

MR. WEINMAN: Okay. Once again, out of a possible 33 points. Design number -- obverse,

obverse is first. Obverse number 1 received 25

points making it the high vote-getter. Number 2 -
Obverse 2 received 8, Obverse 3 received 14,

Obverse 4 received 8, Obverse 5 received 7, Obverse

6 received 6, Obverse 7 received 6, Obverse 8

received 5, Obverse 9 received 13, and Obverse 10

received 5 points.

2.2

Moving on to the reverses. Reverse number 1 received 16. Reverse number 1A received 20, making it the high vote-getter tied with another one, which I will get to in a moment. Reverse number 2 received 9, 2A received 12, 3 received 17, 4 received 9, 5 received 4. Reverse 6 also received 20 points. Reverse 7 received 12 points, Reverse 8, 4 points. Reverse 9, 9 points. Reverse 10, 9 points. Reverse 11, 4 points. Reverse 12, 3 points. Reverse 12A received 5 points and Reverse 13 received 6 points. All good?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Yep.

MR. WEINMAN: Do you want to move on to the other quarter or do you want to go back --

DR. VAN ALFEN: -- (Crosstalk) -- platinum.

MR. WEINMAN: Do platinum first, okay. In this case once again, out of a possible 33 points. Platinum number 1 received 11 points, 1A received 14 points, 2 received 12 points, 3 received 6 points, 3A received 17 points making it the high-scoring design. 4 received 7 points, 5 received 9 points, 5A received 14 points, 6 received 3 points, 7 received 3 points.

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right.

2.2

MR. WEINMAN: We will go toward the

Constitution quarter. Once again, out of a

possible 33 points. Obverse is first. Obverse 1

received 24 points, making it the high-scoring

design. Obverse 2 received 16 points, Obverse 3

received 7 points, Obverse 4 received 12 points,

Obverse 5 received 1 point, Obverse 6 received 2

points, Obverse 7 received 18 points, Obverse 8

received 11 points, and Obverse 9 received 10

points.

Moving onto the reverses. Reverse 1 received 10 points, Reverse 1A received 13 points, Reverse 2 received 15 points, Reverse 3 received 10 points,

Reverse 4 received 18 points, Reverse 4A received

15 points, Reverse 5 received 19 points, making it

the highest-scoring design along with another

one -- tied, coming up. Reverse 5A received 13

points, Reverse 6 also received 19 points. Reverse

7 received 4 points, Reverse 8 received 10 points,

Reverse 8A received 12 points, Reverse 9 received

6, Reverse 10 received 8, and Reverse 11 received 2

points. Are you ready to move to the half?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Yes, we are.

2.2

MR. WEINMAN: Okay. Once again, this is -this would be out of 27 -- possible 27 points.

We're missing two scorers, missing Mr. Scarinci and
Dr. Fuller, so out of 27 possible points. First
the obverses. Obverse 1 received 10 points,
Obverse 2 received 7 points, Obverse 3, the
high-scoring design received 24 points. Obverse 3A
received 19 points, Obverse 4 received 6 points,
Obverse 5 received 11 points, Obverse 6 received 8
points, Obverse 7 received 8 points, Obverse 8
received 3 points, Obverse 9 received 5 points,
Obverse 10 received 7 points, and Obverse 11

received 3 points.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

Moving to the reverses. Reverse 1 received 14 points, Reverse 1A received 13 points, Reverse 2 received 10 points, Reverse 3 received 12 points, Reverse 4 received 4 points, Reverse 5 received 8 points, Reverse 6 received 16 points, making it the high-scoring design along with another. Reverse 7 received 5 points, Reverse 8 also received 16 points, and 8A received 3 points.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Fantastic. Thank you, Greq, very much for all of this. And again, since we are not asked to make recommendations on these portfolios at this time, the scores are simply going to be used as a tool for the Mint to gauge what will go forward into the portfolios that we will review again in October. So at this time, I don't want to dwell a great deal on the scores themselves since the scores are what they are at this time. What I would like to do, however, is raise a number of questions, and have some discussions along the lines of these questions, pertaining to some of the issues that were raised

yesterday. And mostly these have to do with the presentation of Liberty on the obverse of -- of the coins.

2.2

Donald yesterday raised the question about accoutrements in the hair, you know, the various wreaths and crowns and things of the sort. I would like to just have a brief discussion about that very issue to see what the rest of the committee feels about that. Donald, again, was adamantly against any sort of hair accoutrements, but I would like to hear from the rest of the Committee about their thoughts on that. And we will -- rather than just go down the list, I'm more than happy just to have people raise their hands and offer their thoughts on that. Kellen?

MR. HOARD: Yes. I really don't have a problem with it inherently, having anything in the hair. In fact, I think it was really tastefully done on the dime Obverse 1, where we saw that kind of really kind of nice coronet. I like that style. I think that fit seamlessly into the design, it wasn't distracting, it actually added positively to

1 the design and made it more clear about what it's 2 about. To me --(inaudible) -- of hey, that works perfectly and I think the design actually would be 3 lesser off without it. But there are --4 (inaudible) where the head piece is way too much. 5 Like they did on the half dollar portfolio, where 6 7 it almost -- looked like horns; right? It can 8 be -- you know, stylistically it could be fitting 9 or not, there's a negative or positive with each 10 rendition and -- (inaudible) -- as case-by-case rather than seeking an overall design aesthetic 11 12 that excludes it altogether. Whether it actually 13 adds positively or not. So I -- I wouldn't make any broad -- (inaudible) -- about whether we should 14 15 include them or not. Just simply take it design by 16 design. 17 DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Thank you, Kellen. 18 Anyone else? 19 MR. SAUNDERS: Again, my hand doesn't work so 20 I'11 --21 DR. VAN ALFEN: Yeah, please John, go ahead. 2.2 MR. SAUNDERS: Sure. I'm kind of in agreement

with Kellen. I think it often adds to things. would be three quarters away to continue what we're doing and one quarter maybe cut it back a little. But I do think we should give everybody warnings about horns, and some of them could look like a unicorn, unless you want the unicorn look. things that -- there's one quarter design I think that had the -- (inaudible) -- cap and you couldn't really see the cap and I wasn't sure where the hair or the -- the helmet was. It was a little bit too busy up there. But generally speaking, I like I mean, it's -- lots of times stuff in the hair. they were used in classical figures. You know, Liberty obviously and classical figures add stuff in here very frequently so I -- I'm not against it. All right, John. Thank you very much. Chris,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

I see your hand is raised.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: Yeah, just briefly, you know, seconding Kellen's "it depends" conclusion. think -- part of what I think Donald was conveying yesterday is that if overall women appear on our coins only in these kind of allegorical kind of

stylistic ways, that's -- that's not great. And I think that -- you know, that obverse on the half dollar that we just saw, I think it addresses that across the portfolio. But there are -- there is a value in hairpieces to convey this is Lady Liberty, especially if we're breaking her out of other molds of -- of robes and so on and so forth. So I think it's really up to the artist, I think.

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Thank you.

Jeanne, your hand was raised?

2.2

MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Yes. Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. I think we need to think about what

the purpose of a hat is. It often denotes status,

it is a piece of clothing that is protective of the

head. You know, cowboys wear hats, queens wear

tiaras, I think that there is all kind of reasons

to have adornment in the -- on the head. And in

our one Lady Liberty where the dates were in her

hair, that was a beautiful rendition of Liberty.

And if she had a band with the dates on her hair,

it would have read a little -- it would have read

easily -- or more easily. So I think we need to

remember what a headpiece is for. I think Donald forgets that we need a hat to protect ourselves from the rain, from the mosquitoes, from the ticks that are falling from the skies. I think it's important -- important. So I agree with my predecessor who said each design is for itself.

And yes, let the artist decide. But please do not disregard the headpiece. Thank you.

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Wonderful, Jeanne.

Any other comments on this particular issue?

Arthur, your hand was raised?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, thank you. This is

Arthur Bernstein. I wanted to speak to a related
issue. I have no problem with hats, tiaras,
headbands. What I spoke to yesterday was I thought
some of the designs placed too much emphasis on the
hairstyle. That there was too much hair and I
think I referred to myself as anti-hairdo. And
I -- I saw that as a related issue to the one that
Donald raised.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Art. As somebody who has about the same amount of hair as you do,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

Page 56

July 16, 2024

I'm sure that this isn't much of a problem that we face, but -- all right. Any other comments on this particular issue? There was another question about the use of 250, the number 250. I believe that Chris raised this yesterday as something that might, in fact, be very useful and on this particular series of coins, the Semiguincentennial particularly, because there would be dramatic changes in many of the coinages across the board and to underscore to the general population what all this is about, 250 represented prominently either on the obverse or reverse might be a way to convey what is special about that. Mike, your hand is raised? You're muted. And unlike John, apparently you can't overcome the mute with just your voice.

MR. MORAN: I got it. I'm the one that raised that the first I think. I particularly don't want it on the dime, because the dime doesn't have the room to put on there. I'm sorry that our people can do the math between 2026 and 1776 to come up with 250. I just -- I don't think it adds

anything. And in the dime, I don't think the dime has the space to carry it. I can live with it on the quarter and the half dollar, but please don't put it on the dime. That's it.

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: Right. Thank you, Mike. Any other comments on this particular question?

Jeanne?

MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Yes. Thank you. There was a comment on the dash between the years. And I don't -- I think if we used a bullet or a period that's not going to make too much sense. But what if we use an underlaying dash? So it would be like a wave and it would be more -- a more continuing process. So that it wasn't like the end or book end years, but something that continued through those years. Hopefully -- (inaudible) -- thank you.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you. Kellen, your hand is raised?

MR. HOARD: Yeah. If I remember correctly, for the cent and the nickel we did an underlaying dash as well and so there might be some level of

continuity there to actually have that across the design if I remember correctly about -- (inaudible)

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: Wonderful. Mike, was your hand raised again?

MR. MORAN: It was, but Jeanne took care of it -- or Kellen did with the underlaying dash, whichever. I thought it was that way on the penny and the nickel, which it is. We need to be consistent.

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Thank you. Any other comments on this particular question then? All right. Let's return to Liberty. There was also a question raised yesterday about whether or not Liberty should be facing right or left, and there were some voices expressed firmly in support of left facing, others more facing towards the right. And I would like to get some further comments on that very question and whether or not any of the Committee members feel particularly strongly about which way Liberty faces on -- on these coins.

MR. SAUNDERS: I think Liberty looks all

around at everything and I think it's up to the

3 artist.

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: Take it straight on -- (inaudible) --

MR. MORAN: Peter, this is Mike. In the 19th century when you had the tiaras or the diadems of Liberty, reading from right to left it made sense for it to start at the top of her head and go down. So Liberty was always looking to the left. You don't really have that here. If we do, then it's got to be the left. And other than that, there's no reason to worry about it, whichever way she looks.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Mike. Sam, your hand was raised?

MR. GELBERD: Hi, Peter. Yeah, this is Sam

Gelberd. Yesterday I did mention a couple of times

where I thought on some of the earlier quarter

designs where Liberty should perhaps be facing

toward England, only because of the symbolism of -
that was our direct conflict throughout the

revolution. But again, yeah, Liberty could be facing any direction. I think it should be up to the artist ultimately.

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Thank you, Sam.

Any other comments on -- on this question of which way Liberty should be facing or might be facing -- could be facing? Annalisa, your hand was raised?

MS. PURDIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Annalisa Purdie. I also think that it depends on the overall composition of the coin itself. I don't have a particular preference for which way Liberty's facing as long as the overall message gets across. For continuity, if we come to a decision and decide that she looks best to the left or the right, that's one thing. But overall, I think it depends on the design. Thank you.

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Thank you. Any other comments on -- on this particular question? Right. Moving up to the next question. So as April explains, as she was introducing many of the portfolios yesterday, that the conceptual -- or the concept behind this series of coins, the dime, the

five quarters, and the half dollar, would in many ways reflect a sort of progression. So the dime, you know, would be the spark, liberty over tyranny, moving on that into points of inflection, and then finally the future with the half dollar.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

So one of the questions raised -- or we discussed this a little bit yesterday, but one -one question I do want to bring up is whether or not Liberty -- as she is presented on the dime and then the quarters, and then on the half dollar, whether or not that Liberty should also reflect some sort of progression as well. understanding is that with the dime, for example, the artists were instructed to present a Liberty that was perhaps a little bit more intense, a little bit more purposeful. The Liberty on the quarters then would be perhaps a little bit more And then the Liberty on the half dollar mature. would then be something looking more towards the So the question here then is, do we -future. would we want to support that sort of progression of Liberty's -- progression of expressions, you

know, as it were, from say a more intense mature to something that looks forward a little bit more?

Or -- or perhaps more of a commonplace expression on all of the Liberty's. And Kellen, I see that your hand is raised?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

Thank you. I would say we MR. HOARD: Yes. go for both of them potentially. I think beyond the members in this room and the artists, not one person's going to know about that progression that's happening. They're going to be viewing the coins discreetly and aren't necessarily going to see that. I think when we -- I think also we should really just prioritize generally choosing the best version of Liberty for each individual So I -- because people experience it quite design. individually rather than a series, like we still don't even know how much the half dollar's going to circulate. I think we just go with each.

Another thing I would add kind of aside there is I think the issue we ran into with the dime and some other designs was that the intensity was interpreted not that way. Or resolve was

interpreted not that way. And so I think when we, you know, say hey, we really want to focus on that, prioritizing that, we end up with some designs that haven't -- (inaudible) -- well, so yeah. I would just move away from them, that idea of a progression, if it interferes with our choosing the best designs. No one else will see that in that way.

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: Wonderful. Thank you, Kellen.

Any other comments on... all right. Well, somebody
has their -- Annalisa. Yes, please.

MS. PURDIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Annalisa Purdie. I agree 100 percent with Kellen. I think that if we're looking in terms of a progression, then that would put pressure to choose different styles that fit the overall theme and don't necessarily convey the messages or don't necessarily speak to us, especially if a particular artist has a style that doesn't necessarily reflect something else that we like. And the best design overall should take precedence. If by chance there is some sort of continuity people are able to see,

July 16, 2024

1

2

3

4

6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

then that's great as well, but I wouldn't put any undue pressure on anyone to feel that we have to choose this particular design to fit with the overall set. Thank you.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Yeah, thank you, Annelisa.

April, your hand was raised?

MS. STAFFORD: Yes. Thank you. I just, obviously, I agree completely with Kellen and Annelisa. Our goal always in offering assignments to artists are to give them the least amount of constraints and see what they -- what they come up with. In this instance what's unusual, and we see it as an opportunity, is that we are going back out to those artists for revisions. And so the Committee's views on these it's -- we're not asking -- this isn't necessarily a -- an or, but this could be an and. So the designs that you're seeing, the direction that -- that you have responded positively in, in our discussions as well as scoring, that will remain. But in going back out to the artist, the opportunities that we have for them developing a version that might give, you

know, a more complete picture -- or, you know, to have your cake and eat it too so to speak. You know, where we can connect these things. We don't want to necessarily miss that -- that opportunity. So I think that's -- I would just share that with -- with the Committee.

2.2

Yes, these coins will be seen individually, but we are conceiving them as -- as a suite, not just the five quarters but the entirety of the series. And the themes, obviously, are connected. And so if there is a potential there to have a through line, given that we're restoring Liberty, bringing Liberty to circulating coins for the first time in -- in a generation at least, it's just something that we wanted to ask the community, if there's something there -- if there is something there that is an opportunity that again, have an art -- have the artist explore a version to go in a particular way. But not to replace, not to change directions altogether.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, April. Anyone want to comment on April's comments there? Kellen, your

hand is raised?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

MR. HOARD: Thank you. This is less with regard to the particular themes and more in regard to the scoring we just got from Greg and how that implicates the opportunity to go back and revise. There's something I noticed is that several of our high scores barely clear 15 points. You know, in some they're barely clearing like half of the total points that can be allocated. To me, that's a bit of an indicator that there maybe is more room for a revision or review there -- for like Mint time spent -- I know there's limited time in the day. And so for my part at least, for some of these portfolios which, you know, the high scores were barely clearing 15 or, you know, half -- barely clearing half of the points, I would ask that the Mint maybe emphasize time and revision and focus on those, over the ones that were scoring, you know, 27, 28 points. But that's just -- that's just on my end.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Kellen. And I do have one other question -- oh, Arthur. Your hand

is raised?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. This is Arthur

Bernstein. Are we talking about other subjects
besides Lady Liberty now?

DR. VAN ALFEN: We will in a moment.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay.

DR. VAN ALFEN: I -- I had one more question about Liberty and then I will have -- just open it up for other comments. So my final question within about Liberty -- and this is a point that Chris Capozzola made yesterday, that we are replacing Washington on the quarter, Roosevelt on the dime, and Kennedy on the half dollar. And this is going to be a major change in US coinage, you know, the first time in generations, in fact 100 years, if I'm correct, that Liberty has appeared on US coinage. So this is a major and significant change and Liberty, obviously, is going to have to be something special on the obverse of these coins. And so the question here then is, do we want to try to find some sort of continuity between the various Libertys that appear on these coins or do we want

July 16, 2024

2.2

Liberty to appear as she is, individually, on the coins? In other words, do we want there to be some sort of commonality across the Libertys on the coins or not? Mike, your hand is raised? And you're muted.

MR. MORAN: Got my hand up but didn't put the microphone on. We've had commonality in the past and in the 19th century and we broke that mold in 1960. We don't need to go back. And I think the more, the better. I'm definitely against continuity.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Wonderful, thank you. Anyone else? Chris, your hand is raised?

DR. CAPOZZOLA: Yeah. So I mean -- I guess since I spoke about this yesterday, all I'm going to say is I don't -- I'm not sure that continuity is necessarily the solution to -- to -- and I'm not sure that it's a problem -- I'm not saying it's a problem. I'm just saying we need to think about the quarter portfolio in particular overall, because there will be five of them. If we have five different obverses, that's an awful lot to

communicate and to -- to people who are just getting change in their pockets. And so, you know, that's something that I think the artists can -- can handle. But I just wanted to raise that yesterday and here today.

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Wonderful. Thank you, Chris. Any other comments on that particular question? All right. Kellen, your hand is raised?

MR. HOARD: Sorry, I -- Chris's comment just brought something up in me that I haven't considered before and I'm just curious, you know, if there's any thoughts on this. But in terms of having five different obverse and reverse designs in one year on a quarter, I'm not necessarily opposed to that, but that, you know, in terms of actually making it clear to the public that this all one denomination -- I'm not sure ever in our history had [sic] that, you know, variety with one denomination in one year, especially out of nowhere for the public, completely unfamiliar with changing the coin designs. And so I would be open to it -- again, this idea just came to me, of having either

a common obverse or a reverse in order actually indicate hey, this is the same denomination. And to have some kind of visual quick -- for the people using this in quick commerce. And just to lower confusion levels.

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: Well, thank you for that. And I'm not sure how -- if that would be possible from the five different themes, but we shall see.

Jeanne, your hand is raised? And you're muted.

MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Thank you. This is

Jeanne Stevens-Sollman and I agree with Kellen

about having maybe one -- one face that -- you

know, universal face on -- on the quarters. You

know, we choose one theme, because the reverse is

going to, you know, be complicated. It might help

to have a presentation of obverse and reverses and

then from that choose one -- one reverse or obverse

that's going to, you know, help commerce. And I

think that Kellen has a good point. Thank you.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you. Before I open it up just to general discussion, there is one other issue that I just wanted to raise. And this is

going to be the presentation of the Libertys in the October portfolios and portfolio. And one thing that I have in mind is that the Mint staff can present us the Libertys in the individual portfolios as -- as conceived. Say the dime Libertys, the quarter Libertys, and so forth. But that they also then present us all of the Libertys together within a common portfolio, so that we can then see all the Libertys and perhaps pick and choose from that larger group of Libertys which we think might be more appropriate. So what -- what are your thoughts on that presentation of Liberty and Libertys in the October meeting?

2.2

MR. SAUNDERS: Peter, I had one more comment on what Kellen said before.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Oh, yeah sure, John. Go ahead.

MR. SAUNDERS: Partly personal taste, partly the fact that it was by far one of the cases where one design really stood up and won by a big thing, I think the Obverse 1 of the Declaration Quarter, that's got Liberty boldly walking forward with the

torch, if we did do a common side on the quarter, that would be by far my choice to -- (inaudible) -- so I thought that I'd throw that one out.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Oh, thank you, John. So returning to the question I had about sort of the presentation of Libertys in the portfolios, are there any suggestions on what we might do differently or are you all comfortable with that idea?

[NO RESPONSE]

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: So I'm hearing crickets so I assume that everyone's comfortable with the idea.

So I would just -- like to just ask April if she has any further questions for the Committee before I just open up the floor for a few moments.

MS. STAFFORD: No, those are very helpful. Thank you all very much.

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Thank you, April.

So I'm happy just to open up the floor for a few moments for any other thoughts or comments on these portfolios if anybody has any. Arthur, yes?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. So this is Arthur

Bernstein and my comment relates to the Declaration Quarter. Reverse 6 was one that was tied as one of the top scorers. And I made a comment yesterday that didn't get much attention, and I recognize that physics was not my strongest subject in school. But I was speaking about the clapper inside the Liberty Bell. And I -- I actually Googled images of ringing bells and every image has the clapper going the other way in -- when the bell is swinging upward the clapper should be downward. Call me crazy, I just feel like the design may have a scientific problem with it.

2.2

MS. STAFFORD: -- (Crosstalk) -- sorry, this is -- this is April. Yes, we took that item down yesterday and -- and made note that a revision would be required. Thank you.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Wow, I'm not crazy.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Yeah. Thank you for your observation -- (crosstalk) -- just give it a little bit more time. Any other comments or questions from the Committee? Right. Thank you all. And we will now take a lunch break. It is 11:35. I am

July 16, 2024

Page 74 1 happy to return in 45 rather than a full hour if 2 people are in agreement with that. Any opposed to 45 minutes rather than a full hour, please signify 3 by saying nay at this point. 4 5 MR. MORAN: I've got a cat litter box that I 6 got to clean -- (inaudible) -- would approximate a 7 cleanup. 8 DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. So you'd like the 9 full hour then --MR. MORAN: No. No, I'm joking. 10 11 DR. VAN ALFEN: Okay. 12 MR. MORAN: I do have a cat litter box I got 13 to clean now, but 45 minutes will get it. 14 DR. VAN ALFEN: Okay. So we will then return 15 at 12:15. It's a little less than 45 minutes, but 16 I think that would give everyone enough time. 17 we'll return at 12:15 to review the best of the 18 Mint Silver Medal portfolios. So see everyone 19 then. 20 MR. SAUNDERS: For you West Coast folks, have 21 a coffee break. 22 DR. VAN ALFEN:

July 16, 2024 Meeting Page 75 1 (Break from 11:35 p.m. to 12:15 p.m.) DR. VAN ALFEN: And we are back. And I will 2 take a quick roll call to ensure that everyone is 3 present on the CCAC. Members please say "present" 4 5 when I call your name. Jeanne Stevens-Sollman? 6 Not yet with us. Kellen Hoard? 7 MR. HOARD: Present. 8 DR. VAN ALFEN: Annelisa Purdie? 9 MS. PURDIE: Present. 10 DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you. Arthur Bernstein? 11 MR. BERNSTEIN: Present. 12 DR. VAN ALFEN: John Saunders? 13 MR. SAUNDERS: Present. 14 DR. VAN ALFEN: Okay. Sam Gelberd? 15 MR. GELBERD: Present. 16 DR. VAN ALFEN: Mike Moran? 17 MR. MORAN: Present. 18 DR. VAN ALFEN: Donald Scarinci? Not yet. 19 Dr. Harcourt Fuller? 20 [NO RESPONSE] 21 Dr. Christopher Capozzola?

DR. CAPOZZOLA: Present.

22

DR. VAN ALFEN: And I'm Peter van Alfen, and I believe we do have a quorum. So we will now move to consider the obverse and reverse candidate designs for the 2026 Semiquincentennial Best of the Mint Silver Medals. And I would also like to remind the members of the Committee that for this portfolio, we will be seeking to make recommendations at the conclusion of our review today to the Secretary of the Treasury. So we will be voting a little bit later and then we'll hopefully come to a conclusion about recommendations. And I will know gladly turn it over to April Stafford to present the obverse and reverse design candidates -- or candidate designs. April, all yours.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. And some background to this program. As part of the commemoration of the Semiquincentennial, the Mint will release a one year series of five 24 karat gold coins featuring historic designs from the last 250 years of US coinage. These Best of the Mint Semiquincentennial 24k Gold Coins will be released over the course of

2026 in sizes approximating the original coins.

These coins will faithfully present the historic designs and feature their original dates of issue.

And to indicate their issuance as part of the Semiquincentennial in 2026, the coins will bear a special Semiquincentennial privy mark featuring the Liberty Bell and the numerals 250. The gold coins released as part of the Best of the Mint

Semiquincentennial 24k Gold Coin and Silver Medal program will -- we plan to include the 1804 Silver Dollar Class 1 version, the 1907 Saint-Guadens High Relief Double Eagle, the 1916 Winged Liberty Head or Mercury Dime, the 1916 Standing Liberty Quarter Dollar, and the 1916 Walking Liberty Half Dollar.

2.2

So about the companion Silver Medal candidate designs themselves, the Mint plans to, in keeping with these historic coins, gold coins, release five companion silver medals. Each silver medal will be 1.598 inches in diameter and will feature new modern designs inspired by one of the historic 24k gold coins. Candidates designs for the companion silver medals are going to be reviewed today.

1 Each artist, in developing their candidate designs for a companion silver medal, took 2 inspiration from a Best of the Mint Gold Coin. 3 They were not limited to portraying only the 4 subjects and devices presented in the originals. 5 As part of their design development artists were 6 7 encouraged to consider any of the following. 8 historic coin design itself, and noting that though 9 the medal isn't intended to replicate the coin, 10 artists could reinterpret the coin design or individual elements within the design or a 11 combination of elements that they thought were 12 13 important or they were inspired by. They may have considered the message of the historic coin, which 14 15 could include reflecting on the historical context 16 for the original or reinterpreting in -- that kind 17 of message through a modern lens. And then lastly, 18 the artist may have considered the historic coins 19 original artist or sculptor. Because this medal 20 could be an homage to the broader work of the 21 original artist themselves.

So first we will look at candidate designs for

2.2

the silver medals that respond or were inspired by the 1804 Silver Dollar. So we can open that portfolio. And presented to you here first is the 1804 Silver Dollar. And moving onto the candidate designs.

Obverse 1 and Reverse 1 draw inspiration from elements in the 1804 Silver Dollars design and its mission. The flowing ribbon in Liberty's hair is reflected in the flowing ribbon-like hair in this obverse. The clouds arced above the eagle on the historic coin appear in the braid encircling Liberty's head on this obverse and also around the globe on this reverse, symbolic of the diplomatic journey of the famed 1804 dated Silver Dollars.

The second set of candidate designs for this silver medal take their inspiration from the depiction of Liberty on the 1804 Silver Dollar and the historic coin's diplomatic voyage on the USS Peacock to secure trade deals with several East Asian countries, among them modern-day Oman, Thailand, and Vietnam. In homage to this blossoming tree of relations, these countries are

symbolized also by their modern-day national -with official and unofficial flowers. Clouds
define an outline of the countries on the reverse.

2.2

Obverse 3 and Reverse 3 are a nod to the diplomatic mission of the 1804 Silver Dollar and the growing global presence of the United States.

An allegorical depiction of Liberty as the figurehead of a ship is featured on the obverse and reimagined vision of the USS Peacock on the reverse.

Candidate designs Obverse 4 and Reverse 4 are inspired by elements in the 1804 Silver Dollar's design and its mission. The USS Peacock sails on an enlargement of Liberty's hair from the historic obverse while the eagle on the reverse raises his head toward the stars above the coin colloquial moniker, the king of coins.

And finally the fifth option, Obverse 5 and Reverse 5, pay homage to the 1804 Silver Dollar through the flowing drapery of Liberty on the obverse and the commemoration of the Mint's first chief engraver Robert Scott. And those are the

candidate designs for the first set of Silver

Medals. Mr. Chairman, would you like me to go on
to the others or would --

DR. VAN ALFEN: Yes, yes.

MS. STAFFORD: Okay.

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: Yes, let's do all of them.

MS. STAFFORD: Wonderful. All right. Moving on to the 1907 Saint-Gaudens High Relief 20 Dollar Gold Coin or Double Eagle, pictured here.

The silver medal candidates designs will start with pairing 1. These designs pay tribute to the dual suns on the obverse and reverse of the 1907 20 Dollar Gold Coin and to sculptor Augustus

Saint-Gaudens' broader body of work. Inspired by Saint-Gaudens' "Diana of the Tower", the designs are meant to invoke classicism which informs

Saint-Gaudens' works through balanced understated design and encapsulate its essence through the inscription "virtus" which translates to virtue in Latin.

The second grouping of candidate designs,

Obverse 2 and Reverse 2, pay homage to sculptor

Augustus Saint-Gaudens. On the reverse, the artist depicts the 1907 20 Dollar Gold Coin held in the hands of a collector. Quote "far better it is to dare mighty things" end quote, is a quote from Theodore Roosevelt who recognized Saint-Gaudens' talents and entrusted him with the task of elevating American coinage.

2.2

The third option for the silver medal, these designs interpret elements of the 1907 20 Dollar Gold Coin through a reimagined view of Saint-Gaudens' Liberty. Carrying a torch and the eagle's wings as an American flag in a new vision of strength and pride.

And finally, the fourth option for this silver medal, Obverse 4 and Reverse 4 harken to iconography of the 1907 20 Dollar Gold Coin. The torch is held by Liberty who heralds in a new era of US coinage. As in the historical coin, suns radiate behind Liberty on the obverse and the eagle on the reverse.

Moving to the third historic coin, the 1916

Mercury Dime and the candidate designs inspired by

them.

We'll start with Obverse 1 and Reverse 1.

These designs evoke the prominent elements of the Mercury Dime. The larger wing and the prominence of the inscription "united" are meant to represent a more realized and mature nation willing to open its ideals to all creeds and cultures.

Obverse 2 and Reverse 2 feature Liberty in a pose of quiet focus, a nod to the freedom of thought symbolized in the Mercury Dime. On the reverse, a dove continues the theme of hope and echos the wings in Weinman's obverse. The dove is rendered in a visual style common among Alaskan Native artists.

Obverse 3 and Reverse 3 recall the period of 1916 through 1945 when the Mercury Dime was in circulation, representing years of great change and upheaval, both foreign and domestic for the United States. Observing that during this period the United States would enter two World Wars, the artist depicts Liberty in both defensive and offensive positions. The obverse design extends to

the reverse with rays emanating from the tip of the sword, symbolic of hope at the end of conflict.

The Great Depression is represented by Hooverville shacks and the Dust Bowl by the swirling waves in the background.

2.2

Option 4, Obverse 4 and Reverse 4 are inspired by the mythological references in the Mercury Dime. And these designs explore other elements of mythical iconography. Liberty is clad in armor, including a winged Phrygian helmet. The reverse shows an imagined version of Washington DC, that includes the existing US Capitol Building and Washington monument, as well as the unrealized Washington National Sphinx, a proposed statue designed by J. Goldsborough Bruff depicting an American folklore version of the iconic Egyptian Sphinx. A subtle nod to the fasces in the historic coin appears in the column behind the Sphinx.

Moving onto the 1916 Standing Liberty Quarter and the candidate designs for silver medal inspired by this coin.

We start with Obverse 1 and Reverse 1. The

obverse is inspired by Hermon MacNeil's original

1916 Standing Liberty Quarter and his unused

redesign proposal, which added elements such as

laurels and sandals, and Liberty's hair blowing in

the wind. Similarly the reverse is inspired by the

work of Weinman whose proposals for the quarter

were replaced by MacNeil's designs for the sake of

artistic variety.

2.2

Obverse 2 and Reverse 2 in this portfolio are inspired by the 1916 Standing Liberty Quarter. A windswept Liberty turns to offer a distant eagle the olive branches in her hand. The reverse shows a close up of the olive branches in the eagle's talons that Liberty was holding up on the obverse.

Obverse 3 and Reverse 3 draw inspiration from the 1916 Standing Liberty Quarter featuring a modernized Liberty ascending steps prepared to protect and defend. The theme is mirrored on the reverse, showing a mother eagle with her wings spread around young.

And finally, Obverse 4 and Reverse 4 take their cue from the period of circulation of the

1916 Standing Liberty Quarter, during which the
United States entered World War I. The designs
show how Americans banded together to protect their
country and secure peace for their futures. They
feature a hand firmly grasping an olive branch and
a depiction of one of the many women who worked to
harvest the nation's food supply during World War
II.

2.2

The 1916 Liberty Walking Half Dollar -Walking Liberty Half Dollar. We have Obverse 1 and
Reverse 1 reimagining the elements from the half
dollar as a single scene across the obverse and
reverse illustrated in the Art Deco style that
succeeded Weinman's neoclassical style.

Obverse 2 and Reverse 2 draw inspiration from design elements and the feeling of determination and movement in the composition of the Walking Liberty Half Dollar by highlighting the importance of freedom and self-expression through the arts.

Obverse 3 and Reverse 3 pay homage to Adolph

A. Weinman. The obverse depicts him positioned in

front of his "Destiny Pediment" which he sculpted

for the National Archives Building. He is flanked by two eagles and other elements of the pediment.

On the reverse Weinman is shown working on the original coin in the negative plaster stage at model scale. Behind him is his masterpiece

"Descending Night" which was perched on the high platform in his New York City studio.

2.2

Obverse 4 and Reverse 4 are tributes to the Walking Liberty Half Dollar and its sculptor. If the reverse Adolph Weinman is shown drawing the design for the reverse of the original coin with the figure of Liberty behind him, guiding his inspiration.

And finally, Obverse 5 and Reverse 5 are a nod to key elements of the original coin. Liberty's extended hand and the sun referenced on the obverse, while a man and stylized eagle representing strength and resilience are featured on the reverse.

And that concludes the candidate designs for the Silver Medal Portfolio.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you very much, April.

Before we begin our consideration -- and I do want to suggest that we consider all of the five groups together simultaneously rather than break it into individual components, simply because there are possibilities of grouping themes such as reinterpretation or historic context or focus on the artist and I think it would be good for all of us to express what we feel about perhaps continuity or theme across the portfolios or not. I will ask -- before we get to those considerations, whether there are any technical or legal questions from the committee about the program or the designs for the 2026 Semiquincentennial Best of the Mint Silver Medals Portfolio. Chris, I see your hand is raised?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

DR. CAPOZZOLA: Sure. This is a question on the -- and maybe it was in the statute, but are there any -- it seems there are no required inscriptions on the medals themselves and that any that we see there are the artists -- part of the artist's design and presumably we could add them or subtract them as we see them.

	Page 89
1	DR. VAN ALFEN: April or would someone from
2	the Mint like to address that question?
3	MS. STAFFORD: That that is correct.
4	DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you. Sam, your hand is
5	raised?
б	MR. GELBERD: Thank you, Peter. This is Sam
7	Gelberd. Just curious, the finish of these medals,
8	will they be in both uncirculated and proof or just
9	one or the other?
10	MS. STAFFORD: I will (crosstalk) I will
11	get that oh, Roger, do you have it?
12	MR. VASQUEZ: Yes. They will be uncirculated.
13	MR. GELBERD: Okay. Thank you, Roger. I
14	appreciate that.
15	DR. VAN ALFEN: Arthur, your hand is raised?
16	MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. This is Arthur Bernstein
17	with a question about the reverses for the Silver
18	Dollar version. Two questions and this may tip my
19	hand a little bit about the designs that I find
20	attractive, on Reverse 2 the the flowers that
21	are at the bottom of the design, can you can
22	someone tell us which flower is which and what

1 countries they represent? That's my first 2 question. And my second question relates to Reverse 5, where there are tools featured on the 3 And I just wondered if those tools are 4 representative of -- of the types of tools that 5 Robert Scott would've used at that time. 6 7 MS. STAFFORD: I will ask Roger Vasquez, who's 8 the design manager for this program. Roger, did 9 the artist include the specifics, the names of the 10 flowers, and the tools depicted in the two designs 11 that Art just mentioned? 12 MR. VASQUEZ: Yes, to the flowers. The tools 13 are, as I understand it, meant to be engraving 14 tools. I cannot tell you if those are accurate to 15 the time or not. 16 MS. STAFFORD: And do you have the names of

MS. STAFFORD: And do you have the names of the flowers that you can share with Art
--(crosstalk) -- you could put them in the chat as well, if you'd like -- if that's okay. Okay.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Are there any other questions before --

July 16, 2024

Page 91 1 MR. SAUNDERS: Yes. 2 DR. VAN ALFEN: Oh, yeah. John, please go ahead. 3 MR. SAUNDERS: Peter, my hand thing doesn't 4 work so -- can you tell us the denominations that 5 are going to go with the gold coins or as 6 7 companions for this? 8 MS. STAFFORD: Roger, please correct me if I'm 9 wrong, but the original inscriptions from the 10 original coins will be included, and so the original denominations would be used in the 24k 11 12 gold coins. MR. SAUNDERS: -- (crosstalk) -- dollar. 13 14 MS. STAFFORD: Yes. 15 DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Any other 16 questions before we begin? All right. Well, 17 hearing or seeing that there are none, let's begin 18 our consideration. Now, since this is a rather 19 large portfolio and rather complex, and since I 20 think it is best that we consider all five groups 21 simultaneously, I will allow each member some 2.2 additional time for consideration, but again, we'll

ask that please keep your comments as brief as possible. And is always, please do identify yourself for the record prior to speaking. Now, I'd like to begin with Mike Moran, considering his special relationship with Gus, that is Augustus Saint-Gaudens and the fact that he has a very large book on that very person coming out sometime soon let's hope. Mike, would you like to begin?

2.2

MR. MORAN: I just sent an email to my publisher asking when that was going to be. The fact is we intend to do it. Let me start out with a few general statements here before I fall off the cliff on this. I'm kind of sorry I'm going first really.

When I first got involved with this, and we were working through the best of the best, some of us were on that committee picking the ones that --we started with 25 by the way. I thought, well, when we do this silver, what we'll get because the Mint can't do silver legal tender coins unless they're authorized by legislation like we did with the silver dollars in 2021. And what we'll see is

1	the exact same coin without all the clanking models
2	and the date and everything else. And we'll just
3	see it in a pure artistic form. Well, no. We
4	didn't. And somewhere along the line, I think I
5	was absent when we we got to the second version
6	of this which would be modernization of these best
7	of the best. And if I'd been there, I think I
8	would've agreed with it because it it just kind
9	of made natural sense. What we have this kind of a
10	conglomeration really now as you look at all these.
11	We got some storyboards in here, we got some I
12	think there's a couple of them that are really
13	pretty good, and we got some decent
14	interpretations. But then we got some that just go
15	right off the cliff. And I think particularly of
16	the the delivery of these Silver Dollar to the
17	(inaudible) for great purposes. Your average
18	collector doesn't give a damn about that. They're
19	interested only in the 1804 Silver Dollar. They're
20	never going to be able to do it, and their liable
21	enough to be able to do the 1804 in gold, because
22	it's going to be pushing more than an ounce, and

gold is \$2400 an ounce now. So you're looking about the time the Mint marks it up, it's going to be \$26 -- \$2800. So that gives me a problem.

Anyway, you don't want to hear the rest of that.

Let's go through them.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

I happened to like -- on the Silver Dollar I think the first obverse -- the first set of obverse and reverse is okay. As I said, the historical rendition of the delivery is not okay with me. other thing I'm going to say -- I'm going to say some of these are not to my liking at all. the same group of people that gave us, I think really an outstanding group of images for our Semiguincentennial consideration over the last two And I think what has happened here is -days. these are good artists. I think that problem is, is we've asked them to do -- to improve upon the best of the best, another artist's work and that's a lot easier said than done. So when I'm critical about some of these designs, I don't mean it personally. I don't mean it in regards to their professional abilities. I just think they're given

a very difficult and nigh impossible assignment.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

So you go down to number 5 on this. Horrible.

Let's move on to the Double Eagle. I will -
I'll get this done in five minutes, Peter. I think

you're wrong to bring Diane into this. I think

this is a step too far in terms of a modern

interpretation of the High Relief Double Eagle and

that's what we're looking at here. It's the High

Relief -- not the Ultra High Relief and not the

coin relief.

The next one is -- this is just flat It's a storyboard which means it's down a point on me to begin with. But first of all you need to understand that Saint-Gaudens never modeled his own important medals. I'm not sure he ever modeled a medal. He always assigned that. would design it, it would be a sketch and he would give it to one of his assistants to actually model -- or bring somebody else on board to do the modeling, and then he would critique it and refine So you have him here modeling? it. No. Whoever did this one, got it from a painting done by Kenyon

Cox. Saint-Gaudens working on a bronze relief which he would do. The other thing that is factually incorrect because February and March of 1907, Saint-Gaudens was dying of cancer. He was paralyzed in one leg. They had to carry him into the studio. He could concentrate due to the pain for maybe 30 minutes at a time. No way he's stepping up and modeling the -- the high relief medal eagle. This one just needs to go away. It's wrong. Factually, historically, incorrect.

2.2

Okay. Next one. Number 3, this is probably one in the design portfolio that I would've envisioned a modernization of -- to really be like. You do a close up of Liberty, it's factually correct, but at the same time it's modernized. And I really like the treatment of the American flag on the eagle's wings. To me that's an update, a modernization of the design. That's one that I would wholeheartedly support. Let's not belay anymore on the Saint-Gaudens images.

I will say that one's nice, but I'm troubled by the standing eagle version as opposed to a

July 16, 2024

flying eagle. I'll give it some points. It deserves that because it's good.

Let's go onto the Mercury Dime. Eh, next.

Stylized, I'm not sure that -- that image of

-- if it's Liberty symbolizes the freedom of

thought to me. I know the birds are there. But

it's far from a winged Pegasus. I do like the dove

on the reverse. So maybe it is modernized. Next.

I kind of like this. I do -- I don't -- the shacks look like shacks, there's nothing artistic about them, but I guess there's not supposed to be. And I like the continuity of the design from the obverse to the reverse and the fact that it deals with the time period from '16 to '45 -- while that's not modernizing the original design, it's a stretch from the assignment, but I'll give it some points.

Moving on -- let's go on to the -- oh, number

4. The sphinx there, Goldsborough Bruff was acting
as a treasurer employee in the 1850s. It's out of
time, out of place and shouldn't be there and I
won't be giving that any points as a result. It's

2.2

just historically incorrect.

2.2

Quarter. Eh, it's okay. I get it -- that

the -- MacNeil actually kind of forced the quarter

reverse, his ideas were -- he just -- he fell apart

creatively on the reverse of the Standing Liberty

Quarter and Weinman had better ideas. So I -- I'm

not sure that's true to the intent of the best of

the best.

Okay. Number 2. The eagle's not -- even on a coin that -- it's going to be about the size of what we see on the screen there, an inch and a half. That's going to get lost in the image and there's something about looking at a rear end of an eagle that doesn't do anything for me.

- 3, I don't like at all.
- 4, I -- there's no modernization to that.

 That's just like somebody else did it from that same point and period of time. And it just makes no sense to me.

Moving to the half dollar. Again, somewhere in here -- that one's -- the first one is not bad. I can live with that.

I don't like the second one.

2.2

The third one, storyboard. I don't like it.

Fourth, what a mess.

Fifth, I don't see the relationship to the original coin design. It just looks like they decided to design something entirely -- just start from scratch.

So there I am. I think I may be within my five minutes, but I've got one last thing to say on this. The way these are looking now with a couple exceptions, they're nothing more than curiosities. If I'm a collector, looking at the best of the best, I don't think I'm buying this. I don't think from a marketing standpoint this is going to be a success at all. I think, as I said, it's going to be a curiosity. I think the Mint would be better off just eliminating the required inscriptions and going with the artistic devises on a silver medal and leaving it at that. So I've said my piece.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Indeed you have, Mike. Thank you very much -- (crosstalk) -- I'm sorry?

MR. MORAN: I don't like the concept.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Clearly. Chris Capozzola, if you would, please?

2.2

DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right. So thanks. I'm going to -- I will also try to be brief, although there's a lot to get through here. And I'm -- I'm speaking here without the, you know, the kind of deep expertise on the history of these particular coins and their -- and their stories that Mike gave us and that many other people in this call have.

So I'm just going to go through with my -- with my reactions to this.

On the -- so starting with the silver dollar,

I do think 1 and -- 10 and 1R underneath -- you

know, the number 1 pairing, certainly works. It's

clear and straightforward.

I think of the others the -- only number 2 -- of the ones that try to address the diplomacy theme, I felt like number 2 was the more successful pairing.

I thought that number 3 seems -- doesn't communicate enough. It's just -- just a ship.

And number 4, just, you know, seems a little

1 bit wonky.

2.2

And number 5, it's not clear to me why Liberty is wrapped up in quite that much drapery. So those are my thoughts on the -- on the first.

On the gold coin, on the Saint-Gaudens, I will say I actually visually, aesthetically, et cetera, I really like 1. And I take Mike's point that that moving from Liberty to Diana might be a bridge too far and maybe that could be revisited. But certainly, you know, aesthetically I just really, you know, like this as an update. So I do certainly appreciate that.

On number 3, I was less persuaded by the American flag on the Eagle. I certainly like Liberty as pictured here quite a lot. And in fact, could be convinced to see her on a -- a Semiquincentennial Quarter in that format but -- but, yeah. I'm just not -- I wasn't quite sure about that eagle with the flag on it in that regard.

Moving onto the Liberty Head Dime, I will say I thought number 1 is -- is kind of an interesting

pairing. I understand what the artist is doing and it has a nice crispness to it, but I'm worried -- especially, you know, on the reverse that it's actually almost too imitative, the fact she's there. And maybe that could be revisited, but -- but that was a bridge too far for me.

2.2

I think -- I also like number 3. It's the one that I like a lot. I -- I don't -- you know, maybe the -- the Committee would advise a few changes along the way, but I did like the continuity from -- from obverse to reverse. I thought it was, you know, sort of addressing the period. It is, you know, just distinct in that regard from -- from the assignment, but it would certainly draw attention and be appreciated by -- by the public.

On the Standing Liberty Quarter, here -- here

I -- I struggled. I think of them I thought number

2 is probably the strongest. You know, I think

there -- I actually kind of like the eagle in

flight. Maybe you could get a little more eagle to

address Mike's concerns, but I actually kind of

liked the motion of it.

And I -- and number 1, just to back up, I did like the eagle on the reverse. I thought the front configuration there is just not -- not going to -- not going to work for -- for a coin. So I'll say that.

2.2

And then on number 4, just an observation, it has stars -- has 13 stars on both the front and the back. And that's just an awful lot of stars for -- in my view.

On the Walking Half Dollar, here I actually -I liked number -- number 1. It is -- again, it's a
real departure in many ways, aesthetically and so
forth, but I think it captures some of the spirit
of -- of what's, you know, what was in the original
Walking Half Dollar. And so that would be the one
that I appreciate more than most.

On number 2, I just felt like -- on 2 in the obverse I thought it just seemed like she's carrying a few too many things. And then this stride is a bit too -- too far. Almost unrealistically wide stride there for someone who's walking. And so -- I could go on, but I'll just

leave it at that. Thank you very much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right, Chris. Thank you very much. Art Bernstein, if you would please -- oh, April. I see your hand is raised?

That's okay. MS. STAFFORD: It is. wanted to say, obviously, the committee members responses to these designs will be what they are. But I did want to offer that the assignment that was given to the artists was to create modern designs that are inspired by the original coins, not -- not necessarily to update or modernize the original designs themselves. It might be that an artist took that approach and that is what committee members like or they feel is appropriate for this program, but it might be just something like the artist themselves or the time period it was released or an element that -- of the original design that they then riff on. So thank you for letting me add that.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Sure thing, April. And thank you for the comments. Art Bernstein, if you'd like to continue.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. This is Arthur

Bernstein. With regard to the Silver Dollar

designs, unlike my friend Mike I think the story of

the diplomatic voyage is compelling. I think a lot

of people know about it and therefore Obverse 2 and

Reverse 2 to me have merit. I like connection to

the diplomatic voyage and I like including the

countries that were a part of that visitation. I

think that acknowledging them through the use of

their flowers and plants, I think it's worthwhile.

2.2

With regard to Reverse 4 in the Silver Dollar collection, I made a comment yesterday about "big box stores", today I'm going to make comment about "the king of coins" which to me recalls the Budweiser commercial for the King of Beers. And I -- I just was turned off by that -- that phrase.

Moving to the -- oh, still on the Silver

Dollar, Obverse 5 and Reverse 5, I thought there

was value in recognizing Robert Scott. And I found

the reverse to be very simple and very elegant.

And the -- on the obverse, there is a lot of

drapery, Chris, but I thought it was -- I thought

1 it was attractive.

2.2

On the Saint-Gaudens Double Eagle, Mike I think said it all. If I had to pick one I would go with Obverse 3 and Reverse 3. I find the image of Liberty jumping off the coin very dramatic. The reverse is very traditional and to me very grand.

On the Mercury Dime, I support everything that was said about Obverse 3 and Reverse 3.

I also like Reverse 4. It was a fantastical image that I just thought was intriguing.

For the Liberty Quarter, I liked design 1 -designs 1 and designs 2. Both of them seemed to me
brilliant modernizations which were part of what
was called for. And I agreed with Chris, the eagle
flying off of the reverse of the coin, I don't
think we've ever seen anything like that before.
And I thought it was intriguing.

With regard to the Walking Half Dollar, the comment was already made about Obverse 2 with her carrying too much stuff. I agree with that comment. Obverse 3 and Obverse -- Reverse 3, again, I like the concept of acknowledging the

artist and this coin certainly does that. With regard to the reverse, when we were looking at the Declaration Quarter, one of the questions posed to us by the Mint was, did the image of Liberty with an off-shoulder dress show too much skin? And I -- I didn't feel it did. The reverse on this coin certainly shows a lot of skin. I'm fine with it, but I just thought we should note that there's a lot of skin in that reverse. And that concludes my comments.

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: Yes, skin in the game there.

Thank you. Annelisa Purdie, if you would, please.

MS. PURDIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is
Annelisa Purdie. I'm also going to keep my
comments as brief as I possibly can and just focus
on the ones that stood out as well.

I'm going to start with the 1804 Silver

Dollar. Truth be told, none of these designs stood out to me. If I had to go with one that I was -- disliked the least, it would be design number 1. I think the details of the ship are beautifully done.

I'm not sure that it works well on a coin in terms

of representing the diplomatic voyage. I also like the reverse for number 5 and the focus on the engraver. I'm not sure that, again, it would work in the context of a coin. Perhaps not using the wings so much, which also seemed to be a combination of eagle wings and the American flag. I'm not sure if that was the intention behind it. But I do like also calling attention to the originators of the designs for the coins.

2.2

For the Saint-Gaudens Medals, I really did enjoy design number 4 for both the obverse and the reverse. The only caveat with this one is from the perspective of the eagle, that branch looks a little strange with the foreshortening. It's as if you're not quite sure whether the eagle is going to take off, whether he's sitting or thinking. It looks like a young eagle too which is why the design may be a little bit different, but if that were tweaked, otherwise this looks fine. I like the representation of Liberty, I like the way that she's positioned on the coin. Really enjoy her hairstyle, and I think that the lettering as well

is a good reference, good throwback for the time period.

2.2

I also do like number 1, both the obverse and the reverse. My only concern with this one is it looks as if she's shooting the eagle. And even though perspective-wise this would be flipped -- it may not come across that way, if it could be repositioned or so, because of the way the eagle encircles the center of the coin it does come off a bit strange.

For Obverse number 2, I really like the concept of portraying on a coin the fact that these go into the hands of collectors and that there's a process in going from having an idea about what these coins look like, their creation, to eventually going into one's hands themselves. I'm not sure if this could be worked into a future design. I mean, as it is now I think it's very sweet and serves a purpose, but I would like to come back to this idea later. I think it does have merit.

For the Mercury Dime, my favorite design far

and away was also number 3. I like the continuity. I think that we're getting a lot of designs in these portfolios too that show the continuity between the obverse and the reverses. I like the representation of the time changes. Dust Bowl didn't come out immediately to me as I was reading through the notes. It looks like the ocean in the background behind the windmill and the skyscrapers. I know it's supposed to represent the Dust Bowl, but perhaps that could be tweaked. Also again, with the continuity, if there could be some adjustment of the sword, I'm thinking of looking at the reverse by itself if -- without having any reference to the front of the coin, it may come across as a little strange with light. sure how those rays would be rendered. They would have to be very -- indented very strongly for someone to see the light rays. But I like this concept, very great concept.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

I also love the reverse for number 2 with the dove. I like the idea of updating the dove in a different manner reflecting our different

experiences. And I think that concept of peace with it comes across well too.

2.2

Going to my notes for the Standing Liberty

Quarter Dollar, I appreciate with all of these the

detail that went into them. For 1, the obverse and

the reverse, the leg doesn't -- doesn't bother me

that much. You know, I think it's a very dynamic

pose. She's walking forward. The detail on the

feathers of the eagle for the reverse are

beautiful, but because they take up so much of the

coin's space, I'm wondering again, in the final

rendering what that would look like.

And Obverse and Reverse design number 4, I'm not sure that this fits with the overall theme of the coin even though I get the concept. But I personally really enjoyed looking at coinage that show domestic or everyday activities involving the populace and I think that this would in some way serve as a reminder of those who are on the ground and doing these things that may seem inane but are necessary to our maintenance.

Okay. For the half dollar, the Walking

Liberty Half Dollar, also did not understand the concept completely behind design number 5, the obverse and the reverse, although I think the stonesque design of the reverse is done very detailed, it's lovely.

2.2

My favorite from this portfolio was number 1.

The obverse and the reverse with the continuity, I

think it's done very well. I think it has the

perfect amount of detail that's not too much,

that's not scant. And I also like the way that the

sun is rendered on both sides. I think continuity

works well with that one. Okay. And those are my

thoughts. Thank you very much. I'm not sure if I

went overtime, but I tried my best.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Annelisa, thank you very much.

Perfect time. So Kellen Hoard, if you would,

please.

MR. HOARD: Thank you. I'm glad I'm going at this point because I'm undecided and remain undecided by many of them. But if we could start with the 1804 Dollar. Like Annelisa, I wasn't super taken by many of these designs here. But my

overwhelming kind of lens through which I looked at all of these pieces was that, we were talking yesterday about inside baseball and the reverse of that one coin -- (inaudible) -- these are -- these are more inside baseball. Like the only people buying these are going to be people who already love the coins. If you collect coins, if you love the Silver Dollar or if you love the Mercury Dime. And so I think that we have an opportunity to get A, a little artistic freedom and B, a little bit more niche with what kind of themes or images we're representing.

2.2

The 1804 Dollar, if you could go to 3, please. I thought this was interesting because again it does tie to that diplomatic background which was only discovered in like 1962 or at least like figured out by -- (inaudible) -- in that year. And so I think, you know, it's kind of an iconic story deeply tied with these coins. This one, I really like the reverse, the ship. I thought it was really kind of a -- (inaudible) -- design -- (inaudible) -- with the obverse I'm more

ambivalent about -- but I think it'll be fine with the reverse.

2.2

Could you go to number 5 as well, please? And for 5, I did not like the reverse -- I actually really did like the obverse. I think she's really -- (inaudible) -- I think it's kind of a creative reinterpretation, modernization, of this piece in that style. I think it would resonate with people and with collectors. So I really kind of engaged well with that even though I was a little hesitant about 13 stars given how many -- there were not 13 states in 1834. But I really love 5 as an obverse and 3 as a reverse potentially -- (inaudible) -- kind of bring these two together, both the classical sculpture style and the diplomatic background.

If you could go to the Double Eagle? You know, I'm really disappointed that Mike gave us all this fact checks, because I was actually really drawn to design number 2. As a collector I was drawn to that, and I think other collectors would be. I think people -- part of the story, which

goes with Doubles Eagles is him. He is so inextricably intertwined with them in ways that I think sculptors of these other coins are not. And so for collectors to potentially have him and have his story represented on these coins, I think the -- (inaudible) -- is a way for a collector to be represented on that reverse or whoever the hands are supposed to represent. So I found this one to be really quite compelling, which is too bad that it's not realistic. And that's about all I have to say about that. As a collector, I would want one of these.

2.2

For the Mercury Dime -- I'm sorry I have one more thing to say about the -- the Double Eagle which is the one with the flag wing that was popular among some. I'm fine with the obverse, I'm begging us not to choose the one with the flag as the wing of reverse. To me it is deeply Home Shopping Network esque. and not even -- it's a well sculpted design, but the flag on the wing specifically comes across to me kind of kitschy. And I -- and I would ask us to avoid that. I would

even be fine if we just did like a regular wing.

Please not the flag. And that's all I have to say about that.

2.2

For the Mercury Dime, I'm with everybody else.

I really love 3. I found the -- the continuity

between the obverse and reverse wonderful, and

there's nothing I have to say about them that

already hasn't been said.

For the Standing Liberty Quarter, again, a little ambivalent on some of these. In fact could you go down to number 2, please? This is the one that I was drawn to the most. I didn't really have issue with the eagle and I thought it was kind of an exciting revamp and one that the Standing Liberty collectors would like quite a bit and would be inclined to add to their collection and for the set. I think it fits nicely with a set of Standing Liberty Quarters which is what we should aim for if we're aiming at collectors of those.

And then finally the Walking Liberty Half

Dollar, again ambivalent, but I would go for number

1 there above all else. Again, for similar reasons

I think this is just -- this is what I had in mind when we thought about, you know, kind of modern reinterpretation of it and kind of a modern play, building off of these past designs. And so that will get my points. And I think that's it for me. Thanks very much, Peter.

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Thank you, Kellen. Sam Geldberd, if you would please?

MR. GELBERD: Thank you, Peter. This is Sam
Geldberd. I will do my very best to stay within
five minutes, but as a collector of these -- many
of these coins, albeit I have no 1804 Silver
Dollars and no Saint-Gaudens 20 Dollar Gold pieces
in my collection, they -- all five of these designs
mean an awful lot to me -- since my childhood. And
I know I've looked up to sports figures and other
figures in music and entertainment as my heroes,
but artists like Scott, Saint-Gaudens, MacNeil, and
Wienman, these are some of my childhood heros.

So with that being said, I am more of a fan of the -- the designs inspired by the classic designs rather than designs that showcase the artist

July 16, 2024

themselves directly. Sure, as Kellen just said,
Saint-Gaudens is inexorably tied to the 20 dollar
piece, in fact that's how we usually refer to
the -- that Double Eagle, the Augustus
Saint-Gaudens 20 Dollar Gold Piece. You don't see
that with the other designs. But with that being
said, I think there's another program that down the
line that maybe we could, you know, pay that
tribute as an homage to those artists.

2.2

But with that being said, let's get right into it with the 1804 Dollar inspired designs. I do think that Obverse and Reverse 1 would be the best pick in this selection, I think it's the most loving rendition inspired by the original 1804 Silver Dollars, the Class 1's.

Design 2, obverse and reverse, don't really do it for me.

Design 3, I like both, the obverse and reverse. This would be my second choice.

And design 4, both obverse and reverse,

yeah -- like Art said, I'm -- I'm getting Budweiser

vibes from "the king of coins" on the reverse.

It's just -- I don't think it needs to be stated.

It's known as the king of coins, I just don't see collectors clamoring to buy something that says

"the king of coins" on it. It's known as that colloquially in numismatic circles, it's -- don't like it. I understand the ship sailing on Ms.

Liberty's hair, it just misses the mark in -- in my opinion.

2.2

Design 5, I think we can do better. Again,

I'm not a fan of the designs that really focus more
on the artists themselves.

With the Saint-Gaudens 20 Dollar Gold Piece,
the image of Diana and the eagle, very dynamic on
Obverse 1 and Reverse 1. Not my favorite though,
it's okay. I could live with it. I think it could
translate well as a silver dollar.

Obverse 2 and Reverse 2, again, because of the anachronism as Mike pointed out earlier. Yeah, I just don't think -- wouldn't go over as well with collectors truth be told.

The design 3, this is my second favorite one.

I really do like it. I do have to side with Kellen

a little bit as far as the eagle on the reverse. In think it would translate better if it were just eagle's wings instead of the actual American flag rendition. But very powerful, very dynamic interpretation of the original design.

2.2

But my overall favorite is design 4, for obverse and reverse. I love the Art Nouveau design. It's a contemporary rendition -- rendition that would just look absolutely gorgeous as a piece of medallic artwork. I love the layout, the lettering, the overall design elements on both sides. Absolutely I think that's the -- the winner for sure.

Now moving onto the Winged Liberty aka Mercury Dime Design. I know a lot of people were taken by 3, obverse and reverse 3. Great medallic choice, I do like that continuity, I just can't get past feeling that Ms. Liberty's being portrayed as a mermaid in a lot of ways. I get a -- you know, seafaring vibes in that regard.

I do like Obverse 1, but paired with Reverse

2. I just think those two together would really go

well. Obverse 2, I understand what the artist may have been going for, a contemplative Liberty, but she just seems more preoccupied. I love that

Native Alaskan dove on the reverse. I just think that along with Obverse 1 for this particular coin would go over very, very well.

2.2

Obverse and Reverse 4, I think that would be great to help people latch onto the superhero coins that will be coming out before too long. But just not my overall favorite.

With the Standing Liberty Quarter designs, this was my favorite portfolio to see. This is one of my all-time favorite US coins. Obverse 1 and Reverse 1, very powerful, very good. I like the obverse more than the reverse.

But I just can't accept anything else than

Obverse and Reverse 2. I think the second design

here, when I think of the Standing Liberty Quarter,

this just does it for me. I think this is an

incredible rendition. The layout, everything -- I

would almost like to see this as our new quarter

design starting in 2031 if -- if, you know, we were

able to. I just can't say enough how much I just love this. The teardrop shield, the eagle in the -- the background. And then on the reverse I think it's a perfect rendition of the eagle. I have no problem with it at all.

And because of that, I couldn't really get into designs 3 and 4 as much. I mean, they're great, I prefer Obverse 3 to the reverse. With 4, I side with a lot of my colleagues, what they stated about it already so I won't go into that much more.

With the Liberty Walking Half Dollar, or as most collectors will refer to it, the Walking Liberty Half, Obverse 1 and Reverse 1 almost had -- at first when I saw it, it looked very dramatic and then almost anime inspired. I think this would probably translate very well. Really interesting design.

With Obverse 2 and Reverse 2, I'm not wild about the obverse. Again, a little bit too busy, just too many things going on, even for a large silver medal. I do love the reverse and I think

2.2

that's my favorite of the reverses. And I'd almost like to see that paired with the obverse for number 4. I know it's a little bit busy, a lot going on. But I just think that Obverse 4 with Reverse 2, would be an absolute winner in my opinion.

2.2

And -- yeah, Obverse 5 and Reverse 5, interesting but the thing I like about it most is the font on both sides. And that's what I have to offer, Peter. Thank you.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you very much, Sam. Dr. Harcourt Fuller, if you're with us?

DR. FULLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I want to thank Mike for his expertise on this -- with this portfolio. A lot of what I'm -- I'm going to say is, you know, inspired by his expertise with this portfolio. And I also have to come up with some better or similar analogies -- (inaudible) -- Budweiser, mermaid. Let's see how well I'll do with that.

1804 Silver Dollar, I really like 1, the first one. I think like a lot of my colleagues it has a lot of great potential.

2, I believe that's too crowded, so I'm not going to give that a lot of points.

2.2

3, I really like this coin. I actually do like the fact that the sails, you know, the sails are the American flag. And I think that in terms of, you know, diplomacy I think it works well. So I like the obverse as well as the reverse. I think this is a great coin.

4, the -- yes. I -- I wasn't -- I didn't like the phrase "the king of coins". Again, my colleagues have used a Budweiser analogy, I was thinking more historically, you know, the British monarchy, et cetera. So that's -- that's the analogy that I was using. Having the word "king" on the -- on the coin.

And then 5, I actually really love this. I like the obverse, I don't -- I don't think it's too sort of busy. I just think it's a -- it's a beautifully designed coin. I -- I prefer the obverse than the reverse on that one.

Onto the next one, the Double Eagle. Let's see, I agree with Annelisa, that's the first thing.

I -- I thought that the eagle was being shot or shot at. I know that, you know, it won't appear like that because it's two sides of -- of the coin, but I think if they could somehow flip it, I'd be fine with that. But I actually do like the design. I like the way how the eagle's wings sort of encircle the coin and I like the lines as well. I think that it has great symmetry and I -- I think it's -- it's a great -- it's a great coin.

2.2

On 2, I did like this coin, showing the designer and the finished product, but, you know, given what Mike said, I'll take that into consideration as well.

On 3, I actually love the reverse. I actually do like the eagle's wings in the form of the American flag. The eagle is synonymous with the United States and I just think it's a perfect fit. It's a perfect match to -- to symbolize the -- you know, what -- the history of this country and what -- and what the eagle means to this -- to this country. So I really like that. So -- and I -- I do like the -- the obverse as well, but the reverse

I think it's a great -- great design.

4, please. If my memory serves me right, I believe Mike wasn't very fond of this. I do like it, however I think the font for me is a little bit too out there. I would like to see a more streamline font, even though I know some of my colleagues like it. But I like the -- the images, not the font as much.

Moving on to the Mercury Dime. I wasn't really sure about 1. It -- so -- I think we can -- we can -- we can go to 2. I think it's -- I wasn't sure what to say about it.

I'm not -- I think Annelisa -- I don't know -I can't recall if this is the -- one of the coins
you were referring to, but I'm wondering if it has
too much skin on the obverse. That -- that's just
my only comment. I'm not deciding, you know, yes
or no. It's -- it's merely a question as to the
appropriateness of -- of that much skin on -- on
the coin.

Next one, please. This is probably -- (crosstalk) -- yes?

1	DR. VAN ALFEN: I wonder if I could just
2	interrupt, I think Annelisa was about to just make
3	a correction there.
4	DR. FULLER: Please.
5	MS. PURDIE: Okay. This is Annelisa Purdie.
6	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Harcourt.
7	Yes, that is I like the reverse. I like the
8	dove for that one. I wasn't crazy about the
9	obverse for number 2 because of the droopy nature.
10	I know it's supposed to be contemplative, but it's
11	not coming off that way. Thank you.
12	DR. VAN ALFEN: Yep. Okay, Harcourt. Go
13	ahead.
14	DR. FULLER: Thank you, Annelisa. I
15	appreciate that. Next one, please. I think this
16	is one of the strongest coins that the
17	continuity between the obverse and the reverse, I
18	really like.
19	Next one, please. My my comments about
20	this I think I think this is one of those coins
21	that Mike wasn't that fond of. I think it's a bit
22	gaudy if I may say so as well.

Let's go to the Liberty Quarter, please. On 1 again, I have the same question about, you know, the -- the amount of skin that's showing. I guess, you know -- of course it's a modern rendition, so I suppose it's okay. But I -- I wanted to put that question out there.

2.2

And number 2, I actually did like this coin.

I don't have a problem with seeing the behind of the eagle, trying to find the right word. But I think the eagle you could -- you can see the strength in its legs, you know, as -- as it's flying. So I -- I think that it's a great design. It's very -- you know, it has a lot of detail, a lot of texture. So -- so I'll give that one some points.

3, please? What I wrote down, it looks very sketchy as in a pencil sketch. I -- I couldn't really wrap my -- my head around it. I think that it needs a bit more grit or a bit more texture, if you will. And that's my comments for that.

Next, please? I wasn't too fond of this one.

I think it's too simple. Again, it looks like it's

a -- you know, very pencil sketchy. And I'm not sure that the message that's -- that the artist is trying to convey is what's necessarily coming through.

And now let's go to the -- the next one, the half dollar, please. On -- on 1, I do think it looks sort of anime, I do -- but it's a very strong design and I think it's one of the more beautiful -- beautiful ones. It -- it looks three-dimensional, it has a lot of texture to it, a lot of character, and a lot of movement. And that's why I actually like that one.

Next one, please. Mike wasn't too fond of that one, therefore I'm not too fond of this one.

Next one, please. I did like this one, but again, I have some questions. I can't recall if Sam or someone else brought this up, there's a lot of skin in the reverse. And so I have a question mark around that one.

Next one, please, 4. I think 4 is just simply too busy. There's too much going on.

5, please. I would say the same thing about

2.2

this. It's a bit sort of pencil sketchy, kind of very -- very busy as well, so I'll skip that one. Those are my comments. Thank you.

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Dr. Fuller, thank you very much. John Saunders, if you would like to offer your comments, please?

MR. SAUNDERS: Sure. Going to the -- well, first of all general comments. I agree with Mike. I'd be surprised if this -- if this program's a big seller. And I'll try to mince my words like Mike did when he made his comments, but -- you know it's interesting. Certainly can't hurt anything, not against it, but not -- I don't see it being a big success.

But for designs, I kind of like the 1 on the obverse and I also like 3 on the obverse. I'm not sure I like her hair out behind it, but it's -- it's kind of -- Liberty there versus Liberty in the 04 on the floor part of the ship, I thought it was a good combination. I think it's pretty -- back of that is a pretty great design. And I thought the back of 1 was okay, but kind of mediocre. So those

are kind of my -- I like the front of 1 and the back of -- of 3. Maybe put some -- some sort of symbol of the countries on the back if it's a diplomatic thing, but I always heard it was the King of Siam and -- (inaudible) -- the ruler of Oman was for the two things. So -- so this coin -- (inaudible) -- when I lived in London, the Sphinx family owned one of the sets so it was always the dream to be able to buy it -- (inaudible) sold to somebody else quickly.

2.2

In terms of the Saint-Gaudens Gold Piece,

Annelisa and I have been on the same wavelength two
or three times today. I looked at this thing and
thought it was -- Diana was shooting the eagle. I
think it was probably put -- pushed to me a little
bit because on the next page the sword goes
through, it connects the front and the back. But
if you don't see them pictured beside each other,
you just see them on a coin, I don't think it's a
problem. If you want to you can rotate Diana
aiming up a little bit more, but I don't think it's
necessary because it's -- again, we're looking at

the two of them side by side. So I like the obverse, I like the reverse of this.

Mike killed the next one.

2.2

Number 3, I like the obverse of Liberty there.

That's -- that's a good -- (inaudible) -- I like

the concept of the eagle. I don't know why it has

two kind of a dippity doo [sic]. It's got the flag

coming out but then another flag coming up

underneath it. It seems like to me if you're

looking at an eagle's wing, it should be just one

flag. It -- it wouldn't have the dippity doo [sic]

on there. So I don't like the way it is, but I

could like it if it didn't have the dippity -- the

double dip sort of thing.

Moving to the Mercury Dime, I kind of like design 1. And -- and -- obverse, reverse I presume it's an Asian lady on the obverse, is that -- am I interpreting that right? I don't know that that's necessary to do that. Maybe you would just use a more standardized Liberty.

Design 2, I really like the reverse, but not for this program. I don't see it coming here --

I'd love to see it come back on maybe Native

American -- or some other program.

2.2

and head of -- of Liberty is here. My question is what she's -- what's she doing with the hand that's held up here? Maybe gripping something to hang on? My one main thought is just it's too bad this is a medal and not a coin. We might have Donald here getting a coin of the year award if it was a coin. But I like the continuation, I think it's very innovative.

I think the obverse of 4 is okay. I don't like the reverse. It's not one of my favorites.

Moving onto Standing Liberty Quarters. My first comment is it will be lovely to get -- if O'Neil had a studio picture similar to the half dollar of 3R. You know, they made comments about the skin on this thing, well, you know, everyone's aware of 1916, the -- she was topless and they -- they came back in -- (inaudible) -- 1917, covered her with a male frock but it was -- it would be cool to have something like -- again, the half

dollar. A different author, and I don't know whether O'Neil did anything like that, but it's reminiscent of that. I find it also interesting that if you look on Dutch coins, which I collect, it may have Pallas Athena as Hollandia on a lot of coins. Depending on the time, she's topless, she's not topless, she's not topless, she's not topless. So it's interesting how tastes go.

2.2

But in terms of designs for the Standing

Liberty Quarter, I like 1. I think her leg is a

little bit thick there. I mean, it looks almost as

big as her waist. Just a thought. The reverse of

1, it's okay.

I liked the obverse of 2, that's my favorite.

I'm not as excited about the reverse, but it's okay.

3, the gal is just too stern and too mad. You know, it's -- let's go out and destroy someone.

The reverse isn't bad.

And the -- for 4, I don't think it fits the theme.

Going on to the half dollar. Obverse 1 kills

it. I think that was an artistic interpretation of what was there before. I like it an awful lot.

Reverse of 1, eh. It's okay.

2.2

I don't like Obverse 2, and reverse is okay.

I -- on 4 [sic], I wouldn't vote for it. But I mean, again, it's too bad we can't get something with O'Neil to go with the quarter like 3R.

4, the obverse I thought was okay. It's awfully busy, but it's okay. The reverse of 4, really grabbed me. This reverse where you have Liberty inspiring the artist I think that's a cool concept, I think it's nicely done here, it's just -- I thought this was a wonderful design. And when I first looked at this, I thought we had to take a pair, but if we just switch around, I'd sure love to see Obverse 1 with Reverse 4. And I didn't think much at the time at all -- sorry. Anyway, those are my thoughts.

DR. VAN ALFEN: John, thank you very much.

Jeanne Stevens-Sollman, if you would, please?

MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman
-- (inaudible) -- what can I say? This was an

	19:30 190
1	amazing amazing set of portfolios. I think the
2	(inaudible) a huge
3	MS. WARREN: Hey, this is Jennifer. I would
4	turn off your camera because I think you're cutting
5	out, at least on my end.
6	MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Okay. Okay. Can you
7	here me now?
8	DR. VAN ALFEN: Yes.
9	MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Can you right. Okay.
L O	Good. I was saying, I think this was a very huge
11	task for the artists, you know, this is a being
12	charged with remaking contemporary medals inspired
13	by (inaudible) coinage, you know, very
L 4	difficult. So with that in mind
15	DR. VAN ALFEN: Jeanne, I think we've lost
16	you. Jeanne, can you hear me?
L 7	MS. WARREN: I'll email her and ask her to
18	call in, Peter.
19	DR. VAN ALFEN: Okay. All right while we're
20	waiting for Jeanne to reconnect, I'll offer my own
21	comments.
22	For the 1804 Dollar, I'm a nautical nerd so

always happy to see ships on -- on any coin or medal, although I wasn't particularly thrilled with any of these.

2.2

Number 3, of course, is a rather interesting reimagine, but the artist here has taken a rather elegant ship swoop peacock and turned her into a rather bloated monstrosity on the reverse with confused rigging on the rest. So -- and while the design is interesting, I just, I'm afraid, can't get beyond the details.

I do like number 1. I think that is probably the strongest in this portfolio.

For the 1907 Double Eagle, I -- I'm happy with number 3. I think that that would work. And while Kellen did raise concerns about the kitschyness of the flag on the wings -- and for some reason here I do think that the kitschyness works. And I can't quite put my finger on why I think that is the case.

Number 4 is a design, obverse, reverse, that I really love and I would love to see this struck at some point. Whether as part of this program or

perhaps as an American Liberty rendition at some point in the future. In fact, I believe we saw this reverse as part of the American Liberty portfolio recently. So this, for me, is really a standout and something I would like to see struck at some point.

2.2

With the dime, I'm happy to confer with my -or happy to agree with my colleagues. Number 3 I
think is probably the standout design here. And
this does have motion, does have continuity between
the obverse -- (inaudible) --

For the Standing Liberty Quarter portfolio, I too am rather drawn to the motion of number 2. I think that that is a fitting homage and -- to the original quarter. I really quite like the motion of it. And I'm not particularly bothered by the depiction of the eagle on the reverse. I think that this really does convey motion in a way that we've never seen before on a US coin.

For the Walking Liberty Half, I'm perfectly happy with number 1. I think that is a lovely reinterpretation of -- of the original coin and

	Page 139
1	it's something that I'd like to see struck. So
2	Jeanne, I see that you're back with us. And I
3	offered my comments
4	MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Yes, I am.
5	DR. VAN ALFEN: I'm happy happy to return
6	to you to have you offer yours now.
7	A. Well, I'm so sorry. I was just Internet
8	disconnect. So I was going to try to go to wait. Is
9	that can you hear me?
10	DR. VAN ALFEN: Yes, we can.
11	MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Jennifer?
12	MS. WARREN: Yeah.
13	MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Okay. So if we go to
14	the silver dollar (inaudible)
15	DR. VAN ALFEN: (inaudible) we lost you.
16	We lost you, Jeanne.
17	MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Okay.
18	MS. WARREN: There you are.
19	MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: (inaudible) so if
20	we could go to number 1, please? For the dollar,
21	design 1. Okay. I was astounded by this when I
22	opened the portfolio. I thought that this was

quite -- quite beautiful and I believe that the artist was totally inspired and, you know, just hit it right on. So this is my favorite of this particular group.

2.2

If we could go down to number 5, is it 5?

Okay. 5, I know a lot of people liked this one very much, I just didn't think it was exactly as beautiful as number 1.

And then if we could go to number -- well, I can't -- (inaudible) -- I wanted to go back to the ship design. We were looking at the -- the first one where we have Liberty as -- yes, perfect.

Number 3, okay. I thought this was brilliant. To put Liberty on -- (inaudible) -- you know, going out and reaching out to different nations. I think that if we don't use this on this program, we should keep these two. I feel like the reverse, number 3, was a little bit too intense, not enough information.

And I did like the fact that we had on one of these designs, number 2 I believe -- if we could put the reverse of 2 with the reverse -- on the

obverse of 3, we might have a nice combination.

Because now we are recognizing those countries that
we did traffic with. So that is my comments -those are my comments for this portfolio.

2.2

And then if we could go to the next, please?

Next portfolio, please? Yeah, okay. Again, I -- I

complement the artist for this rendition of -
actually doing what -- what they were charged for,

to be inspired by, you know, Saint-Gaudens Double

Eagles. I think this was quite good. And I think

-- (inaudible) -- this particular coin is -
(inaudible) -- you know we -- (inaudible) -- but I

think this was a very good one. This is my choice.

Next, please? Next design, please? Number 2?

Yes. Saint-Gaudens, I love Saint-Gaudens. I love

Saint-Gaudens as much as Mike does. And I have to

agree with Mike, this probably is not the -
(inaudible) -- appropriate for this coin.

And number 3, please? Design number 3, please? Hello? Yes. I agree with my colleagues this is a very dynamic piece. I like it very much. But when I -- when we talk about the little eagle

wings if -- if -- if the wings were redesigned to be one flag that would sort of my match the flight feathers of the eagle I think this would make a little bit more sense. Those are my comments for that group.

2.2

And the next, please? Yes. Right. I love this piece. I think that putting an Asian image as Liberty is well-designed and I think well-deserved. So I thought that this was my favorite one. Not sure on the reverse, but I did like this one piece.

Next, please? I agree with Annelisa. I love this dove. I'm not sure what it's carrying, is it a key? If someone could tell me that would be great, but to have this on our coinage -- it's a great Native American piece. I think the obverse, although I see the -- it's the crown of Lady Liberty and her background and -- I'm not sure that would be convincing to everyone.

Number 3, please? Now this is loved by so many people. I think it's very dynamic. I'm not sure I like the reverse with the sword penetrating whatever it is, history I guess. So I was not -- I

Meeting July 16, 2024

Page 143

1 was a little confounded with that.

Next slide, please? Yep. I thought each one of these, although interesting, were too busy.

So can we go to our next portfolio? So

Walking -- Walking -- this eagle, we have never

had. And eagle with his -- (inaudible) -- going

forward. I mean, this is just very powerful. I

like this very much. You -- he looks like he's

really standing up to something, although he's not

flying, he's probably stretching his wings. And

Liberty, I agree with my colleagues, this leg is a

little too chunky -- (inaudible) the rest of her

body.

Design number 2, is probably my favorite of this group. I just like it. It's very well done. It's crisp and it's a different look at our eagle. We -- we just have so many eagles, and this is different. And like the fact that the tail -- the tail feathers are underlining America. It makes a statement so -- so -- (inaudible) --

Next one please, hello?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Yep -- (inaudible) --

1	MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Okay. All right. This
2	one, I don't have anything to say for it. So if we
3	can just move on to the next group. The Walking
4	Half Dollar, yes. Okay, this was my favorite,
5	number 1. It's very dynamic, it's very modern.
6	And I think that I don't really have anything more
7	to say about this group. This is my favorite one
8	so I think I've covered everybody.
9	DR. VAN ALFEN: All right, Jeanne. Well,
10	thank you very much.
11	MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: That's all I have to
12	say. Thank you.
13	DR. VAN ALFEN: Yes, thank you, Jeanne. Much
14	appreciated. All right. So we have now all had an
15	opportunity to comment on this portfolio, all five
16	groups. And at this time, do we have any
17	additional questions, maybe motions from members?
18	Mike, I see your hand is raised?
19	MR. MORAN: Yes, I had a question for April.
20	April, are these silver medals going to be in coin
21	turn or medal turn?
22	MS. STAFFORD: Medal.

	Page 145
1	MR. MORAN: And the regular 24k will be in
2	coin turn?
3	MS. STAFFORD: Yes, sir.
4	MR. MORAN: Okay. Thank you.
5	DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Sam, I see your
6	hand is raised?
7	MR. GELBERD: Thank you, Peter. This is Sam
8	Gelberd. Just one question. And again, these are
9	medals not coins, so will these be rendered as high
10	relief designs similar to the American Liberty
11	Silver Medals that we've seen in recent years?
12	MS. STAFFORD: Let me ask Roger. Roger, have
13	we heard from manufacturing definitively on that?
14	MR. VASQUEZ: No, ma'am.
15	MS. STAFFORD: Yeah, so we don't have that
16	that specification?
17	MR. VASQUEZ: That is correct. We do not have
18	that specification.
19	MR. GELBERD: If I may, I just recommend of
20	course. You know, as a die hard numismatist, the
21	higher the relief the better on these. They're
22	medals, not circulating coins, so hopefully we can

get away with this. High relief as possible, thank you.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Mike, your hand's raised?

MR. MORAN: How about a motion from Sam to that affect and I'll second it. Let's make it a formal motion from the committee.

MR. GELBERD: I'll -- I'll make a formal motion, yes. I do believe these should be rendered as high relief silver medals.

MR. MORAN: I second.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: Okay. So there is a motion on the table to render these as high relief silver medals. Do we have any comments or discussion on that? All right. Hearing none, let's vote on that motion. All those in favor of this motion proposed by Sam seconded by Mike to render these as high relief silver medals as signified by saying "Aye".

[SEVERAL AYES]

Are there any opposed? All right. The motion carries. All right. Are there any other questions or motions at this time?

MR. SAUNDERS: Peter, it's John again with my

July 16, 2024

1 hand raised. I just -- it's kind of whimsical, but I was looking at the reverse of the Standing 2 Liberty Quarter, the one with the tail of the 3 4 eagle, and as much controversy as we've had on the Morgan Silver Dollar about how the tail feathers 5 were portrayed, probably be a good idea for the 6 7 Mint to check to make sure that the tail feathers 8 are correct. 9 DR. VAN ALFEN: Sure. We can certainly 10 address that question again if -- if we adopt or 11 recommend one of those reverses. At this point I'd 12 like to ask the Mint, Joe Menna or Mike Costello, 13 if they have anything to share with the committee on the designs for this medal program? 14 15 MR. COSTELLO: Hi, this is Mike Costello, I 16 have nothing additional. Thank you. 17 DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Thank you, Mike. 18 Joe, do you have any comments? 19 MR. MENNA: I -- I have no comments. 20 DR. VAN ALFEN: All right, Joe. Thank you 21 very much. All right. So if there's any further 2.2 discussion before we -- if there's no further

discussion, I would at this point like for the

Committee to score the obverse and reverse

candidate designs for the 2026 Seimquincentennial

Best of the Mint Silver Medals. And everyone

should have received the five score sheets

electronically. And when you're done please e-mail

those to CCAC counsel Greg Weinman, who will tally

the scores and will present the results. Greg, do

you think 10 minutes will be enough?

2.2

MR. WEINMAN: I think we're going to need more than that. This is going to -- I think realistically speaking -- let's -- let's say at least 15 minutes and then, depending how fast people -- everybody gets it to me. And we'll see where we are at that point.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Okay. Let's -- it is now approximately ten minutes before 2:00 p.m. so why don't we meet back at 2:05 p.m.

(Break from 1:48 p.m. til 2:10 p.m.)

DR. VAN ALFEN: Okay. All right. So we are back and I recognize Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC to present the results from these scoring

1 sheets. Greg, all yours.

2.2

MR. WEINMAN: This time we are out of 30 points, a possible 30 points. To start off with the 1804, Obverse number 1 received 22 points, making it the high-scoring design. Obverse number 2 received 10, number -- Obverse 3 received 14. Obverse 4 received 4 and Obverse 5 received 14.

Moving on to the 1804 reverses. Reverse number 1 received 19 points, making it the high-scoring design. Reverse number 2 received 9, Reverse number 3 received 16, Reverse number 4 received 4 and Reverse number 5 received 10. So at that we can move on to the 1907. Ready?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Yes.

MR. WEINMAN: Again out of 30 points. Obverse number 1 received 17 points. Obverse number 2 received 13. Obverse number 3 received 27 points, the high-scoring design. Obverse number 4 received 19 points.

Moving onto the reverses. Reverse 1 received 17 points, Reverse 2 received 10, and Reverse 3 and Reverse 4 were each tied at 20 points each. 20 for

3, 20 for 4.

Moving on to the dime. The Winged Mercury --- moving on to -- okay. Starting with the
obverses. Obverse 1 received 16 points. Obverse 2
received 5 points, Obverse 3 received 26 points,
making it the high-scoring design. Obverse 4
received 10.

Moving on to the reverses. Reverse 1 received 17 points, Reverse 2 received 12 points, Reverse 3 received 27 points, making it the high-scoring design, and Reverse 4 received 6 points.

Moving on to Standing Liberty. Obverses,

Obverse 1 received 13 points, Obverse 2 received 24

points, making it the high-scoring design. Obverse

3 received 7 points and Obverse 4 received 5

points.

Onward to the reverses. Reverse 1 received 10 points, Reverse 2 received 23 points, making it the high-scoring design. Reverse 3 received 7 points, and Reverse 4 received 5 points. And did I miss one -- Walking --

DR. VAN ALFEN: Walking Liberty.

2.2

MR. WEINMAN: Yes, Walking Liberty. Sorry.

Okay. Walking Liberty obverse. Walking Liberty

number 1 received 27 points, making it the

high-scoring design. Walking number -- Obverse 2

received 6, Obverse 3 received 9, Obverse 4

received 10 points, and Obverse 5 received 4.

2.2

Finally the reverses. Reverse 1 received 25 points, making it the high-scoring design. Reverse 2 received 13 points, Reverse 3 received 8 points, Reverse 4 received 7 and Reverse 5 received 5.

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right, Greg. Thank you very much. At this time, I'll be happy to entertain any motions if there are any? Mike, your hand is raised.

MR. MORAN: Let me make discussion first,

Peter. This is Mike Moran. We've got a dilemma

with the reverse on the Double Eagle. And I'm not

going to help it any because I will tell you that

Saint-Gaudens at the very last in May of '07,

proposed to Theodore Roosevelt, which he never saw,

the standing eagle rather than the flying eagle for

the reverse for the Double Eagle. So therefore, I

have no real preference as to which eagle goes. I know that there are issues with the flag and I share them a little bit honestly. It's like if they put a permanent curl in that flag. I'm sure it was because of the wind and they wanted to do a fold, but it doesn't exactly look all that good. And even choked on that a bit when I looked at it at first and then I got used to it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

We -- the problem I have with coin -- or motion for either one or the other, is that the fonts are so radically different. And if you look at the reverse on -- what is it? Number 4? look at reverse number 4, versus the obverse of They're pretty much in-your-face in number 3. terms of the difference of them. I'm not opposed to either font, but I don't really think that the font from number 4 on the obverse would go -- play all that well on the obverse of number 3. So the answer is I don't know what the hell to do. not going to be able to make a motion on this I If I were, I think I would -- if you don't think. were to force me to vote, I think I would be voting

for number -- number 4 for the reverse with a modified inscription -- (crosstalk) --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Mike. Perhaps what we could do is set aside the 1907 Double Eagle for the moment and see if there is a motion for the other four groups. If anybody would like to entertain that for the moment. And if not, we could then just move through each one of the groups individually which might then be the best way to do that. So I would suggest then --

MR. MORAN: Peter, this is Mike again.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Yeah.

MR. MORAN: I'm fine with the high votes, I'll make the motion for the other four.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Okay. So we have a motion on the table proposed by Mike to recommend the high vote-getters for the 1804, the Winged or Mercury Head Dime, the Standing Liberty Quarter, and the Walking Half. Is there a second on that motion?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Arthur Bernstein seconds.

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Thank you very much. Any discussion? All those in favor of this

motion to adopt the high vote getters for the 1804,
the -- sorry, the Mercury Dime, the Standing
Liberty Quarter, and the Walking -- Walking Liberty
Half, please signify by saying "Aye".

[SEVERAL AYES]

2.2

Are there any opposed? All right. The motion carries. So let's return to the problem of the 1907 Metal. Art, I see your hand is raised?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. This is Arthur

Bernstein. I'm just going to try to help move the conversation along. I heard Mike struggling with -- do we let obverse drive this or do we let the reverse drive this. And I would just point out that the obverse got 27 out of 30 points and that leads me to think that maybe we should let the obverse drive this, which would then take us to the Obverse 3, Reverse 3 combination.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you. April, your hand is raised?

MS. STAFFORD: I just wanted to ask for clarity because I'm messaging with the Chief Engraver. If it -- is there -- can you

articulate -- you said there was a concern or a problem with -- I think it was the 1907 Reverse 3. Is there -- is there something that we're trying to If you could just repeat that because I know Joe Menna is on and he might be able to help with -- with the discussion. I have heard whether it's appropriate or not appropriate for the flag to be incorporated into the wing, but then I also heard separately that it seems like there's almost two levels of wing rather than one because it's got that fold in the wing. So I'm trying to determine -- I'm trying to understand, is it one or the other or both? And that perhaps Joe Menna could -- could help out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: Sure. My -- my understanding is that there was one or two of the members who were not particularly fond of the depiction of the flag on the wing. But that there were more members who raised questions about the way that the fold in the flag/wing is depicted. And that would need presumably to be resolved. Because there -- there seems to be some concern about that. And I see

that there are a number hands raised. Kellen, I believe your hand was raised first.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

MR. HOARD: Yeah, thank you, Peter. Just on my end, April, and -- (inaudible) -- as well -- for me I'm pretty opposed to the flag on the wing. I like the design, but if we could do it with just like a feathered wing, a regular feathered wing, I think that would solve both problems, of the weird turn in the flag and in my view the flag itself.

So I just didn't know if that was even a possibility, of just a feathered wing, in which case I would full heartedly endorse the design.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Okay. Art, was your hand raised?

MR. BERNSTEIN: No. It was to make that point that I made about the obverse.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Okay. All right, thank you.

MR. SAUNDERS: Peter --

DR. VAN ALFEN: John, yes.

MR. SAUNDERS: My thing doesn't work. I think it was Jeanne and I that didn't like the two flags in the dippy doo da [sic]. I would either make a

motion that if we do the flag, then we have one flag there, without the dippy doo da [sic], but I could live with what Kellen said and just have a -- a feathered wing instead. Joe, what do you think? What would work well?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

MR. MENNA: Mr. Chairman and -- and Committee members, this is Joseph Menna. Please don't take this in any type of snobbish "I'm the art expert" kind of way, but, you know, historically with the exception of early American 90s sculptures, Adolph -- from Adolph Weinman to almost every type of architectural sculpture that you see representation -- representing of the American Eagle on any edifice in the country, particularly public -- particularly municipal buildings and especially governmental buildings, on federal buildings, eagles are always stylized. They always have -- none of them -- none of them are realistic. Weinman's famous eagle with -- with the wings going down, that's an impossible configuration for an eagle's wings. It's his interpretation as an artist of what the eagle's wings represent.

think sometimes all of us tend -- it's natural to bring a certain literalism when looking at images to -- when one interprets them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

But if you think about this dimensionally and sculpturally, what this artist has done, I think in a very poetic way, has created a beautifully flowing singular wing, added that wave to give the effect of a flag, and made a juxtaposition of the American flag and an American Eagle in a way that's never been -- and you have to remember, this is going to be robustly sculpted, even in low relief. It's not going to look like this. It's not going to look like a doo dad [sic] as -- as Mr. Saunders said. And I don't mean that as -- as a jab or anything seriously. It will be beautifully insculptured, it will read dimensionally, and it will be one of the most uniquely sculptural -- and I'm not lobbying for this design, I support every design as a winner, that's my job. But what I see in this looking at it as a sculpture is an opportunity to make one of the most uniquely allegorical sculptural representations of -- of one

of our nation's most dear symbols and our flag at the same time. It's -- for me I think it's highly innovative. And I'll stop there. I'm not lobbying for it, you asked me to speak to this design, so that's what I did.

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: -- (Crosstalk) -- Thank you very much. I think before we proceed any further, we do need to make a decision about whether or not we do in fact want the flag on the reverse, on the wing, as sculpted initially. And that then will decide I think for the conversation about what we could do. So I would be happy to entertain a motion to either remove the flag or retain the flag.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur Bernstein, I'll make a motion.

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. What is the motion?

MR. BERNSTEIN: I move that considering
Reverse 3, we remain with the design as it was submitted.

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Is there a second?

1 MR. MORAN: Second. This is Mike Moran. 2 DR. VAN ALFEN: Mike, thank you very much. Is there any discussion? 3 4 DR. FULLER: Yes. DR. VAN ALFEN: Harcourt, please. 5 Thank you. This is Harcourt 6 DR. FULLER: 7 Fuller. I -- I just wanted to thank Joe for always 8 providing the right artistic and interpretive 9 context just when we need him. I think it is 10 important to have his voice heard and to have his expertise help guide us in terms of how we look at 11 12 some of these designs. So I just wanted to thank 13 Joe for that. Thank you. DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. 14 Thank you, 15 Harcourt. Jeanne, I see your hand is raised? 16 MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Yes. Thank you, Peter. 17 I -- (inaudible) -- explanation of the flag --18 (inaudible) -- artist interpretation. I think if 19 we keep this -- (inaudible) -- maybe it might 20 behoove us to have that flag fluttering a little 21 bit more. For some reason it doesn't look like 2.2 it's whipping correctly. Maybe if the tip of the

flag were extended out more like the flight

feathers on the eagle, it might help those who

find -- that don't like the flag. That's just a

suggestion.

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Thank you, Jeanne.

Any other comments on this motion -- (crosstalk)

MR. MORAN: Peter, I'm sorry. I couldn't get the hand and the microphone at the same time.

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right.

2.2

MR. MORAN: I guess this is a question for April and Joe first of all. Can we see a -- a new rendition of this reverse in September? Will that screw up the production cycle?

MS. STAFFORD: Well, for -- for us -- before kind of answering that question, for us we just need to kind of understand what the consensus of the Committee is. So I've heard definitely we -- the flag, yes. The flag should stay. And so then that goes to the other question of whether that kind of -- the -- the way it's currently presented, my understanding is some folks are viewing that as

like -- like two layers instead of -- instead of one that's kind of waving. And so I think perhaps our Chief Engraver could speak to that if -- if kind of as a body the consensus is the Committee are disquieted than not perhaps our Chief Engraver could -- could address specific concern. But is -- is that kind of the -- the sense of the whole body or just something that's --

2.2

MR. BERNSTEIN: That -- that's my feeling.

MR. MORAN: I -- I think you would get a much stronger vote for this reverse if we -- we had a little bit more comfort in terms of the flag, yes.

I think the flag will stay, I just think that the -- the treatment of it needs to be refined just a bit.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Okay. I will return to Joe

Menna in just a moment to discuss that a little bit

further because I see his hand was raised and I do

think we need some more comments. But Kellen, your

hand was raised before so if you would like to go

ahead?

MR. HOARD: No -- I was going to be brief

I'm just -- you know, it sounds like we're leaning one direction, but just to put it on the record again, that I -- I think the flag is just the wrong direction to go at the moment. You know, I understand the Committee does -- to me it just comes off as gaudy more than, you know, innovative. And ends up layering symbols and metaphors in ways that aren't necessary and end up, like I said, looking more kitschy then I think we intend to. Rather than actually merging -- (inaudible) -- into kind of a new -- (inaudible) -- eagle, I think it ends up looking, to me, thrown together. I -- you know, amalgamating elements into one thing that ends up just looking aesthetically worse and not fitting in quite as well as it could have otherwise.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

And so I would appreciate it, a least on my end, if we do have a chance to see this portfolio again, an option with feathered wings -- I don't know if we're going to have the opportunity, but even just for consideration. Because I think that would in itself be aesthetically more cohesive with

the obverse and also would bring -- bring forward that symbolism and that meaning that we're looking for without distracting away by putting everything together all at once. I know from talking with many students here when they think about imagery and associating with America, they end up having kind of similar concerns that where they see this over the top gaudy -- gaudy form of, like, patriotism, too raa-raa [sic] then end up actually moving away from that. So that was just my two cents for the record here.

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Thank you. We still do have this motion on the table, so we've not yet made a decision about that. But Joe, I'd like to hear some further comments from you, if you --

MR. MENNA: Hey. With all -- great respect

Kellen, and my -- Kellen, my high school buddy, my

high school classmate is the head of the Home

Shopping Network if that helps. But I think -- I

think because we're looking at this as a graphic

symbol and literal juxtaposition -- I'm not a

historian, but I'm pretty well versed in the lexicon of visual symbolism in terms of our history going -- going back to 10,000 years. I don't want to sound ostentatious, I just -- I'm old and I'm a student of this stuff til this day. You could even look at it as -- as -- as an American Eagle native to this land, this land itself, and the country that sprang forth from it, you know? That's another way to interpret it symbolically.

2.2

But sculpturally, and addressing Jeanne's concerns, there's a lot of darks, there's a lot of shadows, and there's a lot of contrast that makes this look differently than it will in sculpture.

As a sculptured element, it'll be more organic, more unified, more subtle -- when I say robust sculpture I'm talking about the relief high [sic], but over -- but within that relief, there's relief on relief. It's not going to stand out like a -- it's not going to stand out like a toy or -- or I don't -- I think -- I would hope you could trust the United States Mint sculpting staff to not make something gaudy and make something beautiful. And

I wouldn't -- I wouldn't be offering you these comments if I didn't think that we could make this a very successful and beautiful coin. But I am not a member of the Committee and I'm not trying to change anyone's mind. I'm just again answering the Chairmen's opportunity to offer my artistic observations only. I'm not trying to sway anyone's opinion. Thank you.

2.2

DR. VAN ALFEN: (Crosstalk) -- thank you again for your comments. John, did you have a comment?

MR. SAUNDERS: Yes. I kind of started this thing. I'm willing to trust Joe that it'll come out fine. And he's aware of our concerns, and if for some reason it's not coming out, you know, with a doo da [sic] spanning out, I'm sure he'll fix it. So I'm willing to go along with things as is, and trust Joe.

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Thank you. So we still do have the motion on the table, that if we do recommend this reverse that we stick with the flag -- that we retain the flag. Is there any further discussion on this motion? All right.

1 Then let's vote on a motion. So all of those in favor of the motion of retaining the flag on this 2 3 reverse, please signify your approval by saying 4 "Aye". [SEVERAL AYES] 5 MS. WARREN: All those opposed, nay? 6 7 MR. HOARD: Nay. 8 MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Nay. 9 DR. VAN ALFEN: So I think that that is 10 --10 or 8 to 2; is that correct? 11 MR. WEINMAN: Yes. 12 MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Yes. 13 DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. So the motion So are there any further motions then? 14 15 So we -- we -- at this stage, we have indicated 16 that if we do adopt this reverse, that we will retain the flag. We still have not decided whether 17 18 or not we -- we recommend the obverse to go along 19 with this reverse. Arthur, your hand is raised? 20 MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur Bernstein. 21

me try to help with a motion, that for the
Saint-Gaudens Silver Medal, we recommend Obverse 2

2.2

	Page 168
1	and Reverse 2 [sic].
2	DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Do we have a
3	second on that motion?
4	MR. SAUNDERS: I think you mean 3, don't you?
5	DR. VAN ALFEN: Oh I'm sorry, yes.
6	MR. BERNSTEIN: Sorry, I misspoke. Thank you.
7	DR. VAN ALFEN: Yes. So the motion is to
8	recommend Obverse 3 and Reverse 3, do I have a
9	second on that motion?
10	MR. SAUNDERS: Second.
11	DR. VAN ALFEN: John, thank you very much.
12	Any discussion?
13	DR. FULLER: Yes, this is Harcourt Fuller.
14	Are we recommending any changes to Obverse 3?
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur Bernstein.
16	That was not my intent, no.
17	DR. FULLER: Okay. Thank you.
18	DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Any other
19	discussion? All right. So all of those in favor
20	of recommending Obverse 3 and Reverse 3, please
21	signify by saying "Aye".
22	[SEVERAL AYES]

1 DR. VAN ALFEN: Any opposed? All right. The If all discussion then has 2 motion carries. Okay. concluded, I would like to thank the CCAC members, 3 the Mint staff, and the public for their attendance 4 today, since we have now concluded all business. 5 The next meeting of the CCAC will be in September 6 7 and will be announced in the Federal Register 8 Also, I would like to turn your attention 9 to the fact that the CCAC will be hosting a public forum at the American Numismatics Associations 10 11 World Fair of Money on August 7th at 10:00 a.m. in 12 Rosemont, next to Chicago in Illinois, where we 13 will be talking about what we do and more importantly providing members of the public the 14 15 opportunity to engage with us and hear directly 16 So if you will be attending the AMAs from us. 17 World Fair of Money in Rosemont this summer, please 18 do take time to come and participate in our public 19 forum there. We very much look forward to seeing 20 you there and to meeting you. Now I will entertain 21 a motion to adjourn.

MR. SAUNDERS: I'll move.

2.2

Page 170 1 DR. VAN ALFEN: John, was that you? 2 MR. SAUNDERS: Yes. 3 DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Is there a second? 4 DR. CAPOZZOLA: I'll second, it's Chris. DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Chris, thank you 5 6 very much. All those in favor of this motion, 7 please signify by saying "Aye". 8 [SEVERAL AYES] 9 DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. This meeting 10 stands adjourned. It is now 2:40 p.m. Thank you 11 very much. 12 THEREUPON the meeting was concluded at 2:40 13 p.m. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I, ASHTYN PLUNKETT, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and further, that I am not a relative, or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially otherwise interested in the outcome of this action. Dated:

13

14 July 22nd, 2024

15

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17 ASHTYN PLUNKETT

18

19

20

21

22

[07 - 2] Page 1

0	150:4,8,13,17	13 34:9 47:6,18	1907 77:11
07 151:19	151:3,7	48:21 49:4	81:8,12 82:2,9
1	1's 118:15	50:3 103:7	82:16 96:4
	1.598 77:19	114:11,11	137:13 149:13
1 11:16,18 16:8	10 4:5 11:16	149:17 150:13	153:4 154:8
18:19 21:11	18:12,12 30:5	151:9	155:2
26:7 27:18	34:9 37:7 43:4	14 46:11 47:3	1916 77:12,13
28:20 32:7	44:8,15,17	48:4,7 50:2	77:14 82:21
34:17,18 39:13	46:10,16 47:6	149:6,7	83:16 84:19
40:16 46:3,6	47:15 48:18,21	15 44:15 48:22	85:2,10,16
46:13 47:1,8	48:22 49:6,8	49:2 66:7,15	86:1,9 133:19
48:3,12,16,20	49:15,22 50:4	148:13	1917 133:20
49:15 50:2	148:9 149:6,12	16 1:7 4:4 47:9	1945 83:16
51:19 71:21	149:21 150:7	48:14 50:6,8	1960 68:9
77:11 79:6,6	150:17 151:6	97:14 149:11	1962 113:16
81:11 83:2,2	167:9	150:4	19th 59:6 68:8
84:22,22 86:10	10,000 165:3	17 47:12 48:5	1:48 148:19
86:11 100:13	100 63:13	149:16,21	1a 11:18 19:1
100:14 101:7	67:15	150:9	27:18 34:18
101:22 103:1	10:00 1:8	1776 11:10	40:16 47:9
103:11 106:11	169:11	56:21	48:3,21 50:3
106:12 107:20	10:50 45:12	1787 9:13	1o 100:13
109:3 111:5	11 11:16 18:19	18 48:17 49:1	1r 100:13
112:6 116:22	30:8 34:13	1804 77:10	2
118:12 119:14	35:21 46:17	79:2,4,7,14,17	2 11:16,18 19:1
119:14 120:21	47:16 48:3,18	80:5,12,19	19:2 26:12
121:5,13,14	49:8,19,22	93:19,21	28:21 30:18
122:14,14	11:00 45:6,12	107:17 112:21	32:13 35:4,17
123:20 126:10	11:35 73:22	113:13 117:12	35:18 37:11
128:1 129:6	75:1	118:11,14	46:7,14 47:2,3
130:15,22	12 44:8 46:9,9	123:20 136:22	47:11 48:4,14
131:1 132:16	47:12,14,16	149:4,8 153:17	48:16,21 49:8
134:10,13,22	48:4,15 49:7	154:1	49:16 50:3
135:3,16	50:4 150:9	1834 114:12	81:22,22 83:8
137:11 138:21	12:15 74:15,17	1850s 97:20	83:8 85:9,9
139:20,21	75:1	1864 37:16	86:15,15 89:20
140:8 144:5	12a 47:17	19 49:2,5,18	98:9 100:16,18
149:4,9,16,20		149:9,19	70.7 100.10,10

Meeting

July 16, 2024

[2 - 4] Page 2

102:18 103:17	2031 121:22	29:14 30:21	30 96:7 149:2,3
103:17 105:5,6	21 46:13	31:10 32:21	149:15 154:14
106:12,19	22 149:4	33:7 36:20,21	33 46:3,5,22
109:11 110:20	22nd 171:14	37:6 38:22	48:2,12
114:20 116:11	23 150:18	41:5 42:12	360-3154 1:18
118:16 119:17	24 46:8 48:13	46:9,15 47:3	3a 11:16 17:3,4
119:17 120:22	49:17 76:19	47:12,16 48:4	22:14 26:15,17
121:1,17	150:13	48:8,8,14,22	29:7,15 32:21
122:19,19	2400 94:1	49:16,21 50:1	32:22 35:18
123:4 124:1	24k 76:22 77:9	50:4,9 80:4,4	36:20,22 46:16
125:10 126:11	77:20 91:11	83:15,15 85:15	48:5 49:17
127:9 128:7	145:1	85:15 86:20,20	3r 133:17
132:21 134:14	25 46:6 47:1	96:11 98:15	135:7
135:4 138:13	92:18 151:7	100:20 101:13	4
140:21,22	250 10:1,11	102:7 106:4,4	-
141:14 143:14	16:19 37:19	106:8,8,21,21	4 11:16,18 17:3
149:6,10,16,21	56:4,4,11,22	110:1 113:13	17:3,12 31:3
150:4,9,13,18	76:20 77:7	114:13 116:5	33:10 35:12
151:4,9 167:10	26 94:3 150:5	118:18 119:21	46:9,16,16,16
167:22 168:1	27 49:12,12,14	120:16,16	47:4,12,13,15
20 46:14 47:9	66:19 149:17	122:7,8 124:3	47:16 48:6,15
47:14 81:8,12	150:10 151:3	125:14 128:16	49:1,6,18 50:5
82:2,9,16	154:14	130:16 131:2	50:5 80:11,11 82:15,15 84:6
117:13 118:2,5	28 66:19	132:4 133:3	84:6,6 85:21
119:12 149:22	2800 94:3	134:17 137:4	85:21 87:8,8
149:22 150:1	2:00 148:17	137:14 138:8	97:19 98:16
202 1:18	2:05 148:18	140:13,18	100:22 103:6
2021 92:22	2:10 148:19	141:1,19,19	105:11 106:9
20220 1:16	2a 47:12	142:19 149:6	108:11 111:13
2024 1:7 4:5	3	149:11,17,21	118:20 120:6
171:14	3 11:16,18	150:1,5,9,15	121:7 122:7,8
2026 6:9,13 9:8	16:17,17 17:5	150:19 151:5,9	123:3,4 124:9
9:21 10:7	18:11 19:5,6	152:14,18	126:2 129:20
11:11 12:2	20:15 21:4,18	154:17,17	129:20 133:12
42:9 45:1	21:18 22:14,15	155:2 159:20	134:20 135:5,8
56:21 76:4	24:14 26:15,16	168:4,8,8,14	135:9,16
77:1,5 88:13	26:21 29:7,13	168:20,20	137:20 149:7,7
148:3			

[4 - additional] Page 3

149:11,12,18	33:15,18 35:19	41:18 43:2	acknowledging
149:22 150:1,6	41:7,8 42:18	49:7 50:9	105:9 106:22
150:11,15,20	42:18 46:10	9	acorn 32:16
151:5,6,10	47:5,5,5,13,18	9 11:16 18:9,9	acting 97:19
152:12,13,17	48:4,7,16 49:5	20:2 27:10	action 10:12
153:1	49:8,18,19	30:4,4 34:4	171:8,12
45 74:1,3,13,15	50:6 73:2	47:6,12,13,15	activities
97:14	150:11 151:5	47:15,15 48:7	111:17
4a 49:1	7	48:18 49:7,21	activity 24:4
5	7 11:16,19	149:10 151:5	actual 32:4
5 11:16,18	17:22 20:1	90 14:13	120:3
17:18 19:13	23:1,1 27:9	90s 157:10	actually 38:11
23:14 26:22	29:22,22 31:6	9th 1:15	38:13 39:14
27:1 31:4	33:15,19,22		40:6 43:4
33:15,16 35:15	36:1 41:18	a	51:22 52:3,12
40:1 41:9	47:4,5,14 48:6	a.m. 1:8 4:5	58:1 69:16
46:10,17 47:4	48:8,15,17	45:12,12	70:1 73:7
47:6,7,13,17	49:6,16,20,22	169:11	95:18 98:3
48:6,16 49:2	50:7 150:15,19	abilities 94:22	101:6 102:4,19
49:19,21 50:5	151:10	ability 171:6	102:21 103:10
50:8 80:18,19	7th 169:11	able 20:7 32:2	114:4,19 124:3
87:14,14 90:3	8	32:3 45:4	124:16 125:5
95:2 101:2		63:22 93:20,21	125:14,14
105:18,18	8 11:16,19 18:8	122:1 131:9	128:7 129:12
108:2 112:2	20:1 21:7,19	152:20 155:5	141:8 163:10
114:3,4,12	30:3 31:6	above 79:10	164:9
119:9 123:6,6	33:16 34:3	80:16 116:22	adamantly
124:16 129:22	36:4,8 41:15	absent 93:5	51:9
140:5,5,6	41:18,18 43:2	absolute 123:5	add 13:18
149:7,12 150:5	47:3,4,5,15	absolutely	42:11 53:14
150:15,20	48:17 49:6,8	120:9,12	62:19 88:21
151:6,10,10	49:19,20,20	accept 121:16 accord 32:6	104:19 116:16
5a 48:7 49:4	50:5,8 151:9 167:10	accord 32:0	added 51:22
6	801 1:15	51:5,10	85:3 158:7
6 11:16,18	8a 11:19 20:2	accurate 90:14	additional
17:20 24:1	31:7 36:5,7,9	171:5	91:22 144:17
27:6 31:5	37:21 41:16,17	1/1.3	147:16
41.0 31.3	31.21 41.10,17		

address 13:11	affect 146:5	8:17,20 9:2	146:3,11 147:9
89:2 100:17	afraid 137:9	11:21 13:16	147:17,20
102:21 147:10	agencies 13:1	14:5,8 15:1,17	148:16,20
162:6	agenda 6:7	20:11 21:20	149:14 150:22
addressed 9:4	agree 16:12	25:9 28:1	151:11 153:3
addresses 54:3	23:11 29:22	31:16 36:13,17	153:12,15,21
addressing	33:16 34:10	38:6 41:20	154:18 155:15
102:12 165:10	36:20 37:15	43:12 44:12,21	156:13,17,19
adds 52:13	55:5 63:13	45:13,20 46:20	159:6,17,22
53:1 56:22	64:8 70:11	47:19,22 48:9	160:2,5,14
adjourn 169:21	106:20 124:22	49:10 50:10	161:5,10
adjourned	130:8 138:8	52:17,21 54:9	162:16 164:12
170:10	141:17,20	55:9,21 57:5	166:9,18 167:9
adjustment	142:11 143:11	57:18 58:4,11	167:13 168:2,5
110:12	agreed 93:8	59:4,15 60:4	168:7,11,18
admittedly	106:14	60:17 63:9	169:1 170:1,3
15:6	agreement	64:5 65:21	170:5,9
adolph 86:20	52:22 74:2	66:21 67:5,7	aligned 38:13
87:10 157:11	ahead 9:19	68:12 69:6	allegorical
157:11	14:8 40:4	70:6,20 71:16	20:18 53:22
adopt 9:16	52:21 71:17	72:4,11,18	80:7 158:22
147:10 154:1	91:3 127:13	73:18 74:8,11	allocated 66:9
167:16	162:21	74:14,22 75:2	allow 91:21
adornment	aide 13:14	75:8,10,12,14	alluded 33:5
54:17	aim 116:18	75:16,18 76:1	altogether
advancement	aiming 116:19	76:1 81:4,6	52:12 65:20
11:2	131:21	87:22 89:1,4	amalgamating
advise 102:9	aka 21:13	89:15 90:21	163:13
advisory 4:4	120:14	91:2,15 99:20	amas 169:16
aesthetic 41:8	alaskan 83:13	100:1 104:2,20	amazing 136:1
41:12 52:11	121:4	107:11 112:15	136:1
aesthetically	albeit 34:12	117:7 123:10	ambivalent
40:5 101:6,10	117:12	127:1,12 130:4	114:1 116:10
103:12 163:14	alfen 2:16 4:2	135:19 136:8	116:21
163:22	4:20 5:4,7,10	136:15,19	america 11:6
affairs 3:6,9,10	5:13,19 6:3,3	139:5,10,15	22:18 143:19
8:14,21,22	7:4,14,17,20	143:22 144:9	164:6
	8:1,4,7,10,13	144:13 145:5	

american 2:7	127:2,5,14	141:18 155:7,7	arthur 2:3 4:17
3:12 5:2 6:22	131:12 142:11	appropriaten	12:3,6 55:11
9:19 19:16	announced	126:19	55:13 66:22
20:20 21:12	169:7	approval 167:3	67:2 72:21,22
24:5 32:1	answer 152:19	approximate	75:10 89:15,16
35:16 40:12	answering	74:6	105:1 153:20
82:7,12 84:16	161:16 166:5	approximately	154:9 159:15
96:16 101:14	anti 55:18	148:17	167:19,20
108:6 120:3	anticipated	approximating	168:15
124:5 125:16	12:18	77:1	articulate
133:2 138:1,3	anybody 72:21	april 2:18 7:11	155:1
142:15 145:10	153:6	7:14 9:8,11	artist 17:10
157:10,13	anymore 96:20	11:21 26:1	27:2 29:19
158:9,9 165:6	anyone's 166:5	60:20 64:6	32:14 40:6
169:10	166:7	65:21 72:13,18	54:8 55:7 59:3
americans 86:3	anyway 14:10	73:14 76:13,15	60:3 63:19
amount 12:11	94:4 135:17	87:22 89:1	64:21 65:18
55:22 64:10	apart 98:4	104:4,20	78:1,18,19,21
112:9 128:3	apparently	144:19,20	82:1 83:21
amounts 14:1	56:15	154:18 156:4	88:7 90:9
anachronism	appear 53:21	161:12	102:1 104:13
119:18	67:22 68:1	april's 65:22	104:16 107:1
analogies	79:11 125:2	arced 79:10	117:22 121:1
123:17	appeared	architectural	129:2 135:11
analogy 124:11	67:16	157:12	137:5 140:2
124:14	appears 84:18	archives 87:1	141:7 157:22
anime 122:16	apply 25:15	argue 32:21	158:5 160:18
129:7	appreciate	arm 11:1	artist's 88:21
annalisa 5:22	89:14 101:12	armor 84:9	94:18
60:7,9 63:11	103:16 111:4	art 25:10,11	artistic 85:8
63:13	127:15 163:17	29:7 32:8	93:3 97:10
annelisa 2:15	appreciated	33:22 55:21	99:18 113:10
21:20 22:1	102:15_144:14	65:18 86:13	135:1 160:8
25:9 26:22	approach	90:11,17 104:3	166:6
43:15 64:5,9	40:22 104:13	104:21 118:21	artists 10:11,19
75:8 107:12,14	appropriate	120:7 154:8	11:8 61:14
112:15,21	30:6 43:6	156:13 157:8	62:8 64:10,14
124:22 126:13	71:11 104:14		69:3 78:6,10

[artists - believe]

02.11.00.20		1 1 -	
83:14 88:20	astounded	166:13	balanced 81:17
94:16 104:9	139:21	awful 68:22	ball 26:13
117:18 118:9	athena 23:12	103:8 117:15	28:22 32:17
119:11 136:11	134:5	135:2	band 54:20
arts 2:9 5:5	athenian 28:6	awfully 135:9	banded 86:3
86:19	attendance 7:8	aye 146:17	banks 14:3
artwork	169:4	154:4 167:4	barely 66:7,8
120:10	attended 46:4	168:21 170:7	66:15,15
ascending	attendees 2:2	ayes 146:18	base 36:6 37:22
85:17	3:2	154:5 167:5	baseball 27:14
ashtyn 1:20	attending	168:22 170:8	113:3,5
171:2,17	169:16	b	based 10:2,13
asian 79:20	attention 39:13	b 113:10	basically 40:13
132:17 142:7	39:21 40:4	back 4:3 22:22	bear 77:5
aside 17:15	73:4 102:15	24:3,4,15	beautiful 11:21
62:19 153:4	108:8 169:8	25:13 45:13,18	16:15 28:21
asked 9:14,15	attorney	45:20 47:21	29:3 32:19
10:11,19 11:8	171:10	53:3 64:13,20	54:19 111:10
50:12 94:17	attractive	66:5 68:9 75:2	129:9,9 140:1
159:4	27:11 89:20	103:1,8 109:20	140:8 165:22
asking 64:16	106:1	130:20,22	166:3
92:10	audio 171:3	131:2,3,17	beautifully
assigned 95:16	august 169:11	133:1,20 139:2	20:17,19
assignment	augustus 81:13	140:10 148:18	107:21 124:19
95:1 97:16	82:1 92:5	148:21 165:3	158:6,15
102:14 104:8	118:4	background	beers 105:15
assignments	author 134:1	9:12 24:6	begging 115:17
64:9	authorized	34:12 37:9	beginning 19:5
assistants	92:21	76:16 84:5	39:3
95:18	authorizes	110:8 113:15	behoove
associating	13:13	114:16 122:3	160:20
164:6	available 14:21	142:17	belay 96:19
association	26:4	bad 98:21	believe 6:6
3:12 7:1	average 93:17	115:9 133:7	12:12,19 19:3
associations	avoid 115:22	134:19 135:6	56:4 76:2
169:10	award 133:9	baijal 2:22 8:4	124:1 126:3
assume 27:13	aware 6:18	8:6	138:2 140:1,21
72:12	13:19 133:19	0.0	146:8 156:2

[bell - busy] Page 7

bell 73:7,9 77:7	119:9 120:2	blowing 85:4	brief 38:14
bells 73:8	123:17 145:21	board 56:9	51:7 92:1
benefit 4:12	beyond 62:7	95:19	100:4 107:15
benjamin 9:14	137:10	body 81:14	162:22
bernstein 2:3	bicentennial	143:13 162:4,7	briefly 53:18
4:17,19 12:5,6	44:6	bold 27:3,4,6	brilliant
25:10,11,12	big 33:13 34:3	40:2 42:16	106:13 140:13
55:12,13 67:2	35:13 42:16	boldly 71:22	bring 40:10,11
67:3,6 72:22	44:8 71:20	boldness 27:7	40:12 61:8
73:1,17 75:10	105:12 130:9	boneza 2:21	95:5,19 114:14
75:11 89:16,16	130:13 134:12	8:1 9:9	158:2 164:1,1
90:20 104:3,21	birds 97:6	book 29:1 35:5	bringing 65:13
105:1,2 153:20	bit 15:7 17:18	57:14 92:7	british 124:12
153:20 154:9	19:18 23:5	born 25:20	broad 52:14
154:10 156:15	24:4 27:16	bother 111:6	broader 78:20
159:15,15,19	28:15,18 32:17	bothered	81:14
162:9 167:20	33:10,11 35:6	138:16	broke 68:8
167:20 168:6	35:13,16 37:8	bottom 89:21	bronze 96:1
168:15,15	39:8 53:10	bought 14:2	brought 69:10
best 6:14 21:5	61:7,15,16,17	bowl 84:4	129:17
21:6 60:14	62:2 66:9	110:5,9	bruff 84:15
62:14 63:7,20	73:20 76:10	box 74:5,12	97:19
74:17 76:4,21	89:19 101:1	105:13	buddy 164:18
77:8 78:3	103:20 108:18	braid 79:11	budweiser
88:13 91:20	109:10 113:10	branch 86:5	105:15 118:21
92:16,16 93:6	116:15 120:1	108:13	123:18 124:11
93:7 94:18,18	122:20 123:3	branches 85:12	building 84:12
98:7,8 99:12	126:4 127:21	85:13	87:1 117:4
99:13 112:14	128:19,19	brandeis 10:4	buildings 27:20
117:10 118:12	130:1 131:16	break 44:15	157:15,16,17
148:4 153:9	131:21 134:11	45:5,12 73:22	bullet 57:10
171:5	140:18 142:4	74:21 75:1	bursting 19:17
better 18:21	152:3,7 160:21	88:3 148:19	business 169:5
19:4 29:13	162:12,15,17	breaking 54:6	busy 24:4
31:6 34:10	blend 25:3	brendan 3:9	29:16 30:21
35:19 36:8,21	bloated 137:7	8:20	31:3,5 33:11
68:10 82:3	blossoming	bridge 101:8	34:12 37:8
98:6 99:16	79:22	102:6	53:11 122:20

[busy - chris] Page 8

123:3 124:18	capozzola 2:6	cents 14:13	changing 69:20
129:21 130:2	5:1,3 25:14	164:11	character
135:9 143:3	38:7,9,10	century 59:7	129:11
buy 119:3	53:18 67:11	68:8	charged 136:12
131:9	68:14 75:21,22	certain 158:2	141:8
buying 99:13	88:16 100:1,3	certainly 12:17	chat 90:18
113:6	170:4	41:5 100:14	check 147:7
c	captures	101:10,12,14	checks 114:19
c 2:1 3:1 4:1	103:13	102:14 107:1,7	chest 27:12
cake 65:2	care 58:6	130:12 147:9	chicago 169:12
call 4:3,16	carefully 23:9	certificate	chief 2:18 3:3
10:12 73:11	carries 42:20	171:1	7:11 8:8 80:22
75:3,5 100:9	146:20 154:7	certify 171:2	154:21 162:3,5
136:18	167:14 169:2	cetera 101:6	child 16:21
called 7:10	carry 57:2 96:5	124:13	18:5,10,13
106:14	carrying 29:13	chairman 7:2	23:4,8 26:18
calling 108:8	82:11 103:19	11:19 16:3	42:15
camera 20:6	106:20 142:12	21:22 27:22	child's 19:8
136:4	case 48:2 52:10	54:12 60:8	childhood
cancer 96:4	52:10 137:19	63:12 81:2	117:15,19
candidate 6:8	156:12	107:13 123:12	children 17:13
6:13 9:10	cases 71:19	127:6 135:21	22:7
11:14,20 76:3	cat 74:5,12	157:6	choice 21:2
76:14 77:15	caution 37:2	chairmen's	42:13 72:2
78:1,22 79:4	caveat 24:3	166:6	118:19 120:16
79:15 80:11	108:12	chairperson	141:13
81:1,21 82:22	ccac 1:1 2:17	2:17 6:5	choked 152:7
84:20 87:20	3:6,8 4:6 6:5	chance 63:21	choose 63:15
148:3	6:17 8:15,18	163:18	64:3 70:14,17
candidates	12:15 45:14	change 14:13	71:10 115:17
45:1 76:14	75:4 148:7,22	14:13 20:5	choosing 62:13
77:21 81:10	169:3,6,9	65:19 67:14,17	63:6
cap 53:8,9	ccac's 15:7	69:2 83:17	chosen 36:22
capitol 21:13	celebrating	166:5	chris 25:14
34:20 37:14	28:16,17	changes 56:9	38:10 41:20
84:12	cent 57:21	102:9 110:5	53:16 56:5
	center 109:9	168:14	67:10 68:13
			69:7 88:14

100:1 104:2	clamoring	clump 27:13,15	coin's 79:18
105:22 106:14	119:3	coast 74:20	111:11
170:4,5	clanking 93:1	coffee 74:21	coinage 4:4
chris's 28:5	clapper 73:6,9	cohesive	67:14,17 76:21
69:9	73:10	163:22	82:7,18 111:16
christopher 2:6	clarity 154:21	coin 3:13 7:2	136:13 142:14
5:1 16:4 38:7	class 77:11	9:21 12:7	coinages 56:9
75:21	118:15	20:21 22:5	coinnews 3:11
chronologica	classic 117:21	23:17 25:4,15	6:22
39:6	classical 53:13	26:3 30:8	coins 12:9,11
chunky 143:12	53:14 114:15	32:20 35:7,11	12:16,19 13:7
circles 119:5	classicism	38:2,17 42:1,2	14:19 33:4,8
circulate 12:17	81:16	42:6,8 60:10	35:8,12 40:17
12:18 31:22	classmate	69:21 77:9	43:19 44:6
62:18	164:19	78:3,8,9,10,14	51:3 53:22
circulated	clean 21:7	79:11 80:16	56:7 58:22
16:14	35:15 39:19	81:9,13 82:2	60:22 62:11
circulating	74:6,13	82:10,16,18,21	65:7,13 67:19
6:11 12:7,9,16	cleanup 74:7	84:18,21 87:4	67:22 68:2,4
12:19 13:21	clear 21:2 36:3	87:11,15 93:1	76:19,22 77:1
14:2 22:10	37:6 42:13	95:10 98:10	77:2,5,7,17,17
26:3 38:16,17	52:1 66:7	99:5 101:5	77:21 78:18
65:13 145:22	69:16 100:15	103:4 106:5,15	80:17 91:6,10
circulation	101:2	107:1,7,22	91:12 92:20
13:7,15 14:17	clearing 66:8	108:4,21 109:9	100:8 104:10
15:3 25:7	66:15,16	109:12 110:14	105:14 108:9
31:21 42:3,9	clearly 39:9	111:15 113:4	109:15 113:7,7
44:2 83:17	41:15 100:1	121:5 124:3,8	113:19 115:3,5
85:22	cliff 92:13	124:15,19	117:12 118:22
citizen 10:2,6	93:15	125:3,7,9,10	119:2,4 121:8
citizens 4:3	close 20:14	126:20 128:7	121:13 124:10
10:15	46:8,14 85:13	131:6,19 133:8	126:14 127:16
city 87:7	96:14	133:9,9 137:1	127:20 134:4,6
civics 10:14	closing 43:3	138:19,22	145:9,22
civil 28:13 30:5	clothing 54:14	141:11,18	colleague 16:13
30:7 34:10	clouds 79:10	144:20 145:2	colleagues
clad 84:9	80:2	152:9 166:3	122:9 123:21
			124:11 126:7

138:8 141:20	comfort 162:12	139:3 141:3,4	companies
143:11	comfortable	142:4 146:13	44:4
collect 113:7	72:8,12	147:18,19	companion
134:4	comic 18:1	161:6 162:19	77:15,18,21
collecting 34:7	coming 22:22	164:15 166:2	78:2
collection	23:17 24:2	166:10	companions
105:12 116:16	49:4 92:7	commerce 70:4	91:7
117:14	121:9 127:11	70:18	comparable
collector 82:3	129:3 132:8,8	commercial	17:4
93:18 99:12	132:22 166:14	105:15	compare 28:6
114:20 115:6	commemorat	committee 4:4	compelled 25:4
115:11 117:11	76:17 80:21	4:15 12:1	compelling
collectors	comment 25:16	13:10 15:11	20:15 43:5
109:13 114:9	25:22 26:7	44:22 51:8,11	105:4 115:9
114:21 115:4	27:17 57:9	58:20 65:6	compile 44:18
116:15,19	65:22 69:9	72:14 73:21	complement
119:3,20	71:14 73:1,3	76:6 88:12	141:7
122:13	105:12,13	92:17 102:9	complemented
colloquial	106:19,21	104:6,14 146:6	43:16
80:16	126:17 133:15	147:13 148:2	complete 65:1
colloquially	144:15 166:10	157:6 161:18	completed
119:5	commented	162:4 163:5	37:16
column 84:18	29:19	166:4	completely
combination	comments 14:6	committee's	64:8 69:20
29:6,14 78:12	15:18,21 25:12	64:15	112:2
108:6 130:20	41:21 43:18	common 27:20	complex 91:19
141:1 154:17	44:11 55:10	70:1 71:8 72:1	complicated
come 16:4 32:2	56:2 57:6	83:13	17:14 18:15
32:16 56:21	58:12,19 60:5	commonality	70:15
60:13 64:11	60:18 63:10	68:3,7	components
76:11 109:7,9	65:22 67:9	commonplace	88:4
109:20 110:6	69:7 72:20	62:3	composition
110:14 123:17	73:20 92:1	communicate	17:6 60:10
133:1 166:12	104:21 107:10	69:1 100:21	86:17
169:18	107:15 127:19	communicates	conceived 71:5
comes 111:2	128:20 130:3,6	39:10	conceiving
115:21 163:6	130:8,11	community	65:8
	133:17 136:21	65:15	

concentrate	confusion	48:11	conversation
96:6	17:16 70:5	constitutional	154:11 159:11
concept 23:7	conglomerati	9:13	conversations
24:12,15 25:18	93:10	constraints	12:13
26:1,5 27:8,22	congratulatio	64:11	convey 54:5
60:22 99:22	17:9 43:22	contemplative	56:13 63:17
106:22 109:12	congress 21:13	121:2 127:10	129:3 138:18
110:19,19	connect 65:3	contemporary	conveyed 24:11
111:1,15 112:2	connected	17:9,19 120:8	conveying
132:6 135:12	12:17 23:6	136:12	53:20
conceptual	65:10	context 78:15	convinced
60:21	connecticut	88:6 108:4	101:16
concern 109:4	30:18	160:9	convincing
155:1,22 162:6	connection	continuation	142:18
concerns	105:6	133:10	cool 41:22
102:21 137:15	connects	continue 53:2	133:22 135:11
164:7 165:11	131:17	104:22	corinthian
166:13	consensus	continued	33:12
concluded	161:17 162:4	57:15	corny 34:15
169:3,5 170:12	consider 10:11	continues	coronet 51:20
concludes	76:3 78:7 88:2	83:11	correct 67:16
11:19 87:20	91:20	continuing	89:3 91:8
107:9	consideration	57:13	96:15 145:17
conclusion	9:7 10:20	continuity 21:8	147:8 167:10
53:19 76:8,11	11:18,22 15:14	58:1 60:13	correction
confer 138:7	15:20 88:1	63:22 67:21	127:3
confident	91:18,22 94:14	68:11,16 88:8	correctly 57:20
21:13	125:13 163:21	97:12 102:10	58:2 160:22
configuration	considerations	110:1,3,11	costello 3:4
103:3 157:20	88:10	112:7,11 116:5	8:10,12 147:12
confirm 7:7	considered	120:17 127:17	147:15,15
conflict 59:22	69:11 78:14,18	138:10	costume 17:9
84:2	considering	contrast	could've 43:21
confounded	92:4 159:19	165:12	counsel 3:7,7
143:1	consistent	controversy	8:18,18 45:14
confused 137:8	58:10	147:4	148:7,21 171:7
confusing	constitution	convention	171:10
18:16	30:6 41:10	9:13	

countries 79:20	crosstalk 13:3	dancing 34:5	deep 100:7
79:22 80:3	20:8 47:22	dangerous	deeply 113:19
90:1 105:8	73:13,19 89:10	26:19	115:18
131:3 141:2	90:18 91:13	dare 82:4	defend 85:18
country 86:4	99:21 126:22	darks 165:11	defensive 83:21
125:19,21	153:2 159:6	dash 25:17,19	define 80:3
157:14 165:7	161:6 166:9	57:9,12,22	defined 19:15
couple 13:19	crowded 124:1	58:7	definitely 22:6
32:8 33:5	crown 142:16	date 25:21 33:2	27:3 68:10
43:18 59:18	crowns 51:6	93:2	161:18
93:12 99:10	crystal 26:13	dated 79:14	definition 25:2
course 76:22	28:22 32:17	171:13	definitively
128:4 137:4	cs6719415 1:22	dates 25:17	145:13
145:20	cue 85:22	54:18,20 77:3	delivery 93:16
court 10:3	cultures 83:7	day 66:12	94:9
covered 133:20	curator 2:17	79:20 80:1	demand 14:3
144:8	6:4	165:5	democracy
covering 23:4	curiosities	days 4:6 94:15	10:3,4,13,16
cowboys 54:15	99:11	dc 84:11	28:6,6,7,8
cox 96:1	curiosity 99:16	deal 50:17	denomination
crazy 73:11,17	curious 69:11	deals 79:19	36:7 69:17,19
127:8	89:7	97:13	70:2
create 27:3	curl 152:4	dear 159:1	denominations
104:9	currently	debate 10:18	91:5,11
created 158:6	161:21	decent 93:13	denotes 54:13
creation	cut 53:3	decide 55:7	department
109:15	cutesy 33:10	60:14 159:11	1:13
creative 114:6	cutting 136:4	decided 99:6	departure
creatively 98:5	cycle 161:14	167:17	103:12
credit 27:1	d	deciding	depending
creeds 83:7	d 4:1 10:3	126:17	134:6 148:13
crickets 72:11	d.c. 1:16	decision 60:14	depends 53:19
crisp 143:16	da 156:22	159:8 164:14	60:9,16
crispness 102:2	157:2 166:15	declaration	depicted 90:10
critical 10:1	dad 158:13	46:19 71:21	155:20
94:19	damn 93:18	73:1 107:3	depicting 84:15
critique 95:20		deco 33:22	depiction 10:8
		86:13	79:17 80:7

86:6 138:17	89:21 90:8	17:11 19:4,22	detailed 112:5
155:17	95:17 96:12,18	20:5 22:12	details 107:21
depicts 82:2	97:12,15 99:5	24:2 25:13	137:10
83:21 86:21	99:6 104:18	26:6 28:20	determination
depression	106:11 107:20	32:2 33:15	86:16
84:3	108:11,18	37:9 42:11	determine
descending	109:18,22	43:17 55:16	155:12
87:6	111:13 112:2,4	59:20 62:21	determined
deserved 142:8	113:21 114:20	63:3,7 64:17	16:21
deserves 97:2	115:20 118:16	69:13,21 76:4	developing
design 2:18,19	118:18,20	76:14,20 77:3	64:22 78:1
2:20,21,22 3:4	119:9,21 120:5	77:16,20,21	development
7:11,15,17,21	120:6,8,11,15	78:2,22 79:5	78:6
8:2,5,11 17:6	121:17,22	79:15 80:11	devices 78:5
18:11,15,22	122:18 125:5	81:1,10,11,15	devises 99:18
19:6,10 23:2	126:1 128:12	81:21 82:9,22	diadems 59:7
26:14,20,21,22	129:8 130:21	83:3 84:8,20	diameter 77:19
27:1,5,9,10,11	132:16,21	85:7 86:2	diana 81:15
27:15 30:20	135:13 137:9	87:20 88:12	101:8 119:13
32:7,19 33:6	137:20 138:9	89:19 90:10	131:14,20
34:3,4,9,9 39:2	139:21 140:11	94:20 104:7,10	diane 95:5
39:19 40:2	141:14,19	104:12 105:3	die 25:21
41:3 42:14	143:14 149:5	106:12,12	145:20
43:5 45:1 46:6	149:10,18	107:18 108:9	difference
46:7,22 48:6	150:6,11,14,19	110:2 112:22	152:15
48:14 49:3,17	151:4,8 156:6	117:4,14,21,21	different 19:7
50:7 51:21	156:12 158:18	117:22 118:6	39:16 40:10
52:1,3,11,15	158:19 159:4	118:11 119:10	63:16 68:22
52:16 53:7	159:20	121:11 122:7	69:13 70:8
55:6 58:2	designed 31:21	130:15 134:9	108:18 110:22
60:16 62:15	84:15 124:19	140:21 145:10	110:22 134:1
63:20 64:3	142:8	147:14 148:3	140:15 143:16
71:20 73:11	designer	160:12	143:18 152:11
76:14 78:6,8	125:11	destiny 86:22	differently
78:10,11 79:7	designs 6:9,13	destroy 134:18	72:8 165:13
80:13 81:18	9:10 10:9,14	detail 38:19	difficult 9:19
83:22 86:16	11:9,15,17,20	111:5,8 112:9	95:1 136:14
87:11 88:21	12:2 15:8	128:13	

diffusion 11:3	dippy 156:22	discussions	77:14 79:2,4
digital 171:3	157:2	50:21 64:19	79:17 80:5,19
dilemma	direct 59:22	disjointed 23:5	81:8,13 82:2,9
151:16	direction 27:2	disliked 107:20	82:16 86:9,10
dime 14:14	60:2 64:18	disperse 13:1	86:12,18 87:9
21:8 38:20	163:2,4	disquieted	89:18 91:13
40:21,21 41:9	directions	162:5	93:16,19 94:6
45:19,21 46:1	65:20	disregard 55:8	98:20 100:12
46:12,18 51:19	directly 118:1	distant 85:11	103:10,15
56:19,19 57:1	169:15	distinct 102:13	105:2,11,18
57:1,4 60:22	director 3:5	distracting	106:18 107:18
61:2,9,13	8:13	51:22 164:3	111:4,22 112:1
62:20 67:12	disappointed	distributed	112:21 113:8
71:5 77:13	43:19 114:18	12:8	113:13 116:21
82:22 83:4,10	disappointme	distribution	117:13 118:2,5
83:16 84:7	43:20	13:6 44:9	118:11 119:12
97:3 101:21	disconnect	diversity 17:13	119:16 122:12
106:7 109:22	139:8	19:8	123:20 129:6
113:8 115:13	discovered	doing 31:12	133:17 134:1
116:4 120:15	113:16	44:5,8,10 53:3	134:22 136:22
126:9 132:15	discreetly	102:1 111:20	139:14,20
138:7 150:2	62:11	133:5 141:8	144:4 147:5
153:18 154:2	discuss 162:17	dollar 6:10 9:8	dollar's 62:17
dimensional	discussed 13:8	9:21 10:7 11:6	80:12
129:10	26:1 61:7	11:13,15 12:3	dollars 13:20
dimensionally	discussing	14:12,14,16	14:3 42:2
158:4,16	31:20	15:3 16:12,14	43:17 44:7
dimes 42:7	discussion 6:8	20:19 22:4,8	79:7,14 92:22
dip 132:14	6:10,13 15:4	22:21 23:18	117:13 118:15
diplomacy	15:13 43:8	31:21 32:20	dome 34:20
100:17 124:6	45:9 51:7	34:5 35:8,11	37:14
diplomatic	70:21 146:13	37:12 40:8,22	domestic 83:18
79:13,18 80:5	147:22 148:1	41:3,22 42:4	111:17
105:4,7 108:1	151:15 153:22	42:19 44:1,14	don 29:3
113:15 114:15	155:6 160:3	44:19 45:2,18	donald 5:13
131:4	166:22 168:12	52:6 54:3 57:3	18:2 51:4,9
dippity 132:7	168:19 169:2	61:1,5,10,18	53:20 55:1,20
132:11,13		67:13 77:11,14	75:18 133:8

Meeting

July 16, 2024

[doo - eagle's] Page 15

doo 132:7,11	58:11 59:4,15	166:9,18 167:9	e
156:22 157:2	60:4,17 63:9	167:13 168:2,5	e 2:1,1 3:1,1
158:13 166:15	64:5 65:21	168:7,11,13,17	4:1,1 11:13
double 77:12	66:21 67:5,7	168:18 169:1	148:6
81:9 95:3,7	68:12,14 69:6	170:1,3,4,5,9	eagle 77:12
106:2 114:17	70:6,20 71:16	dramatic 56:8	79:10 80:15
115:14 118:4	72:4,11,18	106:5 122:15	81:9 82:19
124:21 132:14	73:18 74:8,11	drapery 80:20	85:11,19 87:17
137:13 141:9	74:14,22 75:2	101:3 105:22	95:3,7 96:9,22
151:17,22	75:8,10,12,14	draw 39:13,21	97:1 98:14
153:4	75:16,18,19,21	79:6 85:15	101:14,19
doubles 115:1	75:22 76:1	86:15 102:14	102:19,20
dove 83:11,12	81:4,6 87:22	drawing 87:10	103:2 106:2,14
97:7 110:21,21	88:16 89:1,4	drawn 114:20	108:6,13,15,17
121:4 127:8	89:15 90:21	114:21 116:12	109:5,8 111:9
142:12	91:2,15 99:20	138:13	114:17 115:14
downsize 37:8	100:1,3 104:2	draws 40:4	116:13 118:4
downward	104:20 107:11	dream 131:9	119:13 120:1
73:10	112:15 117:7	dress 107:5	122:2,4 124:21
dr 2:4,6 4:2,20	123:10,10,12	drive 154:12	125:1,16,20
4:20 5:1,3,4,7	127:1,4,12,14	154:13,16	128:9,10
5:10,13,19 6:3	130:4,4 135:19	droopy 127:9	131:14 132:6
7:4,14,17,20	136:8,15,19	dropped 42:3	137:13 138:17
8:1,4,7,10,13	139:5,10,15	dual 33:1 81:12	141:22 142:3
8:17,20 9:2	143:22 144:9	due 96:6	143:5,6,16
11:21 13:16	144:13 145:5	dust 84:4 110:5	147:4 151:17
14:5,8 15:1,17	146:3,11 147:9	110:9	151:21,21,22
20:11 21:20	147:17,20	dutch 28:7	152:1 153:4
25:9 28:1	148:16,20	134:4	157:14,19
31:16 36:13,17	149:14 150:22	dwell 50:17	158:9 161:2
38:6,7,9 41:20	151:11 153:3	dying 96:4	163:11 165:6
43:12 44:12,21	153:12,15,21	dynamic 111:7	eagle's 82:12
45:13,20 46:20	154:18 155:15	119:13 120:4	85:13 96:17
47:19,22 48:9	156:13,17,19	141:21 142:20	98:9 120:3
49:10,14 50:10	159:6,17,22	144:5	125:6,15
52:17,21 53:18	160:2,4,5,6,14		132:10 157:21
54:9 55:9,21	161:5,10		157:22
57:5,18 58:4	162:16 164:12		

eagles 35:12	element 32:17	encourages	entirety 65:9
87:2 115:1	104:17 165:14	13:13	entities 13:1
141:10 143:17	elements 43:5	endearing 18:6	entrusted 82:6
157:17	78:11,12 79:7	ended 18:8	enunciate 4:11
earlier 33:5	80:12 82:9	endorse 156:12	envisioned
59:19 119:18	83:3 84:8 85:3	endorsed 40:16	96:13
early 157:10	86:11,16 87:2	ends 163:7,12	era 82:17
easier 94:19	87:15 120:11	163:14	especially 21:8
easily 54:22,22	163:13	engage 169:15	25:6 34:1,18
east 79:19	elevating 82:7	engaged 114:9	54:6 63:18
eat 65:2	eliminating	england 59:21	69:19 102:3
echo 22:4	99:17	engraver 3:3	157:16
43:14,22	email 45:4 92:9	8:8 80:22	esque 115:19
echos 83:12	136:17	108:3 154:22	essence 81:18
edge 25:2	emanating	162:3,5	et 101:6 124:13
edifice 157:14	84:1	engraving 3:4	ethnic 18:13
editor 3:11,13	emphasis 55:16	8:11 90:13	evans 7:20
6:21 7:1	emphasize	enjoy 108:11	eventually
effect 32:14	66:17	108:21	109:16
35:1 158:8	emphasized	enjoyed 22:12	everybody 22:1
effective 32:3	9:18	32:12 111:16	30:22 36:20
effort 14:17	emphasizes	enlargement	37:15 46:4
egyptian 29:21	10:1	80:14	53:4 116:4
84:16	emphasizing	ensure 13:6	144:8 148:14
eh 97:3 98:2	23:19	75:3	everyday
135:3	employed	enter 25:6	111:17
eisenhower	171:7,10	83:20	everyone's
42:2	employee	entered 86:2	72:12 133:18
either 31:8	97:20 171:9	entertain	evidence 15:16
35:3 56:12	encapsulate	151:13 153:7	evocative 22:18
69:22 152:10	81:18	159:12 169:20	23:15 24:18
152:16 156:22	encircle 125:7	entertainment	evoke 83:3
159:13	encircles 109:9	117:17	exact 93:1
electronically	encircling	enthusiasm	exactly 21:14
148:6	79:11	22:5	35:5,5 40:8
elegant 26:8,9	encourage 15:3	entire 36:2	140:7 152:6
32:8,18 105:20	encouraged	entirely 99:6	example 61:13
137:6	78:7		

exception	expression	family 131:8	161:2
36:18 157:10	62:3 86:19	famous 9:17	feature 10:8
exceptional	expressions	157:19	11:9 39:20
42:10,14	22:16 61:22	fan 33:13,18	77:3,19 83:8
exceptions	extended 87:16	34:3 35:13	86:5
99:11	161:1	117:20 119:10	featured 80:8
excited 21:18	extends 83:22	fantastic 39:2	87:18 90:3
22:3 134:15	extremely	50:10	featuring 37:11
excitement	16:20	fantastical	76:19 77:6
22:4	\mathbf{f}	106:9	85:16
exciting 20:15	face 56:2 70:12	far 71:19 72:2	february 96:3
22:13 116:14	70:13 152:14	82:3 95:6 97:7	federal 12:12
excludes 52:12	faces 58:21	101:9 102:6	157:16 169:7
excuse 30:12	facing 58:15,17	103:20 109:22	feel 33:21
executed 36:20	58:17 59:20	120:1	36:21 38:15
exergue 33:2	60:2,6,6,7,12	fasces 84:17	58:20 64:2
existing 84:12	fact 12:8 16:19	fascinated 22:8	73:11 88:8
expanded	18:12 19:7,15	fast 148:13	104:14 107:6
28:12	23:4 26:10	father 26:17	140:17
experience	38:16 51:18	favor 146:15	feeling 86:16
62:15	56:6 67:15	153:22 167:2	120:18 162:9
experiences	71:19 92:6,11	168:19 170:6	feels 51:9
111:1	97:13 101:15	favorite 29:6	fell 98:4
expert 157:8	102:4 109:12	109:22 112:6	felt 16:11 33:20
expertise 100:7	114:19 116:10	119:14,21	100:18 103:17
123:13,16	118:3 124:4	120:6 121:10	fifth 80:18 99:4
160:11	133:3 138:2	121:12,13	figure 26:12
explains 60:20	140:20 143:18	123:1 134:14	87:12
explanation	159:9 169:9	140:3 142:9	figured 113:17
160:17	factually 96:3	143:14 144:4,7	figurehead
explore 10:9	96:10,14	favorites	80:8
65:18 84:8	fair 169:11,17	133:13	figures 37:2
explores 9:21	faithfully 77:2	feathered	53:13,14
express 15:12	fall 92:12	156:7,7,11	117:16,17
88:8	falling 55:4	157:4 163:19	fills 16:20 17:6
expressed	famed 79:14	feathers 19:17	17:7 33:6 35:9
58:16		111:9 142:3	36:1
		143:19 147:5,7	

final 67:9	140:11 151:15	162:12,13	78:7
111:11	152:8 156:2	163:3 166:21	fond 126:3
finally 37:21	161:12	166:21 167:2	127:21 128:21
61:5 80:18	fit 51:21 63:16	167:17	129:13,14
82:14 85:21	64:3 125:17	flags 156:21	155:17
87:14 116:20	fits 17:21 18:7	flame 19:17	font 33:22
151:7	18:22 111:14	flanked 87:1	123:8 126:4,6
financially	116:17 134:20	flat 95:11	126:8 152:16
171:11	fitting 52:8	flight 102:20	152:17
find 41:22	138:14 163:15	142:2 161:1	fonts 152:11
42:12 43:4	five 15:21 61:1	flip 125:4	food 86:7
67:21 89:19	65:9 68:21,22	flipped 109:6	force 152:22
106:4 128:9	69:13 70:8	floor 72:15,19	forced 98:3
134:3 161:3	76:19 77:17	130:19	foregoing
fine 107:7	88:2 91:20	florence 28:7	171:4
108:19 114:1	95:4 99:9	flower 89:22	foreign 83:18
115:16 116:1	117:11,14	flowers 80:2	foreshortening
125:5 153:13	144:15 148:5	89:20 90:10,12	108:14
166:13	fix 166:15	90:17 105:10	forever 10:21
finger 137:18	flag 16:19 17:6	flowing 79:8,9	forget 18:5
finish 89:7	24:5 29:13	80:20 158:7	forgets 55:2
finished 125:11	33:6 37:4	fluttering	form 93:3
fire 29:8	42:16,20 82:12	160:20	125:15 164:8
firmly 58:16	96:16 101:14	flying 97:1	formal 146:6,7
86:5	101:19 108:6	106:15 128:12	format 101:17
first 9:7 28:4	115:15,17,20	143:10 151:21	formed 12:14
46:2 47:1 48:1	116:2 120:3	focus 63:2	forth 24:20
48:12 49:14	124:5 125:16	66:17 83:9	54:7 71:6
56:18 65:13	132:7,8,11	88:6 107:15	103:13 165:8
67:15 78:22	137:16 142:2	108:2 119:10	forum 169:10
79:3 80:21	152:2,4 155:7	fold 152:6	169:19
81:1 90:1	155:18,20	155:11,19	forward 22:16
92:13,15 94:7	156:5,9,9	folklore 84:16	37:13 39:6,10
94:7 95:13	157:1,2 158:8	folks 74:20	40:20 46:18
98:21 101:4	158:9 159:1,9	161:22	50:15 62:2
122:15 123:20	159:13,14	followed 27:2	71:22 111:8
124:22 130:8	160:17,20	following 7:8	143:7 164:1
133:15 135:14	161:1,3,19,19	9:13 10:20	169:19

[found - goes] Page 19

found 26:8	futures 86:4	generally 53:11	globe 79:13
105:19 115:8	g	62:13	go 14:8,20 16:8
116:5	g 4:1	generation	17:12 18:18
founder 3:11	gal 134:17	23:8 65:14	19:20 25:13
6:21	game 107:11	generations	32:14 34:21
four 153:6,14	gathering	67:15	35:22 38:10
fourth 82:14	27:13	gentle 25:1	40:2 42:10
99:3	gaudens 81:8	getter 46:14	44:19 45:18,20
fragment 36:9	81:14,15,17	47:2,10	47:21 48:10
franklin 9:14	82:1,5,11 92:6	getters 153:17	50:15 51:13
frb 13:21	95:14 96:1,4	154:1	52:21 59:9
freedom 83:9	96:20 101:5	getting 25:12	62:7,18 65:18
86:19 97:5	106:2 108:10	33:19 44:1	66:5 68:9
113:10	117:13,18	69:2 110:2	71:16 81:2
frequently	118:2,5 119:12	118:21 133:9	91:2,6 93:14
53:15	131:11 141:9	giant 27:9	94:5 95:2 96:9
friend 105:3	141:15,15,16	gilkes 3:13 7:1	97:3,18 100:10
frock 133:21	151:19 167:22	girl 29:9,14	103:22 106:3
front 86:22	gaudy 127:22	31:11	107:19 109:13
103:2,7 110:14	163:6 164:8,8	girl's 29:9 37:4	113:13 114:3
131:1,17	165:22	39:7	114:17 116:11
full 74:1,3,9	gauge 50:14	give 10:19 27:1	116:21 119:19
156:12	gelberd 2:12	39:22 44:17	120:22 121:6
fuller 2:4 4:20	5:16,18 31:17	53:4 64:10,22	122:10 126:11
49:14 75:19	31:18,19 59:17	73:19 74:16	127:12 128:1
123:11,12	59:18 75:14,15	93:18 95:18	129:5 134:8,18
127:4,14 130:4	89:6,7,13	97:1,16 124:2	135:7 139:8,13
160:4,6,7	117:9 145:7,8	128:14 158:7	139:20 140:5,9
168:13,13,17	145:19 146:7	given 65:12	140:10 141:5
further 43:8	geldberd 117:8	94:22 104:9	143:4 152:17
58:18 72:14	117:10	114:11 125:12	162:20 163:4
147:21,22	general 2:3,14	gives 11:2 94:3	166:16 167:18
159:7 162:18	2:15 4:17 5:20	giving 97:22	goal 14:22 64:9
164:15 166:22	5:22 12:21	glad 112:18	god 11:10 33:1
167:14 171:9	22:5,15 56:10	gladly 76:12	goes 28:11
future 42:15	70:21 92:12	glitch 43:13	115:1 131:16
61:5,20 109:17	130:8	global 80:6	152:1 161:20
138:2			

[going - half] Page 20

going 9:16	gold 76:19,22	grand 106:6	grow 24:17
13:18 14:18	77:7,9,17,21	grandfather	growing 24:21
17:19 18:4,4	78:3 81:9,13	26:17	24:21 35:6
18:17 23:13	82:2,10,16	graphic 164:21	80:6
24:7 25:6 26:6	91:6,12 93:21	grasping 86:5	guadens 77:11
26:20 27:15	94:1 101:5	great 12:10	guard 32:15
29:6 30:9	117:13 118:5	17:12 18:20	guardian 11:3
32:10 36:22	119:12 131:11	19:2 30:7	24:10 30:14
38:13 39:22	goldsborough	35:11 50:17	guess 26:8,9
43:14 50:14	84:15 97:19	54:1 64:1	29:10 68:14
57:11 62:9,10	golino 3:12	83:17 84:3	97:11 128:3
62:11,17 64:13	6:22	93:17 110:19	142:22 161:11
64:20 67:13,18	good 4:2 6:20	120:16 121:8	guide 160:11
68:15 70:15,18	14:20 17:4	122:8 123:22	guiding 23:8
71:1 73:9	19:15,20 22:1	124:8 125:8,9	87:12
77:22 91:6	25:11 29:18	125:9 126:1,1	gus 92:5
92:10,13 93:20	37:7 38:9	128:12 130:21	h
93:22 94:2,10	39:20 44:4,9	142:14,15	hair 23:11 29:5
94:10 98:10,12	44:13,21 47:18	164:17	51:5,10,18
99:14,15,18	70:19 88:7	greater 25:1	53:9,12 54:19
100:4,10 103:3	93:13 94:16	greg 3:7 8:17	54:20 55:17,22
103:4 105:13	97:2 109:1,1	12:19 44:15	79:8,9 80:14
107:14,17	121:14 130:20	45:4,6,14,16	85:4 119:7
108:15 109:14	132:5 136:10	50:10 66:4	130:17
109:16 111:3	141:10,13	148:7,8,21	hairdo 55:18
112:18 113:6	147:6 152:6	149:1 151:11	hairpieces 54:5
121:2 122:21	googled 73:8	gripping 133:6	hairstyle 22:17
123:3,15 124:2	gorgeous 32:22	grit 128:19	55:17 108:22
129:21 130:7	120:9	ground 111:19	half 6:9 9:8,21
134:22 139:8	govern 10:21	group 12:14	10:7 11:5,13
140:14 143:6	government	71:10 94:12,13	11:15 12:3
144:20 148:10	3:9 8:21 9:16	140:4 142:5	13:20 14:3,12
148:11 151:18	governmental	143:15 144:3,7	14:14,16 15:3
152:20 154:10	157:16	grouping 81:21	16:12,14 20:19
157:19 158:11	governors 11:1	88:5	22:4,8,21
158:12,12	gown 27:14	groups 88:2	23:18 28:14
162:22 163:20	grabbed	91:20 144:16	31:21 32:20
165:3,3,18,19	135:10	153:6,8	31.21 32.20

[half - high] Page 21

34:5 35:8,11	60:7 62:5 64:6	159:12	146:14
37:12 38:1	66:1,22 68:4,6	harcourt 2:4	heartedly
40:7,21,22	68:13 69:8	4:20 75:19	156:12
41:3,22 42:4	70:9 85:12	123:11 127:6	heavily 22:10
42:19 43:17	86:5 87:16	127:12 160:5,6	hecate 23:12
44:1,7,14,19	88:14 89:4,15	160:15 168:13	held 82:2,17
45:2,18 49:9	89:19 91:4	hard 145:20	133:6
52:6 54:2 57:3	104:4 133:5	harken 82:15	hell 152:19
61:1,5,10,18	144:18 145:6	harvest 86:7	hello 141:20
62:17 66:8,15	147:1 151:14	hat 54:13 55:2	143:21
66:16 67:13	154:8,18 156:2	hate 29:4	helmet 33:13
77:14 86:9,10	156:13 160:15	hats 54:15	53:10 84:10
86:11,18 87:9	161:9 162:18	55:14	133:3
98:12,20	162:20 167:19	he'll 166:15	help 70:15,18
103:10,15	hand's 146:3	head 18:2,3	121:8 151:18
106:18 111:22	handing 42:22	26:20 31:13,14	154:10 155:5
112:1 116:20	handle 69:4	52:5 54:15,17	155:14 160:11
122:12,14	hands 16:22	59:9 77:12	161:2 167:21
129:6 133:16	19:7,14 22:11	79:12 80:16	helpful 72:16
133:22 134:22	23:5 25:3	101:21 128:18	helps 164:20
138:20 144:4	30:22 31:10	133:4 153:18	heralds 82:17
153:19 154:4	42:1,5,21	164:19	hereto 171:10
halfs 13:9	51:14 82:3	headache	hermon 85:1
hall 30:11,12	109:13,16	29:12	heroes 117:17
31:9 40:19	115:7 156:1	headbands	heros 117:19
halo 32:14	hang 133:6	55:15	hesitant 114:10
halves 14:11	happen 13:21	headpiece 55:1	hey 52:2 63:2
hanchock 2:21	34:2 40:7	55:8	70:2 136:3
8:1,3 9:9	happened	hear 20:7	164:17
hand 12:4	16:18 94:6,15	51:11 94:4	hi 59:17 147:15
13:16 14:9	happening	136:16 139:9	hidden 41:17
19:8,9 29:10	13:22 43:13	164:15 169:15	high 46:7,13
31:12,14 37:4	62:10	heard 26:7	47:2,10 48:6
39:8,8 43:10	happy 16:20	131:4 145:13	48:13 49:17
52:19 53:17	51:13 72:19	154:11 155:6,9	50:7 66:7,14
54:10 55:11	74:1 137:1,13	160:10 161:18	77:11 81:8
56:13 57:18	138:7,8,21	hearing 9:6	87:6 95:7,8,9
58:5 59:16	139:5,5 151:12	72:11 91:17	96:8 145:9

146:1,9,12,16	75:6,7 112:16	hughes 22:18	113:11 126:7
149:5,10,18	112:18 156:3	hundred 41:2	158:2
150:6,10,14,19	162:22 167:7	hurt 130:12	imagined 84:11
151:4,8 153:13	hoarded 14:12	hybrid 33:8	imitative 102:4
153:16 154:1	holding 26:13	i	immediately
164:18,19	26:18 29:9	_	110:6
165:16	85:14	iconic 84:16	impact 25:5
higher 145:21	hollandia	113:18	implicates 66:5
highest 49:3	134:5	iconography	importance
highlight 10:15	homage 78:20	82:16 84:9	10:16 86:18
highlighting	79:21 80:19	idea 12:16	important
86:18	81:22 86:20	24:20 26:17	19:11,19 55:5
highly 159:2	118:9 138:14	30:10 33:11	55:5 78:13
historian 165:1	home 115:18	63:5 69:22 72:9,12 109:14	95:15 160:10
historic 27:20	164:19	109:20 110:21	importantly
28:15 76:20	honestly 152:3	147:6	169:14
77:2,17,20	hooverville	ideals 83:7	impossible
78:8,14,18	84:3	ideas 98:4,6	95:1 157:20
79:11,18 80:14	hope 20:6	identify 15:22	impressive
82:21 84:17	83:11 84:2	92:2	42:19
88:6	92:8 165:20	ignorance	improve 94:17
historical 28:4	hopefully	10:22 18:21	inane 111:20
78:15 82:18	57:16 76:11	19:11,19 30:16	inappropriate
94:8	145:22	32:7 35:2	15:11 37:12,15
historically	hoping 32:5	ii 86:8	inaudible 13:5
40:5 96:10	horns 18:3	illinois 169:12	13:14 16:5
98:1 124:12	23:11 27:9	illustrated	20:18,22 21:6
157:9	30:1 33:19	86:13	21:9 30:10,19
history 2:7 5:2	52:7 53:5	image 18:1	38:4 41:7
16:5 28:16	horrible 95:2	73:8 97:4	44:11 52:2,5
69:18 100:7	hosting 169:9	98:12 106:4,10	52:10,14 53:8
125:19 142:22	hour 45:6 74:1	107:4 119:13	57:16 58:2
165:2	74:3,9	142:7	59:5 63:4 72:2
hit 140:2	house 2:5,10	imagery 24:19	74:6 93:17
hoard 2:14	4:21 5:8	42:14 164:5	113:4,17,21,22
5:19 20:12,13	huge 33:18	images 73:8	114:6,13 115:6
51:16 57:20	136:2,10	94:13 96:20	123:18 131:5,7
62:6 66:2 69:9			131:9 132:5

133:20 135:22	indicate 7:9	inside 73:7	113:14 122:17
136:2,13	70:2 77:4	113:3,5	123:7 130:12
138:11 139:14	indicated	inspiration	134:3,8 137:4
139:15,19	167:15	78:3 79:6,16	137:9 143:3
140:10,14	indicator 66:10	85:15 86:15	interferes 63:6
141:11,12,12	individual	87:13	intergenerati
141:18 143:6	62:14 71:4	inspire 10:14	20:16
143:12,20,22	78:11 88:4	inspired 30:3	intergovernm
156:4 160:17	individually	77:20 78:13	3:6,10 8:14,22
160:18,19	62:16 65:7	79:1 80:12	internet 139:7
163:10,11	68:1 153:9	81:14 82:22	interpret 82:9
inch 98:11	inexorably	84:6,20 85:1,5	165:9
inches 77:19	118:2	85:10 104:10	interpretation
inclined 26:16	inextricably	117:21 118:11	32:11 95:7
116:16	115:2	118:14 122:16	120:5 135:1
include 11:12	inflection 61:4	123:15 136:12	157:21 160:18
52:15 77:10	influence 19:17	140:2 141:9	interpretations
78:15 90:9	information	inspiring 28:21	93:14
included 91:10	140:19	135:11	interpreted
includes 6:8	informed 10:17	instance 64:12	62:22 63:1
84:12	informs 81:16	instructed	interpreting
including	inherently	61:14	132:18
84:10 105:7	51:17	intend 92:11	interpretive
inclusive 28:8	initially 159:10	163:9	160:8
28:9,10,13	innovative	intended 78:9	interprets
incorporated	133:11 159:3	intense 61:15	158:3
155:8	163:6	62:1 140:18	interrupt 127:2
incorrect 96:3	inscription	intensity 62:21	intertwined
96:10 98:1	11:8 34:22	intent 98:7	115:2
increase 14:17	81:19 83:5	168:16	intriguing
incredible	153:2	intention 108:7	106:10,17
121:20	inscriptions	interest 10:14	introducing
indented	11:5,10,12	22:6 26:22	60:20
110:17	88:19 91:9	interested	invention
independence	99:17	23:14 93:19	28:17
30:11 31:9	insculptured	171:11	invoke 81:16
40:19 46:19	158:16	interesting	involved 24:8
		19:18 101:22	92:15

involving	job 1:22 158:19	keeping 24:22	54:16 62:19
111:17	joe 147:12,18	41:10 77:16	70:3 78:16
issuance 77:4	147:20 155:5	kellen 2:14	92:13 93:8,9
issue 51:8	155:13 157:4	5:19 7:2 20:12	97:9 98:3
55:10,14,19	160:7,13	34:20 51:15	100:6 101:22
56:3 62:20	161:12 162:16	52:17 53:1	102:19,21
70:22 77:3	164:14 166:12	57:18 58:7	113:1,11,18,21
116:13	166:17	62:4 63:9,13	114:6,9,14
issues 9:3	john 2:10 5:7	64:8 65:22	115:21 116:13
50:22 152:2	14:7 28:2,3	66:21 69:8	117:2,3 130:1
it'll 114:1	31:16 33:7	70:11,19 71:15	130:15,18,22
165:14 166:12	43:12 44:12	75:6 112:16	131:1 132:7,15
item 73:14	52:21 53:16	117:7 118:1	147:1 157:9
j	56:14 71:16	119:22 137:15	161:16,17,21
j 84:15	72:4 75:12	156:1 157:3	162:2,4,7
jab 158:14	91:2 130:5	162:19 164:18	163:11 164:7
james 10:20	135:19 146:22	164:18	166:11
jeanne 2:8 5:4	156:19 166:10	kellen's 53:19	kinda 18:3
16:1 20:11	168:11 170:1	kennedy 14:11	king 80:17
33:4 39:3	joined 7:3	67:13	105:14,15
54:10 55:9	joking 74:10	kenyon 95:22	118:22 119:2,4
57:7 58:6 70:9	joseph 3:3 8:7	kept 22:22 24:2	124:10,14
70:11 75:5	157:7	24:14	131:5
135:20 136:15	journey 79:14	key 38:2 87:15	kitschy 115:21
136:16,20	july 1:7 4:4	142:13	163:9
139:2,16 144:9	171:14	kids 29:8	kitschyness
144:13 156:21	jumping 106:5	killed 132:3	137:15,17
160:15 161:5	justice 10:3	kills 134:22	know 13:2,7
jeanne's 26:7	juxtaposition	kind 12:15	14:13,15 16:22
165:10	158:8 164:22	16:10 17:15	17:8,10 18:5
jen 13:16	k	19:16 21:4,7	18:21 28:17
jennifer 1:6 3:5	karat 76:19	25:20 28:4,14	29:3 31:22
6:17,20 8:13	keep 9:18,22	28:14 29:6,10	32:6,15 36:18
20:6 136:3	10:10 15:21	29:20 30:1,2,3	38:15,22 39:2
139:11	92:1 107:14	30:10 31:4	39:7,11,16,19
jennifer.warren	140:17 160:19	32:5 34:4,15	39:20 40:1,3,7
1:17		40:9 51:19,20	40:8,11,16,18
		52:22 53:22,22	40:21 41:8,11

[know - liberty] Page 25

41:16 42:1,3,7	156:10 157:9	layering 163:7	level 57:22
42:20 43:1,10	163:1,4,6,13	layers 162:1	levels 70:5
43:18 44:2,8	163:20 164:4	layout 32:13	155:10
44:22 51:5	165:8 166:14	33:21 120:10	lexicon 165:2
52:8 53:13,18	knowledge	121:20	liable 93:20
54:2,15 61:3	10:2,13,17,21	leader 2:10,11	liaison 3:6 6:17
62:1,9,17 63:2	11:2,3 18:20	5:8,11,14	8:15
65:1,1,3 66:7	19:10,19 24:10	leading 21:14	liberty 10:8
66:12,14,15,18	29:2 30:14,15	leads 154:15	11:4,13 16:20
67:14 69:2,11	32:7 35:1 36:5	lean 21:17	18:10 20:21
69:15,18 70:13	37:22 41:17	leaning 22:15	21:5 23:20
70:14,15,18	171:6	163:2	24:10,17,22
76:12 97:6	known 119:2,4	leave 35:2	26:10,12 29:2
100:6,14,22	1	104:1	30:11,15 34:5
101:10,11	lady 26:10 54:5	leaving 99:19	35:10 39:5
102:3,8,12,13	54:18 67:4	left 58:15,17	51:2 53:14
102:18 103:14	132:17 142:16	59:8,10,12	54:5,18,19
105:5 110:9	laid 36:4 39:3	60:14	58:13,15,21
111:7 113:18	land 165:7,7	leg 96:5 111:6	59:1,8,10,20
114:18 117:2	langston 22:18	134:10 143:11	60:1,6 61:3,9
117:16 118:8	large 14:1	legal 3:7 8:17	61:11,14,16,18
120:15,19	35:10 91:19	12:1 88:11	62:14 65:12,13
121:22 123:3	92:6 122:21	92:20	67:4,8,10,16
123:15 124:4,6	larger 35:7	legislation	67:18 68:1
124:12 125:2,2	38:18 71:10	13:13 15:10	71:12,22 73:7
125:11,19	83:4	92:21	77:7,12,13,14
126:6,13,17	largest 42:1	legislative 3:5	79:17 80:7,20
127:10 128:2,4	lastly 78:17	3:10 8:14,22	82:11,17,19
128:11,13	latch 121:8	legs 128:11	83:8,21 84:9
129:1 130:11	lately 24:19	lend 25:18,22	84:19 85:2,10
132:6,18	latin 81:20	36:11	85:11,14,16,17
133:17,18	laughter 21:16	lens 78:17	86:1,9,10,18
134:1,18	laurel 18:1,3	113:1	87:9,12 96:14
136:11,13	34:1	lesser 52:4	97:5 98:5
140:2,6,14	laurels 85:4	lettering	101:2,8,15,21
141:9,12	lay 9:19	108:22 120:11	102:16 106:5
145:20 152:2		letting 104:19	106:11 107:4
152:19 155:5			108:20 111:3

[liberty - lot] Page 26

112:1 116:9,15	liking 94:11	131:15,21	61:19 63:14
116:18,20	limited 66:12	134:11 140:18	94:1 95:8
120:14 121:2	78:4	141:22 142:4	98:13 99:10,12
121:11,18	line 33:2 65:12	143:1,12 152:3	107:2 110:12
122:12,14	93:4 118:8	160:20 162:12	111:16 131:22
128:1 130:18	lines 50:21	162:17	132:10 140:11
130:18 132:4	125:7	live 57:2 98:22	147:2 158:2,20
132:20 133:4	list 2:2 3:2	119:15 157:3	163:9,12,14
133:14 134:10	51:13	lived 131:7	164:2,21
135:11 138:1,3	listening 4:13	lives 20:16	looks 18:3 23:4
138:12,20	literal 20:18	lobbying	28:15 29:20,21
140:12,14	164:22	158:18 159:3	44:20 59:1,14
142:8,17	literalism	london 131:7	60:14 62:2
143:11 145:10	158:2	long 60:12	99:5 108:13,17
147:3 150:12	litter 74:5,12	121:9	108:19 109:5
150:22 151:1,2	little 15:7	look 25:20	110:7 128:16
151:2 153:18	16:12 17:5,8	26:16 33:3	128:22 129:7,9
154:3,3	17:16,18,22	53:5,6 78:22	134:11 143:8
liberty's 27:12	18:14,16 19:14	93:10 97:10	lose 36:10
29:13 39:18	19:18 23:5	109:15 111:12	lost 36:15 37:5
60:12 61:22	26:7,16,18	120:9 134:4	98:12 136:15
62:4 79:8,12	27:11,16 28:15	143:16 152:6	139:15,16
80:14 85:4	28:18 29:8	152:11,13	lot 17:13 24:18
87:15 119:7	32:16 33:10,11	158:12,13	39:22 42:11
120:18	34:12,15 35:6	160:11,21	68:22 94:19
libertys 67:22	37:4 38:18	165:6,13	100:5 101:15
68:3 71:1,4,6,6	39:7,8 43:19	169:19	102:8 103:8
71:7,9,10,13	53:3,10 54:21	looked 27:14	105:4,21 107:7
72:6	61:7,15,16,17	27:19 39:17	107:9 110:2
light 110:15,18	62:2 73:19	52:7 113:1	117:15 120:15
lighting 18:6	74:15 76:10	117:16 122:15	120:19 122:9
liked 26:10	89:19 100:22	131:13 135:14	123:3,14,21,22
27:1 43:5,21	102:20 108:14	152:7	124:2 128:13
102:22 103:11	108:18 110:15	looking 22:2	128:14 129:10
106:11 134:14	113:10,10	24:2 26:10	129:11,11,17
140:6	114:10 116:10	29:11 37:12	134:5 135:2
likely 22:9	120:1 122:20	39:6,10 40:20	140:6 165:11
	123:3 126:4	42:6 59:10	165:11,12

[lots - megan] Page 27

lots 53:12	105:12 106:19	male 133:21	meaning 164:2
louis 3:12 6:22	130:11 133:17	man 87:17	means 26:3
10:3	156:16 158:8	management	95:12 125:20
love 16:19 17:7	164:14	2:18 7:12	meant 81:16
18:12 19:20	madison 10:21	manager 2:20	83:5 90:13
22:16,16,17	mail 148:6	2:21,22 3:4	medal 20:21
24:15 26:15	main 133:7	7:18,21 8:2,5	74:18 77:9,15
32:13 33:22	maintained	8:10 90:8	77:18 78:2,9
35:12 36:4,6	24:22	mandate 13:5	78:19 79:16
42:14,14,15	maintenance	manner 110:22	81:10 82:8,15
110:20 113:7,7	111:21	manufacturing	84:20 87:21
113:8 114:12	major 67:14,17	145:13	95:16 96:9
116:5 120:7,10	majority 2:11	march 96:3	99:18 122:22
121:3 122:2,22	5:11	mark 25:20	133:8 137:2
124:16 125:14	make 14:20	32:10 77:6	144:21,22
133:1 135:15	15:4 25:5	119:7 129:19	147:14 167:22
137:21,21	28:13 37:20	marketing	medallic 2:9
141:15,15	38:15 50:12	99:14	5:5 120:10,16
142:6,11	52:13 57:11	marks 94:2	medals 6:15
loved 142:19	76:7 105:13	masterpiece	76:5 77:18,22
lovely 112:5	127:2 142:3	87:5	79:1 81:2
133:15 138:21	146:5,7 147:7	match 125:18	88:14,19 89:7
loving 118:14	151:15 152:20	142:2	95:15 108:10
low 158:11	153:14 156:15	math 56:21	136:12 144:20
lower 70:4	156:22 158:21	matter's 38:3	145:9,11,22
lunch 73:22	159:8,16	mature 18:9	146:9,13,17
m	165:21,22	24:17 61:18	148:4
ma'am 145:14	166:2	62:1 83:6	mediocre
macneil 98:3	makes 19:18	mean 10:22	130:22
117:18	40:19 98:18	14:18 15:6	meet 148:18
macneil's 85:1	143:19 165:12	28:5,8 31:13	meeting 1:1 4:3
85:7	making 46:6,13	43:21 53:12	4:7 6:7,19 7:6
mad 134:17	47:2,9 48:5,13	68:14 94:20,21	11:7 15:14,15
made 14:17	49:2 50:6	109:18 117:15	22:3 71:13
43:18 52:1	69:16 149:5,9	122:7 134:11	169:6,20 170:9
59:8 67:11	150:6,10,14,18	135:6 143:7	170:12
73:3,15 93:9	151:3,8	158:14 168:4	megan 2:19
,			7:14

member 2:6,12	mermaid	154:11 160:1,2	misspoke 168:6
2:16 5:1,16 6:4	120:19 123:18	mike's 101:7	mix 20:17
91:21 166:4	mess 99:3	102:21	41:11
members 4:7	message 22:20	mince 130:10	model 87:5
4:15 6:18 7:5	24:9,20 60:12	mind 71:3	95:19
15:21 58:20	78:14,17 129:2	117:1 136:14	modeled 95:14
62:8 75:4 76:6	messages 63:17	166:5	95:16
104:6,14	messaging	minority 2:10	modeling 95:20
144:17 155:16	154:21	5:8,14	95:21 96:8
155:18 157:7	metal 154:8	mint 1:14 6:14	models 93:1
169:3,14	metaphors	6:17 7:8 9:3	moderated 1:6
memory 126:2	163:7	12:22 15:2	modern 27:3,4
menna 3:3 8:7	michael 2:11	43:16,22 44:3	27:6 32:10
8:9 147:12,19	3:4 5:10 8:10	50:14 66:11,17	33:4,15 40:2
155:5,13 157:6	18:5	71:3 74:18	77:20 78:17
157:7 162:17	microphone	76:5,18,21	79:20 80:1
164:17	4:10 68:7	77:8,16 78:3	95:6 104:9
mention 43:3	161:9	88:13 89:2	117:2,3 128:4
59:18	microsoft 4:10	92:20 94:2	144:5
mentioned	mighty 82:4	99:16 107:4	modernization
11:7 25:14	mike 3:11 6:21	147:7,12 148:4	93:6 96:13,18
26:22 27:18	36:14,16 38:6	165:21 169:4	98:16 114:7
90:11	56:13 57:5	mint's 80:21	modernizatio
mercury 77:13	58:4 59:6,15	minutes 15:15	106:13
82:22 83:4,10	68:4 75:16	15:22 44:16,18	modernize
83:16 84:7	92:4,8 99:20	45:11 74:3,13	104:11
97:3 106:7	100:8 105:3	74:15 95:4	modernized
109:22 113:8	106:2 114:18	96:7 99:9	85:17 96:15
115:13 116:4	119:18 123:13	117:11 148:9	97:8
120:14 126:9	125:12 126:3	148:13,17	modernizing
132:15 150:2	127:21 129:13	mirrored 85:18	97:15
153:17 154:2	130:8,10 132:3	misses 32:9	modification
merely 12:17	141:16,17	119:7	27:16
126:18	144:18 146:3	missing 38:3	modified 153:2
merging	146:16 147:12	49:13,13	mold 68:8
163:10	147:15,17	mission 79:8	molds 54:6
merit 105:6	151:13,16	80:5,13	moment 21:15
109:21	153:3,11,16		47:11 67:5

[moment - new]

Page 29

	I	I	
153:5,7 162:17	146:4,6,8,11	164:10	63:19 64:16
163:4	146:15,15,19	municipal	65:4 68:17
moments 42:6	152:10,20	157:15	69:14 104:11
72:15,20	153:5,14,15,19	music 117:17	129:3
monarchy 9:17	154:1,6 157:1	mute 4:9 56:15	necessary
124:13	159:13,16,18	muted 43:12	22:19 24:17
money 32:4	161:6 164:13	56:14 68:5	111:21 131:22
169:11,17	166:19,22	70:9	132:19 163:8
moniker 80:17	167:1,2,13,21	mythical 84:9	necessity 24:21
monstrosity	168:3,7,9	mythological	need 9:4 18:20
137:7	169:2,21 170:6	84:7	30:17 44:15
monument	motions 144:17	n	54:12,22 55:2
84:13	146:21 151:13	n 2:1 3:1 4:1	58:9 68:9,19
moran 2:11	167:14	nailed 40:6	95:14 148:10
5:10,12 36:14	motto 36:7	name 4:16 7:10	155:20 159:8
36:15,16,18	move 9:6 11:14	75:5	160:9 161:17
56:17 58:6	21:10 46:18	names 90:9,16	162:19
59:6 68:6 74:5	47:20 49:9	nation 37:20	needed 16:11
74:10,12 75:16	63:5 76:2 95:3	83:6	27:16
75:17 92:4,9	144:3 149:13	nation's 86:7	needs 43:16
99:22 144:19	153:8 154:10	159:1	96:9 119:1
145:1,4 146:4	159:19 169:22	national 80:1	128:19 162:14
146:10 151:15	movement	84:14 87:1	negative 37:5
151:16 153:11	22:17 86:17	nations 140:15	52:9 87:4
153:13 160:1,1	129:11	native 19:16	neither 171:6
161:8,11	moving 11:17	35:16 83:14	neoclassical
162:10	23:19 46:12	121:4 133:1	86:14
morgan 147:5	47:8 48:20	142:15 165:6	nerd 136:22
morning 4:2	50:2 60:19	natural 93:9	network
6:20 22:1	61:4 79:4 81:7	158:1	115:19 164:20
25:11 38:9	82:21 84:19	nature 29:1	never 18:4,4
mosquitoes	97:18 98:20	127:9	93:20 95:14
55:3	101:8,21	nautical 136:22	138:19 143:5
mother 42:15	105:17 120:14	nay 74:4 167:6	151:20 158:10
85:19	126:9 132:15	167:7,8	new 11:14
motion 15:4	133:14 149:8	necessarily	12:21 28:17
102:22 138:10	149:20 150:2,3	62:11 63:17,18	77:19 82:12,17
138:13,15,18	150:8,12	02.11 03.17,10	87:7 121:21

[new - obverse] Page 30

161:12 163:11	95:2 96:11	numismatist	46:22 47:1,1,3
nice 20:4 30:20	97:18 98:9	145:20	47:3,4,4,4,5,5
39:19 51:20	100:14,16,18	nurturing	47:6,6 48:12
96:21 102:2	100:20,22	24:22	48:12,14,14,15
141:1	101:2,13,22	0	48:16,16,17,17
nicely 19:6	102:7,17 103:1	o 4:1 41:7,7	48:18 49:15,16
116:17 135:12	103:6,11,11,17	o'clock 45:6	49:16,17,18,19
niche 113:11	107:20 108:2	o'neil 133:16	49:19,20,20,21
nickel 14:14	108:11 109:3	134:2 135:7	49:22,22 51:2
57:21 58:9	109:11 110:1	o4 130:19	51:19 54:2
nigh 95:1	110:20 111:13	o5 40:1	56:12 67:19
night 87:6	112:2,6 114:3	o6 29:19	69:13 70:1,16
nod 80:4 83:9	114:20 116:11	oak 19:3 30:19	70:17 71:21
84:17 87:14	116:21 123:2	31:9 32:16	76:3,13 79:6
northwest 1:15	127:9 128:7	35:4 37:11,18	79:10,12 80:4
note 73:15	132:4 137:4,11	37:19	80:8,11,15,18
107:8	137:14,20	observation	80:21 81:12,22
noted 10:9	138:8,13,21	73:19 103:6	82:15,19 83:2
notes 110:7	139:20 140:5,8	observations	83:8,12,15,22
111:3	140:9,13,18,21	166:7	84:6,22 85:1,9
notice 169:8	141:14,19,19	observing	85:14,15,21
noticed 66:6	142:19 143:14	83:19	86:10,12,15,20
noticing 23:16	144:5 149:4,5	obverse 9:10	86:21 87:8,14
noting 78:8	149:6,9,10,11	10:7 11:5,9,15	87:17 94:7,7
nouveau 120:7	149:11,12,16	11:16 16:7,8,8	97:13 102:11
number 16:17	149:16,17,18	20:14,15 21:18	103:18 105:5
17:3,12,18,22	151:3,4 152:12	23:1,14 26:6	105:18,21
18:8,11,12,12	152:13,14,17	26:12 27:6,10	106:4,8,19,21 106:21 108:11
18:18,19 19:1 19:2,5,6,13	152:18 153:1,1 156:1	31:10 32:7,12	100.21 108.11
22:15 23:1,1	numerals 77:7	32:21 33:10	111:5,13 112:3
23:14 24:1,14	numismatic	34:13 35:17,18	112:7 113:22
32:13 39:11	2:17 3:12 6:4	35:21 38:21	112.7 113.22
46:2,6,7,9,9,10	6:22 119:5	39:13 40:1	114.3,12
46:10,13,14,15	numismatics	41:8 42:12,20	118:12,16,18
46:22 47:1,2,8	2:13 5:17	43:1,4,15,17	118:20 119:14
47:9,11 48:3	169:10	44:22 46:2,2,7	119:17 120:7
50:20 56:4	107.10	46:8,9,10,10	120:16,21
30.20 30.1			120.10,21

	T	I	I
121:1,5,7,13	ocean 110:7	130:22 133:12	opinion 13:9
121:15,17	october 37:1	134:13,16	32:4 34:16
122:8,14,19,20	50:16 71:2,13	135:3,4,8,9	35:14 119:8
123:2,4,6	odd 27:13	136:6,6,9,19	123:5 166:8
124:7,17,20	oddly 40:18	139:13,17,21	opportunities
125:22 126:16	offensive 83:22	140:6,13 141:6	64:21
127:9,17	offer 51:14	144:1,4 145:4	opportunity
130:16,16	85:11 104:8	146:11 148:16	64:13 65:4,17
132:2,4,16,17	123:9 130:6	148:20 150:3	66:5 113:9
133:12 134:14	136:20 139:6	151:2 153:15	144:15 158:21
134:22 135:4,8	166:6	156:13,17	163:20 166:6
135:16 137:20	offered 139:3	162:16 168:17	169:15
138:11 141:1	offering 64:9	169:2	opposed 30:16
142:15 148:2	166:1	old 14:10 165:4	35:20 36:5
149:4,5,6,7,7	office 2:18 3:10	older 19:8	69:15 74:2
149:15,16,17	7:11 8:21	42:22	96:22 146:19
149:18 150:4,4	official 15:9	olive 85:12,13	152:15 154:6
150:5,6,13,13	80:2	86:5	156:5 167:6
150:14,15	officials 44:3	oman 79:20	169:1
151:2,4,5,5,6	oh 66:22 71:16	131:6	optimistic 32:1
152:13,17,18	72:4 89:11	once 45:2,5	option 80:18
154:12,14,16	91:2 97:18	46:21 48:2,11	82:8,14 84:6
154:17 156:16	104:4 105:17	49:11 164:4	163:19
164:1 167:18	168:5	one's 29:16	order 4:3 15:2
167:22 168:8	okay 18:19	96:21 98:21	24:16 70:1
168:14,20	28:20 30:3,4	109:16	ordered 12:12
obverses 22:14	31:4 35:3	ones 28:10	orders 13:20
26:15 36:19	44:21 45:22	66:18 92:17	organic 165:14
40:14 49:15	46:21 48:1	100:17 107:16	original 77:1,3
68:22 150:4,12	49:11 67:6	129:9	78:16,19,21
obvious 19:3	73:17 74:11,14	onward 150:17	85:1 87:4,11
obviously	75:14 81:5	open 67:8	87:15 91:9,10
40:15 41:3	89:13 90:19,19	69:21 70:20	91:11 97:15
53:14 64:8	94:8,9 95:11	72:15,19 79:2	99:5 103:14
65:10 67:18	96:11 98:2,9	83:6	104:10,12,17
104:6	104:5 111:22	opened 139:22	118:14 120:5
occasion 14:15	112:12 119:15	opening 25:12	138:15,22
	127:5,12 128:5		

originals 78:5	p.m. 75:1,1	100:7 121:5	penetrating
originators	148:17,18,19	140:4 141:11	142:21
108:9	148:19 170:10	particularly	penny 58:8
ostentatious	170:13	23:13 37:9	people 9:20
165:4	page 131:16	43:15 56:8,18	10:22 14:18
ounce 93:22	pain 96:6	58:20 93:15	17:16 24:16
94:1	painting 95:22	137:2 138:16	40:3 42:4
outcome	pair 19:6 42:17	155:17 157:14	43:18 51:14
171:11	135:15	157:15	56:20 62:15
outline 80:3	paired 35:17	parties 171:8	63:22 69:1
outreach 22:7	120:21 123:2	171:10	70:3 74:2
outside 15:7	pairing 81:11	partly 71:18,18	94:12 100:9
25:3	100:14,19	pass 17:19	105:5 113:5,6
outstanding	102:1	passed 20:20	114:8,22
94:13	pallas 134:5	34:14 35:20	120:15 121:8
overall 6:10	paralyzed 96:5	passing 18:8	140:6 142:20
22:20 23:19	part 15:5 19:11	24:12	148:14
32:18 52:11	20:21 21:18	past 33:19	people's 25:2
53:21 60:10,12	53:20 66:13	34:20 68:7	percent 41:2
60:15 63:16,21	76:17 77:4,8	117:4 120:17	63:13
64:4 68:20	78:6 88:20	patriotic 20:17	perched 87:6
111:14 120:6	105:8 106:13	35:19	perfect 18:14
120:11 121:10	114:22 130:19	patriotism	20:17 21:14
overcome	137:22 138:3	164:9	30:13 34:19,21
56:15	participate	paul 3:13 7:1	112:9,16 122:4
overtime	169:18	pay 80:19	125:17,18
112:14	participating	81:11,22 86:20	140:12
overwhelming	4:8	118:8	perfectly 52:3
113:1	participatory	peace 86:4	138:20
own 10:22	10:2,12	111:1	perimeter 38:2
41:21 95:15	particular	peacock 79:19	period 57:10
136:20	17:21 18:22	80:9,13 137:6	83:15,19 85:22
owned 131:8	23:2 55:10	pediment	97:14 98:18
p	56:3,7 57:6	86:22 87:2	102:12 104:16
p 2:1,1 3:1,1	58:12 60:11,18	pegasus 97:7	109:2
4:1	63:18 64:3	pencil 128:17	periodically
	65:19 66:3	129:1 130:1	14:1
	68:20 69:7		

permanent	picture 65:1	75:4 91:2,8	47:2,7,14,14
152:4	133:16	92:1,2 100:2	47:15,15,16,16
person 42:22	pictured 81:9	104:3 107:12	47:17,17,18
42:22 92:7	101:15 131:18	112:17 113:13	48:2,3,4,4,5,5
person's 19:8	piece 52:5	114:3 116:2,11	48:6,7,7,8,8,12
62:9	54:14 99:19	117:8 126:2,21	48:13,14,15,15
personal 34:16	114:7 118:3,5	127:4,15,19	48:17,17,18,19
71:18	119:12 120:9	128:1,16,21	48:21,21,22,22
personally	131:11 141:21	129:6,13,15,20	49:1,2,2,5,5,6
21:12 94:21	142:7,10,15	129:22 130:6	49:6,7,9,12,14
111:16	pieces 113:2	135:20 139:20	49:15,16,17,18
perspective	117:13	141:5,6,14,14	49:18,19,20,20
108:13 109:6	place 97:21	141:19,20	49:21,21,22
persuaded	placed 55:16	142:6,11,19	50:1,3,3,4,4,5
101:13	places 41:1	143:2,21 148:6	50:6,6,8,9,9
pertaining	plain 16:10	154:4 157:7	61:4 66:7,9,16
50:22	plan 77:10	160:5 167:3	66:19 97:1,17
peter 2:16 6:3	plans 77:16	168:20 169:17	97:22 117:5
14:7 28:3	planting 37:17	170:7	124:2 128:15
31:18 36:12,16	37:19	pleasure 38:10	149:3,3,4,9,15
38:5 59:6,17	plants 105:10	plunkett 1:20	149:16,17,19
71:14 76:1	plaster 87:4	171:2,17	149:21,22
89:6 91:4 95:4	platform 87:7	pluribis 11:13	150:4,5,5,9,9
117:6,9 123:9	platinum 47:22	pockets 69:2	150:10,11,13
136:18 145:7	48:1,3	poetic 158:6	150:14,15,16
146:22 151:16	play 10:15	point 37:7	150:18,18,19
153:11 156:3	117:3 152:17	44:13 48:16	150:20 151:3,6
156:18 160:16	playing 29:8	67:10 70:19	151:8,9,9
161:8	please 4:9,15	74:4 95:13	154:14
phone 4:9	7:9 9:11 15:21	98:18 101:7	populace
phrase 38:1	16:2,8,17	112:19 137:22	111:18
105:16 124:10	18:18 19:13	138:2,6 147:11	popular 115:16
phrygian 84:10	20:12 21:21	148:1,15	population
physics 73:5	28:2 31:17	154:13 156:15	56:10
pick 71:9 106:3	36:14 38:8	pointed 119:18	portfolio 9:7
118:13	45:3 52:21	points 40:1	9:11 36:11
picking 92:17	55:7 57:3	46:3,5,6,8,11	41:13 43:7,8
	63:11 74:3	46:13,17,22	44:14 45:7

52:6 54:4	possible 13:2	presence 80:6	previously 10:9
68:20 71:2,8	42:9 46:3,4,22	present 4:16,19	28:11
76:7 79:3 85:9	48:2,12 49:12	5:3,6,9,12,18	pride 82:13
87:21 88:14	49:14 70:7	6:2 7:9,13,16	prior 15:22
91:19 96:12	92:2 146:1	7:19,22 8:3,6,9	92:3
112:6 121:12	149:3	8:12,16,19 9:1	prioritize
123:14,16	possibly 107:15	9:9 45:6,14	62:13
137:12 138:4	potential 23:21	61:14 71:4,7	prioritizing
138:12 139:22	65:11 123:22	75:4,4,7,9,11	63:3
141:4,6 143:4	potentially	75:13,15,17,22	privy 77:6
144:15 163:18	62:7 114:13	76:13 77:2	probably 11:9
portfolios 6:11	115:4	148:8,22	21:6,11,17
22:2 24:19	power 11:1	presentation	96:11 102:18
45:8 50:13,15	36:19	51:2 70:16	122:17 126:21
60:21 66:14	powerful 16:18	71:1,12 72:6	131:15 137:11
71:2,5 72:6,21	17:2,5 18:11	presented 29:3	138:9 141:17
74:18 88:9	19:21 32:2	61:9 78:5 79:3	143:10,14
110:3 136:1	33:16 120:4	161:21	147:6
portrayed	121:14 143:7	preservation	problem 43:2
120:18 147:6	precedence	23:9	51:17 55:14
portraying	63:21	preserving	56:1 68:18,19
78:4 109:12	predecessor	10:16 21:5	73:12 94:3,16
pose 83:9 111:8	55:6	president 10:5	122:5 128:8
posed 107:3	prefer 16:4	press 6:18 7:5	131:20 152:9
positioned	28:22,22 31:14	pressure 63:15	154:7 155:2
86:21 108:21	32:22 39:8	64:2	problems
positioning	41:18 122:8	presumably	156:8
36:6	124:19	88:21 155:21	proceed 159:7
positions 83:22	preference	presume	proceeding
positive 52:9	22:14 23:22	132:16	1:12 171:4
positively	24:13 38:21	pretty 21:1	proceedings
51:22 52:13	60:11 152:1	30:2 33:20	6:16 171:5
64:19	preferences	35:15 44:9	process 57:14
possibilities	21:4	93:13 130:20	109:14
20:3 88:5	preoccupied	130:21 152:14	produce 12:11
possibility 20:1	121:3	156:5 165:1	produced 12:8
20:2 156:11	prepared 85:17	previous 12:13	42:2
	171:3		

J4 105.11	12.2	(0, (, 00, 10	(1.17.65.0
product 125:11	provision 13:3	68:6 90:18	61:17 65:9
production	13:4,12	128:5 131:2,15	70:13 116:18
161:14	public 1:1 2:3	137:18 140:14	133:14
professional	2:14,15 4:13	140:22 152:4	queens 54:15
94:22	4:18 5:20 6:1,7	163:2	question 12:6
program 4:10	6:19 14:21	putting 24:20	12:10 15:1
12:2 18:7 44:5	21:12 32:1	142:7 164:3	20:14 51:4
45:10 76:17	34:7 40:12	\mathbf{q}	56:3 57:6
77:10 88:12	69:16,20	qualified 2:7,8	58:12,14,19
90:8 104:15	102:15 157:15	2:12,16 5:2,5	60:5,18,19
118:7 132:22	169:4,9,14,18	5:16 6:4 16:6	61:8,20 66:22
133:2 137:22	public's 32:3	quantities 12:8	67:7,9,20 69:8
140:16 147:14	publisher	quarter 14:14	72:5 88:16
program's	92:10	30:10,19 34:11	89:2,17 90:2,2
130:9	purchasing	37:9 38:19,20	126:18 128:2,6
programs	14:4	39:16 40:21	129:18 133:4
12:21	purdie 2:15	46:19 47:21	144:19 145:8
progression	5:22 6:2 21:21	48:11 53:3,7	147:10 161:11
61:2,12,21,22	21:22 22:1	57:3 59:19	161:16,20
62:9 63:6,15	60:8,9 63:12	67:12 68:20	questions 12:1
prominence	63:13 75:8,9	69:14 71:6,21	14:6 15:18
83:4	107:12,13,14	72:1 73:2	50:20,21 61:6
prominent	127:5,5	77:13 84:19	72:14 73:20
83:3	pure 93:3	85:2,6,10,16	88:11 89:18
prominently	purpose 19:1	86:1 98:2,3,6	90:22 91:16
56:11	54:13 109:19	101:17 102:16	107:3 129:16
proof 89:8	purposeful	106:11 107:3	144:17 146:20
proposal 85:3	61:16	111:4 116:9	155:19
proposals 85:6	purposes 93:17	121:11,18,21	quick 70:3,4
proposed 17:10	push 14:16	128:1 134:10	75:3
84:14 146:15	42:8	135:7 138:12	quickly 131:10
151:20 153:16	pushed 131:15	138:15 147:3	quiet 83:9
protect 55:2	pushing 93:22	153:18 154:3	quite 18:15
85:18 86:3	put 17:15	quarters 25:16	29:17 38:17
protective	19:10 22:21	27:19 39:17	41:4 42:18,19
54:14	23:3 37:22	41:10 42:7	43:4 62:15
providing	56:20 57:4	53:2 61:1,10	101:3,15,18
160:8 169:14	63:15 64:1	33.2 01.1,10	108:15 115:9

116:15 137:18	raises 80:15	20:14 22:11,11	reasons 39:3
138:15 140:1,1	ran 62:20	22:12 24:11	54:16 116:22
141:10 163:15	rather 30:12	31:22 32:12,19	recall 83:15
quorum 6:6	31:11 51:12	33:7,21 34:6	126:14 129:16
76:2	52:11 62:16	36:8,19 39:9	recalls 105:14
quote 82:3,4,4	74:1,3 88:3	39:14,18 41:22	received 34:7
quotes 10:21	91:18,19	41:22 42:18,19	34:10 46:6,8,9
r	117:22 137:4,5	43:4,5,16	46:9,10,11,13
r 2:1 3:1 4:1	137:7 138:13	51:16,18,20	46:14,15,16,16
raa 164:9,9	151:21 155:10	53:9 54:8	46:17 47:1,3,3
radiate 82:19	163:10	59:11 62:13	47:4,4,5,5,6,6
radically	rational 10:17	63:2 71:20	47:7,9,9,12,12
152:11	rays 84:1	92:14 93:10,12	47:12,13,13,13
rain 55:3	110:16,18	94:13 96:13,16	47:14,17,18
raise 14:9	reaching	101:7,10	48:3,3,4,4,5,6
50:20 51:14	140:15	108:10,21	48:7,7,8,8,13
69:4 70:22	reactions	109:11 111:16	48:14,15,15,16
137:15	100:11	113:19,21	48:16,17,18,18
raised 12:4	read 34:4 35:7	114:5,5,9,12	48:20,21,22,22
13:17 50:22	54:21,21	114:18,19	49:1,1,2,4,5,6
51:4 53:17	158:16	115:9 116:5,12	49:6,7,7,8,8,15
54:10 55:11,20	reading 59:8	118:16 119:10	49:16,17,18,18
56:5,14,17	110:6	119:22 120:22	49:19,19,20,21
57:19 58:5,14	reads 35:13	122:6,17	49:21,22 50:1
59:16 60:7	ready 27:7	123:20 124:3	50:2,3,4,4,5,5
61:6 62:5 64:6	29:17 33:17	124:16 125:21	50:6,8,8,9
66:1 67:1 68:4	44:19 45:15	126:10 127:18	148:5 149:4,6
68:13 69:8	46:2 49:9	128:18 132:21	149:6,7,7,9,10
70:9 88:15	149:13	135:10 137:21	149:11,12,12
89:5,15 104:4	real 14:17	138:4,15,18	149:16,17,17
144:18 145:6	103:12 152:1	143:9 144:6	149:18,20,21
146:3 147:1	realistic 115:10	152:16	150:4,5,5,7,8,9
151:14 154:8	157:18	rear 98:13	150:10,11,13
154:19 155:19	realistically	reason 10:17	150:13,15,15
156:1,2,14	148:12	14:20 19:2	150:17,18,19
160:15 162:18	realized 83:6	33:1 59:13	150:20 151:3,5
162:20 167:19	really 18:14	137:16 160:21	151:5,6,6,7,9,9
	19:5,9,11	166:14	151:10,10

recent 145:11	reference 109:1	reinterpretati	reminder 22:19
recently 41:15	110:14	88:6 114:7	111:19
138:4	referenced	117:3 138:22	reminds 30:18
recessed 24:8	87:16	reinterpreting	reminiscent
recognize	references 84:7	78:16	134:3
45:13 73:4	referencing	reintroduce	remote 1:12
148:21	24:18	4:15	remotely 6:19
recognized	referred 55:18	rejected 26:13	remove 159:13
17:17 82:5	referring	rejoice 42:5	render 146:12
recognizing	126:15	related 55:13	146:16
105:19 141:2	refine 95:20	55:19 171:7	rendered 83:13
recommend	refined 162:14	relates 73:1	110:16 112:11
145:19 147:11	reflect 43:1	90:2	145:9 146:8
153:16 166:20	61:2,11 63:19	relations 79:22	rendering
167:18,22	reflected 79:9	relationship	111:12
168:8	reflecting	92:5 99:4	rendition 35:20
recommenda	78:15 110:22	relative 171:9	52:10 54:19
15:5	regard 12:6	release 76:18	94:9 118:14
recommenda	25:17 26:15	77:17	120:4,8,8
50:12 76:8,12	27:17 66:3,3	released 76:22	121:20 122:4
recommended	101:20 102:13	77:8 104:17	128:4 138:1
2:4,10,11 4:21	105:2,11	relief 77:12	141:7 161:13
5:7,10,13	106:18 107:2	81:8 95:7,9,9	renewed 10:14
recommending	120:20	95:10 96:1,8	repeat 155:4
168:14,20	regarding	145:10,21	replace 34:22
reconnect	33:15	146:1,9,12,17	65:19
136:20	regards 94:21	158:11 165:16	replaced 32:18
record 7:7	register 169:7	165:17,17,18	85:7
15:12,13 92:3	regular 116:1	remain 64:20	replacing
163:3 164:11	145:1 156:7	112:19 159:20	67:11
171:5	reimagine	remaking	replicate 78:9
recording	137:5	136:12	reply 9:17
15:16 171:3	reimagined	remember	reported 1:20
redesign 85:3	80:9 82:10	14:11 55:1	repositioned
redesigned	reimagining	57:20 58:2	109:8
142:1	86:11	158:10	represent 83:5
refer 118:3	reinterpret	remind 4:7	90:1 110:9
122:13	78:10	15:20 76:6	115:8 157:22

representation	response 4:22	48:20,21,21,22	122:14,19,22
108:20 110:5	5:15 9:5 72:10	49:1,1,2,4,5,5	123:4,6 124:7
157:13	75:20	49:6,7,7,8,8	124:20 125:14
representations	responses	50:2,3,3,4,5,5	125:22 127:7
158:22	104:7	50:6,7,8 56:12	127:17 129:18
representative	rest 51:8,11	69:13 70:1,14	132:2,16,21
90:5	94:4 137:8	70:17 73:2	133:13 134:12
represented	143:12	76:3,14 79:6	134:15,19
56:11 84:3	restoring 65:12	79:13 80:3,4	135:3,4,9,10
115:5,7	result 97:22	80:10,11,15,19	135:16 137:7
representing	results 45:15	81:12,22 82:1	137:20 138:3
2:3,14,15 4:17	148:8,22	82:15,20 83:2	138:17 140:17
5:19,22 83:17	retailers 44:4,8	83:8,11,15	140:22,22
87:18 108:1	retain 159:13	84:1,6,10,22	142:10,21
113:12 157:13	166:21 167:17	85:5,9,12,15	147:2 148:2
republic 9:17	retaining 167:2	85:19,21 86:11	149:8,10,11,11
9:18,22 28:7	return 45:5	86:13,15,20	149:12,20,21
requested	58:13 74:1,14	87:3,8,10,11	149:21,22
12:11	74:17 139:5	87:14,19 89:20	150:8,9,9,11
required 9:22	154:7 162:16	90:3 94:8 97:8	150:17,18,19
10:10 11:5,8	returning 72:5	97:13 98:4,5	150:20 151:7,8
11:12 73:16	revamp 116:14	102:3,11 103:2	151:9,10,10,17
88:18 99:17	reverse 9:10	105:6,11,18,20	151:22 152:12
reserve 12:12	10:9,13 11:12	106:4,6,8,9,15	152:13 153:1
34:11	11:17,18 18:18	106:21 107:2,6	154:13,17
resilience	19:12 21:3,4,7	107:9 108:2,12	155:2 159:9,20
87:18	21:11 24:1,7	109:4 110:13	161:13 162:11
resolve 62:22	24:13,13 31:8	110:20 111:6,9	166:20 167:3
resolved	34:17,18 35:4	111:13 112:3,4	167:16,19
155:21	35:12,15,19	112:7 113:3,20	168:1,8,20
resonate 114:8	36:1,2,4,8	114:2,4,13	reverses 21:18
respect 13:9	37:14 41:9	115:7,18 116:6	23:22 27:18,21
164:17	42:17,18,21	118:12,16,19	30:9 34:17
respond 4:16	45:1 46:12,14	118:20,22	40:15 43:2
79:1	46:15,16,16,17	119:14,17	46:12 47:8
responded	47:8,9,11,13	120:1,7,16,21	48:20 50:2
64:19	47:14,14,15,15	121:4,7,14,15	70:16 89:17
	47:16,16,17,17	121:17 122:3,8	110:4 123:1

Page 39

[reverses - score]

147:11 149:8	81:7 90:21	roll 75:3	sapling 32:15
149:20 150:8	91:15,16 93:15	room 56:20	sappling 37:18
150:17 151:7	100:3 104:2	62:8 66:10	37:19
review 6:8,12	117:7 118:10	roosevelt 67:12	saunders 2:10
15:7 50:16	126:2 128:9	82:5 151:20	5:7,9 14:7,7,9
66:11 74:17	130:4 132:18	rosemont	28:2,3,4 43:10
76:8	136:9,19 140:3	169:12,17	43:14 52:19,22
reviewed 6:12	142:6 144:1,9	rotate 131:20	59:1 71:14,18
45:8 46:1	144:14 145:5	ruler 131:5	74:20 75:12,13
77:22	146:14,19,20	russel 7:20	91:1,4,13
reviewing	147:17,20,21	S	130:5,7 146:22
24:15	148:20 151:11	s 2:1 3:1 4:1	156:18,20
revise 66:5	153:21 154:6	sailing 119:6	158:13 166:11
revision 66:11	156:17 159:17	sails 80:13	168:4,10
66:17 73:15	159:22 160:8	124:4,4	169:22 170:2
revisions 64:14	160:14 161:5	saint 77:11	saw 27:9 51:19
revisited 101:9	161:10 164:12	81:8,14,15,17	54:3 55:19
102:5	166:18,22	82:1,5,11 92:6	122:15 138:2
revolution 60:1	167:13 168:2	95:14 96:1,4	151:20
rework 34:1	168:18,19	96:20 101:5	saying 29:7
ribbon 79:8,9	169:1 170:3,5	106:2 108:10	34:21 68:18,19
rid 30:1	170:9	117:13,18	74:4 136:10
riff 104:18	rights 28:13	118:2,5 119:12	146:17 154:4
rigging 137:8	30:5,7 34:11	131:11 141:9	167:3 168:21
right 4:2 7:5	ringing 73:8	141:15,15,16	170:7
9:6,6 14:5	rising 26:11	151:19 167:22	says 17:1 36:5
15:17,19 20:11	robert 80:22	sake 85:7	119:3
28:1 35:22	90:6 105:19	sam 2:12 5:16	scale 87:5
37:18 38:6,9	robes 54:7	31:16,18 36:13	scant 112:10
38:18,19 41:20	robust 165:15	37:21 41:15	scarinci 5:13
48:9 52:7,17	robustly	59:15,17 60:4	49:13 75:18
53:16 54:9	158:11	75:14 89:4,6	scene 86:12
55:9 56:2 57:5	roger 2:20 7:17 89:11,13 90:7	117:8,9 123:10	school 73:6
58:11,13,15,18 59:8 60:4,15	90:8 91:8	129:17 145:5,7	164:18,19 scientific 73:12
60:17,19 63:10	145:12,12	146:4,16	scope 15:7
69:6,8 72:18	role 10:1,15	sandals 17:8	scope 13.7 score 43:9
73:21 74:8	1010 10.1,13	85:4	44:14,18,22
13.21 /4.8			44.14,10,22

[score - series] Page 40

45:3 148:2,5	sculptured	64:11,12 70:8	seen 39:1 65:7
scorers 49:13	165:14	71:9 74:18	106:16 138:19
73:3	sculptures	88:14,20,22	145:11
scores 44:18	157:10	92:22 93:3	seimquincent
45:7 50:13,17	seafaring	98:11 99:4	148:3
50:18 66:7,14	120:20	101:16 104:4	selection
148:8	seamlessly	110:18 118:5	118:13
scoring 44:20	51:21	119:2 121:12	self 86:19
45:15 46:7,15	searching	121:21 123:2	seller 130:10
48:6,13 49:3	16:22 17:1	123:18 124:22	seminquince
49:17 50:7	second 4:6	126:5 128:10	45:2
64:20 66:4,18	41:14 79:15	130:13 131:18	semiquincent
148:22 149:5	81:21 90:2	131:19 132:22	6:9,11,14 9:8
149:10,18	93:5 99:1	133:1 135:16	12:3,14 41:13
150:6,10,14,19	118:19 119:21	137:1,21 138:5	45:9 56:7 76:4
151:4,8	121:17 146:5	139:1,2 142:16	76:18,21 77:5
scott 80:22	146:10 153:19	144:18 145:5	77:6,9 88:13
90:6 105:19	159:22 160:1	148:14 153:5	94:14 101:17
117:18	168:3,9,10	154:8 155:22	senate 2:11
scratch 99:7	170:3,4	157:12 158:19	5:11,14
screen 98:11	seconded	160:15 161:12	send 45:4
screw 161:14	146:16	162:18 163:18	senior 2:19,20
sculpted 86:22	seconding	164:7	3:7,9,13 7:1,15
115:20 158:11	53:19	seeing 34:20	7:17 8:17,21
159:10	seconds 153:20	64:18 91:17	sense 37:20
sculpting	secretary 76:9	128:8 169:19	40:19 57:11
165:21	secure 79:19	seeking 52:11	59:8 93:9
sculptor 78:19	86:4	76:7	98:19 142:4
81:13,22 87:9	see 12:3 13:16	seem 14:9	162:7
sculptors 115:3	14:22 16:7	37:18 111:20	sent 92:9
sculptural	23:5,6 26:2	seemed 103:18	sentence 36:9
158:17,22	27:20 30:16	106:12 108:5	separately
sculpturally	31:11 33:3	seems 26:12	155:9
158:5 165:10	38:1,11,12	27:12 30:22	september
sculpture 2:8	40:10 43:20	37:11,15 88:18	161:13 169:6
5:5 114:15	45:10 51:8	100:20,22	series 17:21
157:12 158:20	53:9,17 62:4	121:3 132:9	20:21 28:11
165:13,16	62:12 63:7,22	155:9,22	39:5 56:7

[series - sollman]

60:22 62:16	shopping	silver 6:14	single 86:12
65:10 76:19	115:19 164:20	14:12 74:18	singular 158:7
seriously	shot 125:1,2	76:5 77:9,10	sir 145:3
158:15	shoulder 107:5	77:15,18,18,22	sitting 108:16
serve 111:19	show 11:14	78:2 79:1,2,4,7	size 32:20 35:7
serves 109:19	24:7 28:12	79:14,16,17	98:10
126:2	86:3 107:5	80:5,12,19	sized 35:11
set 64:4 79:15	110:3 111:17	81:1,10 82:8	sizes 77:1
81:1 94:7	showcase	82:14 84:20	sketch 95:17
116:17,17	117:22	87:21 88:14	128:17
136:1 153:4	showing 85:19	89:17 92:19,20	sketchy 128:17
sets 131:8	125:10 128:3	92:22 93:16,19	129:1 130:1
several 66:6	shown 87:3,10	94:6 99:18	skies 55:4
79:19 146:18	shows 36:8	100:12 105:2	skills 171:6
154:5 167:5	84:11 85:12	105:11,17	skin 107:5,7,9
168:22 170:8	107:7	107:17 113:8	107:11 126:16
shacks 84:4	siam 131:5	117:12 118:15	126:19 128:3
97:10,10	sic 11:7 36:11	119:16 122:22	129:18 133:18
shading 24:8	69:18 132:7,11	123:20 139:14	skip 16:16
shadows	135:5 156:22	144:20 145:11	19:13 130:2
165:12	157:2 158:13	146:9,12,17	skyscrapers
shakes 40:3	164:9 165:16	147:5 148:4	110:8
share 65:5	166:15 168:1	167:22	slide 143:2
90:17 147:13	side 72:1	similar 116:22	slightly 23:3
152:3	119:22 122:9	123:17 133:16	snobbish 157:8
sheets 45:3,15	132:1,1	145:10 164:7	sold 131:9
148:5 149:1	sides 112:11	similarly 85:5	solid 21:7
shield 90:4	120:12 123:8	simple 19:14	sollman 2:8 5:4
122:2	125:3	26:8,9 32:8	5:6 16:1,3 20:9
shielding 23:7	significant	35:15 105:20	54:11 57:8
ship 80:8	67:17	128:22	70:10,11 75:5
100:21 107:21	signified	simply 50:13	135:20,21
113:20 119:6	146:17	52:15 88:4	136:6,9 139:4
130:19 137:6	signify 74:3	129:20	139:11,13,17
140:11	154:4 167:3	simultaneously	139:19 144:1
ships 137:1	168:21 170:7	88:3 91:21	144:11 160:16
shooting 109:5	silence 5:21	sing 22:18	167:8,12
131:14			

[solution - stay] Page 42

solution 68:17	speak 4:11 18:2	sprang 165:8	standouts
solve 155:4	55:13 63:18	spread 85:20	36:21
156:8	65:2 159:4	sprout 37:11	standpoint
somebody	162:3	staff 7:8 15:2	99:14
55:21 63:10	speaker 2:4	71:3 165:21	stands 170:10
95:19 98:17	4:21	169:4	stars 30:17
131:10	speaking 16:1	stafford 2:18	35:2 80:16
soon 92:7	39:6 53:11	7:11,13,22 9:9	103:7,7,8
sorry 18:2 20:5	73:6 92:3	9:12 12:10	114:11
34:9 35:18	100:6 148:12	64:7 72:16	start 9:4 16:7
56:20 69:9	special 10:20	73:13 76:13,16	40:15 45:17
73:13 92:13	56:13 67:19	81:5,7 89:3,10	59:9 81:10
99:21 115:13	77:6 92:5	90:7,16 91:8	83:2 84:22
135:17 139:7	specialist 2:19	91:14 104:5	92:11 99:6
151:1 154:2	3:9 7:15 8:21	144:22 145:3	107:17 112:20
161:8 168:5,6	specially 2:6,8	145:12,15	149:3
sort 17:6,14	2:12,16 5:2,5	154:20 161:15	started 28:9,10
23:3 25:3	5:16 6:4	stage 87:4	92:18 166:11
40:21,22 41:11	specific 13:3	167:15	starting 11:15
51:6,10 61:2	25:13 26:6	stand 37:3	100:12 121:22
61:12,21 63:22	162:6	165:18,19	150:3
67:21 68:3	specifically	standardized	stated 32:9
72:5 102:12	10:16,19 13:8	132:20	119:1 122:10
124:18 125:6	115:21	standing 77:13	statement
129:7 130:1	specification	84:19 85:2,10	143:20
131:2 132:14	145:16,18	85:16 86:1	statements
142:2	specifics 90:9	96:22 98:5	92:12
sound 165:4	spend 42:5	102:16 111:3	states 9:16
sounds 44:21	spent 66:12	116:9,14,17	10:10 11:6
163:1	sphinx 29:20	121:11,18	12:22 33:4
space 16:20	84:14,17,18	133:14 134:9	80:6 83:19,20
17:7 33:6 35:9	97:19 131:7	138:12 143:9	86:2 114:12
36:1 37:5 57:2	spikes 29:4	147:2 150:12	125:17 165:21
111:11	spirit 103:13	151:21 153:18	statue 84:14
spanning	spoke 16:13	154:2	status 54:13
166:15	55:15 68:15	standout 138:5	statute 88:17
spark 61:3	sports 117:16	138:9	stay 117:10
			161:19 162:13

[steal - sure] Page 43

steal 28:5	strangely 35:13	63:19 83:13	summer 169:17
step 95:6	streamline	86:13,14 114:8	sun 26:11 30:1
stepping 96:8	126:6	114:15	39:19 87:16
steps 85:17	street 1:15	styles 63:16	112:11
stern 134:17	strength 82:13	stylistic 36:19	suns 81:12
stevens 2:8 5:4	87:18 128:11	54:1	82:18
5:6 16:1,3 20:9	stretch 97:16	stylistically	super 21:12
54:11 57:8	stretching	52:8	29:15 112:22
70:10,11 75:5	143:10	stylized 87:17	superhero
135:20,21	stride 103:20	97:4 157:17	121:8
136:6,9 139:4	103:21	subject 38:3	superior 10:5
139:11,13,17	strong 23:22	73:5	superstrong
139:19 144:1	129:7	subjects 67:3	21:3
144:11 160:16	stronger	78:5	supply 86:7
167:8,12	162:11	submitted	support 25:18
stick 166:20	strongest 73:5	159:21	26:1,4 27:8,22
stonesque	102:18 127:16	subtle 34:14	41:6,7 58:16
112:4	137:12	84:17 165:15	61:21 96:19
stood 71:20	strongly 58:21	subtract 88:22	106:7 158:18
107:16,18	110:17	succeeded	suppose 128:5
stop 159:3	struck 26:18	86:14	supposed 97:11
stores 105:13	137:21 138:5	success 99:15	110:9 115:8
stories 100:8	139:1	130:14	127:10
story 105:3	structured	successful 41:4	supreme 10:3
113:18 114:22	20:20	100:18 166:3	sure 13:11 14:8
115:5	struggled	suffrage 28:12	17:16 20:13
storyboard	102:17	suggest 88:2	23:17 24:6,9
95:12 99:2	struggling	153:10	27:7 33:12,16
storyboards	154:11	suggestion	34:5 35:5,21
93:11	stuck 37:2	161:4	35:21 41:2
straddles 12:15	student 165:5	suggestions	43:6 52:22
straight 59:4	students 164:5	72:7	53:9 56:1
straightforwa	studio 87:7	suite 65:8	68:16,18 69:17
100:15	96:6 133:16	sukrita 2:22	70:7 71:16
strange 34:4	stuff 53:12,14	8:4	88:16 95:15
108:14 109:10	106:20 165:5	sullivan 2:19	97:4 98:7
110:15	style 23:16,19	7:15,16	101:18 104:20
	27:3,4,6 51:20		107:22 108:3,7

[sure - thank] Page 44

108:15 109:17	symbolizes	talons 85:14	31:15,16,18
110:16 111:14	97:5	task 82:6	36:11,13 38:6
112:13 118:1	symbols 159:1	136:11	41:18,20 50:10
120:13 126:10	163:7	taste 71:18	52:17 53:16
126:12 129:2	symmetry	tastefully 51:18	54:9,11 55:8
130:7,17	125:8	tastes 34:15	55:12,21 57:5
135:15 142:10	synonymous	134:8	57:8,16,18
142:12,17,21	125:16	tate 3:9 8:20	58:11 59:15
147:7,9 152:4	t	9:1	60:4,8,16,17
155:15 166:15	table 146:12	teams 4:10	62:6 63:9,12
surprised	153:16 164:13	teardrop 122:2	64:4,5,7 65:21
130:9	155.10 104.15 166:19	technical 12:1	66:2,21 68:12
suspect 36:22	tail 143:18,19	88:11	69:6 70:6,10
swallows 36:2	147:3,5,7	tell 46:1 89:22	70:19,20 72:4
sway 32:3	take 15:14 35:2	90:14 91:5	72:17,18 73:16
166:7	44:7,14 52:15	142:13 151:18	73:18,21 75:10
sweet 109:19	59:4 63:21	ten 45:10	76:16 87:22
swinging 73:10	73:22 75:3	148:17	89:4,6,13
swirling 84:4	79:16 85:21	tend 158:1	90:20 99:20
switch 135:15	101:7 108:16	tender 92:20	104:1,2,18,20
swoop 137:6	111:10 125:12	terms 41:16	107:12,13
sword 84:2	135:14 154:16	63:14 69:12,15	112:13,15,18
110:12 131:16	157:7 169:18	95:6 107:22	117:7,9 123:9
142:21	taken 112:22	124:5 131:11	123:10,12,13
symbol 131:3	120:15 137:5	134:9 152:15	127:6,6,11,14
164:22	171:8	160:11 162:12	130:3,4 135:19
symbolic 79:13	takes 12:22	165:2	135:21 144:10
84:2	talents 82:6	texture 128:14	144:12,13
symbolically	talk 44:3,20	128:19 129:10	145:4,7 146:1
165:9	141:22	thailand 79:21	147:16,17,20
symbolism	talked 39:1	thank 7:4,14	151:11 153:3
34:14 59:21	talking 4:11	7:20 8:1,4,7,20	153:21 154:18
164:2 165:2	67:3 113:2	9:2,12 11:21	156:3,17 159:6
symbolize 29:1	164:4 165:16	12:5 15:17	160:2,6,7,12
125:18	169:13	16:3,9 20:9,11	160:13,14,16
symbolized	tally 148:7	20:13 21:19,20	161:5 164:12
80:1 83:10	•	21:22 25:7,9	166:8,9,18
		27:22 28:1,3	168:6,11,17

169:3 170:5,10	think 12:20	91:20 93:4,7	136:1,4,10,15
thanks 31:16	14:15 15:8,11	93:12,15 94:7	137:11,14,17
44:12 100:3	16:14 17:1,5	94:12,15,16,22	137:18 138:9
117:6	17:12,21,22	95:4,5 99:8,13	138:14,17,21
theme 20:16	18:7,10,13,14	99:13,15,16	140:7,15
21:5 27:20	18:16,19,20,22	100:13,16	141:10,10,13
32:6 35:16	19:11,19 20:3	102:7,17,18	142:3,7,8,15
37:12 63:16	20:22 21:2,11	103:13 105:3,4	142:20 144:6,8
70:14 83:11	21:15 22:19,19	105:9,10 106:3	148:9,10,11
85:18 88:9	23:2,10,12,15	106:16 107:21	152:16,21,21
100:18 111:14	23:20 24:4	108:22 109:18	152:22 154:15
134:21	25:5 27:2	109:20 110:2	155:2 156:8,20
themes 65:10	28:13 29:7,16	111:1,7,18	157:4 158:1,4
66:3 70:8 88:5	29:19 30:6,8	112:3,8,8,11	158:5 159:2,7
113:11	30:20 31:3,5	113:9,18 114:1	159:11 160:9
theodore 82:5	31:10 32:9,19	114:5,6,8,21	160:18 162:2
151:20	33:20 34:6	114:22 115:3,5	162:10,13,13
thereof 33:8	35:9,10 36:3,8	116:17 117:1,5	162:19 163:3,9
thick 134:11	36:9 39:1,2,4	118:7,12,13	163:11,21
thing 21:10	39:18,19 40:6	119:1,9,15,19	164:5,20,21
23:3 27:5 40:9	40:8,17 41:6	120:2,12,22	165:20 166:2
60:15 62:19	41:11,15,17	121:4,7,17,18	167:9 168:4
71:2,20 91:4	42:4,7,13,17	121:19 122:3	thinking 24:15
94:10 96:2	42:18 43:14	122:16,22	25:16 108:16
99:9 104:20	44:15,17 51:18	123:4,21 124:5	110:12 124:12
115:14 123:7	51:21 52:3	124:6,7,17,18	third 39:4
124:22 129:22	53:1,4,7,20,20	125:4,8,8,17	40:20 82:8,21
131:4,13	54:2,3,7,8,12	126:1,4,10,11	99:2
132:14 133:18	54:12,16,22	126:13 127:2	thought 19:5
156:20 163:13	55:1,4,18	127:15,20,20	26:12 27:10,12
166:12	56:18,22 57:1	127:21 128:10	27:15 28:20,21
things 38:11	57:10 59:1,2	128:12,18,22	29:2,15 30:21
51:6 53:1,7	60:2,9,16 62:7	129:6,8,20	31:8 36:15
65:3 82:4	62:12,12,18,20	130:20 131:15	39:15 41:5
103:19 111:20	63:1,14 65:5	131:19,21	55:15 58:8
122:21 131:6	68:9,19 69:3	133:10,12	59:19 72:3
166:16	70:19 71:11,21	134:10,20	78:12 83:10
	74:16 88:7	135:1,11,12,17	92:18 97:6

100:20 101:22	65:14 66:11,12	torch 18:6 20:4	translates
102:11,17	66:17 67:15	23:19 24:12	81:19
103:2,18	73:20 74:16	26:18 29:9	treasurer
105:18,22,22	90:6,15 91:22	31:9,12 34:14	97:20
106:10,17	94:2 96:7,15	35:20 36:6	treasury 1:13
107:8 113:14	97:14,21 98:18	37:22 39:9	76:9
113:20 116:13	104:16 109:1	42:22 72:1	treatment
117:2 125:1	110:5 112:16	82:11,17	96:16 162:14
130:19,21	121:13 134:6	torches 31:6	tree 19:3 24:19
131:14 133:7	135:17 144:16	41:18	24:21 31:9
134:12 135:8	146:21 149:2	total 66:8	35:4 79:22
135:13,14	151:12 159:2	totally 28:9	trees 30:20,20
139:22 140:13	161:9 169:18	140:2	35:8
142:9 143:2	times 53:12	touch 21:8	tribute 81:11
thoughts 25:7	59:18 131:13	touched 37:21	118:9
51:12,15 69:12	tip 84:1 89:18	tough 32:21	tributes 87:8
71:12 72:20	160:22	toward 21:4	tricky 35:7
101:4 112:13	title 10:4,5	34:19,21 48:10	tried 112:14
135:18	today 6:12 7:9	59:21 80:16	trouble 37:17
three 40:20	9:4 69:5 76:9	towards 21:6	troubled 96:21
53:2 129:10	77:22 105:13	21:14,17 22:15	true 11:3 24:10
131:13	131:13 169:5	23:20 26:11,16	30:15 98:7
thrilled 137:2	today's 6:7 7:6	26:20 30:13	171:5
throw 72:3	together 24:16	58:17 61:19	truly 31:21
throwback	71:8 86:3 88:3	tower 81:15	trust 11:10
109:1	114:14 120:22	toy 165:19	33:1 165:20
thrown 163:12	163:12 164:4	trade 79:19	166:12,17
thunder 28:5	told 107:18	traditional	truth 107:18
tiaras 54:16	119:20	28:19 106:6	119:20
55:14 59:7	took 58:6 73:14	traffic 141:3	try 13:1 15:21
ticks 55:3	78:2 104:13	transcriber	67:20 100:4,17
tie 113:15	tool 50:14	4:12 171:1	130:10 139:8
tied 47:10 49:4	tools 90:3,4,5	transcript	154:10 167:21
73:2 113:19	90:10,12,14	171:3,4	trying 22:20,21
118:2 149:22	top 45:5 59:9	translate 32:20	32:14,15 34:18
ties 21:5	73:3 164:8	119:16 120:2	34:21 38:15
time 4:5 27:19	topless 133:19	122:17	42:8 128:9
50:13,16,19	134:6,7,7,7	1	129:3 155:3,11

[trying - van] Page 47

155:12 166:4,7	undecided	125:17 165:21	uss 79:18 80:9
tuesday 1:7 4:4	112:19,20	unity 41:12	80:13
tuesday 1.7 4.4 turn 20:6 76:12	underlaying	universal 70:13	usually 118:3
136:4 144:21	57:12,21 58:7	unofficial 80:2	
144:21 145:2	underlining	unrealistic	V
156:9 169:8	143:19	26:19	value 54:5
turned 31:2	underneath	unrealistically	105:19
105:16 137:6	33:2 100:13	103:21	van 2:16 4:2,20
turning 37:14	132:9	unrealized	5:4,7,10,13,19
turns 85:11	underscore	84:13	6:3,3 7:4,14,17
tweak 39:7	56:10	unser 3:11 6:21	7:20 8:1,4,7,10
tweak 39.7	understand	unum 11:13	8:13,17,20 9:2
108:19 110:10	19:2 32:13	unused 85:2	11:21 13:16
tweaking 23:10	33:11 34:17	unusual 64:12	14:5,8 15:1,17
two 4:6 14:19	90:13 95:14	undsta 04.12 update 96:17	20:11 21:20
25:12 49:13	102:1 112:1	101:11 104:11	25:9 28:1
83:20 87:2	119:6 121:1	updating	31:16 36:13,17
89:18 90:10	155:12 161:17	110:21	38:6 41:20
94:14 114:14	163:5	upheaval 83:18	43:12 44:12,21
120:22 125:3	understanding	upward 73:10	45:13,20 46:20
131:6,12 132:1	12:20 61:13	use 12:7 19:10	47:19,22 48:9
132:7 140:17	155:15 161:22	30:9 41:1 44:6	49:10 50:10
155:10,16	understated	44:7 56:4	52:17,21 54:9
156:21 162:1	81:17	57:12 105:9	55:9,21 57:5
164:10	undue 64:2	132:19 140:16	57:18 58:4,11
type 9:14,15	unfamiliar	used 14:12	59:4,15 60:4
13:15 157:8,11	69:20	20:4 41:8	60:17 63:9
types 90:5	unicorn 53:6,6	50:14 53:13	64:5 65:21
tyranny 61:3	unified 165:15	57:10 90:6	66:21 67:5,7
u	union 21:15	91:11 124:11	68:12 69:6
	30:13 34:19,22	152:8	70:6,20 71:16
u.s. 1:14 21:13	uniquely	useful 56:6	72:4,11,18
ultimately 60:3	158:17,21	uses 20:18	73:18 74:8,11
ultra 95:9	united 9:16	using 27:20	74:14,22 75:2
uncirculated	10:10 11:6	32:4 70:4	75:8,10,12,14
89:8,12	12:21 33:4	108:4 124:14	75:16,18 76:1
unclear 13:22	80:6 83:5,18	usmint.treas	76:1 81:4,6
	83:20 86:2	1:17	87:22 89:1,4

[van - way] Page 48

89:15 90:21	version 62:14	votes 153:13	wanted 25:13
91:2,15 99:20	64:22 65:18	voting 76:10	25:18,22 27:1
100:1 104:2,20	77:11 84:11,16	152:22	31:19 39:21
107:11 112:15	89:18 93:5	voyage 79:18	40:2 55:13
117:7 123:10	96:22	105:4,7 108:1	65:15 69:4
127:1,12 130:4	versus 130:18	W	70:22 104:6
135:19 136:8	152:13	waist 134:12	128:5 140:10
136:15,19	vibes 118:22	waist 134.12 wait 139:8	152:5 154:20
139:5,10,15	120:20		160:7,12
143:22 144:9	videoconfere	waiting 40:9,9 136:20	war 86:2,7
144:13 145:5	4:9	walking 31:13	warnings 53:4
146:3,11 147:9	vietnam 79:21	71:22 77:14	warren 1:6 3:5
147:17,20	view 82:10	86:9,10,17	6:17,20,21
148:16,20	103:9 156:9	87:9 103:10,15	8:13,16 13:18
149:14 150:22	viewing 62:10	103:22 106:18	20:8 136:3,17
151:11 153:3	161:22	111:8,22	139:12,18
153:12,15,21	views 64:15	116:20 122:12	167:6
154:18 155:15	virtue 38:16	122:13 138:20	wars 83:20
156:13,17,19	81:19	143:5,5 144:3	washington
159:6,17,22	virtus 81:19	150:21,22	1:16 67:12
160:2,5,14	vision 39:4	150:21,22	84:11,13,14
161:5,10	80:9 82:12	153:19 154:3,3	watching 6:19
162:16 164:12	visitation 105:8	walmart 44:4	23:9
166:9,18 167:9	visual 40:11	want 14:18	wave 57:13
167:13 168:2,5	70:3 83:13	15:12 16:16	158:7
168:7,11,18	165:2	22:10 29:7	wavelength
169:1 170:1,3	visually 101:6	37:7 43:3	131:12
170:5,9	vocabulary	45:17,18 46:18	waves 84:4
variety 69:18	40:11,13	47:20,21 50:17	waving 162:2
85:8	voice 56:16	53:6 56:18	way 12:18
various 51:5	160:10	61:8,21 63:2	24:11 29:2,12
67:21	voices 58:16	65:4,21 67:20	33:6 35:3 36:1
vasquez 2:20	vote 46:14 47:2	67:22 68:2	36:4 37:3
7:17,19 89:12	47:10 135:5	88:1 94:4	40:13 45:18,20
90:7,12 145:14	146:14 152:22	104:8 115:11	52:5 56:12
145:17	153:17 154:1	123:13 131:20	58:8,21 59:13
versed 165:1	162:11 167:1	159:9 165:3	60:6,11 62:22
			63:1,8 65:19

73:9 92:18	welcome 7:5	wings 82:12	work 9:19
96:7 99:10	went 14:10	83:12 85:19	12:14,22 13:6
102:10 108:20	43:10 111:5	96:17 108:5,6	13:14 22:7
109:7,8 111:18	112:14	120:3 125:6,15	33:9 34:6 38:4
112:10 115:6	west 74:20	137:16 142:1,1	39:15 40:17
125:6 127:11	whichever 58:8	143:10 157:19	41:2 52:19
132:12 138:18	59:13	157:21,22	78:20 81:14
153:9 155:19	whimsical	163:19	85:6 91:5
157:9 158:6,9	147:1	winner 31:11	94:18 103:4
161:21 165:9	whipping	36:3 37:6	108:3 137:14
ways 23:15	160:22	42:13 120:12	156:20 157:5
44:10 54:1	wholehearted	123:5 158:19	worked 86:6
61:2 103:12	96:19	wise 109:6	109:17
115:2 120:19	wide 103:21	woman's 28:12	working 18:15
163:7	widely 13:1	women 53:21	24:16 87:3
we've 22:2 39:1	31:22	86:6	92:16 96:1
40:1 68:7	wider 13:6	won 71:20	works 23:18
94:17 106:16	wienman	wonder 39:14	30:8 39:4 41:5
136:15 138:19	117:19	127:1	41:16 52:2
145:11 147:4	wild 122:19	wondered 90:4	81:17 100:14
151:16 164:13	willing 83:6	wonderful 7:4	107:22 112:12
wear 54:15,15	166:12,16	15:17 19:9	124:6 137:17
weinman 3:7	wind 85:5	21:1 25:9	world 3:13 7:2
8:17,19 13:4	152:5	36:13 42:10	83:20 86:2,7
15:6 44:17	windmill 110:8	55:9 58:4 63:9	169:11,17
45:14,17,22	windswept	68:12 69:6	worried 102:2
46:21 47:20	85:11	81:7 116:6	worry 36:17
48:1,10 49:11	wing 83:4	135:13	59:13
85:6 86:21	115:15,18,20	wondering	worse 163:14
87:3,10 98:6	116:1 132:10	19:9 111:11	worth 41:10
148:7,10,21	155:8,10,11,18	126:15	worthwhile
149:2,15 151:1	155:20 156:5,7	wonky 101:1	42:8 105:10
157:11 167:11	156:7,11 157:4	word 12:7	would've 90:6
weinman's	158:7 159:10	41:16 124:14	93:8 96:12
83:12 86:14	winged 77:12	128:9	wow 73:17
157:19	84:10 97:7	words 35:6	wrap 128:18
weird 156:8	120:14 150:2	68:2 130:10	wrapped 101:3
	153:17	33.2 130.10	

[wreath - youth]

Page 50