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P R O C E E D I N G S
MS. WARREN: Good morning. This is Jennifer Warren, the liaison to the CCAC. Those that are attending remember, this, unless you are a liaison, is listening only. So your mics are closed off. And we will be starting. It is 10:01. And Dr. Brown, I will hand it off to you.

DR. BROWN: Good morning, everyone.
MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Morning.

DR. BROWN: I call to order this meeting of the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee for Tuesday, October 24, 2023, at 10:01 a.m. This is the first day of a two-day public meeting. Today's session is scheduled to run approximately until 4:30 p.m., at which point, the CCAC will recess and -recess until tomorrow's session that is scheduled to begin promptly at nine o'clock tomorrow morning.

I would like to remind the public, who are participating via phone, that this is a listeningonly meeting. And we ask that you please mute your microphones.

Before we begin, I want to introduce
the members of the committee. Please, members, respond "present" when I call your name.

Arthur Bernstein, representing the general public.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Dr. Harcourt Fuller, recommended by the speaker of the House.

Is Dr. Fuller online?
MS. WARREN: Yeah. He's online.
DR. BROWN: Dr. Fuller, are you there?
MS. WARREN: Harcourt, you're muted.
DR. BROWN: I'm going to move forward.
MS. WARREN: Okay.
DR. BROWN: Kellen Hoard, representing the general public.

MR. HOARD: Present.
DR. BROWN: Dr. Christopher Capozzola, specially qualified in American history.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: Present.

DR. BROWN: John Saunders, recommended by the House minority leader.

MR. SAUNDERS: Present.

DR. BROWN: Mike Moran, recommended by the senate majority leader.

MR. MORAN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Darla Jackson, specially qualified in medallic arts or sculpture.

MS. JACKSON: Present.
DR. BROWN: Donald Scarinci,
recommended by the Senate minority leader.
MR. SCARINCI: Present.

DR. BROWN: Dennis Tucker, specially qualified in numismatics.

MR. TUCKER: Present.

DR. BROWN: Dr. Peter van Alfen, specially qualified as a numismatic curator.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Present.
DR. BROWN: I am Lawrence Brown, representing the general public and proud to be the chair of the CCAC.

I believe we have a quorum. We do. The agenda for today's session includes the following. Approval of the minutes and the letter of the secretary from the April 18, 2023, meeting. Swearing
in of Dr. Christopher Capozzola to the CCAC. Review and discussion of the candidate designs for the Benjamin Ferencz Congressional Gold Medal. And review and discussion of the candidate designs for the five 2025 American Women Quarters.

DR. FULLER: Oh --

DR. BROWN: Dr. Fuller, I believe
that's you?
DR. FULLER: I'm present.
DR. BROWN: Thank you, sir.
Before we begin our proceedings, I ask
the U.S. Mint Liaison to the CCAC, Ms. Jennifer

Warren, if there are any members of the press who have remotely signed in for this public meeting?

MS. WARREN: Thank you, sir.
And Paul Gilt, from Amos Media Coin World, senior editor; and Mike Unser, founder and editor of Coin News Media Group.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.

For the record, I would like to also confirm the following U.S. Mint staff attending the meeting today. Please indicate "present" after I have

```
called your name.
```

Deputy Director Kristie McNally.

MS. MCNALLY: Present.
DR. BROWN: April Stafford, chief of the Office of Design Management.

MS. STAFFORD: Present.
DR. BROWN: Megan Sullivan, senior design specialist.

MS. SULLIVAN: Present.

DR. BROWN: Roger Vasquez, the senior design manager.

MR. VASQUEZ: Present.

DR. BROWN: Pam Borer.
MS. BORER: Present.

DR. BROWN: Russel Evans, design manager.

MR. EVANS: Present.
DR. BROWN: Boneeza -- Boneza -- I'm sorry. I always mess up that name. Boneza Hanchock.

MS. HANCHOCK: Present.
DR. BROWN: Sukrita Baijal.
MS. BAIJAL: Present.

DR. BROWN: Mike Costello, manager of design and engraving.

MR. COSTELLO: Present.
DR. BROWN: Jennifer Warren, director of legislative and intergovernmental affairs, and liaison to the CCAC.

MS. WARREN: Present.

DR. BROWN: Greg Weinman, the senior
legal counsel, and counsel to the CCAC.

MR. WEINMAN: Morning. Present.
DR. BROWN: Brendan Tate, senior government affairs specialist, Office of the Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs.

MR. TATE: Present.

DR. BROWN: And Mike White, Office of Corporate Communication.

MR. WHITE: Present.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
And finally, $I$ just want to note for the record that we will be joined later on the call by the liaisons for the Benjamin Ferencz Congressional Gold Medal. That includes Don Ferencz, the son of

Benjamin Ferencz.
Also, we will be joined by the
following liaisons for the 2025 American Women Quarters Program that includes: Michelle Duster, the great granddaughter of Ida Wells; and Daniel Duster, the great-grandson of Ida Wells, for the 2025 Ida B. Wells American Women's Quarter.

We will also be joined by Shannon
Browning-Mullis, the executive director of the Juliette Gordon Low birthplace for the 2025 Juliette Gordon Low American Women's Quarter.

We will be joined by David Rubin, Karl
Rubin, and Allan Rubin, who are the sons of Dr. Vera Rubin, for the 2025 Dr. Vera Rubin American Women's Quarter.

Jean Milbern, the mother and executor of Stacey Park Milbern; as well as Joel Milbern, the father of Stacey Park Milbern; and Jessica Milbern, the sister of Stacey Park Milbern; and David Milbern, the brother of Stacey Park Milbern, for the 2025 Stacey Park Milbern American Women's Quarter.

And finally, we'll be joined by Donald

Felder, who is the cousin and executor of Althea Gibson, for the 2025 Althea Gibson American Women's Quarter Program.

I'd like to begin with the Mint to find out: are there any other issues that need to be addressed at this time?

Hearing none, the first order of the business for this committee is to review and the approval of the CCAC minutes, and the letter to the secretary of the Treasury from our public meeting on April 18, 2023.

Are there any comments?
Hearing none, is there a motion to approve the minutes?

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur
Bernstein. I move approval.
DR. BROWN: Is there a second?
DR. VAN ALFEN: Peter van Alfen, second.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Are there any other objections to the motion?

Hearing none, all those in favor
signify by saying "aye."
MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: All those who disapprove?
It sounds that motion is unanimous.
The second order of business is the introduction and swearing-in of the newest member of the CCAC, Dr. Christopher Capozzola.

Dr. Capozzola was appointed on May 29, 2023, as a new member specially qualified in American history. He is a professor of history and senior associate dean for Open Learning at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. At MIT -- as we -- those of us who, in fact, really -- familiar with MIT -- he teaches courses in political and legal history, war and military, and history of internal migration.

Deputy Director Kristie McNally will, in fact, administer the oath of office to the doctor. Deputy Director?

MS. MCNALLY: My day is successful, as I did not trip on all these cords, so --

All right. At this time, I would like to officially welcome Dr. Christopher Capozzola to the

Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee.
To expand on what Dr. Brown has already mentioned about Dr. Capozzola, in 2018 as a professor at MIT, he received the Mac Vicar Faculty Fellow, which is MIT's highest honor for undergraduate teaching.

Additionally, Chris is active in public history. During the centennial of the first World War, he co-curated The Volunteers: Americans Join World War I, 1914 to 1919, a multi-platform public history initiative.

He currently serves as an academic advisor to the Filipino Veterans Recognition and Education Program. In his current role at MIT, he oversees MIT Open Learning, online educational offerings for learners on campus and around the world, including: OpenCourseWare, MITx, and MicroMasters, as well as the Digital Learning Law, Digital Learning in Residential Education, and MIT Video Productions.

Dr. Capazzola, I now have the honor of administering the oath of office to you. With this oath, you will assume the position on the CCAC as the
member specifically qualified in American history. By doing so, you will join a very select and prestigious group of individuals invested in U.S. coins and medals.

Dr. Capozzola, please raise your right hand and repeat after me.

I do solemnly swear that $I$ will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: I do solemnly swear that $I$ will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic.

MS. MCNALLY: That $I$ will bear true faith and allegiance to the same.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: That $I$ will bear true faith and allegiance to the same.

MS. MCNALLY: That I take this obligation freely without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: That $I$ take this obligation freely without any mental reservation or
purpose of evasion.
MS. MCNALLY: And that $I$ will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter, so help me God.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: And that $I$ will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter, so help me God.

MS. MCNALLY: Okay. And that's it. Dr. Capozzola, congratulations and welcome to the Citizen's Coinage Advisory Committee. We look forward to welcoming you -- or working with you, and I will invite you to say a few words. And hopefully -- if you want to or if not.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: Yes, sure.
MS. MCNALLY: Okay. Congratulations.
DR. CAPOZZOLA: Thank you.
MS. MCNALLY: Thank you.
DR. CAPOZZOLA: I will be brief. And both for those of you in the room who $I$ have met and those who are online, who I welcome to this, I really appreciate the opportunity to serve this committee and to serve the American public.

As you've gathered, I have a passion both for American history and for where scholarly research into American history intersects with the public passion and the public commitment to the preservation of our heritage and the sharing of it with future generations. I think the CCAC is a valuable vehicle for doing that work, and I look forward to being with you in the future. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: First, please allow me to also add my congratulations and welcome to you on behalf of the CCAC.

Before we move on to review the candidate designs for the first portfolio, I would like to take a -- just a few minutes and provide some updates, since the CCAC has not met since April.

First and foremost, I would like to thank members of the public, and the numismatic community, for the suggestions received via the CCAC email address, info@ccac.gov.

While only three of the eleven members of the CCAC came through the door of a Treasury secretary appointment as representatives of the
general public, all of the CCAC members share with me the importance of lending an ear to the questions, ideas, and suggestions of the public and the Americans who support our beloved hobby.

Second, another vehicle for obtaining invaluable ideas and feedback is attendance of the CCAC during forums held by the numismatic community. One such forum was held during the American Numismatic Association meeting that was held at the World's Fair of Money on August 9th in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. I've asked four of the CCAC members, four of the seven who participated, to share, within two minutes, their experience and value of that experience. Let's begin with Donald Scarinci.

MR. SCARINCI: I'm sorry, what was the question?

DR. BROWN: The experience at the CCAC forum In Pittsburgh.

MR. SCARINCI: Oh, in Pittsburgh.
DR. BROWN: In two minutes.
MR. SCARINCI: Two minutes. Oh, I can't do anything in two minutes.

But yeah, it was a -- I think we achieved a lot in Pittsburgh, reaching out to collectors. I was at several of the panels and panel discussions, and they were well attended. There was discussion about the CCAC on the -- floor following the panels.

And I think special kudos go to the director, who personally stayed signing things. And, you know, I think $I$ just was in awe of, you know, of her attention to collectors. And I think that her introduction to that universe was a smashing success, beyond any previous director.

I think she really made a statement, you know, among the collecting community; that she listens, that she's engaged, and, you know, I'm very proud. I'm very proud of my director.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
Let's move on to hear from Dennis. Dennis Tucker.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
I think it's very important for the CCAC and the Mint and the Treasury Department to have
the confidence of the American public, and these types of public engagement hobby meetings are very important to that end.

We have to show transparency. We have
to communicate with the public and with the media. We have to demystify the process of what we do and why we do what we do, and that leads to a sense of inclusion. We want the American public to know that they can have a voice in the process of coin design, coin themes, and what they're able to spend and collect. And I think that it's very encouraging to see this type of CCAC participation with the public in these forums.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Thanks. That was heartfelt. We really appreciate that.

Onto, now, Arthur Bernstein.
MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur

Bernstein.
I was honored to be part of the panel, which just spoke at the convention. The meeting was well attended. We had a large audience, and each one of us spoke about one particular design that we have championed, that we have focused on.

And I was grateful that the Mint had provided large -- like we have in this room today as well. I thought that really helped facilitate the presentation. And everything about it was a terrific experience, other than Kellen's joke about the age of some of the committee members.

DR. BROWN: Lastly, but not least, we'll hear from Kellen.

MR. KELLEN: No. I want to thank the Mint for facilitating as point of the CCAC panel this year. Really, when $I$-- kind of, breaking it down in terms of -- I thought there were really three benefits that were mutual benefits both for the CCAC and the Mint.

The first one is in regard to people. Before, during, and after the forum $I$ heard from a lot of collectors at the show not only a higher level of engagement with the process, but also interest in applying to be a member in the future. And $I$ think these forums actually increase the strength and diversity of our applicant pool. And I think that benefits the CCAC, because fundamentally it's based on
the strength of the people which make it up. So I think it is really a mutual benefit to have a stronger pool for these forums.

I think it also benefits our design when we're able to get active feedback or provide an insight to collectors about both broad theme-related things, and specific design-related things, if not in regard to a specific program, but into what collectors are looking for and what they appreciate.

And what I also think it does is it really facilitates a different level of connection with collectors. A different level of responsiveness. And it shows that the Mint isn't just a distant factory which is outdated and unresponsive, but it is actually the opposite. It is engaged, and responsive, and modern, and it's a stakeholder in the hobby. It's not just a provider.

And so really, you know, not to get on too much of a pedestal here, but when $I$ talk with a lot of the general public today, what $I$ see more and more is that the activities they are most engaged in, the institutions which they most relate to, are those
which provide them a personal connection and have representatives who are personal representatives, rather than just the institution as a whole.

And I think the CCAC does that well, where it makes collectors more engaged with the things that the Mint does, because they have a human connection to it. And not only that, but a responsive human connection. So I think the forum was an overwhelming success, and $I$ think we should do it every year.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much. Now, turning to the public. As you have heard from my colleagues, the CCAC members take seriously our responsibility to serve as an informed, experienced, and impartial resource to the Treasury secretary, and to represent the interests of the American citizens and electors.

Tomorrow, you will hear deliberations of the CCAC based upon the wealth of suggestions that we receive from the public and the numismatic community. So we ask that you stay tuned.

Moving forward. We will now move to
the first portfolio for consideration today. We will first review one of the five reverse candidate designs for the 2025 American Women's Quarters.

After lunch, we will then consider the Benjamin Ferencz congressional gold medal, and then turn back to consideration for the other four candidate designs for the 2025 American Women's Quarters. This is to accommodate the ability for liaisons to attend and speak with us today.

So we now will consider the reverse candidate designs for the 2025 American Women's Quarter honoring Stacey Park Milbern. We are honored to have Ms. April Stafford, chief of the Mint Office of Design Management, will introduce the program and present the reverse candidate designs.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you.
So I'll first give some background on this program overall. It is the circulating collectible coin redesign act of 2020 that requires the secretary of the Treasury to issue up to five quarter dollars each year emblematic of prominent American women beginning in 2022 and continuing
through 2025. The women featured on these quarters were selected in a accordance with a process approved by the secretary of the Treasury, and in consultation with the Smithsonian American Women's History Initiative, and the National Women's History Museum, as well as the bipartisan women's caucus.

The American Women's Quarters honor five women in each year of the program as authorized by the legislation. The common obverse for this program depicts a portrait of George Washington. The design was originally composed and sculpted by Laura Garden Frazier, as a candidate entry for the 1932 quarter, which honored the bicentennial of George Washinton's birth. The inscriptions will be "Liberty," "In God we Trust," and "2025."

Reverse designs for this program shall be emblematic of the accomplishments and contributions of one prominent woman of the United States and may include contributions to the United States in a wide spectrum of accomplishments and fields, including but not limited to suffrage, civil rights, abolition, government, humanities, science, space, and arts, and
should honor women from ethnically, racially, and geographically diverse backgrounds.

Candidate designs have all been reviewed by scholars, curators, and historians with the Smithsonian and the National Women's History Museum, as well as other subject matter experts, family members, and/or estate representatives of the honorees. The candidate designs presented here are for what will be the last five quarters in this series.

So again, we're going to the Stacey Park Milbern candidate design portfolio. Some background on this honoree: Stacey Park Milbern was born on May 19, 1987, in Seoul, South Korea, and raised in an Army family in Fort Bragg, North Carolina. She was an unapologetic, disabled, brilliant woman of color, and very proud of her Korean American heritage. She propelled her intellect, humor, compassion, and wheelchair into the disability justice movement.

Milbern, who had congenital muscular dystrophy, found her passion advocating for disability
rights as a teenager when she attended a youth leadership conference for persons with disabilities in D.C. She was instrumental in the writing and passage of the law requiring disability history to be taught in North Carolina High Schools while she was still a high school student herself.

Milbern worked with many state and local organizations, and the governor of North Carolina appointed her to the North Carolina Commission for the Blind as well as the statewide independent living counsel. In 2009, with a few other young disability activists, she created the disability justice movement, moving disability rights activism for the deeper understanding of what is needed for real justice and change beyond laws and legislation. Milbern continued her work for disability justice throughout her $20 s$ as a visionary writer, inspirational leader and organizer, and sought-after keynote speaker. She served on important boards allied with other social justice organizations and delivered speeches across the country. On stage, she captivated audiences not by the strength of her
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voice, but through the wisdom of her words and the power of her convictions.

Her leadership and community organization skills brought her to the attention of then-President, Barack Obama, who appointed her to the 2014 President Committee for People with Intellectual Disabilities as an advisor.

Milbern, along with friend Andrea Labont, worked on promoting the Netflix documentary Crip Cam, a Disability Revolution. The film is about a groundbreaking summer camp for teens with disabilities turned activists for disability rights in the 1970s. They were co-impact producers promoting the documentary, creating initiatives such as a virtual camp that extended the movie experience by connecting disabled people and sharing their journeys to activism.

What was initially supposed to be an eight-week long experience with the hopes of 500 attendees, became a 16-week camp that hosted an incredible range of guest speakers and nearly 10,000 participants from around the world. Their broadening
of the impact of the film evolved into a new disability revolution.

Sadly, Milbern's health declined over time, and she passed away on her 33rd birthday on May 19, 2020. Although her life was cut way too short, it is proof that, as Dr. Katherine Ought, curator of the Division of Medicine and Science at the Museum of American History, wrote in her letter of support for Milbern's inclusion into this program, "Ancestors can be any age." She writes that Milbern's place in the ancestral history of bringing forth justice along with those who mentored her and those who will be mentored by knowing about her life.

A short life does not necessarily mean a short legacy, especially in disability justice. Milbern was a leader, a visionary, a fierce and compassionate activist for disability justice, ablaze with youth, purpose, and commitment. All designs include a likeness of Stacey Park Milbern, along with the required inscriptions, "United States of America," and "E Pluribus Unum," the common inscription "Stacey Park Milbern," as well as the denomination.

As mentioned earlier, we are fortunate to have the Milbern family with us today, and I'd like to ask the family if they would like to say a few words before we show the candidate designs.

Jean Milbern, are you there?
MS. MILBERN: Yes. I'm here. I'm present.

MS. STAFFORD: Excellent. Would you or Joel like to say a few words?

MR. MILBERN: Yes, good evening.
Hello. I'm Stacey's dad, Joel Milbern. With me is her mother, Jean, and her sister, Jessica. And we're calling in from Seoul, Korea, today. Stacey's brother, David, is joining us from Charlotte.

I'd just like to start by saying that our family is very thankful for this wonderful recognition in honor of Stacey. We greatly appreciate everyone that's been involved in this process, especially the design team that's worked so hard to make this happen, and the amazing artists that have all managed to capture Stacey's spirit so beautifully in their individual imaging. Everyone has been so
kind to our family in respecting our opinions and allowing us to participate and contribute.

We're exceptionally proud of Stacey and humbled by your work to honor her. I know that you've all been provided some information on Stacey that was just read to you. I'd just like to add a little bit. Stacey was born with congenital muscular dystrophy, and this condition led to with other health issues. It caused her arms and legs to be very weak. Also when she was very young it caused -- become permanently dislocated.

Since the time Stacey was an infant, every day was filled with a dependency on others for help with her basic needs and constant, chronic pain. It would have been understandable if these conditions had made Stacey angry, bitter, or reclusive. But amazingly, they never did. Stacey was almost always outgoing and cheerful. She was interested in everyone she met. No one was ever small or insignificant to Stacey. You could talk with her for five minutes and she'd brighten your whole day. You'd spend 30 minutes with her, and you'd think she was your best friend.

It seems she was born with a unique ability to deeply love and care for others. It is her great ability to love that $I$ think defined Stacey's life. Her love led her to be both fierce and formidable. She would fight hard for the causes she believed in, and her bravery was often a catalyst for others to join in the battle. She really had a warrior's heart, driven by love like all the greatest leaders.

It was Stacey's love for others that motivated her to accomplish so many great things in her life. To her, seeking justice and fairness for people in need was simply an extension of her loving heart. She devoted herself and worked tirelessly to help others, and she was a highly intelligent organizer and creative problem solver.

As it was mentioned, Stacey sadly passed away on her 33rd birthday in 2020. Losing her is still a fresh wound for our family and we miss her deeply. Her young death was tragic, but her life story is not a tragedy because she was able to help so many people and inspire so many to action. She
accomplished more in her brief 33 years than I think most of us would accomplish in three lifetimes.

And a few days before her passing, Stacey told me on the phone from California that she loves her life and was the happiest she had ever been. Our family's been asked to review the candidate designs and let our preferences be known. Our top design choice is 02 alpha, and we believe that 02 alpha best presents Stacey as she would like to be remembered. We think Stacey would like her posture, appearance, and closing -- clothing as it's depicted in the design, and it meets several other of our family's preferences.

First, it shows Stacey's middle name, Park, which honors the Korean heritage that she's so proud of. Park is her mother's Korean maiden name. "Disability Justice," the movement that she helped found, is prominently displayed in the design. And lastly, we like that her wheelchair is clearly shown, and her left hand is up near her trach, showing she is preparing to speak. This is an accurate depiction of a strong, beautiful Stacey.

Lastly, we feel that the design 04 and 06 alpha share several of these characteristics, but design 02 alpha is our family's preferred design. Thank you very much.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much for joining us.

And now, we'll go to the candidate designs. As agreed upon with the chairman of the CCAC, I will stop and read the design descriptions of any designs that have been identified by a liaison or another stakeholder as a preference, but certainly, if any committee member would like another design description read as part of the deliberations, please let me know. We'll be sure to enter all of the design descriptions which the committee was provided with a couple of weeks ago into the record.

So we'll start with candidate design 1. Candidate Design 2A. This design depicts Milbern speaking to an audience. She places one finger on her trach, while her right hand faces palm up in a gesture meant to evoke a genuine exchange of ideas as the building of allyship. The additional inscription is
"Disability Justice." As noted, this design is preferred by the family. It is also the recommendation of the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts. They did suggest that the Mint consider a slight reworking of the text that is in the upper left quadrant. They noted that it takes up a large degree of space, and they felt that if there's a way to somehow include more field, not necessarily by removing any inscriptions but by the formatting, that that might improve the design. So we appreciate your thoughts on that comment.

Moving on to design 3. Design 4,
identified by the family as a design that they had interest in but not necessarily their preferred design. This design features Milbern with silhouetted activists and a disability justice banner in the background, with the additional inscription
"Disability Justice."
Design 5. Design 6A, this design was also identified by the family as a design they were interested in, although not the preferred design. Design 6A features Milbern with the central mechanical
body of her wheelchair replaced with whimsical lettering communicating the joy and happiness she exhibited every day forming the varied inscriptions. Milbern was a fierce and compassionate voice for disability justice who made an outsized impact during her life. This design includes "Disability Justice" as an inscription.

And moving onto the final candidate design, 6B. And that concludes the candidate designs.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
From the committee, are there any technical or legal questions the committee may have regarding this program?

Hearing none, let us begin our considerations. I would like to remind each of us that, please, do your best to try to keep your comments within five minutes or less. Additionally, if there are any members who have questions or comments on the program at this point, please refrain from asking them at this time until you are recognized and at the end of our discussion. For the benefit of the court reporter -- calling in, and those calling
in, I ask that you please state your name when -before you begin speaking.

So let's begin with Donald Scarinci. MR. SCARINCI: Okay. Donald Scarinci.

I have to agree with the family that, you know, and the Commission on Fine Arts, I like 2A. I'm not so sure I agree with the Commission on Fine Arts as to the re-lettering in light of the size of the planchet. But $I$ have, you know, complete confidence in the chief engraver and his team that this will be done, you know -- the lettering will not detract, and that, in fact, the lettering will be made to enhance the design.

You know, I think, you know, a comment about all of the designs here. You know, the Mint artist did -- and the Infusion Program did an outstanding job with this portfolio. And, you know, I think this is very consistent with the quality of the designs that we have seen in this program from the very first quarter design.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
Let's turn now to Dr. Peter van Alfen.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Peter van Alfen.

I want to start by thanking Stacey's father, Mr. Milbern, for the additional insight into Stacey's life and personality. And with that additional insight, $I$ have to say that the portrait on 2A, which is quite cheerful and captivating, is even more so. I am more than happy to support the family's preference for design 2A, and as with Donald, I also think that the layered incurve inscriptions actually works quite well in this design, and I think it will look really quite nice on a quarter-sized planchet.

So that's all I have to say. Although, I do have one technical question, which I should have raised a little bit earlier. In the lower right-hand portion of the design, where the "E Pluribus Unum" runs over the wheelchair, the wheelchair seems to be lightly shaded, and $I$ assume that just means it'll be somehow receded, not fully raised?

MR. COSTELLO: Yeah. We would probably
incuse that just slightly.
Sorry, this is Mike Costello.

Yes, we would probably have that incused slightly.

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Great.
Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
Let's turn now to John Saunders.
MR. SAUNDERS: I actually like 6A best
from -- first impressions. But I like 2 A as well, and again in view of the introduction from Stacey's father and the -- very touching speech, by the way. Very, very nicely done. I think 2A would be just fine. And comments about the designs people have already said kind of incorporate what $I$ believe as well. So I'll leave it at that.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
Let's turn now to Kellen.

MR. HOARD: Thank you. What was clear through the description from Stacey's family and from the Office of Design Management here is that she was really just a very powerful person and someone who was consistently, you know, overcoming challenges and who was consistently at the forefront of what she did, and
so that kind of informed my approach toward the -because I didn't want any kind of design where she would be overpowered in the design. Where she would be kind of the center point of it, because she was the one who was driving this change forward.

And so $I$ was really drawn to 6 A in
the -- in terms of a compelling design, a creative design, which uses her wheelchair not only as a symbol of what -- who she was, but also the work that she did and how her wheelchair in many ways drove forward the work that she did, and wasn't actually a hindrance but rather a tool for achieving change.

I also did like 2A, especially because the family liked it. I do support it for that reason as well. I just worry that the words in some ways actually overpower her in some ways and my attention is drawn to the words rather than to her. But I like both of those, and I'm looking forward to seeing what we do with that.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
We're going to turn now to Dr. Harcourt
Fuller.

DR. FULLER: Thank you so much, Dr.
Brown.

I really appreciate the comments from the family members, and I'm very happy to support 2 A , and I also liked 6A as well, but $I$ will be happy to support the family's recommendations for 2A. Thank you very much.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
Let's turn now to Dennis Tucker.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
This is Dennis Tucker, and thank you to mister and misses Milbern for sharing your thoughts. That's very helpful in guiding our deliberations and consideration as we talk about these designs.

I had assumed from your choice of 2A that the family felt that this portrait captured Stacey's essence, but it's good to hear from you, you said you feel like this is how she would like to be remembered. So I feel like that's a strong endorsement for that -- for this particular design.

It's a confident and engaging pose, and the inscription "Disability Justice" gives context for
people who might be learning about her for the first time, so I'm happy to give my support to this design as well. Thank you.

Thank you both, and thank you to the entire family.

DR. BROWN: Let's turn now to Art Bernstein.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Hi. This is Arthur
Bernstein. I also support design 2A for all the reasons stated. I did want to call attention to design 1, which $I$ think might be the first time we've seen a mobile phone in a design. And $I$ was intrigued by that. And there's a direct connection to her use of social media, and $I$ thought this might have been a chance to get a cell phone on a coin.

But I support the family's decision. And I also wanted to say, I liked design 4 with the silhouetted activists portrayed. I liked the fact that it was pointing to her role with other people. But as I said, I'm all for 2 A.

DR. BROWN: Outstanding.
Let's turn now to Darla Jackson

MS. JACKSON: Hello. This is Darla
Jackson.

First, I want to point out 6A. I think that this is a really nice design and wanted to call it out. I think it is a nice balance of all of the elements that were talked about.

However, $I$ do agree with the family, especially after the beautiful talk about Stacey Park Milbern and how friendly and warm she is. I think that 2A does a really wonderful job of balancing that feeling, while still giving her such a place of, you know, importance here.

And I also feel that the text in this design speaks visually to the waves that she created in her community. So I would not actually advise to change the size of the text. I think that it balances with the portrait really nicely, and then, again, is a visual representation of the impact that she's made throughout the world. So I support the recommendation of 2 A .

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
Let's turn now to Mr. Moran.

MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown.
I want to remind the committee that we are judging a coin that is for general circulation and non-medal. And therefore, there's a message to be conveyed here that must be readily discerned by the general public.

When I look at 2 A , $I$ see 50 percent of the palette tied up with the inscriptions, and I don't think there's any way to shrink them to give more negative space and more -- and therefore add more weight to the image without making them virtually unreadable on a quarter. And we have to remember we're designing for a quarter.

So that one -- I've listened to everybody and there's a stampede for it and $I$ want to caution you about that. At least, I am going to caution myself about it. I liked 4, but again, it's on a quarter. Those silhouettes back there won't show, and they will just complicate the design.

So let's go to 6A and 6B. They're both equally good, but I think that I like 6A because of its simplicity, and it highlights the figure, and it
does a unique way of showing "Disability Justice" as part of the wheelchair. It's right there in your face and it -- a picture's worth a thousand words, and it really takes the place of the inscriptions that they are placed onto it.

I would also suggest that, regardless of which one we pick, we need to look at the motto "E Pluribus Unum," "One from Many." I think it has a particular, poignant, meaning here. And that Stacey was one of many, by she rose to be part of many and overcame her disabilities. I think that that deserves a prominent position. And in that regard, I would move it to where "E Pluribus Unum" is within her eyesight on the coin. My first preference is going to be 6A.

Thank you, Dr. Brown.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.

Turn now to Chris.
DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right. Thank you.

Chris Capozzola here.
I think this coin will be incredibly
valuable to the public for conversations about
disability, and I really value the 21 st Century representation of an individual, which $I$ think is very exciting.

As I was looking at these, I was -- I thought it very important to include the features of technology that are in some of these designs. Also, Stacey as a speaker. And also, particularly, the words "Disability Justice." Those two words, rather than "Disability Justice Leader" or "Activist." It's a specific formulation that is distinct from 19th Century or other ways in which people with disabilities advocated for themselves.

Like Mr. Moran, I also read this very specifically as a circulating coin with the challenges and opportunities that come with that, and a need to convey to people who -- the entire general public Milbern's personality or history and her contributions both through -- in as few words and as many images as possible.

This leads me to my preference of $2 A$, which many others have said. I do think, you know, as sort of shared, the -- these comments, I do worry that
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the image itself is not, you know, doesn't take up enough of the room.

I don't know, and I leave it to others who are more technically sophisticated about whether those letters could be made smaller in order to make Milbern herself larger. That said, I also appreciate 6A, and again, as many others have said, I appreciate, you know, sort of, the way that this all is included, and particularly the words "Disability Justice" in the wheelchair itself. And thus makes, for me, makes 6A more valuable than 6B, which $I$ think maybe tries to do too much for a circulating coin. And I'll leave it at that. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.

For me -- this is Lawrence Brown, and for me, this has been -- as has been the case with this program. I've continued to be marveled at the fantastic work by the U.S. Mint, these designs are incredible. So next -- to that point, I want to commend the Mint and the artists for the great work they've provided.

```
I also want to make sure that I look at
```

coins from a standpoint of the story they tell, and how they make me feel. If a coin actually requires me to do a lot of homework, then it loses some of its essence for me. And I think from the standpoint of what she's done, the word "Disability Justice" needs to be, in fact, prominent. Because if not, then we're going to lose this for historians to understand the significance.

As much as they will see someone in a chair. I do agree that the wheelchair is somewhat obscured in 2A, by virtue of that -- the design. I also love 6A, because $I$ think that it does have a modern representation. You might say that disability justice -- the font, the way it's provided. So I am really challenged between 2 A and 6 A in terms of the choices of those myself. So at this point, I think we --

Are there any additional comments or motions from the members at this time?

Hearing none, Mr. Costello, do you have anything that you would like to share with the committee on this program?

MR. COSTELLO: The only comment I have as far as 2A, I'm sure we can make modest adjustments to the scale of those letters to make it work a little bit better, but other than that I'm not sure what else we can do. Chief Engraver Joe and the team, they'll take a look. That's all the comment I have.

DR. BROWN: I too --

Yes.
MR. HOARD: I'm sorry. I had a quick question, if $I$ could. I know for the family it's important that her head was kind of outreached into a -- as if she was, you know, addressing a crowd. For 6A, is that possible for that hand to be adjusted in a more outreaching way? I don't know if that's viable.

MS. STAFFORD: I -- I can -- sorry. I was going to say, unless the Milbern family would like to weigh in, $I$ can tell you that, from the notes that the design manager left, that 6A was also identified as a design that accurately captures her body positioning and her range of motion as well as her inherent beauty.

I don't know, Joel, if you wanted to
comment on that? Is there anything problematic with 6A, or is it something that you feel would be enhanced by an outreached hand?

MR. MILBERN: No. Her current posture, and she has her hand resting over the control over the wheelchair in the current design. But the family would have no objection to changing her hand position to be more similar to the --

MS. WARREN: This is Jennifer.
I just want to remind everyone just to state your name, as the people on the phone don't know who's talking. And also to speak up, because the court reporter is --

MR. MILBERN: Certainly. And I'm sorry.

That was Joel Milbern.
MS. WARREN: Oh, yeah. Yeah, sorry. I was talking to the people in the room. And speak up, because people on the phone may not be able to hear you as well, just because we still don't have built-in microphones in this room. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: This is Lawrence Brown.
Donald.
MR. SCARINCI: Donald Scarinci.
Mr. Chairman, $I$ just want to, for the record and to Mike, just communicate -- I think Darla made a very important design comment. And, you know, it is almost like the lettering and the shape is almost like a sonic wave. It's almost like, you know, it, you know, the observation that was made.

I would be very careful, artistically, you know, to adjust that design; because $I$ would suspect that was the artist's intention. So that's all. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Does the Milbern family have any other comments or suggestions that they would like to make based upon what they've heard?

This is Lawrence Brown again.

MR. MILBERN: This is Joel Milbern again.

No further comments, other than I greatly appreciate your thoughtful deliberation and comments. So thank you.

MS. MILBERN: Yes.

DR. BROWN: Hearing no further comments or suggestions, the committee will now score the reverse candidate designs for the 2025 Stacey Park Milbern quarter.

Each of you should have received -should have in front of you, that is, your scoresheets. When you're done, please give them to Mr. Greg Weinman, who will tally those scores.

Dr. Fuller, I'm sure you will send yours to Mr. Weinman electronically.

We will take a brief five minutes --

DR. FULLER: Yes, I will, Dr. Brown.
Thank you.

DR. BROWN: We will take a five-minute break for the scoring and the tallying.

MS. WARREN: It is muted.

THE REPORTER: Dr. Brown, should I take us off the record?

DR. BROWN: Yes. I apologize.
(Off the record.)
MS. WARREN: We're back.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much. Ladies and gentleman, we are back.

I recognize Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC, to present the results from the scoring sheets.

MR. WEINMAN: Thank you for scoring. This is with a full panel present today. We have a possible 33 points. So out of a possible 33 points, design number 1 received seven; design number 2A received 28 , and that is the high-scoring design, $2 A$; design 3 received three points; design 4 received six points; design 5 received five points; design 6A, close behind at 23 points; and design 6B had seven points. So once again, the high-scoring design was 2 A with 28 points.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
I ask the committee, are there any motions based on what you have heard?

I recognize this is a large amount -- I recognize Dennis Tucker.

MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis Tucker.
Dr. Brown, I move that we recommend to
the secretary of the Treasury design $2 A$, which was the family's preference as well.

DR. BROWN: Is there a second?
MR. BERNSTEIN: Art Bernstein seconds.

DR. BROWN: Is there any further conversation or debate with respect to this motion?

Hearing none, all those in favor, please signify by saying, "aye."

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Those opposed, "nay."
The motion carries.

Ladies and gentleman, I'd like you to also consider to provide a response based on what you've heard from the CFA's recommendation with respect to the "Disability Justice," changing the font, modifying it. I think it would be useful for the secretary to hear your view with respect to that suggestion from the CFA.

MR. MORAN: Are you looking for volunteers?

DR. BROWN: Yes, please.
MR. MORAN: Dr. Brown, this is Michael

Moran. I think you need to leave it like it is.
DR. BROWN: Is there a second?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Peter van Alfen, second.

DR. BROWN: Okay.
No further debate or discussion?
Hearing none, all those in favor, signify by saying "aye."

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Opposed, "nay." Opposed,
"nay."
The motion carries.
Are there any further discussions with respect to this matter?

Since all discussion has concluded, then $I$ would call for a recess for lunch until 12:50, when we will review and discuss the candidate designs for the 2025 American Woman's quarters. Before we go to recess, I'd like to share with you that I'm passing around, for people to see in the room, some of the American Women's quarter products from the U.S. Mint. I think it's useful for people here to see, in fact,
the fantastic work from the U.S. Mint so that we can really appreciate the wealth of this program.

MS. WARREN: Dr. Brown?
Sorry. This is Jennifer Warren.
Actually, we'll come back at 12:40.
Just a correction, because we had to change the agenda slightly. So 12:40 we'll be returning to deal with the Benjamin Ferencz congressional gold medal.

DR. BROWN: So for the record, we'll be returning at 12:40.

I would also like to take a moment to thank our liaisons, particularly the Milbern family, and want to pause here to find out if they have any questions or comments based on what they have heard.

MR. MILBERN: Thank you all, so much.

Joel Milbern, again.
Just our gratitude as a family for this process.

MS. MILBERN: Yes.

MR. MILBERN: And all you've given us.
Thank you so much.
MS. MILBERN: We are so thrilled.

Thank you so much for everything that you did.
DR. BROWN: So thank you for attending and providing what you have done to enrich this discussion.

I want to thank the public for participating via phone during this discussion.

So officially, we stand in recess until we return.

MS. WARREN: And just a reminder, we did hear from people on the call.

Donald, they could not hear you very well, and Mike. So if you guys could just make sure you -- even though there's mics here, that's for the court reporter. It's not necessarily for the TV. So just facing the TV and just project a little would help. Thank you.
(Off the record.)

MS. WARREN: Good afternoon. I'm going to hand this over to Dr. Brown for the afternoon for the CCAC.

DR. BROWN: Good afternoon. I am
Lawrence Brown, and we are back.

And let's take a quick roll call of the CCAC members to determine that everyone is present.

Darla Jackson.
Please answer by saying "present,"
please.
MS. JACKSON: Present.
DR. BROWN: Dr. Harcourt Fuller. Dr.
Fuller.
MS. WARREN: He's still on, but his mic
is muted, so $I$ don't know if he's stepped away.
DR. BROWN: Okay. I'll go forward.
Art Bernstein.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Donald Scarinci.

MR. SCARINCI: Present.
DR. BROWN: Mike Moran.
MR. MORAN: Present.

DR. BROWN: Dr. Christopher Capozzola.
DR. CAPOZZOLA: Present.

DR. BROWN: Dr. Peter van Alfen.
DR. VAN ALFEN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Kellen Howard -- Hoard.

MR. HOARD: Present.
DR. BROWN: Dennis Tucker.

MR. TUCKER: Present.
DR. BROWN: John Saunders.
MR. SAUNDERS: Present.

DR. BROWN: And again, I am Lawrence
Brown.

Seeing that everyone is here, we will now review the candidate designs for the Benjamin Ferencz Congressional Gold Medal.

Once again, we turn to April Stafford, who's the chief of the Mint's Office of Design Management, who will introduce the program and present the obverse and reverse candidate designs for the congressional gold medal.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you, so much. First, a little background on this program. The Benjamin Berell Ferencz Congressional Gold Medal Act awards Benjamin Ferencz a congressional gold medal in recognition of his service to the United States and international community during the postWorld War II Nuremberg Trials, and his lifelong
advocacy for international criminal justice and rule of law.

Benjamin Ferencz was born on March 11, 1920, in present day Romania, and was just 10 months old when his family emigrated to the United States, settling in New York City. A studious Ferencz earned a scholarship to Harvard Law School, and after graduating with honors, enlisted in the Army in 1943. He then joined an anti-aircraft artillery battalion, preparing for the invasion of France, and under General Patton, fought in many of the major campaigns throughout Europe.

As the atrocities of the Nazi regime were uncovered, Ferencz was transferred to the newly created War Crimes Branch of the Army. Their mission was to gather evidence that could be used in a court of law to prosecute those responsible for the heinous crimes. He documented the horrors perpetuated by the Third Reich, visiting concentration camps as they were liberated.

At the end of 1945, Ferencz, who had been awarded five battle stars during his time in
service, was honorably discharged from the Army with the rank of Sergeant of Infantry.

In 1946, Ferencz was recruited to join the legal team working on the Nuremberg tribunals, a novel independent court established to try top-ranking Nazi officials for crimes perpetuated during the course of the war, including crimes committed during the Holocaust.

By 1948, at age 27 , he was appointed chief prosecutor in the Einsatzgruppen Trial, in what the Associated Press called "the biggest murder trial in history." The Court found 20 Nazi officials guilty of war crimes, crimes against humanity, and membership in a criminal organization complicit in systematic genocide. At the end of the Nuremberg Trials, Ferencz fought for compensation and other forms of restitution for victims and survivors of the Holocaust.

Ferencz then entered private law practice, but the impact of his war -- of his work after World War II gradually drew him back to the cause that would become his life's work. From the 1970s until his death in 2023, Ferencz worked
tirelessly to promote the development of international mechanisms to outlaw and punish aggressive war and the crimes of genocide, crimes against humanity, and war crimes. His efforts contributed to the establishment of the International Criminal Court, and to the recognition of aggression as an international crime. Ferencz was a tireless advocate for international criminal justice and the conviction that the rule of law offers the world a sustainable path to stem conflict and reach peaceful conclusions to geopolitical disputes. His unwavering goal was to establish a legal precedent that would encourage a more humane and secure world in the future. Even at the age of 101, Ferencz was still actively giving speeches worldwide about lessons learned during his extraordinary career.

He was compelled by the imperative to replace the rule of force with the rule -- with the force of law, promoting judicial mechanisms that can resolve conflict. He often told young people to never give up because the fight for peace and justice is worth the long struggle ahead.

Ferencz died on April 7, 2023, at the age of 103, the last surviving prosecutor at the Nuremberg Trials.

So we have with us today, Don Ferencz, to speak to this portfolio, but I want to check his connection.

MS. WARREN: He's -- hold on.

MS. STAFFORD: Don, are you with us?
MS. WARREN: He's coming on. One second.

MS. STAFFORD: Okay. I'll go on to read the --

MS. WARREN: Nope. He's -- he should be on now.

MS. STAFFORD: Okay. I'll go on to read the common inscriptions across the obverse and reverse, and then I'll throw to Donald Ferencz to say a few words, if that's okay.

Common inscriptions you'll see across the obverse designs will include "Benjamin B. Ferencz," "Law not War," and "A Plea of Humanity to Law." Common inscriptions across the reverse designs
you'll see today include "Act of Congress 2023," "Law not War," and "International Criminal Justice." Again, we're fortunate to have his son, Don Ferencz, with us.

Don, would you like to say a few words?
MR. FERENCZ: I'm delighted to say a
few words. I'll keep -- most importantly, I'd like to express on behalf of myself and, of course, our entire family our very, very deep appreciation not only for the honor of the award of the congressional medal, but also for the work of all who have been involved in the process, both on the legislative side and also on the aesthetic and developmental side.

I'm sorry that $I$ have not been successful this afternoon in trying to get -- other than just by audio. I was actually dumped off this call just a few moments ago and had to dial, using Skype on a computer in Spain, to speak with you this afternoon. So I don't want to intrude on your work.

I was very, very pleased to be able to listen in on the call a few days ago to review the submissions, and as $I$ understand it, were uniform in
their appraisal of the obverse and the reverse of the selection that $I$ thought was the most appropriate -virtual connectivity interruption --

MS. STAFFORD: Don, can you hear us?

Don, are you still with us?
Okay. I'm afraid it seems like we've lost him. Perhaps he can hear us.

I believe he might have been going on to say that he listened into the CFA meeting and was pleased with their recommendations. Of course, we will share --

MR. FERENCZ: I -- yes.

MS. STAFFORD: There you go. Okay. Go ahead. We can hear you now.

MR. FERENCZ: I'm not sure, but I may actually be connecting through the Microsoft app on my iPhone. Are you seeing an indication --

MS. WARREN: Yes. I just accepted you, sir. You just have to mute -- unmute yourself on that. There you go, sir.

MR. FERENCZ: Okay. Yeah. Are we okay?

MS. WARREN: Perfect.
MR. FERENCZ: It -- bear with me just one more moment, okay? I want to try to plug in a -a headset so $I$ can hear you better.

Okay. Can you hear me now?
MS. STAFFORD: Yes.
MS. WARREN: Perfect.

MR. FERENCZ: It's funny. I think you just said yes, but I'm having trouble hearing you.

MS. STAFFORD: Yes. We can hear you.
MR. FERENCZ: Okay. Well, anyway, I was just thanking everyone involved for this very deep honor, and the efforts that people have made both on the legislative side and also on the developmental side with respect to the development of the medal itself. And, of course, all of the artists who have been involved and engaged, and also all of the people in the administration.

I was particularly gratified to listen in on some -- virtual connectivity interruption -undertook the other day and was particularly pleased that they unanimously accepted to reverse and the
obverse that was the family's choice. And I know that you'll perhaps have further deliberations today on that, but they were, $I$ know, very critical in the way that they viewed some of the earlier cases that $I$ also listened to. And when it came to the medal and the obverse and the reverse that were suggested as the family's choice, they virtually made no changes whatsoever, which $I$ found quite the positive thing. So I was very pleased with that.

I don't want to get in the way of your deliberation, so I'll let you get on with it and I'll simply say my deep, deep thanks on behalf of myself and my family -- once again, thank you.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you, so much.

And certainly, if any of our CCAC
members have questions, we'll throw to you. So thank you for being with us.

All right. So we'll go through the candidate designs, starting with the obverse. As I indicated earlier, $I$ will simply read the descriptions associated with preferred designs. If any member would like other designs read, please let me know.

So we'll start with obverse 1, 1A, 1B. This design depicts Benjamin Ferencz at a podium during the Nuremberg trials. It also features the additional inscription "1922 to 2023." This is the family's preferred design, as well as the recommendation of the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts.

Our liaison indicated that this design has the best likeness to his father, and very much appreciated the fact that he's looking forward in a manner that is engaging and captures the energy of the moment, him at work at the podium.

Obverse 2, 3, 4, 5, 5A, 5B, 6, 7, 7A,

8, 9, 10, 11, 11A, 12, 12A, 13, 13A, and 13B.

Moving onto the reverse candidate designs, we have reverse $1,2,2 A, 3,3 A, 3 B, 4,4 A$. This design prominently features "Law not War," above the scales of justice, surrounded by olive branches. Additionally, it features five stars to represent Ferencz's military service. This is our liaison's preferred design, as well as the recommendation of the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts, though they did make a couple of suggestions.

They suggested that the olive branches should be given more form, some more shape. And so we would appreciate your input on that. And they also encouraged the removal of the punctuation marks, the periods after "Law and War."

Design 5, 6, 7, 8, 8A. This design
features the scales of justice, and olive branches and symbols of Ferencz and his steadfast advocacy for justice and peace. Additionally, this design features five stars to represent his military service. This is our liaison's second preferred design.

Reverse 9, and finally, reverse 10. And that concludes the candidate designs.

DR. BROWN: This is Lawrence Brown.
Thank you, so much, April.
Now, to the committee to ask, are there any technical or legal questions that the committee would like to pose?

Art? I'm sorry. Speak, speak.
DR. VAN ALFEN: Peter. This is Peter
van Alfen.
I do have a couple questions about --
technical questions. For the preferred obverse 2A. Or no, no, sorry, preferred obverse 1B. The pinstripes in the suit, how would that be represented on the medal? This is a question for Mike Costello.

MR. COSTELLO: On the medal --
I'm sorry. It's Mike Costello.
The actual medal itself, we could strike that when they sculpt. I could get in there -we have a -- our smallest tools is 4000 -- I'm quite sure we could get in there and just scribe that. And it would show up on --

DR. VAN ALFEN: And another question for the reverse. For the reverse 4A, the background there. I presume that's a textured background? Is that what is represented there?

MR. COSTELLO: This is Mike Costello.
Yes, that's a textured background.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Okay. Thank you.
MR. COSTELLO: Yep.
MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur

Bernstein.
I had a question about --

April?
MS. STAFFORD: I'm looking, yes.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. My question was about the lapel pin, which seems to be fairly prominent in several of the obverse designs. I didn't know if there was some significance to that, if it's symbolic of something, or it's just something -- wear in his suit --

MS. STAFFORD: No. We don't have any information about that lapel pin. I'll ask Don Ferencz.

I know when you worked closely with Boneza Hanchock on development of this portfolio, we worked with you to analyze the details of the designs to ensure accuracy and appropriateness. Do you have any details about the lapel pin as it appears in obverse 1B, or is that something that's taken from the photographs that were used as a reference?

MS. WARREN: Sir, if you're speaking, you are muted. So you would have to hit the unmute button.

MR. FERENCZ: Yeah. Yeah. I'm sorry.

I'm at a little bit of a disadvantage, because I'm having troubles hearing you.

I hear you're asking me a question. Could you repeat the question, please?

MS. STAFFORD: Sure. Absolutely. Can you hear me now?

MR. FERENCZ: I can hear you, yes. But I don't have all of the visuals in front of me.

MS. STAFFORD: No problem. So on your preferred obverse, obverse 1B --

MR. FERENCZ: Yeah.
MS. STAFFORD: -- your father is depicted at the podium and microphone, and on his left lapel there is a small pin. So our question to you is, are you aware -- have you validated that that detail is accurate? Is it a particular pin, or is it simply a depiction of photographic references from the time that we used of your father?

MR. FERENCZ: Well, as to whether or not it's taken from a photograph, a real, live, photograph, that I'm not sure, unless I were to go through my own archives of photographs. But the
answer is, yes. My father used to wear a pin which he referred to, and some of you may have heard this expression, as a "ruptured duck."

Is that familiar to anybody in this room?

MR. BERNSTEIN: No.
MR. FERENCZ: Apparently, it was one of the military's sort of lapel pins that they gave out, and it was an American eagle, but apparently the service members, rather, how can I say, lightheartedly, had a nickname for it because the likeness, they thought, apparently, was not as keen or as likened unto an eagle as they might have preferred.

So in terms of keeping that in, I would say actually it should very much be in. And I used to hear my dad talk about this as a kid. So yes is the answer. It's realistic.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much. And we've located that on an internet search, and we're going to show the CCAC members.

MR. FERENCZ: Okay.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Dr. Brown, thank you.

Thank you, April. You phrased my question better than $I$ did. And now I'm fascinated and thrilled that the lapel pin is on.

MR. FERENCZ: Well, I'll add something else to the story just for fun. My dad lost that pin many, many, many years ago. And he used to accuse me of being the person who lost that pin. So I actually, like you, $I$ actually went on eBay and bought him a replacement ruptured duck, this little pin. And in fact, I'm wearing it right now on my lapel as I speak to you.

DR. BROWN: Another question?
MR. HOARD: I had a quick question for Don as well, if I could.

This is Kellen Hoard.
I was just wondering, Don said that the likeness in this 1 series was the most true to form, which I appreciate, because it looked like to me pretty much every design had kind of a different likeness of him. So that was helpful. I'm wondering, in terms of 1B, whether -- which elements differentiate it for the family than 1A or 1.

Did they prefer the name over the top or the date at the bottom? Which elements -- because the pictures are the same, which elements are distinguishing that make that one preferred? If I could hear that.

MR. FERENCZ: My feeling -- I went online myself to review, for example, some of the earliest -- to see what did they look like. Some of the earlier ones look like, what can $I$ say, sort of formal -- sort of standard. What I like about seeing the name across the top as sort of -- uniformly across the top as opposed to breaking it up, in my mind it creates a frame, if you will, of the features, and I like -- particularly like the balance of that.

MR. HOARD: Okay. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
And this is Lawrence Brown.

And sir, I just want to share with you that I'm a veteran myself, and actually for a period of time $I$ was stationed with the 101st Airborne. So sometimes, we got some less than complimentary names of our insignias. So it was not uncommon to hear
that, for example, the 101st Airborne that we liked to view it as in fact a strong eagle, but many people would call it a "puking buzzard" or --

But I do have a question for, maybe, the Mint staff or you, sir; that I'm curious if you might be able to share with us some background of the five battle stars. I'm fortunate to be a recipient of a bronze star myself, as a battle star. So I was curious, is that -- if we have any information about the campaigns under which he won them. Because this is so important, particularly for veterans, to understand that someone is being commemorated in this way. And having that complete picture would be invaluable.

MR. FERENCZ: Yes. I can tell you what
I have heard. I have not independently verified it, but I have heard my father, who spoke many, many times publicly, and he would talk about his experience during the war. And he said that he got five battle stars for not being killed or wounded in the five major campaigns in Europe in which he fought, starting with the D-Day invasion.

He was not in the first wave of troops who came, but he was there at the Battle of the Bulge, et cetera, et cetera. And he was in, you know, part of Patton's Infantry. And he took great pride in that. He, you know, he would like to say that he was a very proud American because he had lived the American dream, coming over to the United States, and as an immigrant growing up in Hell's Kitchen in New York in abject poverty. Going to Harvard Law School on a full scholarship. And his life was one miracle after another.

And this was part of his wanting to include his service in the military as part of the story that he told, even as he was telling the story "law not war," trying to move the world forward. As Dwight Eisenhower, he loved -- used to like to quote Eisenhower, saying that, "If civilization is to survive, it must choose the rule of law." And this is one of his favorite quotes as well.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much. Really appreciate that.

Are there any other technical or legal
questions from the committee?
DR. CAPOZZOLA: I have one.

This is Chris Capozzola.
One small question for April. I might have missed it. What did the Commission of Fine Arts say about the obverse?

MS. STAFFORD: They agreed with our liaison's preferred design, which is obverse 1B. And they had no suggestions for that. They did on the reverse though.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: Okay. Thank you.
MS. STAFFORD: That was giving more form to the olive branches and removing the punctuation from the inscription "Law not War."

DR. BROWN: John.
MR. SAUNDERS: John Saunders.

I have a question on the reverse. The one with the dove flying over the maps. Was there something special about the choice of the maps and the -- as depicted there?

MS. STAFFORD: So I can tell you -- are you talking about the series 3, 3A and 3B?

MR. SAUNDERS: Yes. I mean, it's
just --

MS. STAFFORD: So I --
MR. SAUNDERS: It's American and
Europe. What's the connection or what's --
MS. STAFFORD: So designs 3 and 3A
feature a globe showing the areas of Europe where Ferencz performed his investigations and the subsequent trials, as well as Florida where he made his home. The eye of the dove is vertically centered below the Hague, the location of the International Criminal Court. Thank you for asking.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Are there any other final comments or considerations from the committee?

Hearing not, seeing none, let us begin our consideration. I would like to remind us, again, the five-minute guidance. Additionally, if any members have any questions, please refrain and we will answer them at the end of this component. For the benefit of the court reporter and those calling in, I ask that you state your name when you are speaking. Let's begin with Dr. Fuller.

DR. FULLER: Thank you, Dr. Brown.
This is really a great portfolio, and I want to thank Don for representing his father as we make our comments about this medal. And $I$ have to say --

And I -- I'm sorry, I don't know if I had said. This is Harcourt Fuller.

I have to say, Don, that $I$ was very moved and very touched when you spoke about the ruptured duck lapel. And that just shows how important these symbols and these images are. You can look at one little thing, but yet it's so important. And I was moved, really, because that just showed the connection that you, you know, have with your father and that, you know, a father has with his son. So I thank you for sharing that.

I will support 1B. However, I would like to make some comments about the various fives as well as sevens.

So if we could look at fives, please. Thank you.

What I like about the fives is, I like
the fact that we're seeing him as a young man, as an elder. I just think that that's very important to show that he served as a young man and that he lived long enough to tell his story about his service and the service of so many other people during this, the war, and all of the wonderful work he has done with respect to world peace. So I really appreciate the fives in that regard.

Can we look at the sevens, please?
Thank you.
Yeah. So I also like the sevens. I think it's just a very, you know, it -- on some level it shows -- it's just -- for me, it's very dignified. It just really, it speaks to me with respect to the work that he did. And he just looks, you know, bright eyed. Very hopeful about the future, despite the challenges that he faced, this country faced, and the world faced, during that time period. But again, I will support 1B.

And again, I would like to thank you
and your family for your service to this great country. Thank you.

MR. FERENCZ: And thank you very much.
DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.

Peter?
DR. VAN ALFEN: Dr. Brown, thank you very much.

This is Peter van Alfen.
I, too, would like to thank Don Ferencz
for his additional background to his father's life and career. And the lapel pin as well; I found that a very moving and touching story. I'm very happy to support $1 B$ as the family's preference and the CFA's preference as well.

I think that this is a rather noble portrait. I would like to call attention to obverse 10, which $I$ found to be a really rather striking three-quarter portrait. I really rather like the portrait and the simplicity of the obverse. And number 12, which shows a profile portrait.

I have to say that there does seem to be a continuing preference for three-quarter and facing portraits in a lot of the work that we do, but I really do like these old school profile portraits as
well, and so very happy to see that in this portfolio, which $I$ think is a really wonderful portfolio in all. So thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, Peter.
Especially for the fact that you touched on the old school, you know -- mature here -- like to -- time to time.

Let's turn now to Art.
MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur

Bernstein.
Am I correct we're speaking only to the obverse, and then we'll speak to the reverse?

DR. BROWN: We are actually doing them both.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Oh, we are.

DR. BROWN: Yes.
DR. VAN ALFEN: Oh, okay. Sorry.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Since we didn't hear
from Dr. Fuller or --
DR. BROWN: Okay. Let me correct that. Then we will do this, the obverse, since -- let's do the obverse first.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. So for the obverse, $I$ too like the choice of 1B. I think it's a very distinguished look. I do appreciate having his years of life added to that. I think it tells us more about the person being honored, and now I'm thrilled to know about the lapel pin as well.

DR. BROWN: Please forgive me. My hesitancy is because I'm looking at how we're going to be doing the scoring. And I'm looking at the scoring sheet, and I think that we're going to need to come back to people who have not done the reverse to give their feedback for the reverse at this point as well. So I'm going to --

Dr. Fuller, would you mind, in fact, giving us your view of the reverse?

DR. FULLER: Absolutely, Dr. Brown.
This is Dr. Harcourt Fuller again.
I will support the family's choice of 4A. However, I would like to make a few comments, a few observations, briefly.

Let's start with 2A.
I really like 2A. I think that there's
just so much there. The barbed wire, you know, again it's symbolic of the war. There's something about the brick wall as well, that appeals to me. I like the font of "Law not War." I think the likeness of the, you know, of "law" versus the heaviness of "war," I think is very symbolic as well. And I think the scales of justice, they're, you know, they're standing on a solid wall. That's really what it says to me. So I will give 2A due consideration.

Can we go to 3 and 3A, please? Thank you.

I really like 3 and 3A. We are talking about a world war, and I think that for, you know, for the public, I like to see the globe represented, because I think it's just very straightforward and direct. I like the, you know, the dove flying with the scales of justice connecting the world. And I know that April had made some comments about, you know, where the eyes are looking and where the scales are pointed toward. And I like that symbolism, so I would definitely give some points to 3 and 3 A . Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, very much. Let's turn back to Peter.

DR. VAN ALFEN: This is Peter van Alfen.
$4 a$ works for me. I'm happy to support that as reverse. I think it'll pair well with 1B. I would agree with the CFA's recommendation, removing punctuation, however. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.

Turn now to Art.
MR. BERNSTEIN: And I'll speak --
This is Arthur Bernstein.

I'll speak to my ideas concerning the reverse. I will share with the group, I think most of you know, I manage a large law firm and I've worked in the legal profession for many decades. And those of us who work in that profession find the scales of justice a somewhat tired representation of law. And if you went to my firm's website, you will not find a single scale of justice in the website. So I had a certain bias towards most of the inverses which feature the scales of justice, some more prominently
than others.

That being said, I think that the family's preference, 4A, is fine, with my note about the scales. I also like design reverse 1, simply because it was simple and it didn't have the scales of justice.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.

Let's turn now to Mike.
MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown.

This is Michael Moran.
I'm going to respect the family's judgment on the obverse of 1B. I would also like to point out that $I$ like, particularly, the image in 10. I think it's unusual. I think the inscriptions are well handled on it, and I'll at least give it recognition, although I'll be voting for $1 B$.

On reverse, again I'll respect the family. Although, $I$ think my preference is probably for their second choice, $8 A$, rather than 4A. But again, I'll respect where the family is on that and support 4A. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Turn to Kellen.

MR. HOARD: Yeah. I'm fine with $1 B$ as the obverse. I think it's kind of a standard, classic look and I think it's flattering. I also want to just highlight 11 though.

I found 11 to be quite intriguing and again flattering to him. I found it to be way more personal. I felt closer to him, not so much behind, you know, a podium and away from him, kind of a smaller figure, but kind of near him. And $I$ felt almost more of a kind of a relationship to him there. And it made me -- and I essentially appreciate that image and I'll give that one points as well.

On the reverse, the one $I$ really quite liked was actually 3 and $3 A$. I thought 3 and $3 A$ were really quite excellent at showing the breadth of his work, the continuity of his work, and the purpose of his impact, where we see the extent geographically and, you know, kind of metaphorically, of what he did and how that continues to this day with the, you know, kind of, the dove flying forward in many ways and continuing to carry on that message of "law not war." And also the purpose, which was really to foster
continual peace the world over. And I thought 3 and 3A did that really quite well.

And 3A also has, you know, that wonderful saying, which $I$ find compelling and makes me want to learn more about what he did and what he believed. So I really support those. I mean, give those a lot of points. If we do go ahead with 4A there, $I$ recommend removing the punctuation as well. But 3 and $3 A$ really stuck out to me at that one. Thank you.

This is Kellen Hoard, by the way.
DR. BROWN: Thank you. That's right.
I forgot about that guy.
Let's move on to Darla.

MS. JACKSON: This is Darla Jackson.
And I thank Don for the insight about
his father. That was really great to hear. I agree with the family's decision of obverse 1B. I think that he has a sort of knowing glance that shows him in action, loving -- him loving what he does. I also think the framing of the text and the dates beneath are really beautiful. I think it's one of the most
balanced, in terms of the figure within the space, and I appreciate and support that one.

In terms of the reverse, I do support the family's decision of reverse 4A. I also agree with the dropping of the punctuation. And to note the olive leaves, I think against that textured background to have them more simplified, that might be a really nice juxtaposition between the two things.

I also wanted to note that the back, including those stars does have them referenced somewhere, so that is nice. And then $I$ think that with the two sides being very -- framed very symmetrically or in a very round way, having that flat bottom where it says "Act of Congress 2023," gives it a nice little elegant framing to the end. So I appreciate reverse 4 A for those reasons.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.

Let's turn now to Dennis.
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I think that the family's choices are good. They're a bit conservative, but they accomplish what a congressional gold medal is intended to
accomplish. They're not experimental or innovative, but the reverse and obverse both speak clearly.

For the reverse, I want to talk a bit about the punctuation, and maybe address Mike and ask a question of you, to Mike Costello.

I think the different formats of the inscription themselves almost act as a form of punctuation, and my understanding is that "law" would be relief, and "not war" would be incuse. And that's kind of a form of artistic punctuation, if you will. So I'm okay with the periods being removed.

However, I think the periods do add a more imperative tone, almost like a commandment. So that's something to consider.

And Mike, I would just ask, is my interpretation of the relief with "law and not war," is that correct?

MR. COSTELLO: This is Mike Costello. Yes, that's correct.

MR. TUCKER: So those are my comments. I'm undecided, I think, on the punctuation, which might be strange for an editor and writer to say. But

I think that that's worthy of more discussion.
Thank you, Mr. Chair.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Let's turn now to John.
MR. SAUNDERS: First comment I want to make is, since I've been on the committee, which is not that long, I've never seen so many choices. This was quite a portfolio. Lot of good designs, though for the obverse, $I$ think the variations on 1 kind of blow the rest of them away.

I thought that was a very clear choice for me as what $I$ would go with. And, you know, I think 1B as opposed to the other ones -- variations on 1, is just fine. I mean, I like all of them, quite honestly.

The reverse, $I$ have a different opinion on than the family. I thought 4A was okay, but I saw four or five of the designs on the reverse I liked as well. But $I$ thought that 3 just kind of blew everything else away. I really like the dove. Artistically, I most like the dove where it's not over the map better, but the symbolism of the map and the
fact that the dove's eye is directly below the Hague, I think sways me to go with the $3 A$ as my first choice. I really like that design. I like the dove, I like the symbol piece there.

If we do go with 4A, the question about periods, I didn't even know why the periods were there in the first place. I mean, normally, if you have a one-word sentence you don't necessarily need to put a punctuation point to -- after -- if you were going to put a -- to Deniss's point, if you were going to put emphasis on there, $I$ would use an exclamation point rather than a period to really put some emphasis there. But so I'm in favor, if we do go with 4A, of eliminating the periods. But I'm a fan of 3 A .

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
Let's turn now to Chris.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right. This is

Chris Capozzola.
I think I'm excited about this congressional gold medal. I think it's a great chance to reflect on Ferencz's long life and his significance, and in particular, not only in the late

1940s at Nuremburg, but also a lifelong commitment to international law and the law of war, which is of course very timely right at this moment.

I think -- I have learned a lot in the last few minutes, and so my thinking is evolving. I certainly am excited about, for the obverse, about the 1 series. Ordinarily, $I$ think that birth and death dates are cluttered on a coin, but $I$ do think there's an argument to be made to consider them in this place because Ferencz's longevity and also his commitment to Holocaust survivors suggests, kind of, like, a century-long work that ordinarily wouldn't be there. But I'm fine with 1A without that. And so that's in terms of the obverse.

Just to flag a couple of other things, I did actually, which no one has mentioned, I did like, and $I$ wanted to call out, 13A. I -- or the 13 series, but particularly 13A, which I thought was a kind of interesting and creative sort of way of doing things.

On the reverse, the reverse for me was a real challenge, because -- the challenge here is to
depict law and peace in both word and image, and I think that can -- or many of these designs aimed to do that, and $I$ think that may actually be too much. And sometimes some of the designs have nothing other than mirroring one -- internal mirroring of word and image. So 4 and 4A I think do that, right. They offer the same thing four times, essentially. And so while I'm certainly happy to support 4A. And I think including the five stars is important if we go with the obverse of 1 A that does not have the stars.

I also, you know, over the course of the last few minutes have come around to consideration of $3 A$, and in particular, $3 A$ with the text around the end, "The conscience of humanity is the foundation of all law." Thanks.

DR. BROWN: Thak you, so much.
This is Lawrence Brown, and I was
really moved by this program, particularly the wealth of designs. I want to commend the Mint for the diversity of designs that they have provided. As someone, as I shared with you, is -- with a veterans background, being a veteran, I'm really mindful of the
fact that, as we communicate things, that we communicate it based on what is really important. It seems to me that the -- as much as it was invaluable for his role during World War II, the most important thing was what he did, in fact, to prosecute those, in fact, who were the cause of why we had World War II. So to me, it is important to give greater emphasis to that part of his life than the part that he was, in fact, in the military. Now, I don't take away from anyone's service in the military, as someone who has served. But at the same time, I think we need to make sure that when we do that, that we are fully communicating the significance of the things that we put on the coin -- or on the medal. In that sense, I think that we do need to make sure that we have sufficient information about the five stars; that we need to go into detail. Because to me, to communicate that and then to have veterans groups saying, "What does that really mean?" it's not going to be something that's going to be as well embraced as it would be were we to include that in the narrative.

The other thing I'd like to say is that I was really impressed by the -- and I realize that we don't actually have this inscription on any of the designs, but the phrase of "replace the law of force with the force of law." To me, that would be such a powerful inscription. I do understand we may not have enough space, but $I$ just needed to say that to you; that it just moves me. When you could see that, it'd be really impressive.

The other thing that $I$ really was -- as
much as $I$ would certainly support the opinion of the family, I'm also really interested in making sure that we ensure that when we use symbolism, like a sword, a broken sword, that it has different meanings depending on the context. In certain military contexts, that means defeat. In other contexts, it may mean a change, no longer an end of a life, in fact, legacy. So we have to make sure that when we use those that we, in fact, take that in consideration. But I, too, like many of my colleagues, would join those in supporting those by the family.

I would lean away from those candidate
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designs that have the five stars, unless we can add that with sufficient detail as a part of the program.

Thank you so much.
I'll ask now the committee, are there any additional comments or motions from the members at this time?

Mr. Costello, do you have anything that you would like to add?

MR. COSTELLO: Nothing here. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Mr. Ferencz, do you have anything that you would like to add based on the fact of what you've heard thus far?

MR. FERENCZ: No, not in particular. Other than to, once again, thank all of you for your very thoughtful comment and criticism, looking critically at the various presentations. I had not thought about some of the discussion related, for example, to whether or not taking off periods in "law not war," and I'm completely neutral on that. Because I think, quite frankly, that the visual presentation
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with or without the punctuation will get the message across very clearly.

My dad, by the way, in many, many, many, many of his lectures, in fact virtually all of them, would say that there are three words, "law not war," that people need to keep in mind. And so I think that that's significant.

I appreciate very much the comment about the expression "replacing the law of force with the force of law." It's a phrase I've used many, many times. My dad, in fact, created a private foundation many years ago, which has now been liquidated, where I had hung my card educating "replace the law of force with the force of law." But $I$ would defer myself to my dad's three-word, you know, jingle, if you will, "law not war," because he tried to condense it into three words. And so I appreciate those three words. And again, my thanks to everybody there.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
Any further discussion for the
committee?

MR. HOARD: I had a question just about ratification, if $I$ could?

I'm just curious, not necessarily with this medal, but in terms of how we use this phrase effectively. On congressional gold medals, do you ever do edged lettering?

MR. COSTELLO: We do not --

This is Mike Costello.
We do not do edged lettering on congressional gold medals.

MR. HOARD: Okay. Thank you.
MR. COSTELLO: They're a splash dive, so we don't have -- it's not fixed in the collar, so --

MR. HOARD: Okay. Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Any further questions?
Hearing none, the committee will now score the obverse and reverse designs for the Benjamin Ferencz Congressional Gold Medal. Each of you have a sheet in front of you. Let's take, again, about five minutes, at least. Maybe a little bit more.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: It may be closer to
ten minutes. I'll let you know more about that.
MS. WARREN: Okay. It's 1:30. We will
come back about 1:40.
DR. BROWN: We're off the record.
(Off the record.)
MS. WARREN: Okay. We're back. It's 1: 42 .

Court reporter, are you ready?
THE REPORTER: Yes.

MS. WARREN: Okay. Dr. Brown.
DR. BROWN: We are back. I recognize now, Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC, to provide us the results of the scoring.

MR. WEINMAN: We'll start with the obverse. Once again, this is out of a possible 33 points. Obverse 1 has 9 points. Obverse 1A has 11 points. Obverse $1 B$ has 33 points, making it the number 1 vote -- score --

Obverse 2 has three points. Obverse 3 has two points. Obverse 4 has four points. Obverse 5 has four points. Obverse 5A has five points, 5B has five points, 6 has four points, 7 has seven points,
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7A has seven points, 8 has three points, 9 has two points. Obverse 10 has thirteen. Obverse 11 has seven points. Obverse 11A has five points. Obverse 12 has eight, 12A has three, 13 has three, 13A has eight, and 13B has four.

Moving onto the reverses. Reverse 1 has eight points. Reverse 2 has four points. Reverse 2A has eight points. Reverse 3 has thirteen points. Reverse $3 A$ has fifteen points; $3 B$ has five points; 4 has ten points; 4A has 25 points, and that is the high-scoring design. Reverse 5 has three points. Reverse 6 has one point. Reverse 7 has two points. Reverse 8 has seven points. Reverse 8A has eight points. Reverse 9 has five points and reverse 10 received one point.

Once again, the high vote getters are obverse -- reverse 4A and obverse 1B.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, Greg.
Are there any motions?

Dennis.

MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis Tucker.

I move that we recommend obverse design
$1 B$ to the secretary of the Treasury, and also recommend reverse design 4A with the periods removed.

DR. BROWN: Can we display those again, just for the sake of --

MR. TUCKER: I'm sorry?
DR. BROWN: I'm just asking if we can display those so that we know what we're --

MR. BERNSTEIN: And Art Bernstein would second the motion.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Any conversation or discussion on the motion?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Yeah. This is Peter van Alfen.

I have to say, Dennis, I was rather impressed by your interpretation of the periods. And although $I$ had suggested that we adopt the reverse without the periods, I'm now having second thoughts thanks to you. I wouldn't mind having a short discussion about periods before we move on that motion.

As I said, I think you made a very
forceful argument for the periods, and I'd be willing to change my mind on that. So $I$ don't know if this is something we'd like to discuss or not, or just go ahead with the motion.

And since you proposed the motion without the periods, maybe you could offer your comments on why you've now changed your mind.

MR. TUCKER: Well, I could modify the motion, $I$ suppose, just to focus on the obverse, if that is procedurally correct, or --

DR. BROWN: I mean, it's possible. You can revise your motion because you're the maker of it. That's true. But $I$ think it may be easier just to respond to Peter with respect to the rationale that you had for the motion that you made.

MR. TUCKER: Well, my comment about the terminal punctuation, the periods, was that they do add an element of commandment and make the statement much more imperative and forceful. I don't know if that's -- I mean, I suppose we must assume that that was the artist's intent, and the artist's interpretation of Mr. Ferencz's statements and his
thoughts. So there's an argument for keeping the punctuation.

DR. FULLER: If I may, Mr. Chairman.
DR. BROWN: Dennis, have you completed your thoughts?

I have. That's -- yeah.
DR. BROWN: Okay.

Dr. Fuller.
DR. FULLER: Yes. I believe that -and I know this will come out -- this won't be in black and white when the medal is minted. However, I believe that the periods should be removed because, you know, "law" is a -- is depicted in a different color or shape than "not war." So I think that that alone differentiates the one from the other. And in that case, $I$ just think the periods are not necessary. Thank you.

MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis Tucker again.

I would point out again that "law" is going to be in relief. So that if you run your hand over the medal, the surface of the medal, "law" is
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given prominence, physical, tactile, visual. And then "not war" will be set within the field. It'll be recessed or incused, which implies less prominence.

So -- and I heard -- I think I heard enough objection or discomfort from the committee to suggest that the periods really are not necessary, or they're jarring to the eye, or for whatever reason they -- it's just something that people object to. So that was my reason for -- those combined reasons.

You know, the fact that the format of the medal itself acts as a form of punctuation, and the fact that there's just something jarring to the eye having the periods terminate those two statements. That's why $I$ worked that into the motion as I did. DR. VAN ALFEN: This is Peter van Alfen.

I'd be happy to proceed with the motion as proposed, then.

DR. BROWN: Any other comments with respect to the motion that's -MR. HOARD: I suggest semicolons. Only semicolons.

DR. BROWN: Okay. So you're offering this as a friendly amendment?

MR. HOARD: I'm not.
DR. BROWN: Thank you very much. Moving right along.

Any other comments with respect to the motion?

There's one I'd like to offer as a friendly amendment. For the sake of appreciation of what the five stars mean, I think that for people who are not in the military, they may think one thing, as if this person is a -- was an officer, so you have to be careful about that.

So my friendly amendment is that there be, accompanied with this, some further information about the -- information about the five battle stars. Because I think that's going to be particularly important for veterans to be able to appreciate the significance of that. So that's just my friendly amendment, and I'm hoping that the maker of the motion would accept that.

MR. TUCKER: I would accept that.

DR. BROWN: The seconder also needs to accept it.

MR. BERNSTEIN: And I'm not clear on what your amendment --

DR. BROWN: So the amendment here suggests to seek further judicial --

The person is Arthur Bernstein.
THE REPORTER: The person not willing to accept --

DR. BROWN: Is Mr. Arthur Bernstein.
THE REPORTER: Oh. Sorry. Got it.
DR. BROWN: This is Lawrence Brown.

So my friendly amendment is to actually seek further information so that when this coin is produced, it actually includes further clarity about the campaigns that were associated with the five battle stars. Because the five stars refer to the five battle stars. So the question then becomes, what were the campaigns associated with that, to explain that.

And the reason why $I$ say that for the sake of the public, and I'm just not trying to brag, I
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have a bronze star. That's one star. So for someone to have five battle stars, that may mean they had a bronze star, a silver star, or some combination to equal the five, or may have some other significance. But I think the public should, in fact, understand whatever that significance is of the five battle stars.

MR. BERNSTEIN: So this is Arthur
Bernstein.

I'm not disagreeing with you, I'm just
trying to put this in a form that leads to some action, and I'm wondering if --

MS. STAFFORD: We're happy to take that. There's ancillary materials that are developed around the congressional gold medal: web pages, certificates of authenticity, design descriptions. We're happy to take that back and ensure that we incorporate a reference to explain the five stars.

MR. BERNSTEIN: So it -- Art Bernstein.

Is this subject to verification that he earned five stars?

MS. STAFFORD: I think we're
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comfortable that we have the verification necessary. We have from the United Nations website that speaks to his five battle stars, which I think some people use the term "service stars" now. That he earned during his service.

So in terms of verification, the Mint, I would say, is comfortable with that. And that would have -- they wouldn't have been depicted in the design. I don't hear the chairman saying that there's a question about the veracity of it as much as the need to provide context so that people can appreciate their meaning.

Is that correct?
DR. BROWN: That is correct.
This is Lawrence Brown.

That is correct.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Happy to accept your friendly amendment.

This is Art Bernstein.

DR. BROWN: So we have a motion that's been seconded, along with friendly amendments. At this point, are there any other further discussions?

Hearing none, all those in favor, signify by saying "aye."

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Those opposed, "nay."
Motion carries unanimously.
Thank you, so much, everyone, for your participation.

And, Mr. Ferencz, we want to thank you for your time that you have given to this program, to this conversation. We really appreciate it.

We will now turn back to consideration of candidate design for the 2025 American Women's Quarters Program.

The second reverse candidate design is the 2025 American Women Quarters program honoring Ida B. Wells.

Ms. Stafford, again, in fact, provided us an introduction to the program, as well as present the reverse candidate designs.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you.
Ida B. Wells was a prolific educator, journalist, feminist, businesswoman, civil rights
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activist, and leader. She was a founder of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, a founder of the National Association of Colored Women's Club, a founder of the Alpha Suffrage Club, and a founder of the Negro Fellowship League.

Although she battled with sexism, racism, and violence, she used her voice and the power of pen to shed light on the condition of African Americans throughout the South. She endured a considerable amount of criticism, and even threats to her life, but never gave up her fight for equality and justice that lasted almost 50 years.

In 1892, after the lynching of three of her friends who owned a grocery store that rivaled a white-owned store, her life changed. She wrote about and investigated this targeted and senseless act of cruelty and violence, and many others like it. These articles enraged white locals so much, they burned her printing press while she was away, and the threats to her life became so great, that she was forced to flee the South. The threats only fueled her determination to pursue justice and truth telling, which would raise
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her to national and international acclaim over the next decade of her life.

Throughout her career, Wells balanced motherhood and activism, and began working with Frederick Douglass, W.E.B. Dubois, Booker T.

Washington, and other well-known civil rights activists as a member of the black women's clubs. She also became active in the suffrage movement. While on a speaking tour abroad, she openly confronted white women in the suffrage movement who ignored the plight of black people.

As a wife and mother, Wells was so deeply committed to advancing the rights of women and advocating for children that she ran in the Illinois State Senate race in 1930, at a time when it was inconceivable that any woman, let alone a black woman, would run for political office. Although she lost the election, as Angela Tate, curator of women's history at the National Museum of African American History and Culture, wrote in her letter of recommendation, "This loss reveals less about the viability of her platforms, and more about how often society wanted to
suppress and silence this powerful and empowering woman." She also writes that, "As an educator, journalist, suffragist, and civil rights activist, Wells exemplifies the extraordinary qualities of women, while also reflecting the ways that African American women saw their work benefiting the wider community."

All designs include a likeness of Ida B. Wells, with the required inscriptions, "United States of America," and "E Pluribus Unum." The common inscription, "Ida B. Wells," and the denomination.

As noted previously, we are fortunate to have family representatives here with us, Michelle and Daniel Duster.

Welcome. Would you care to say a few words to the committee? You might need to unmute yourselves.

MS. DUSTER: This is Michelle. Yeah, this is Michelle. Thank you so much for having us, being included in the meeting, and hopefully we can offer our comments and ideas. And it's just such a privilege that our great grandmother is being honored
in this way.
MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much. And of course, if the committee has questions, we will come to you.

Not only are they family
representatives, but $I$ know, Michelle, you're also an author and a public historian concerning Ida B. Wells, so that will come in handy if you have any specific questions about this great woman's legacy.

So we will go through the candidate designs. I will stop at the ones that are preferred or have been identified as a recommendation by any of our stakeholders. If any committee member would like me to read other design descriptions, please let me know.

We'll start with candidate design 1, 2 , 2B, 3, 4, 5, 6. Candidate design 6 features Wells as she gazes courageously and proudly toward the future. This design includes the additional inscriptions "Journalism," "Suffrage," "Civil Rights." This is the family's first preference, as well as the recommendation of the CFA. I will note that the
family has a request that the inscriptions, "Journalism," "Suffrage," "Civil Rights," be replaced with "Journalist," "Suffragist," and "Civil Rights Activist," which you'll see on the next design, 6A. And if we could just flip back to the first preference of the family, design 6 .

I'll just note that our liaisons indicated that they preferred this image because of the very strong depiction of Ida B. Wells. It represents all three areas of her work, rather than just journalism, and that they felt that the age that she's depicted as in this image is very similar to the timeframe when she was, in fact, at the height of her journalism and activism career, so they felt connected to that.

Moving onto 6A, and 7. And that concludes the candidate designs.

Chairman Brown.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.

From the committee, are there any technical or legal questions?

DR. FULLER: Mr. Chairman, this is Dr.

Fuller.

DR. BROWN: Yes, Dr. Fuller.

DR. FULLER: May I ask April if she would kindly go back over the images a quick -quickly one more time? Thank you very much.

MS. STAFFORD: Absolutely.
So we have 1, 2, 2B, 3, 4, 5, 6. Six
is the preferred design of the family, as well as the recommendation of the Commission of Fine Arts. The family would request that the inscriptions you see here are actually replaced with "Journalist," "Suffragist," and "Civil Rights Activist," inscriptions that you see on 6A. And finally, candidate design 7.

DR. BROWN: Let's --

DR. FULLER: Thank you.
MS. STAFFORD: Yes, sir.

DR. BROWN: Dr. Fuller, you have
additional --

DR. FULLER: No. I just wanted to
thank April.
Thank you.

MS. STAFFORD: You're welcome.
DR. BROWN: Thank you.

Let's turn to Art.
MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur
Bernstein.
I have three questions.
First is, April, I wasn't clear on the difference between 6 A and 6 in the document that we have. I thought it -- it says 6A is the family's first preference.

MS. STAFFORD: So Michelle, can I ask you to confirm?

MS. DUSTER: Yes.
MS. STAFFORD: Design 6, as seen
here --
MS. DUSTER: I think -- I don't know --
I think something got switched up. Because we prefer 6.

MS. STAFFORD: Yes.

MS. DUSTER: Prefer it as --
everything. As far as the image of her from the -you know, below the waist and up, the way that her
name is positioned inside of the quarter, you know, you can see her hand. All that, we -- that's our first choice. And all we requested was that the wording be changed from what you see to "Suffragist," "Journalist, "Civil Rights Activist." So maybe somehow the name and the -- got flipped.

So what you said is what we prefer. 6A
is our first choice with the wording from 6B -- from 6 -- I mean, 6 was our preferred everything, but the wording "Suffragist," "Journalist, "Civil Rights Activist," is the only change we would want.

MS. STAFFORD: Okay.
So just to confirm for the committee, design 6, as seen here, is the family's preference, but rather than saying "Journalism," they'd like it to say "Journalist," rather than "Suffrage," they'd like it to say "Suffragist," and rather than "Civil Rights," they'd like it to say "Civil Rights Activist."

So thank you. Thank you for that.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you for that clarification. I had two other questions.

This is Arthur Bernstein.
Sticking with my interest in jewelry, I noticed that Ms. Wells, in both 6 and 6A, is wearing a feather lapel item. And $I$ was curious as to what that is.

And secondly, in -- 6 has her without a broach around her neck, and 6A has her with a broach, and $I$ was curious as to why we would want one or the other.

MS. DUSTER: Are you asking me? You know what, I -- noticed a broach. The only -- the -I don't think there's necessarily a significance when it comes to the broach on her lapel. It was just the first design that we acknowledged she had on a necklace, and we never saw very many pictures of her wearing a necklace, but she did wear broaches. So that was the recommendation; that she had a broach on the lapel of her jacket.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Turn to John.
MR. SAUNDERS: I was just going to
follow up -- Art's -- thing and ask about the feather
on the lapel. He beat me to it, so it's been answered.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Let's go to, in fact, well, Pete -- I'm sorry. Mike.

MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I've got a question for Mike Costello, soon as he gets done with April.

I've got a question for you. If you make the adjustments that the family would like to see on 6 versus 6A, are we going to run out of space with the fonts that you've employed and the letter sizes? On the other hand, you -- I just don't see how you'd get "Civil Rights Activist" in here and deal with "E Pluribus Unum" and the inscriptions that run along the rim.

It would seem to me that what you'd end up having to do to comply with the family's wishes is take the image in 6 and the inscriptions in 6A.

MR. COSTELLO: This is Mike Costello.
Great question, Mike. I was just discussing this with her. Yes, that's exactly what we
would do.
MR. MORAN: Okay. We'll get around to that in motions.

MR. COSTELLO: I just noticed that we're going to run out of room in "activist."

MS. DUSTER: The problem with 6A -- I mean, 6 A is that her name goes around the rim, and so it's not as immediately, you know, noticed.

DR. BROWN: Other questions?
Chris.
MR. CAPOZZOLA: I have a question. It might be for April, but $I$ actually think it's for Michelle Duster.

If you could just talk to us a little bit about the name choice Ida B. Wells. She's sometimes published in works as Ida B. Wells-Barnett. Image 6 appears with a wedding ring that $I$ think is a -- based on a photograph from the 1890s. I just want to make sure that we're very accurate there.

MS. DUSTER: Yeah, and that's a good point. I mean, she did go by Ida B. Wells-Barnett after she got married, and in that image she has on a
wedding band, so I guess technically she would be Barnett at that point. But she -- most of the projects that are in her name tend to not use the "Barnett." Including here in Chicago, there was an Ida B. Wells-Holmes that was just Ida B. Wells-Holmes, it was not Ida B. Wells-Barnett-Holmes. And so many others think that she was Ida B. Wells.

So for name recognition purposes,
that's the most -- when she's recognized the most, and that was also the name that she had when she was at the -- I guess, when she was the most well known as a journalist. So it is kind of a tricky thing with women, when, you know, when they hyphenate or change their names.

MR. CAPOZZOLA: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Any other questions?
DR. FULLER: Yes. This is Dr. Fuller.

DR. BROWN: Please, proceed.
DR. FULLER: This message -- this
question is also for Ms. Duster, if I may. So with the -- I guess my question is, could we use "Wells" and not "Wells-Barnett" on the one hand while
having -- while showing her wedding ring? So in other words, would we be able to use just "Wells" in addition to showing her wedding ring in the same image? I suppose that, you know, that's the question. And that might also be a question for the Mint staff as well. Thank you.

MS. DUSTER: My brother is also on the call. Maybe he can chime in. But $I$ personally don't have a problem with that.

MS. STAFFORD: And neither did the scholars with whom we worked from the Smithsonian or the National Women's History Museum. We actually really work very closely with all of our stakeholders to ensure that the name in full -- whether we use doctor, whether we don't, whether we use middle initials or not, and if there's a traceability to that, an that can be made to ensure that the correct representation is being used.

DR. FULLER: Thank you, April.

DR. BROWN: Any other questions?
I have one question for the family. Given what you've heard with respect to
how the "activist" would actually not likely be able to fit on the design 6, are you receptive to any -making any modifications, so that instead of saying "Civil Rights Activist," just saying "Activist"?

MS. DUSTER: I mean, I think that would be a reasonable compromise, because she was an activist. You know, it's just being specific when it says "civil rights activist."

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
DR. VAN ALFEN: This is Peter van
Alfen.
Just have a question for Mike and the design team about the problem with trying to fit the inscription. Would it be possible to put " 25 cents," the denomination, into the bands? Just open up the inscription slightly to fit " 25 cents" in there, or including that necessary bit, and then maybe moving "E Pluribus Unum" to where "quarter dollar" is in 6, so you still have a band around, but the denomination then would be in the band itself?

MR. COSTELLO: This is Mike Costello. While we definitely can make that
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happen, I've just got to make sure it's legally sound. I've never seen that before, myself.

MS. DUSTER: I really can't hear very well. I don't know if $I$ got muted by somebody else. DR. VAN ALFEN: Sorry. This is Peter van Alfen.

I was just asking if it would be possible to move "25 cents" in 6A into the bands surrounding, and then moving "E Pluribus Unum" to where "quarter dollar" is written in 6, and that way we could move "civil rights activist" into 6 and still have all the necessary inscriptions.

MR. WEINMAN: And this is Greg
Weinman --

MS. DUSTER: I think that would be a good compromise too. You know, because the thing is with "civil rights" being specific as far as the type of activist, and obviously we all know there's a lot of different kinds of -- people are active or activists for a lot of different causes, and, you know, Ida was specific about "civil rights."

MR. WEINMAN: And this is Greg Weinman.
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The question was "is there any
restriction on how we depict the denomination." Not in this program. In this particular program, we could -- it could be "quarter dollar" is written out, or it could be "25 cents," as it has been on other quarters in this program. So if from a production standpoint it would work, there's no legal restriction on not doing -- on doing that.

MR. COSTELLO: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: This is Lawrence Brown, and I'm reminded, and I'd like to share with the public, that we on the committee try to stay away from doing a lot of redesign of a coin in front of us, even though we're tempted to do so from time to time. But I've heard a lot for which suggests that we're going to probably continue to have a robust discussion, because this is not going to be easy -- an easy task, putting in, number 1, the figure in a position that is, in fact, as prominent as it is in 6; and then at the same time putting the, in fact, inscriptions around it as is in 6A. It's not going to be an easy task.

So I would suggest then that we begin
our considerations, because this may very well change how we go forward.

Donald?
MR. SCARINCI: Point of order. Would it be appropriate -- because I seem to be hearing everyone talking about 6. And if that's everyone's preference, would it be appropriate just to make the motion on 6 and go on to discuss how to change it?

DR. BROWN: There is a motion on the table.

MR. SCARINCI: Motion on the table for
6.

DR. BROWN: Motion by Donald, second by Mike.

You want a clarification of the motion?
Is that what you're saying, Dennis?
MR. TUCKER: Well, I would like --
This is Dennis Tucker.
I would like discussion before we vote.

MR. SCARINCI: Okay. I'll withdraw the motion. I don't want to stifle discussion if there's any disagreement.

DR. BROWN: So the motion on the table doesn't stifle discussion. So once we get the motion on the table, then we can have an open discussion of the pros and cons of the motion.

So do you want to still remove your motion?

MR. SCARINCI: No, no. That's fine. DR. BROWN: So we have a motion and we have a second.

Dennis, are you --
MR. TUCKER: My discussion of the motion would be, $I$ would rather have a review of the design portfolio before we focus only on number 6 .

DR. BROWN: Any additional comments with respect to the motion on the table?

Kellen.
MR. HOARD: I would agree with Dennis.
This is Kellen Hoard.
I would agree with Dennis.

MR. SCARINCI: I'll withdraw the motion. I just thought $I$ was hearing everybody and -I was -- I thought we had a consensus.

DR. BROWN: Understood.
Motion has been withdrawn.
So then, on that basis -- unless
there's any other questions or comments. Hearing none, let us begin our consideration. Reminding each and every one of us that we have five minutes in which to make our comments. And for the benefit of the court reporter, please -- for -- and for those calling in, I ask that you state your name before you begin to speak.

So let's then begin with Peter.
DR. VAN ALFEN: Dr. Brown, thank you very much.

This is Peter van Alfen.
Just want to thank the Dusters for joining us today, and just would like to just remark at what a compelling and courageous life Ida B. Wells had. I have to admit that I've spent a great deal of time the last couple of weeks reading about her and her life, and reading some of what she wrote; really, very inspirational. And someday, I would like my 11-year-old daughter to be reading about as well, too.
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So that said, I do have to agree that 6 is, I believe, the best portrait. I really think that this captures her immense determination. It's a very strong portrait. I agree that "Ida B. Wells" has to be within that field, just so it is much, much more legible. There, obviously, is a problem with the inscriptions, and this is something that we can discuss a little bit later. But $I$ do like 6 best of the portfolio, and I'll just leave it at that. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Let's turn now to Donald.
MR. SCARINCI: I support 6, take the ring off, and make the adjustments in the lettering as we've just discussed, and we're good to go.

DR. BROWN: Dennis.
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
This is Dennis Tucker.
I actually think design 4 is one that's worthy of consideration. To me, this is a strong portrait; it's a beautiful portrait. The inscriptions tell you what she was about, we have suffrage and
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civil rights. And they also tell you how she did it, which was journalism. But this is a design that rewards the viewer upon closer inspection, and what the closer look reveals is a very clever touch, which is the liberty torch is actually a pen. So I think it has a bit more clever symbolism than what we see in portrait 6, which is also, you know, a fine portrait. And I also like the little bit of added physical action. She's actually holding the papers. So that spoke to me as well.

So for me, design 4 is one that captures a lot of what number 6 is trying to capture, and it saves us a bit of this wrestling and arguing over placement and positioning.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.
DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Let's turn to Harcourt.

DR. FULLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
This is Dr. Fuller.

I would like to support the, you know, Duster's preference. But $I$ do think that -- I think, if $I$ may, $I$ would have to say that $I$ do think that
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it's too wordy, and that would have to be worked out. I think it clutters the design with all of the, of course, very wonderful things that she did, which needs to be highlighted. But $I$ think that would definitely have to be worked out. I would -- or amended, rather.

I also agree with Dennis with respect to 4. I think it's a very strong design. I like the illumination of the, you know, torch. And I like the way how she has a very determined look in her eyes, which $I$ think is very appealing. And I also like the fact that she's holding the papers, which are symbolic of her, you know, her profession. However, I think my favorite design is 1.

If we may look at that, please. Thank you.

There is something absolutely striking about this design. I mean, the first time $I$ saw it, I actually wrote the word "yes" three times. It just -it pops. Her name, of course, you know, pops. She just looks so determined, so focused on her mission. I think that the way how the words "Journalism,"
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"Suffrage," and "Civil Rights" are written, it's in one -- they're in one place, and so we know exactly what she did. I like the "25 cents." I just think that, space-wise, you know, having these words and phrases around her really, really works. And of course, we can see that she's writing on a pad.

I just think this, for me, is the strongest design. I will be giving this full points. And in addition to that, $I$ would also support the family's choice, but $I$ think this is the strongest design.

Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much. Kellen. MR. HOARD: Thank you. This is Kellen Hoard.

I agree with Harcourt in many ways, and some of the other members who have spoken, that Ida B. Wells was such a force in so many areas, and anybody who is of her stature in achieving change, I hesitate to put with a still bust. I prefer to see them in action on the coin, because $I$ think that more
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accurately captures, you know, kind of, who they were than, maybe, a still portrait.

For that reason, I kind of shy away from the 6 series, because it seems too still for how much work that she did. I'm drawn, in many ways, to 7. I felt that that one, you know, really, kind of, captured her compellingly in action doing her work; doing it at scale. I didn't feel the elements were too jammed together.

I also liked 1, and I felt like that captured many of the elements of her in action. It covered those words, covered in different areas. I thought that was a compelling design as well for the same reasons Harcourt pointed out.

And Dennis kind of talked me into 4 a little bit as well. I think it's a creative use of design. It still uses those broad terms to cover all the work that she did, but again, she's not still or unmoving. She is actively, you know -- her work and looking toward her work.

Also, I wanted to give a little bit of a shout out to 2 , which is a weird design. I
wouldn't -- I don't know if I'd vote for it, but it's neat. I thought it was a neat use of the typewriter keys, and $I$ just thought that was worth noting as well.

But again, $I$ do shy away from 6, in that, I think she deserves more -- a better characterization of how much she was doing all the time on behalf of so many people. She wasn't just standing for a photo, she was always working and doing good work.

Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Thank you.
John.
MR. SAUNDERS: Well, I was kind of fascinated by the typewriter in number 7. I like the mechanical thing with the detail on the coin, but it isn't my first choice, but $I$ did like that. And then I also like 1 and 4, which have been given shouts out by several people. They were ones that I said would be perfectly acceptable.

Though, I have to conclude that 6 is the one we should go with, because I think it's a good
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design. I think it's equal of the others, if not better, and it's the family choice. The suggestion Peter made of switching " 25 cents" or "a quarter," whichever one you want to say, for the "E Pluribus Unum," I think creates the extra space so we can put the "Civil Rights Activist."

I think it is appropriate to say "civil rights" rather than just "activist," even if we had to make smaller lettering or something to do it. But I do think it's important to do that. So I'm in favor of 6 with Peter's swap, subject to the Mint working it out how they can do it. But $I$ do like 1 and 4 as well, and I'll give them good points and artistic merit.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Darla.

MS. JACKSON: This is Darla Jackson. And while I do love the pen as the torch in number 4, 1 think when looking at it at the scale that it's going to be made, $I$ don't think that that image reads as strongly as 6 does.

I think that 6 is a very striking
image. In it, she is strong and she is determined. I think her hand resting and breaking that circle speaks to that a bit as well. I like the idea of it coming outside of that. This idea of her being larger than life in all that she's done. And I think that formulating the text so that it reads as the family has discussed, "Journalist," "Suffragist," "Civil Rights Activist." If that can get worked out, I think that that would be ideal.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
Let's now go to, in fact, Art.
MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein.
The disadvantage of coming up later in the batting order is I thought I knew exactly what I wanted to do, and now I've heard a lot of great ideas. But $I$ still think I'd gravitate towards 6. I was going to try to convince the family that 6 was the better design than 6A, and now we're all in agreement in that regard.

To Kellen's point, I thought the use of the typewriter keys in some of the other designs was clever, $I$ just didn't go with the whole approach. And
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I, while Donald wants to take off the wedding ring, I would like to add the jewelry piece that is on her neck in 6A. I think it makes the -- it just adds elements to the portrait.

DR. BROWN: Very good.
Turn to Mike.

MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown.
This is Mike Moran. Guess I was the first to see what we needed to flip the inscriptions from 6A and 6, and I also understand the family's concern that if you do that, you minimize her name, Ida B. Wells, by putting it on the rim. You tend to overlook it.

It is -- here proposal as to how you deal with putting "25 cents" in on the rim in a way that spaces correctly. You almost have to spell out "cents." I really feel it comes down to the fact that either you keep the "Ida B. Wells" in its prominence and you give up "civil rights activist," or you have to go with the inscriptions as they're shown in 6A, and show Ida B. Wells in not as much prominence. If I were the family, I would go with the inscriptions in
6. That's just me talking. And that's it.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
Chris.
DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right.
This is Chris Capozzola. I'm very excited about this quarter. I think this is about 50 years overdue. You know, this is an amazing person, and this, when it circulates, it will be an incredible teaching moment for the American public. And so we need to make sure we get the lesson right. And I think that, you know, conveying journalism, suffrage, and civil rights is important.

I think, like Mike Moran, in those noun forms might be the least worst option for solving that, if we were to go with 6. Although I appreciated 4, I do want to say $I$ do worry that the torch in 4 , as Darla said, would be hard to read as a pen. I also worry the torch is often associated with the United Negro College Fund, which is an organization that Wells was not super connected with, to my knowledge. But we'd want to make sure that there isn't any confusion if we were to do it that way.
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And then just back to Donald's point, I don't think the wedding band needs to be removed. Wedding bands were not regularly worn until the 1920s. Married women often published under their maiden names. What we should do is be faithful to the photograph that it is based on, and that includes the feather, the broach, the ring, and everything and go from there. So I lean towards 6 and hope that we find the right solution without too much clutter in that area.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
This is Lawrence Brown, and I will not repeat the comments from my colleagues, but I must confess $I$ was attracted to, in fact, design 1 , for the reasons that, actually, that Harcourt shared with us, Dr. Fuller shared with us.

At the same time, $I$ also agree that the family's desires is really important, because, in fact, that this is a way which is not going to be someone that people don't know, that they give in to someone they bring to life. And to me that's so important. With respect to 6 versus 6A, I like 6
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because it makes it seem as if as she was doing in life. She's pushing the barriers. So that outer rim is not staying there, she's pushing it because of things that are so -- she's so committed to. So for that reason, $I$ probably would go with 6, as well as 6A. But $I$ give some credit to, in fact, design 1. Are there any additional -John.

MR. SAUNDERS: I had a question. Should have asked it earlier, because it's kind of technical.

On 1, they have some writing that she's written on a whole page here. And was that an actual piece of writing that -- or was it just, kind of, symbolic of that she wrote something. Because if it was a particular speech or a particular letter, that would make that design a little bit more interesting.

MS. STAFFORD: It references -- the design description is that Ida B. Wells stands, pen and paper in hand, as she prepares to write one of her prolific articles at her desk. So it's representative, $I$ believe, rather than specific.

MR. SAUNDERS: Ah. And did the artist actually write out something and then copy it? Or is it just squiggly lines.

MS. STAFFORD: My understanding is it's representative.

I don't know, Michelle or Don [sic], did you have anything to add to that?

MR. DUSTER: This is Daniel Duster. I am honored that everybody's so thorough in reviewing the quarter. Again, I looked at probably a total of three to four hours between all the designs, and I didn't notice that she was wearing a wedding ring in one and not in another, or the broach. So I just appreciate your attention to detail. I am an advocate for 6, so happy that that seems to be what most people are leaning towards.

MS. DUSTER: Yeah. I'll share my comment about 1 and 4 , as far as why that was not my personal first choice. I would say 4 was probably my second choice. But when I looked at 4, my visceral reaction was that -- the march that took place in Charlottesville with the tiki torches. And,
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obviously, that's well after Ida's time, but just for me as an emotional response to it, it kind of -- that was the emotion that it elicited for me, which I'm sure was not the intent, you know, but $I$ just, you know, thought I would share that.

You know, sort of the idea of torches -- considering the -- well, what's happened in our time, but then also, kind of, the violence that was happening during Ida's time with people's houses being burned and people being, you know, just -- if you know what happened with Frazier Baker, that image is just a little scary. Maybe if the torch weren't there or some other kind of design, because I think the portrait of Ida is beautiful. Infor, it's just the torch itself that's kind of -- has so many different meanings that it might be misinterpreted. And then on 1, Daniel and $I$ decided that she looked very young in that image, and that is not the age that she was when she was involved in the suffrage movement or some of the other activities that she was involved in. That really -- that image, to us, kind of, is indicative of her super early in her
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career, so then it doesn't really capture the other activities that she was involved in. Also, there's a portrait of Ida, which I'm sure you all have seen a million times; that is the most recognizable image of her, and that was what 6 was, sort of, based on, so that when people looked at the quarter, and actually the one on -- the image on 4, she would be easily recognized from those two images more so than the one on number 1. She's just at such a young --

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
Are there any other questions or
comments?

Dennis.
MR. TUCKER: Dr. Brown, this is Dennis Tucker, and I'll keep this brief. I just wanted to respond to some of the comments I heard about design 4 and the lamp or the torch. I formulated these thoughts before Ms. Duster just spoke. I appreciate your thoughts on -- along those lines.

But I wanted to point out that, even if people look at that lamp and only think of it as the lamp of knowledge, or only as liberty enlightening the
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world or what have you, there's nothing lost in that interpretation. It's a very strong symbol of what she represented. My point was, this was the one design that rewards the viewer who makes a closer inspection. So, you know, you can look at it and get a great message, but then you can look a little bit closer and get a little bit more symbolism and a little bit more for your money, if you will, so.

DR. BROWN: Most appreciated.
Any other final comments or questions?
DR. FULLER: Yes, Dr. Brown. I'll make
this brief.

This is Dr. Fuller.
I do think that the word "activist," as Ms. Duster said, I do think it's very important to have that right after "civil rights." Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.

Anything from the Mint?
MS. STAFFORD: I spoke with Mike, and he confirmed that Peter's suggestion about removing "E Pluribus Unum," placing it where "quarter dollar" now is, and using that space to use "25 cents." Of
course, there'd be some reconfiguration for spacing and all of that, but that does look --

MR. COSTELLO: It's a viable solution. We can add "activist" right after "civil rights."

MS. STAFFORD: And that would allow for
the Duster's preferred inscriptions of "journalist," "suffragist," "civil rights activist."

DR. BROWN: Anything further from Michelle or Daniel Duster?

Hearing none --
MR. DUSTER: No. I'm complete. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Hearing none, the committee will now score the candidate designs for the 2025 Ida B. Wells quarter.

Again, each of you have your score sheets. Please, provide them to Greg Weinman as soon as you can. We'll take a brief five-minute break.

MS. WARREN: It's 2:36, so we'll be back at 2:41.

```
(Off the record.)
```

MS. WARREN: And it is now returning
back at 2:42.

DR. BROWN: This is Lawrence Brown. We are back.

I recognize Greg Weinman, counselor to the CCAC, to present the results of our scoring.

MR. WEINMAN: Design number 1 received 10 out of 33. Design number 2 received three. Design number 2 B received three points. Design 3 received two points. Design 4 received 16 points. Design 5 received one. Design 6 received 26 points, making it the high-scoring design. Design 6A received 19 points, and design 7 received three points. So once again, design 6 is the high-scoring design with 26 out of 33 points.

DR. BROWN: Are there any motions?
We have Art.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur
Bernstein. I move that we recommend to the secretary design 6, with changes to the lettering, as has been described. And with the addition of the necklace jewelry.

Is there a second?

MR. SAUNDERS: I second.

DR. BROWN: Okay. John seconds.
THE REPORTER: John second?

DR. BROWN: John second. John
Saunders, second.
Any discussion on the motion? Hearing none, all those in favor,
"aye."
MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Opposed, "nay."
Motion carries.

Since all discussion has concluded,
then I'd like to take this time to thank Ms. Michelle Duster and Mr. Daniel Duster for attending and providing invaluable contributions to this discussion. We really appreciate your participation in this process. Without this, we would not have been able to get as far as we have. Thank you, so much. We appreciate it, and we hope that you will be as proud as we will be when we see this fantastic quarter. Thank you, so much.
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MS. DUSTER: Thank you for having us. DR. BROWN: We will now move -MR. DUSTER: Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Take care, now.

We will now move to review the reverse candidate designs for the 2025 American Women Quarter honoring Juliette Gordon Low.

Ms. Stafford.
MS. STAFFORD: Thank you.

Juliette Magill Kinzie Gordon was born
in Savannah, Georgia, on October 31, 1860, and was given a nickname that followed her throughout her life: "Daisy." She was educated at boarding schools before marrying William Mackay Low and moving to England. Unfortunately, the marriage dissolved, and her husband died unexpectedly in 1905 amid divorce proceedings. Widowed and childless, Low needed to find a new direction for her life.

In 1911, while in Europe, Low met Robert Baden-Powell, the founder of the Boy Scouts. His sister, Agnes, established Girl Guides in the United Kingdom as a sister organization to the Boy
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Scouts. Taken with the ideals of Girl Guiding, Low wanted to start a similar organization in the U.S. She omitted to building a girl-led movement. On March 12, 1912, Low founded the Girl Scouts Organization in Savannah, Georgia.

She envisioned an organization that was accessible to all girls, regardless of differences, and a place where girls could develop leadership and advocacy skills to better their lives, as well as nurture their strengths and passions. She devoted her life to promoting and growing the Girl Scouts to the international organization it is today, with nearly 2 million adult and girl members worldwide.

Low personally embodied many of the traits found throughout Girl Scouts. For example, service was a cornerstone of Juliette Gordon Low's life, and is an important part of the Girl Scout experience. During the Spanish American War, Low joined her mother in nursing wounded soldiers in Camp Miami, which was commanded by her father. During World War I, she urged the Girl Scouts to support soldiers, soldiers' families, and war victims
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overseas. She founded a movement that prepared girls for leadership eight years before women gained the right to vote in the United States, and emphasized civic responsibility, motivating girls to make their communities better places through service.

All candidate designs include a
likeness of Juliette Gordon Low in her Girl Scout uniform, along with the required inscriptions "United States of America" and "E Pluribus Unum," the common inscription "Juliette Gordon Low," and the denomination.

We are so pleased to have with us Shannon Browning-Mullis, the executive director of the Juliette Gordon Low Birthplace.

Shannon, would you like to say a few words to our committee?

MS. BROWNING-MULLIS: I would just say thank you so much for choosing Juliette Gordon Low for this honor. As you mentioned in your introduction, there are --

MS. WARREN: I'm sorry. This is Jennifer.

The court reporter's signaling that he can't hear you. So if you want to stand up and speak up, that would be great. Sorry.

MS. BROWNING-MULLIS: Hello. We just think Juliette Gordon Low is so important to American history, and the movement she founded changed the trajectory of women and girls in this country. So it's affected millions of women and girls, and almost 2 million that are alive in American troops right now today. So we think it sends an amazing message to the girls that she's being honored, so we really appreciate it.

MS. STAFFORD: All right. Moving onto the candidate designs. Again, I will stop and pause at the designs that have been identified as preferences, reading their design descriptions. Should there be a design for which you'd like me to read out the description, please let me know.

This is design 1. It depicts Juliette Gordon Low with three girl scouts, presenting the Girl Scout sign. The girls are dressed in uniforms representing the 1910s, 1940s, and 1960s. Low stands
behind them, symbolizing the continuity and growth of the organization she founded, and her dedication to helping girls build leadership skills, find their voice, and explore diverse activities. This design is the first of two preferences for the liaison.

We also have candidate design 2, 3, 3A, 4, 4A, 5, 6, 7. This design depicts Juliette Gordon Low next to the original Girl Scout Trefoil. on the outer rim is the additional inscription "Founder of the Girl Scouts of the," which is paired with the required inscription, "United States of America." This design is the second of two preferences for the liaison, and the recommendation by the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts.

And finally, we have candidate design 8 for your consideration. And that concludes the candidate designs.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
Are there any technical or legal
questions from the committee?
DR. VAN ALFEN: This is Peter van

Alfen.

I'm going to jump ahead of Art here and ask a question about the jewelry. In number 7, the fish necklace that she is wearing, what is the significance of that?

MS. BROWNING-MULLIS: So that's the silverfish. It's the highest award given by Girl Guiding of the UK and only three Americans ever received it.

DR. BROWN: You may want to repeat that a little for our court reporter.

MS. BROWNING-MULLIS: Sure. Volume is not --

MS. STAFFORD: Would you like me -- I can repeat it if you'd like.

MS. BROWNING-MULLIS: Yeah. Please.
MS. STAFFORD: So in design 7 around her neck, she is wearing the silverfish award. It is the highest honor given by the Girl Guides of the United Kingdom, and only three Americans have been the recipient of that since its inception.

DR. BROWN: Dennis.
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown.

This is Dennis Tucker.

Our liaison's expressed preference for designs 1 and 7. Was there a ranking between those, or are those equal?

MS. STAFFORD: So our liaison
appreciates 7 a little better than 1. Neck and neck.
MR. TUCKER: Thank you.

And I had one other question. I didn't catch, how many children are active in girl scouting today?

MS. BROWNING-MULLIS: So almost 2 million adult and girl members.

MR. TUCKER: Okay, 2 million. thank you.

MS. BROWNING-MULLIS: And I can't tell you exactly how that works out.

MS. STAFFORD: Yeah. Two million adult women and girls.

MR. TUCKER: Okay. Thanks.
DR. BROWN: Any other questions?
DR. FULLER: Yes, Dr. Brown.
This is Harcourt Fuller.
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Can we go back to 1 , please? Thank you.

So I see that there are three girls there, two of whom have hats on, and the young lady at front and center does not have a hat on. I wanted to know, what's the reason for that? Thank you.

MS. BROWNING-MULLIS: It reflects the uniform of the particular date that each of those girls depicts. So the uniform at that time did not have a hat.

MS. STAFFORD: So just to repeat that for folks who may not have been able to hear.

All of the uniforms, including the hats if there are any, reflect the uniform at that given time for the specific era that the young girls are representing. So in that case, the era that she is representing, it did not have a hat for the uniform.

DR. FULLER: Thank you very much. Appreciate it.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Donald.

MR. SCARINCI: Could I ask the liaison,
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what was the thinking about design 3, 3A? Was there any feeling for those designs? Any reason you didn't like those?

MS. BROWNING-MULLIS: I mean, they didn't particularly -- so I would say, for me personally, we have a portrait of Juliette that we call "the founder's portrait," which she commissioned and chose all the details for that really portrayed her as the woman that she wanted to be seen as. And number 7 really closely depicts that vision of her, I think, which is what really draws me to that. As well as it having some really specific details of the uniform being represented quite well, which I think is important. So number 3 doesn't have any offensive elements, necessarily. It just wasn't as appealing as the other two.

MR. SCARINCI: And 5 as well for the same reason?

MS. BROWNING-MULLIS: So for 5 and 6, and 4 actually, I would say the likeness is not -doesn't look quite like Juliette. And I think that was portrayed in those as a little bit. I say
affectionately, she was not a soft woman, and I think it portrays her as a little softer than what she was.

MR. SCARINCI: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Any other questions or
comments?
DR. FULLER: Mr. Chair, this is Harcourt Fuller, if I may.

DR. BROWN: Please.
DR. FULLER: Some of the audio is difficult to hear. I'm remote, so I know that. So I just want to say that some of the information might have to be repeated.

And thanks, April, for doing that.
But I just wanted to point that out.
Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Thank you, very much.
Any other questions or comments?

Hearing none, then let us begin our consideration. Again, I'd like to remind everyone to keep your comments to five minutes or less. Additionally, if there are any questions, let's hold those until at the end of this process.
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For the benefit of the court reporter and those calling in, $I$ ask that you state your name before you begin speaking.

So let's begin with Kellen.

MR. HOARD: Thank you.
For me, part of what's exciting about doing these designs is that we're able to orient these women within their lasting impact, and how they continue to impact the world today. I think Juliette Gordon Low, more than most, continues to have her legacy acted upon.

And so for me, when I'm looking at the designs, I'm thinking, "How do we show that continuing impact?" And 1 just blows it out of the water. I think 1 is, by far, not only the best design, but really, again, orients and contextualizes that impact that she continues to have.

I love how it -- I actually didn't realize until now that it was tracing those uniforms through time. I think it does it even better showing that progression of girls. And I think it orients her within her legacy, which is these girls and the impact
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that they will continue to pass on in the world as well. And so I really am taken by that image.

I think it's clean. I think it shows it quite well who she is, what she cared about, and I just -- I would wholeheartedly endorse 1. There were some other ones I was going to talk about, but the more I think about it the more I love 1, so. That's about it for me. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.

Let's turn to Dr. Fuller.
DR. FULLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
I definitely will support number 1. I think that it, you know, shows her leadership of these girls. And frankly, if my memory serves me right, it's the only design that shows girls as well. And so I'll support this one because it shows the honoree as well as the girls.

And on a personal note, you know, I live in Atlanta. So that's great to see a Georgian being honored. And my eldest daughter is a Girl Scout. So I think this is great for girls all over the country and all over the world. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
Let's turn to Dennis.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
This is Dennis Tucker.
And I had the same feeling. I think
number 1 stands out because it's the only design that actually shows girl scouts. And for that reason, it has my strong support.

I have a 7-year-old daughter, and before you can be something you have to see something. So if you see girls in scouting, then that can inspire you to join yourself and get involved. And of the millions of people who are involved in scouting today, I'm sure many of the adults were girl scouts when they were younger, so we'll have millions of Americans, and millions more in their families and neighborhoods who could see themselves and their friends and family in this coin. So I love number 1. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.

Let's turn now to Darla.
This is Darla Jackson, and as a former Girl Scout and the mother of also someone who is a
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Girl Scout, $I$ do think that that inclusion of the girls on the coin -- at first, that wasn't my original choice, but hearing everyone talk about it, I really do think it is very important.

And I, like Kellen said, I love the aspect of representing this organization through time. And so, you know, when these come out and people hear about that, $I$ think that will be really wonderful to learn a bit about. And $I$ also want to talk about, I think, that the resolution of the bottom of the figures is a really elegant one.

I think how "E Pluribus Unum" fits within them and then it drops down just a bit, I thought that that was a really nice encapsulation of a very complicated area, and just tidied it up really nice while leaving enough open space around it. And I feel like the text feels very suited to the design as well. So my vote is for number 1.

DR. BROWN: Outstanding.

Let's now turn to Art.
MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur

Bernstein, and now I'm wishing I'd gone earlier in the
batting order once again, because $I$ prefer design 7 and not design 1 .

I should point out, I'm a Scout leader myself, recipient of the silver beaver. And I have visited the home of Juliette Gordon Low, the home and the museum, several times. And I'm thrilled that we're honoring her.

I find the depiction of her in design 1, frankly, to be a bit masculine. I didn't like the appearance of her on the coin. I think 7 portrays her in a better way. It does also include the silver fish medal. It shows the awards that she has won. By giving us a longer length body, we get to see that, the jewelry, the medals.

It's also the design that $I$ gather the CFA preferred and it -- I prefer design 7. Oh, importantly, design 7 also includes --

Is it pronounced "treefoil," "trefoil"?
MS. STAFFORD: Trefoil.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Trefoil. I think
it's -- to me, that's a very important symbol. It's one that we all recognize, and I like that it appears
on design 7 .
DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much. Let's turn to Mike.

MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I've listened to this discussion. I like 1, but $I$ do have reservations about number 1, because I really think that by the time you reduce it to a quarter, the little girls are going to get lost in the design. If it were a medal, it would probably be my first choice. I'll give it points.

But I also want to talk about design 4. It's a lot in there. I understand that the image of Juliette Gordon Low is not what you'd like, but the design itself says a lot and still keeps a very clean feel. It's really -- would be very recognizable on a quarter, and the age marks will not be recognizable on a quarter.

And then we get down to number 7, and I prefer it to number 1. I think there's plenty of detail there without it getting too cluttered. I think it would show reasonably well on a coin, and I give it support as well.

Thank you, Dr. Brown.
DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much. Let's turn to Donald. MR. SCARINCI: I support what Art is saying, by instinct he's saying it. And, you know, I think -- first of all, here's my problem with number 1. This is not a -- this is a design about Juliette Gordon Low. This is not about the Girl Scouts. Right? That's her achievement, and if it were a commemorative program, I'd feel very differently. Right? But this is about the Women's Quarter Program. And I think the design here is what Mike suggests, is -- I think this is touching the far limits of stuff in the planchet of a quarter design. If you look at the big, you know, $I$ have it printed out. You know, and if you look at the printhead at the -- at what it looks like as a coin, you know, it's -- you know, she is diluted in this. She's one of four images on this very small quarter palette. So I just don't think it's going to coin effectively and send a message that this is about Juliette Gordon Low. This is about the Girl Scouts, right.

Whereas 7 really focuses on her. And the image right below Juliette Gordon Low is iconic. It's what everybody knows as, you know, this is -- so she created this. It's all there in a very in-yourface, very clear way. So I think, you know, I think it's a cleaner design. I think it delivers the message about her, undiluted with the confusion of these kids, and -- you know, that you can't see; that you're not going to be able to see.

And so I really think 7, you know -- I really think 7 far surpasses 1. In fact, but for the fact that the liaison wasn't sure between 1 and 7, I wouldn't even be talking about 1 .

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
Let's turn to Peter.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Dr. Brown, thank you very much.

This is Peter van Alfen.
I just want to start by thanking Ms. Mullis for being here today and offering some additional comments and insight. And $I$ have to agree with Mike and Donald about concerns about what number

1 would look like at scale, and how Juliette Gordon Low could be lost -- the -- at least visually.

The other problem that I really have with number 1 is that there is no indication of why she is on this coin to begin with. You know, even in the age of cell phone google searches, without an inscription saying "Founder of the Girl Scouts," it is not entirely clear why it is that she is here. Whereas, of course, in number 7, that is stated. And I think that that is important for the public to have that sort of statement on the coin, to know why the woman portrayed on the coin is there.

And I also find number 7 really to be quite an iconic portrait as well. I think it will work well at quarter-size scale, and happy to support that design.

Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
John.
MR. SAUNDERS: Thank you.
John Saunders here.
I would like to agree with what Peter
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just said. I think it's -- first thing I saw when I went through these pictures was some of them didn't say "Founder of Girl Scouts," and I think it's essential that whatever we do say, that there -- also on 1, I agree it's a little bit crowded. There's just something about the face that didn't appeal to me. The face on 1. Someone said it made her look more masculine. I'm not sure if that's it, but it's just -- it wasn't really appealing to me.

I'm going to shout out for one that nobody else has mentioned. I like 2 an awful lot. It has action. You know, you think about Girl Scouts. I mean, Girl Scouting is a lot more than just the camping and the outdoors part of it, but that's the one thing that comes to mind about scouting at first. And you see, this is about scouting.

You see a woman climbing the mountain up there at the top, and it shows her at the apex. I like the action, I like the design of that.

Artistically, that appeals to me the most. If I picked up one of these quarters, that quarter says "scouting" to me as soon as I pick it up. So I'm
strongly in favor of that.
Six is okay. I mean, it's -- you know,
it's got a lot of what 7 has on it. I'm not quite sure where the sunflower comes into the --

MR. BERNSTEIN: It's a daisy.
MR. SAUNDERS: Daisy. I guess that's a
Girl Scout symbol?
MR. TUCKER: Her nickname.
MR. SAUNDERS: Ah. Okay. I heard you say her nickname, and $I$ didn't understand what it was earlier.

Seven is fine too, but $I$ really do like the action and the image that 2 projects. So that's going to be my recommendation.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
April.
MS. STAFFORD: A couple of points to share with the committee. On design 2 --
-- thank you for raising this --
-- when this was reviewed by our
Smithsonian scholars and historians, they flagged this and wondered if this slightly overstated her
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ruggedness and outdoor activities, and it turns out that our liaison agreed with that. So just something to keep in mind as it moves forward.

And while originally when we called for preferences, we had both 1 and 7 flagged as equally weighted preferences, I'd just like to make sure everyone heard, when Dennis inquired, our liaison indicated a preference for 7 over 1. So it's not necessarily equal, as originally thought. So thank you.

Thank you, Chairman.
DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Chris.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: I came to this with a real dilemma. I think, as Donald articulated, this is a coin about Low, not the Girl Scouts. And I came in originally being strenuously opposed to 1 on those grounds. But I've softened my views.

I think it's complicated in this case, because Low is known to history for one thing: founding the Girl Scouts. Right? Whereas someone like Ida B. Wells did 300,000 things in her life. You
know, so that puts us in a bit of a bind.
But I do feel that 7, you know, all
that said, is going to be the one that accomplishes that by including the phrase "Founder of the Girl Scouts of the United States of America." I don't love the mixing of uppercase and lowercase letters in the rim around 7, but I'm not strenuously opposed to that either.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much. I really appreciate this.

And for a while, I must confess that, Art, $I$ had a string of people who in fact had daughters. So I was, in fact, hoping to sway people, admittedly. Especially since I have two goddaughters who, in fact, are phenomenal and always, in fact, sometimes, give me a lot of challenges about what $I$ should do and what $I$ should not do.

But I do agree with my colleagues, this is actually a quarter about the woman as opposed to the phenomenal millions of people who actually help to influence. So in that sense, I probably would lean towards 7 as well.

With respect to the issue about the inscriptions, I must agree with Chris that that seemed a bit much, to in fact have "Founder of the Girl Scouts of the United States of America." It seemed as if we were trying to stretch it to, in fact, have a complete sentence instead of, in fact, what we typically would do. But $I$ don't find that to be a major challenge with respect to my vote.

Are there any other questions or comments from any of the members?

DR. FULLER: Mr. Chairman, if I may.
DR. BROWN: Please, sir.

DR. FULLER: This is Harcourt Fuller.
And please allow me, $I$ do have a couple of comments and -- if I may.

You know, one of the great things about being on the CCAC is that you can start out one way, supporting one design, and then based on the comments of your colleagues and the stakeholders and et cetera, you might change.

Can we go to 1, please? Thank you.
So I am having mixed feelings about 1
now, having heard the previous comments, although I still support it. I do think that because Low was known for founding the Girl Scouts, even though she is the honoree, $I$ feel strongly that the Girl Scouts should be represented. I just feel that if -- when a young girl picks up that coin, if we only have the honoree, it may not speak to them as much as if they see themselves on the coin. And so, you know, this was her major service.

So, you know, how do you honor someone? You know, you can honor someone by focusing on them as an individual, or you can honor someone by focusing on their service and the thing or things for which they were well known. I think there's an argument to be made for either.

You know, I think more about the public and how they might see this, and I just feel that young girls need to see themselves on the coin. That said, $I$ think that, you know, the issue sometimes with representation -- and I'm speaking now about, let's just say, if $I$ may, ethnic representation -- I feel like if you include one and not the others, then some
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people might feel left out. I know the AWQ, the American Women's Quarter Program, we have represented American women of all backgrounds.

And what I'm looking at here, I feel like I'm seeing three young girls of particular ethnic groups. And of course the ones that have been generally recognized, right, there are, right, five that we, I would say, normally recognize: Native American, White American, African American, Hispanic American, Asian American. I see, from what I'm looking at, two left out. Of course, I'm not arguing that we include five, because that would be too crowded, and I understand that colleagues are already saying that having four people on the coin is already crowded. So I'm not arguing to put more, but $I$ do want to say that if we end up going with 1, my concern is that a young girl from a particular background who doesn't see herself on the coin might feel left out. And so, because of those reasons, I'm not sure how I will vote, but ultimately $I$ will support either 1 or 7 . Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, very much. Let's go to John.

MR. SAUNDERS: First comment, I intend to have a bunch of these quarters on hand to buy my cookies with the next time they come out.

And secondly, I'd like to follow up on what you said, Dr. Brown. I believe the second, and I know we're not trying to change too many designs and stuff like that, the second "of the" -- in the legend, to me is negative. I mean, it's kind of like using -it's a United States of America coin, so we say it's United States of America on it, but we've included it in the thing about the Girl Scouts, and I don't think it's needed. I think if you take out that second "of the," it would be a much stronger design, a much better design.

DR. BROWN: Understood.

Kellen.
MR. HOARD: Yeah.

This is Kellen Hoard.
I just wanted to briefly share some concerns I had about design 7, which is why I prefer
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design 1. Largely around the fact that this series of American Women's Quarters has been a largely quite dynamic series of designs, and $I$ just don't find this design to be that compelling or dynamic.

Having spoken to a lot of young people, especially a lot of young people who have gone through Girl Scouts recently, seeing basically a waist-up picture of a woman on a quarter is not compelling to them or engaging with them, especially if we're trying to reach them in a relatable way. She comes across as kind of isolated, siloed historical figure to me. And we label her, but it's more of a matter of kind of telling rather than showing who she is.

I also worry that the design's a little too crowded for my preference. I think the font of her name is maybe something to be revised or looked at. But most importantly, I just don't feel like this design would be engaging to a large majority of the public who sees her as another, you know, isolated historical figure on a coin rather than someone whose legacy continues to have relevance today and is the reason that we're featuring her on this coin.

I think that sharing the girls, who will maybe be the subject of future American women quarter series, is an important thing to share, because that has been what she is known for; the impact continues to have. And that's the reason we're featuring her here today. Because there were a lot of, you know, impactful historical women who defined their time period, but she is someone whose had lasting legacy, and we see that clearly without having to explicitly write it out. We can see that through the young girls.

So I worry that 7 doesn't accurately convey to the public, and especially the younger public, why she's someone to care about. I appreciate, you know, that she founded this. Why do I care today? Because of the continuing legacy that she has, and that's represented well under 1 and not so well under 7 .

Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Dennis.
MR. TUCKER: Dr. Brown, this is Dennis Tucker.
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I want to address something that I sense is a misunderstanding among some of my colleagues about the focus of this coin program, and it's something that April spoke about earlier.

And I'll quote from the legislation.
"The reverse designs of this program shall be emblematic of the accomplishments and contributions of one prominent woman." So we're not talking about trying to depict their physicality and just put a portrait of them on there. It's perfectly acceptable for us to focus on the Girl Scouts of America, because that is emblematic of her accomplishments and contributions.

So I hope we can put that to rest; that idea that, you know, somehow, focusing on the Girl Scouts is inappropriate for this particular coin. I also want to quickly follow up on some of the comments.

Art, you talked about the importance of the Trefoil symbolism. That symbolizes honor God and country, help others, and live by the Scout law. That is summed up in the three finger salute, which we see
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in number 1. I'm speaking to defend number 1. I should have prefaced that.

Number 1 is rich in all sorts of Girl Scout symbolism. It's got the Trefoil, it's got the uniforms, it's got the salutes, and all of this spelled out in symbolism, not in words, "Girl Scouts of America." So I'm not as concerned about the fact that we don't have the words "Girl Scouts of America" in block letters in number 1. I think it's symbolized quite nicely. I think those comments kind of sum up --

Donald, you had talked about how the program should be about the woman herself and not her accomplishments. I think the legislation kind of says -- is counter to that.

And Peter, you had talked about there being no clear statement of the Girl Scouts in 01, but I think that 1 has the symbolism that accomplishes that.

Also, some of us are talking about the challenges of the design in 1 being too small for the quarter dollar. And an example $I$ always give is the

American Legion five dollar gold piece commemorative. If you study that coin, you will see microscopically intricate detail, down to the rivets in the shield of the American Legion emblem. So the Mint is capable of putting huge amounts of detail into medals, commemorative coins, and $I$ think circulating coins. So I think that we will have a good representation of these girls in number 1. Even though it's kind of a one-inch canvas, it's really not as small as you might think. So I'm advocating for number 1. I think it's the strongest -- it shows girls --

Mike, $I$ apologize for continuing on and on.

I'll end there, and I thank you for your time.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much. Really appreciate that.

My colleagues, we all have had an opportunity within our five minutes to give our point of view. I think that we need to be mindful of that and mindful of the hour.

So I'll ask, Mike, do you have any further comments from the Mint?

MR. COSTELLO: No further comments.
DR. BROWN: I'd like to ask, Ms. Mullis, if you have any comments based on what you've heard so far, prior to us voting.

She shakes her head, a no.

So on that basis, the committee will now score the reverse candidate designs of the 2025 Juliette Gordon Low Quarter.

Again, each of you have your score sheets. Please pass them to Mr. Greg Weinman. Thank you, so much.

MS. WARREN: Are we in recess until five minutes, then?

DR. BROWN: Five minutes.

MS. WARREN: It's 3:20, we'll recess until 3:25.
(Off the record.)

MS. WARREN: It is 3:25.
Dr. Brown.

DR. BROWN: We are back.
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I recognize Greg Weinman, who will present the results of the scoring.

MR. WEINMAN: Reverse number 1 received 20 out of a possible 33 points. Reverse 2 received nine. Reverse 3 received four. Reverse $3 A$ received two. Reverse 4 received seven. Design $4 A$ received two. Design 5 received three. Design 6 received three. Design 7 received also 20 out of 33 points. Design 8 received four. So we have a tie. Twenty points each for design 1 and design 7. Do with that as you will.

DR. BROWN: So colleagues -- ladies and gentlemen. Your attention, please.

So it is possible that we can recommend to the secretary both candidate designs, unless you have a persuasive argument that you can make very succinctly and is, in fact, going to have an effective result that will have something close to a significant majority.

DR. FULLER: Mr. Speaker -- Mr. Chair, I'm sorry.

DR. BROWN: Yes, sir. Go ahead.

DR. FULLER: This is Harcourt Fuller.
I agree with Dennis based on him reminding us of what the statute says. I would strongly recommend that we honor her based on her service, which is in founding the Girl Scouts, and with the representation of the girls. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. I'm not sure how that -- I appreciate that, Dr. Fuller.

I'm not sure how that helps us out of this, in fact, situation.

MR. WEINMAN: Could that be interpreted as a motion to recommend number 1?

DR. FULLER: Yes.
MR. TUCKER: I would second that.

DR. BROWN: We have a motion and we have a second for number 1. Now, we have discussion. Hopefully brief discussion.

MR. MORAN: Dr. Brown.
DR. BROWN: Michael.

MR. MORAN: Michael Moran. I think we need to have a show of hands who's all in favor of 1 , all in favor of 7, and whichever one carries, carries.

MR. WEINMAN: There's a motion on the table right now for number 1.

DR. BROWN: True. We have to vote on that motion first.

MR. MORAN: -- have a second.
DR. BROWN: We have a second.
MR. MORAN: Well, I'm going to
recommend we vote it down and do it my way.
DR. BROWN: You can't quite do it that way, but appreciate it.

So unless we have a motion to table this one, we're going to have to move forward with voting on this motion.

MR. MORAN: Move to table.

DR. BROWN: Motion to move to table the motion. Okay. Then, now that you've tabled it, you can offer something in substitution.

MR. MORAN: I move we have a show of hands between 1 and 7.

DR. BROWN: Is there a second for that motion?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Second. Peter van

Alfen.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Discussion on that motion. Brief, hopefully.

No discussion, then all those in favor of the motion to raise hands -- that's the motion now folks, raise hands -- say "aye."

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
MS. WARREN: Excuse me. This is
Jennifer Warren.

Do you want Harcourt to raise his hand on the computer or to say "aye"?

DR. BROWN: So I was going to pause and wait to hear from him.

MS. WARREN: Okay.

DR. BROWN: But thank you.
DR. FULLER: Can $I$ do both?
DR. BROWN: No, sir.

All those --
DR. FULLER: Aye.
DR. BROWN: You're saying "aye." Okay.
So it sounds like we have ayes
unanimous. So now then, we've gotten that. So that
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motion to raise hands is the one that we're going to move forward with. So all those in favor of, in fact, recommending design 1.

MR. BERNSTEIN: You only get to go once. To be clear.

MR. MORAN: You either vote for number 1 or number 7.

DR. BROWN: So you're voting for -- the motion is to recommend design 1. So you're going to raise your hands if you're going to vote for design 1.

MR. MORAN: You're going to have another one for 7, aren't you?

DR. BROWN: Say again?
MR. MORAN: You've got to have another one for 7.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Well, if we get a --
MR. MORAN: The motion is a show of hands.

MR. WEINMAN: I believe the motion is an up or down of design 1 or design 7. I think that's what the motion on the table is.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Mr. Chairman.

As I understood --
This is Art Bernstein.

As I understood the motion, we're
trying to see which gets the most votes, design 1 or design 7. So people are going to raise their hand for -- those that like 1 are going to raise their hand, and those that like 7 are going to raise their hand.

DR. BROWN: I think that's my understanding too.

So folks, the understanding here is you're going to vote only one time for 1 or 7 . You can't vote for both.

Those in favor of design 1, please raise your hands.

MS. WARREN: Harcourt has his hand up, sir.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you.
Those for design 7, raise your hand. MR. MORAN: Oh, come on. Somebody didn't vote.

DR. BROWN: So we have five.

MS. WARREN: Dr. Brown, you haven't raised your hand.

DR. BROWN: No pressure.
MS. WARREN: Harcourt raised his hand on the first one.

DR. FULLER: I raised my hand, like the girls are raising their hands on number 1 .

DR. BROWN: Ladies and gentlemen, I do appreciate your attention to this.

I'm going to vote for 7. But we will make sure to let the secretary know that it was a robust discussion.

MR. BERNSTEIN: My heart's beating hard.

DR. BROWN: Moving right along.
Thank you so much.
Thank you, Ms. Mullis, for coming and joining us. We really appreciate it.

I want to thank the public that participated during this meeting.

Ladies and gentlemen, please. We're going to move forward with the next item on the
agenda. We will now move forward to review the reverse candidate designs for the 2025 American Women Quarter honoring Dr. Vera Rubin.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you.

Dr. Vera Rubin was a trailblazing astronomer who pioneered work on galaxy rotation. Her observations provided the first persuasive evidence of dark matter, a major scientific discovery that transformed our understanding of the universe. During the 1970s, Dr. Rubin's work produced clear observational evidence that confirmed the vast majority of the mass in the universe, approximately 85 percent, is invisible and unknown in origin and character.

Rubin fought sexism throughout her education and career. For example, her research data was often met with skepticism by her male peers. Rubin, however, persevered and refused to let those challenges deter her. She also became an active champion of women's rights and gender equality. At conferences, for example, she would call ahead to make sure women were included in the mix of keynote
speakers.

At a time when women were not granted access to state-of-the-art telescopes, such as at the Palomar Observatory, Rubin asked why. She was told that this was because at Palomar, there were no restroom facilities for women. When she persevered and was granted observing time in 1965 , she cut out a piece of paper in the shape of a skirt, taped it to the stick figure on the men's room, and reportedly announced, "Now, you have a ladies' room." Rubin not only became the first woman to officially gain access to Palomar, but also she played a key role in helping other women gain access to these observatories.

In addition to her research, Rubin mentored other women astronomers, encouraged women to study science, and fought for gender parity in the sciences. Rubin pushed for representation of women on scientific committees, as conference speakers, and as professors. With an abundant passion for her work and the support of her family, Rubin overcame every obstacle and kept pushing the boundaries of a maledominated academia.

All designs include a likeness of Dr. Vera Rubin, along with the required inscriptions "United States of America" and "E Pluribus Unum," the common inscriptions "Dr. Vera Rubin" and "dark matter," as well as the denomination.

We are very fortunate to have with us Vera Rubins' sons. They are David, Karl, and Allan Rubin.

Would you care to say a few words to the committee before we move forward looking at the candidate designs? Either David, Karl, or Allan?

MR. DAVID RUBIN: I don't think that --
I don't think we had any prepared words. But we were here to answer any questions that the committee might have.

MS. STAFFORD: Wonderful. Thank you so much.

So we'll move right into the candidate designs. We interestingly have three preferences of the family, and they ask that the committee speak to which design they feel will make the most compelling point. Which design would inform as the quarters made
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to the -- millions and millions of quarters, would be the most striking, so to speak.

So we'll start with design 2. This design features Dr. Rubin looking through a telescope, surrounded by the radiating arms of a spiral galaxy. The smile on her face reflects the joy she found in astronomy. Again, this is one of three preferences the family has identified, as well as the Commission of Fine Arts' recommendation.

Design 3 is a profile of Dr. Rubin gazing upward, smiling as she contemplates the cosmos. She's surrounded by a spiral galaxy, and other celestial bodies. Again, this is one of three preferred designs by the family.

And finally, we have design 7, which features Dr. Rubin with a spiral galaxy in the background. An astronomical observatory is pictured on the left side of the design. Again, this is one of three designs preferred by the family. And finally, design 7A.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
Are there any technical or legal
questions from the committee?
Arthur.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur
Bernstein.

Question about the name, Vera Rubin. How does the Mint decide which names to use? We've had Ida B. Wells, we had Julia -- Juliette Gordon Low. Vera Rubin had other names in-between. And how is it decided it's just going to be Vera Rubin, and is that appropriate?

MS. STAFFORD: So we work with the scholars as well as the family representatives, and we have conversations as well as, of course, research into what accurately reflects the honoree, what names they used if there were multiple names. And so Dr. Vera Rubin was the name moved forward for this program.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Any other questions or comments?

Hearing none, let us begin our consideration. I'd like to remind us each we have
five minutes to, in fact, provide our comments and for the benefit of the court reporter and those calling in, I please ask that you state your name before you begin speaking.

So let us begin with none other than Arthur.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Top of the batting order.

DR. FULLER: Dr. Brown.

DR. BROWN: Yes, sir. I'm sorry.
DR. FULLER: Please forgive me. This
is Harcourt Fuller.

I believe the family put something in
the chat that might be important.
Is that the case?
MR. TATE: Yeah. Karl Rubin. The
family prefers 7A to 7.
MS. STAFFORD: Oh, I apologize. Thank you, so much, for that clarification.

MR. TATE: -- hand raised. I don't know if Mr. Rubin wants to speak.

DR. BROWN: Does the Rubin family have
anything more they want to offer at this point?
MR. TATE: The hand is down.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Let's turn to Arthur.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur
Bernstein in the leadoff position.
And the family's made it a little challenging for us because of their multiple preferences, but $I$ would lean towards the 7 series. I like the architectural feature of having the observatory balancing out Dr. Rubin. And we heard the stories about her involvement with the observatory and bringing other women into the observatory. So I would go with 7A or 7.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
Let's turn to Dr. Fuller.

DR. FULLER: Thank you, so much,

Mr. Chairman.
This is Harcourt Fuller.

Can we go back to 1, please? I just
want to --
You know, when $I$ look at 1, it looks
like she's really having fun. And the reason why -I'm sorry, what I meant to say was 2. It looks like she's, you know, Dr. Rubin is really having fun. And it kind of reminds me of, you know -- I have two young daughters, and it kind of reminds me of -- there are certain animated series that they watch on TV. Wildcats for example, or something like that, where they're being taught about science. And it kind of reminds me of that. So I think that this would be appealing to young folks. And so that's why I like this one.

Can we go to 3, please?
This one she really looks like she's studying the cosmos, and she is making discoveries and, you know, observations and things of that nature, so I also think this is strong.

And then if we could go to 7A, please.
Thank you.
I think this is perhaps the strongest.
Dr. Rubin looks very accomplished, very determined. I like the pairing of "dark matter" with, you know, the spiral and the observatory as well.

So I think the portfolio overall is very strong, and it'll be challenging to see which one will take the lead, but they're all strong. Thank you, very much.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Let's turn to Kellen.
MR. HOARD: Thank you.
This is Kellen Hoard.
To me, there's no contest in my mind.
Number 3 is the best. Three is very exciting to me. I think it shows a sense of wonder, a sense of context. It's flattering. It catches my eye. It makes me excited to, like, learn about the cosmos in a way that little else has. It is, I think, a very dynamic design. It's very exciting. Not only is it great on its own, but to me the other designs are not so good. And I'm going to try to say this as respectfully as $I$ can.

Design 2 to me looks like she's being flushed down a toilet, or maybe sucked into a black hole, and she's trying to pull herself out with a telescope. And then number 7 looks like an impending
collision is happening with the universe and the world, and it's not nearly as exciting as number 3 is.

7A I have specific concerns about, because it reminds me -- I don't particularly like how "dark matter" is placed on the design. It looks like someone pulled up, like, kind of a, like a Microsoft Word bubble and, kind of, typed it right over the top of it. So to me, the other designs aren't particularly compelling in the first place.

But 3 is one of the more exciting designs I've seen during my meetings here, and $I$ think we should absolutely go for it. Because it inspires a sense of wonder in me, who is an avowed humanities major, and now $I$ want to switch to --

DR. BROWN: We'll talk more about that switch.

Moving right along.

Move to Dennis.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown.

This is Dennis Tucker.

I also like design 3. It's one of two designs that shows Dr. Rubin looking to the heavens,
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and of those two, it has the larger, bolder portrait. I think it's well balanced. So $I$ think it is also hands down the best in this portfolio.

Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Let's move to Darla.
MS. JACKSON: This is Darla Jackson. And $I$ just want to say that $I$ also agree that number 3 is the coin out of all of the portfolios that we saw today that just made me gasp. And $I$ think that, the reason being, is that this is the stance of a visionary. She is looking forward, she's looking up. You can tell that she's enjoying herself, but it doesn't feel too playful that it becomes not serious. So she's thoughtful, she's studying, but she is loving what she does. I think it shows the looking forward that is emblematic of her life, and helps describe her just in also the way that she is facing. I think the composition is really elegant and exciting, and $I$ think it is the most compelling out of our designs.

I think, just to note, number 2 I think
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she looks like she's having fun and being playful, but I don't think it's as serious as it should be for all that she's done, and $I$ think that design 7 and 7A are just not as -- she looks slightly disappointed in the drawings, and $I$ think that number 3 is that sense of wonder that we should be looking for.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
Let's turn to Mike.

MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown.

This is Mike Moran.

Mike Costello.
MR. COSTELLO: Yes.

MR. MORAN: Question for you on number 3. When you engrave that and -- the only thing that's going to be in coin really -- would you be able to do it in two planes to where the cosmos behind her is not as overbearing as it might be, to give her a little bit of her face and her head a decent outline?

MR. COSTELLO: This is Mike Costello.

Yes. We can have numerous planes to make that really stand out.

MR. MORAN: Yeah. I think that's
pretty.
Kellen, I want to thank you for ruining
number 2 for me. I'll never be able to look at it again, even though it was originally the one I liked.

I'm going with number 3, guys.
DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
Donald.
MR. SCARINCI: I don't know why I'm feeling like a proud dad with this guy, you know. I mean, he said it -- he said exactly what $I$ would say. I mean, this is -- and the impassioned speech I was going to make, $I$ can't make anymore, because he took it. And, you know, I would add to it that, you know, when you look at the designs of the coins we've done today, and these will all be packaged in the same package, right, you know, this one is a dynamic coin. It's the coin that you're going to, you know -- number 3 is the coin, you know, you're going to really focus on. And we have one more opportunity to do a coin that's going to be dynamic and not a standing figure, and that's going to be in the final one in the series. But, you know, this is a no-
brainer. And I couldn't agree more with Kellen as to number 2. I mean, he said it exactly -- he's got it. DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much. Let's turn, now, to Peter. DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Peter van Alfen. I, too, would like to thank Kellen for his rather colorful description. I have concerns with that interpretation, let's say, as well. I am very much in favor of number 3. This does, of course, have a very dynamic feel to it. Does have my preferred profile portrait. The one concern $I$ have with this design, however, is that there seems to be an equation between "The United States of America" and "dark matter," since they're both in the same line and same font as well, and I'm a little worried about interpretation of that. Wondering if "dark matter" could be incuse or somehow differentiated from "The United States of America" just to make that distinction, rather than an equation.

MR. COSTELLO: Yeah. Wouldn't be a problem.

DR. VAN ALFEN: So that's all I've got
to say.
Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much. John.

MR. SAUNDERS: I've got a question for April to start off with.

In 7 and 7A, is that a particular observatory, or is that just a symbolic depiction of the observatory?

MS. STAFFORD: So I'm told by the design manager that it's both. And I believe it's Kitt's Peak.

MR. SAUNDERS: I'm sorry?
MS. STAFFORD: I believe it's Kitt's Peak. Maybe the liaisons can confirm.

MR. ALLAN RUBIN: So this is Allan
Rubin. That is Kitt. That is where she did some of the earliest work on galaxy rotations. So that is from a photograph of Kitt Peak in Arizona.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you.
MS. BORER: I believe it's also meant
to be representative as well.
I'm sorry. This is Pam Borer.
MR. SAUNDERS: I think that adds a
little bit to the importance of that design. I like 3, too -- or excuse me, 3, like, some reasons that people have said earlier. In the picture, it's clear that she's looking in the universe. I'm afraid that on the coin, particularly after the coin is circulated slightly, that it's -- they're not going to know what this is all about, because, you know, it's -- I don't know that you can understand that she's peering at the universe, just someone picking up the quarter and looking at it. And 7, you understand it's an observatory. You understand that she's an astronomer. One, I'm -- I was thinking more of her disappearing either in quicksand or some sort of space warp that was sucking her in as opposed to a toilet, but I kind of like it, but $I$ kind of worry about it. So I'm going to be a 7A guy. But, you know, all of them have something and $I$ think all three of these get our artistic merit awards.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.

Chris.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right.
Batting clean-up, as I tend to do.
I will just share a story that a phrase
I learned from my colleagues at MIT in the sciences is the phrase "doing science," which I had never really known until I met scientists. And so I really wanted this coin to show her doing science, and $I$ think for me that actually is an argument against 7, right, which seems, $I$ think, maybe too formal, too posed. And I don't know that the observatory will read to most people, you know, easily, especially in the coin format.

Some concerns about 2 have been raised and colorfully delineated, so I think that, you know, we stand with 3. I like the profile. I also like the font, the sort of "NASA-esque" font. I can't quite tell, is the entire coin meant to be done in that one font, or is some -- is "E Pluribus Unum" for example different? I would just, you know, I -- I'll just observe that I like the font as done in her name, as done in "United States of America," that has some of
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those curves that feel 60 s, 70 s-esque along the way. And I think I'll leave it at that.

DR. BROWN: My colleagues.
This is one of the few times I'm going to have to respectfully disagree with all of you. And I say that by virtue of the fact of being a scientist, and $I$ also say that by virtue of that fact that, you may not know, but all the coins that the United States has made since 1793, less than 10 have celebrated a theme that has to do with a science or scientist. So to me, I'm glad to see this, because this would be the exception.

The other thing that I must say, that reason why I disagree with you, is 2. I think your way that you're looking at 2 is actually from the standpoint of a non-scientist viewing it. Two is that she's using her instrument. Her instrument is, in fact, the telescope. An observatory is something you're going to have to explain to a child, to see them -- they say, "What is that, daddy?" "What is that, mommy?" To me, you can say, "A telescope." "What does that do, daddy and mommy?" To me, it
represents an opportunity.
Although I do appreciate the
perspectives that you have shared, those more colorful than others, I must confess that from a scientist standpoint there is in fact -- there's pride and humor in a discovery. Many scientists, I can tell you, when they have a discovery, they want to tell everybody and they are smiling about the fact that they've made a discovery. So to me, it is not unusual to have that type of disposition.

So with that, I'm going to end, but I appreciate it and $I$ hope that as we get more themes that have to do with science, many more of my colleagues will be able to, in fact, appreciate it, and I'll have the opportunity to share them that perspective.

So without further ado, unless there's any additional comments or motions -- seeing none. And Mike, do you have any comments that you have?

MR. COSTELLO: No. DR. BROWN: The Rubin family, do you
have any comments that you would like to share with the committee before we begin our vote?

Hearing none, the committee will now score --

MR. ALLAN RUBIN: So --
DR. BROWN: I'm sorry. Please, speak.
MR. ALLAN RUBIN: No, this is Allan

Rubin.
I don't see myself, but $I$ guess you can hear me speaking?

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Yes.
MR. ALLAN RUBIN: I've been interested
in the comments, and I think there's merit to all the comments. These coins, what the -- am I being heard?

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Yes.
MR. ALLAN RUBIN: Yes?

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Yes.

MR. ALLAN RUBIN: Yeah. So each of these coins was the favorite of one of us. I'll just say that for number 2 was my choice -- comments that -- the reason $I$ liked it is it really -- the joy she felt in doing the work she did. And I could
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actually see the merits and the demerits of all of these, I just thought I would add my voice to the -to one of the reasons that number 2 -- well -- thank you.

MR. DAVE RUBIN: Okay. Well, this is
Dave Rubin.

> If we're going to give our personal preferences, I like 3. But I think we're -- all three of us substantially preferred these designs to all the other ones that we viewed.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
At this point, then, let's, in fact, each of us, score using the scoring sheets, the reverse candidate designs for the 2025 Dr. Vera Rubin Quarter.

Please, ladies and gentlemen, we're going to stay put. Because we're going to move -colleagues, don't go too far. We're going to move directly into the next program.

THE REPORTER: Dr. Brown, are we off the record?

DR. BROWN: Off the record.

MR. TATE: We're going to recess for five minutes?

DR. BROWN: Three minutes.
MR. TATE: Three minutes. The time is 3:55.
(Off the record.)
MS. WARREN: Okay. We are back. It's 3:57.

DR. BROWN: This is Lawrence Brown. We are back.

I recognize Greg Weinman to provide the scores from the results -- results from the scores.

MR. WEINMAN: I'm pleased to report that we have a clear winner on this one. Number 2 received 15 out of 33 points; 3 received 26 out of 33 points; 7 received nine; and $7 A$ received ten. So once again, design number 3 was the clear winner with 26 out of 33 points.

DR. BROWN: Are there any motions based on what you have heard?

Kellen.

MR. HOARD: I would move to recommend
design 2.
DR. VAN ALFEN: Peter van Alfen, second
DR. BROWN: Any discussion on the
motion?
MR. BERNSTEIN: I would offer a
friendly amendment to make the modification that Peter suggested, with regard to the wording.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Yeah, that's friendly.
DR. BROWN: You accept?
DR. VAN ALFEN: I accept, yeah.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Arthur Bernstein made
that friendly amendment. Sorry.
DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Any further discussion on the motion
with the friendly amendment?
Hearing none, all those in favor say
"aye."
MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Opposed, "nay."
The motion carries.
Thank you, so much.
And again, as the discussion has
concluded here, I'd like to thank the Rubin family for their participation. We really appreciate that your participation was really instrumental in us being able to understand what we have before us.

So we're going to, in fact --
Thank you and have a great remainder of the day.

We're going to move forward with the next item on our agenda. Ladies and gentlemen --

MR. RUBIN: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
Next item is to review the reverse candidate designs for the 2025 American Women's Quarter honoring Althea Gibson.

Ms. Stafford.
MS. STAFFORD: Althea Gibson was born on August 25, 1927, to Daniel and Annie Bell Gibson, sharecroppers on a cotton farm near Silver, South Carolina. In 1930, the family moved to Harlem in New York City. Gibson was a very athletic child who grew up playing paddle tennis on the section of 143 rd Street between Lenox and Seventh Avenues that was
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barricaded during the day so neighborhood kids could play sports under the supervision of the Police Athletic League.

Gibson worked fiercely as she rose to the top of the tennis ranks, breaking the color barrier to the sport in 1950 , when she became the first black person to compete in the U.S. Open, then known as the U.S. Nationals. Although she lost narrowly to the reigning Wimbledon champion, the following year she won her first international title, the Caribbean Championship in Jamaica.

After graduating from Florida A\&M, Gibson began teaching physical education at Lincoln University in Jefferson City, Missouri, but continued her tennis competitions. In 1955, the State Department sent her on a goodwill tour of Asia, and once overseas, she remained abroad, winning 16 of 18 tennis tournaments in Europe and Asia.

1957 was a banner year of more firsts for Gibson who became not only the first black woman to appear on the covers of Sports Illustrated and Time magazines, but also the first black person to be voted

Female Athlete of the Year by the Associated Press, an honor she would win again the following year.

In late 1958, after having won 56 national and international singles and doubles titles, including 11 grand slam championships, Gibson retired from amateur tennis at the age of 31. At the age of 37, Gibson became the first black woman to join the Ladies Professional Golf Association in 1964, breaking course records during individual rounds in several tournaments until 1977.
"Everything Althea had to do was three times harder than it was for non-person of color," said Katrina Adams, a former professional tennis player and the first black person to serve as the president of the U.S. Tennis Association. "For me, what she did was transcendent."

Bonnie Morris, professor of women's sports history at the University of California at Berkeley and a member of the National Women's History Museum's Scholars Advisory Council, writes: "Like many black athletes before her, Gibson became the face of American achievement in a global sports platform
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while navigating, with dignity and poise, racist pushback against her success from the very white tennis world back home. Her groundbreaking wins paved the way for future black talent in tennis."

All the designs include a likeness of Althea Gibson, along with the required inscriptions "United States of America" and "E Pluribus Unum," the common inscription, "Althea Gibson," and the denomination. We are very fortunate to have with us today the cousin of Althea Gibson, our liaison, Don Felder.

Thank you so much for being with us. Thank you, also, for your patience. Would you care to say a few words to the committee before we share the candidate designs?

MR. FELDER: Sure.
Thank you, so much. It's an honor being here. I've enjoyed the process, and Althea would be very pleased. So I look forward to the process and the decisions that are made, and we're excited.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you, so much. And
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certainly, if the committee members have questions, we will come back to you. Appreciate that very much. MR. FELDER: Sure. Yes, thank you. MS. STAFFORD: Moving onto the candidate designs. We have candidate design number 1. This design portrays Althea Gibson with a racquet in one hand and a ball in the other, while standing confidently by a net on a tennis court as if in preparation before playing a match. The additional inscription is "trailblazing champion." This is our liaison's preferred design, and it's also the recommendation by the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts.

Our liaison notes that this design best captures her likeness and her essence. Interestingly, the CFA really rallied, so to speak, around this design. Noting that someone standing at the net in this way would have just won. So they found it appropriate in that way. The CFA, to the degree possible, asked that the Mint revisit -- if this design should be selected by the secretary, revisit the spacing of the tennis racquet webbing to make it a bit finer, if at all possible.

Moving onto designs 2, 2A, 3A and 3B, 4, 4A, 4B, and finally design 5B. And that concludes the candidate designs.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
From the committee, are there any legal or technical questions?

Seeing none, then let us begin our consideration. I'd like to remind members that we have five minutes, and please try to keep it within that period of time. And if there's any questions, please refrain from them until after we've completed this process. For the benefit of the court reporter and those calling in, I ask that you state your name when you begin speaking.

So let us begin with Darla.
MS. JACKSON: This is Darla Jackson. And I am -- I have two that I like, but 1 is just such a stunningly beautiful portrait. She does look so incredibly happy. I completely agree that the webbing on the tennis racket should be finer. I do like the inclusion of the tennis net and how that completes the bottom of the portrait. The text feels appropriate to
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the design, and again, she just looks so incredibly stunning and happy that, how can you not just want to look at that one.

I also want to mention that $I$ think number 4 is a very elegant and beautiful design. Seeing her from the side in action is really nice, and I also think the line that carries throughout is just an interesting element, and $I$ would like to just add a note about that one as well.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
Let's turn to Arthur.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein. And I agree with everything that Darla just said about design 1. But $I$ had three, I guess, technical points to make. I think we all agree that the racquet looks incorrect, and if you look at design 3A you can see a racquet that has a more appropriate number of strings. My second technical point has to do with her teeth. It looks like she has just one big tooth, and I didn't understand why it's just that white space. And lasty, the tennis ball itself to me looks too small. I don't know how big her hands were,
but it looks almost more like a ping pong ball than a tennis ball, and it doesn't have any of the markings that a tennis ball would have.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
Let's turn to Mike.
MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown.
This is Michael Moran.

I'm okay with 1. My favorite, really,
was 2A. It's in action; she's about to serve. I particularly like the fact that the inscriptions are handled in a very unique style not imposing upon the figure, and the figure still dominates on the palette. That's all I've got to say. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
Donald.
MR. SCARINCI: You know, I hope people aren't reacting to the portrait of 1 . The portrait of 1 is very -- it's the superior face. And I think we've seen, and it may be the same artist who gave us one of the earlier ones, that had the superior face. But as a coin, I mean, I think getting some action in the coin needs to happen. You know, and to produce
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another coin, especially in this package of five that we're talking about today, I really can't see going with number 1 .

I agree with Mike. As to 2 and 2A, you know, my preference would be 2 as opposed to 2A, because to put the " 25 cents" -- you know, to get it off her back. I think it's on her back in $2 A$, and that disturbs me.

I like what's going on in 4. I mean, you know, 4 is -- you know, just looks, you know, it looks like a stroke, you know, and it's -- there's a lot of action there, there's interest there. So I think for me, it's really coming down -- and, you know, and just to mention it, 5A just disturbs me because of the three balls. You know, four balls. They seem to be all -- they seem to be coming down -raining down on her, and that kind of ruins it for me. But, you know, so $I$ think if the artist had done this a little differently, I might have been an advocate, you know, for 5A, but, you know, I think action -- I think we need action. So I really think it's -- for me, it's between 2 and 4 for this coin.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Let's turn to Peter.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Dr. Brown, thank you very much.

This is Peter van Alfen.
I'm okay with 1 as well, but $I$ do have a very strong preference for a couple of the others. 3B I find really appealing for the intensity and tension that $I$ sense in the portrayal of Gibson. I also like the treatment of the "25 cents" over the mesh of the racket. I agree with Donald and Darla that 4 is also really quite attractive, and of these, 4B, I have to say, is my favorite, simply because I think it is very eye catching and also has a certain dynamism to it as well. That's all I've got to say. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.

Let's turn to John.
MR. SAUNDERS: I'd like to agree with Donald.

John Saunders here, by the way.
I was very disturbed. I only saw three
of the balls when $I$ first looked at it. But I think 5A would be a very good design if it had one ball. And I really don't see what the rest of them did. But if you excluded that because of the balls, I mean -you could take some of the balls out, I guess.
I like 4B a lot. It's been -- I like
the contrast. Maybe it looks better in the picture than it's going to look on the coin but I like the contrast between the positive areas and the negative areas, and I like the action figure. I like the other ones that have the same design on it, but $I$ think $I$ like this one better just because it appeals to me with the contrast there. One is a perfectly acceptable design. I mean, there's nothing wrong with it, but I'd like to see a little bit more action happening if possible.

And I also agree with Mike on 3. I like the justification of the legends being at right angles there. I think that's kind of a nice thing. But I like the action in 4 better, so I'm going to be a supporter of $4 B$, unless we can get rid of some tennis balls in 5A.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much. Let's turn to Kellen.

MR. HOARD: Thank you.
I think this is my favorite overall portfolio today. I think there's not any super weak designs. I also think Donald got it right, which is that, you know, for an athlete she should be doing something athletic rather than just posing. There should be that motion and action, force and power, in there. So there were -- I had trouble picking, so I'm just going to list them off.

So I like 2 quite a bit. I thought that was just a bit of a poppy design. It was sleek and it was impactful. And her name really just was impactful to me. And I felt a sense of tension there just before, you know, the serve. I thought this was one of the pieces that, you know, if you look at 5, would be quite eye catching.

So I thought $3 B$ was also nice and kind of used the field well. Four understood its medium, which I really appreciated. It's not just art that's on a coin, but it understands that circular space that
it's on and what it's meant to be and uses that really creatively, and $I$ like designs that understand the medium they're in. 4A, also quite interesting. I just felt like it showed the level of force that she had and how powerful she was, which is a difficult thing to do on a stationary coin, but $I$ feel a sense of motion even more on this one even than on 4.

And I thought $4 B$ was just very modern and creative and exciting, and I'll rank that one highly as well. So really, $I$ mean, a good portfolio. But $I$ would tend to shy away from 1 as a standing still portrait for someone who had so much motion and action and power to her. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
Dr. Fuller.
DR. FULLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
This is Harcourt Fuller.
This is a very strong portfolio. And let me just say before $I$ go into the designs if $I$ may. This is what is so great about this program, because it brings to the floor many great Americans who many people may not have heard about before. I think
that's always very important, because I think sometimes we take to be presentist in the sense that we know who's reigning today or living today, but we don't, you know, we don't look at the history of those who paved the way and things of that nature. So I appreciate this coin for that reason.

I think that a lot of people around the world are going to learn about yet another great American who paved the way for so many other people. I like 1. I don't have a problem with her standing still, as was it displayed, it looks like she had just won a championship, and so for that reason $I$ don't see the need for her to -- for motion in this sense, because it's conveyed, you know, the fact that she just won.

However, I would like to also give a shoutout to a couple of others. 3A, if I may. I really like 3A. Again, it shows that she -- you see, you know, she looks very determined. She looks like she's about to, you know, to win, and also, you see the crowd. Which is what you would expect at a tennis match.

4B was also great. I like the contrast as well. Again, $I$ don't know how it will look on a coin, but $I$ definitely like her motion and I like the contrast. And then finally, 5A. What $I$ really like about this, and I agree with everyone else, if we just, you know, just have one ball. I like the fact that she's looking to the heavens, if you will. It's very inspirational and spiritual if $I$ might add, which will resonate within many communities. She just looks like, you know, she has been -- she's blessed, and, you know, she is, you know, it just looks very inspirational. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much. Dennis.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
This is Dennis Tucker. I think design 1 is serviceable. The combination of the portrait and the inscription, it gets the job done. I think it would make a great congressional gold medal in the conservative vein, but it's just not exciting. Its not the kind of active excitement and athleticism that we would expect, I think, for this coin.

I prefer, like John, 4B. And I'll explain why. My preference for $4 B$ comes from an observation that, so far, each years' set of five coins includes one that is a very active full or nearly full figure portrait of the woman that it's honoring. We have, in 2022, Maya Angelou with her arms outstretched like a flying bird. 2023, we have Maria Tallchief leaping in a graceful ballet movement, and in 2024 we have Celia Cruz in mid-performance singing and dancing.

So we have at least one coin in each group that is very active, and for that reason I'm drawn to the group of 04 , so it's 4A -- what is it, 4A and 4B. And of those three, I'm most intrigued by 4B, because $I$ think it will look remarkable in proof. What we see as a black field would be polished with some sort of a stippling or other textured to the right, and I think it's just a remarkable design in that sense. Also, I -- as a writer, I would point out that I think "trailblazer" is appropriate, and I think that's another advantage to 4B. The word "trailblazer" is included. I'm not bothered by the
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fact that "champion" is not included because I think that she was a trailblazer whether she won a competition or not. Just appearing in these competitions made her someone who blazed trails. So those are my thoughts.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.
DR. BROWN: Thank you.
Chris.
DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right. I
appreciate my colleagues' comments. I also was sort of thinking a little bit of Maria Tallchief and some of the other quarters that, you know, really have some action and some motion in them. The one thing we haven't talked about here, and we may need to go back to the family about this, that there were some concerns about the likeness and part of the appeal for the family of number 1, was that it was the best likeness. And so there are ways in which, although I like the designs of 2,3 , and 4 in different ways $I$ can talk about, you know, $I$ think that is worth addressing. I don't know if we'd do that now or later.
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I will say, of the -- I think, you know, I like 5 a lot if it had fewer tennis balls and fewer words. I think that, you know, again, as -Dennis really said it perfectly. "Trailblazer" is all that we need. I will just point out, the one that $I$ will remember most, right, is 2. And, you know, if -I just love the creativity that the artist took here with the space and with the lettering, and even if we don't adopt number 2, I'd love to see more designs as innovative as 2.

And so I'll just leave it there, and maybe, either now or later, ask either April or the liaison to speak about likeness.

DR. BROWN: Colleagues. I must confess that this is one of the programs that really has me really proud to be with you, because when I first joined the CCAC, I had no idea that I was going to have the opportunity to actually participate in such an endeavor. Especially, I bring it to you now, because we're coming to the end of this program and it almost has me tearing at the fact that we are coming to the end of a program for which is so important, as
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we in fact have members of our society see that women are represented in a way that we find that we should be representing and should have been representing all along.

And that's what makes this difficult for me. Because on the one hand, I do in fact share with the family about the likeness issue, so we'll come back to that. And I'm not really opposed to the fact that there's no motion. Because I think motion is not the only way that you can communicate representation, or the fact that, "I could be this; this is something that $I$ could aspire to." So to me is the fact that if you see me, whether you see me in motion or see me just standing still, the fact that you see me is a message that we should continue to communicate to others.

So I'm not going to go into detail for each of the designs, but $I$ must confess, in this case, I would lean in the direction of the family based on what they've said, because $I$ believe that this is such a significant time that having a likeness really is also important with respect to a coin, particularly
about a woman.
Having said that, now I'm going to entertain any additional comments or questions. So let me do this.

Let me come back to Chris, because he raised a question, and we'll come to your point.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: This would be the point
in which --
DR. BROWN: Yes.
DR. CAPOZZOLA: Either April or
Felder -- Mr. Felder, if you could speak to -- you had raised that you appreciated number 1 as being the best likeness. Are there specific objections to the likenesses in 2, 3, 4, and 5?

MR. FELDER: A family member sent me a photo only this morning, two actually, and it sort of reinforced the likeness. The hair was exactly the same in two of the photos that $I$ received this morning from a family member. However, likeness -- like Dr. Fuller indicated earlier, I can understand accepting the points you made on a number of the others, and especially $I$ believe it was 5. I believe
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it was 5. No, 4; the contrast. I mean, there's a lot there. There's action, there's "trailblazer," she was, in fact, without a doubt. And then I actually thought courageous, because during those days, she was quite courageous to walk in doors that were closed to her, and -- but trailblazer says it all. It says a lot. It's what she's known to be throughout the years and equate it to Jackie Robinson as a trailblazer.

So you know, with that said, I -- 4B is
a beautiful design. But again, how -- I mean, how will it look on a coin, and how will that contrast show? It's tough. I'm a coin collector. This is a tough choice. Number 1 is what was voted on by most of the family members, including her younger sister. So that said, I think I have to stick with 1. They're beautiful designs. There are a number of beautiful designs. It's tough, and at this point $I$ can only say it'll be interesting to see how it's voted, how it's selected overall.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
We're going to go to John, and then
Kellen.
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MR. SAUNDERS: I have a question for voting. Can we vote as an entry for 5A with one ball? I mean, I think several people have agreed with what I said, that four balls is distracting and so forth. And it seems like it could be modified pretty easily. I don't know if that's a question for the Chair, or the Mint, or both.

MR. WEINMAN: You could make a motion if you want to, to add to potentially vote for $a$, $I$ guess, a 5B, which would be 5A, but with one ball.

If you want to make that motion.
MR. SAUNDERS: I'll make that motion.
DR. BROWN: So is there a second on this motion at this time?

So I think it's important to share with the committee that making a motion here does -- can affect whether or not it's really necessary to do a vote in the way that we traditionally would be. We would -- I'm sorry -- the scoring. We would still do the scoring just to give to the artists to give our sense, but it would have a different effect.

So again, I need a second for the
motion before we can go further with that.
I don't sense we have a motion, so we'll need to go forward.

MR. SAUNDERS: Nope. I'm by myself on this one, it looks like, so I'll withdraw it then.

DR. BROWN: Kellen.
MR. HOARD: Yeah.

I was going to ask Mike, in terms of the contrast piece, how would that show up on a circulated coin versus a new coin? Do you feel that contrast would be -- look like --

MR. COSTELLO: I mean, only the letters --

Sorry, this is Mike Costello.
The letters; that's the only thing that could pop at all. Because the one set's going to be incused, and the other set on the other side will be sitting proud on the surface. How that'll look at that scale, it should be okay. But the proof version, like you guys were saying -- it's a polished surface.

DR. FULLER: Mr. Chair.
DR. BROWN: Yes, Dr. Fuller.
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DR. FULLER: May I ask a question of
Don?

DR. BROWN: Please, proceed.
DR. FULLER: Don, if I may. This is Harcourt Fuller. I wanted to ask, outside of number 1, would you say that 5A has the closest likeness of her to the other coins? That's kind of -- yeah.

MR. FELDER: I can only say somewhat. A little. Not so much. I think -- gosh.

DR. FULLER: Forgive me for putting you on the spot.

MR. FELDER: You're not. I mean, a little. I see a little over there, but of course having looked at one over and over and over and over and just seeing so much of her, it's difficult to look at. What was it 5, with the balls? Yeah, 5A with the balls. And I agree that there are too many balls. We discussed that amongst ourselves previously. There are just -- there are too many balls. But earlier, I looked at that design and I looked at her focus upwards. It's nice.

DR. FULLER: If I may, I'll just close
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by saying, it appears to me that if some of the other designs had a closer resemblance to her, that they would be stronger. So I'm just saying that as a general statement. They would be stronger; they would be competitive with 1, I guess I -- that's what I'd like to say.

MR. FELDER: Yes. Yes. but then the contrast, I think, overall, if there had to be -- my choice if there had to be one other than number 1, I think that's quite impressive there.

DR. BROWN: I'm mindful of the time, and Mr. Felder we want to thank you for your patience.

I think my colleagues suggest that we should go forward with scoring, because it might help us to finally come to a decision.

So again, you should have your scoring sheets in front of you, and please give them to Greg Weinman when you're through. We're going to take a brief, five-minute break. So we're going to go off the record for five minutes.

MS. WARREN: It's 4:30. We'll be back at 4:35.
(Off the record.)
MS. WARREN: Okay. We're going to get back, actually, a minute early. So 4:34.

I'll hand it over to Dr. Brown. DR. BROWN: Good afternoon. This is Lawrence Brown. We are back, and I recognize Greg Weinman, who will present the results from the scoring sheets.

MR. WEINMAN: This is a closer competition. Design 1 received 16 out of 33 points. Design 2 received 20 out of 33 points. 2A received eight, $3 A$ received four, $3 B$ received ten. Design 4 received 20 out of 33 points. 4A received 12, 4B received 24 points. And so 5A received eight points. So the high-scoring design is 4B with 24 points, followed closely by 4 with 20 , and 2 with 20.

MR. SCARINCI: Question. What did 2A get?

MR. WEINMAN: 2A received eight.
MR. SCARINCI: So $2 A$ and 2 received 28 combined.

DR. BROWN: That's one way to look at
it.

MR. HOARD: So we'll call CCAC back.
MR. SCARINCI: So then it gives rise to a possible motion, which $I$ wouldn't mind doing.

MR. WEINMAN: Well, arguably the same could be said -- 4 received $20,4 A$ received 12 , and $4 B$ received 24 . So that would be 56.

DR. BROWN: So the Chair is interested in hearing a motion.

Dennis.
MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis Tucker.
Dr. Brown, I move that we accept the high ranking vote of 24 applied to $4 B$, and make that our recommendation to the secretary.

MR. SAUNDERS: I second.
DR. BROWN: Second from John on the record, Dennis made the motion. Is any discussion on the motion?

MR. HOARD: I had a question, if I could.

This is Kellen Hoard
Mike, $I$ was just wondering if you
could, since this is the one on the motion to consider. Just provide any more detail on contrast on the circulating coins. I think it'll look great as a proof, $I$ just don't know whether that contrast would show up on -- I like the design, I just don't know if it would show up on circulating.

MR. COSTELLO: Yeah, again, as far as the contrast, the lettering itself coming around. That's where the transition's going to be.

MR. HOARD: Yeah. But the fields would look okay, you think, on the --

MR. COSTELLO: Yeah.

MR. HOARD: Okay.
MR. SCARINCI: And for discussion on the motion, $I$ think that it received the majority, we should -- that.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Any further conversation or discussion on the motion?

Hearing none, all those in favor, "aye."

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
Any "nays"?

## Page 243

Hearing none, the motion passes.
Outstanding.

As discussion has concluded,
Mr. Felder, we'd like to thank you for attending, and also for your patience, as you, in fact, stayed around to -- able to deal with the program. So thank you, and --

MR. FELDER: Well, I thank you all, and it's a beautiful choice.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, so much.
Colleagues and the public, as this is the last order of business for the day, I would like to thank the CCAC members, the Mint staff, our liaisons, and the participating public for their attendance today.

Committee will now stand in recess until 9 a.m. tomorrow morning, when we will reconvene and take under consideration the 2023 fiscal annual report, the future numismatic themes working group report, and the conversation with respect to the liberty program tomorrow.

Based on that, we are adjourned.

MS. WARREN: It is 4:38.
(Whereupon, the meeting concluded at 4:38 p.m.)
MS. WARREN: It is 4:38.
(Whereupon, the meeting concluded at
4:38 p.m.)
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| $64: 17$ | composition | $192: 21$ | connecting |
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| $42: 791: 4$ | compromise | $174: 11210: 4$ | $87: 17$ |
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| compellingly | concentration | confident | $81: 582: 14$ |
| $137: 7$ | $62: 19$ | $43: 21$ | connectivity |
| compensation | concern $141: 11$ | confidently | $67: 368: 20$ |
| $63: 16$ | $177: 17205: 12$ | $219: 8$ | cons $131: 4$ |
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| $44: 2145: 21$ | $112: 14,20$ | $169: 16,16$ | $214: 8,9,10,13$ |
| $46: 147: 16,17$ | $113: 4118: 19$ | $170: 18172: 15$ | $214: 19215: 11$ |
| $47: 1949: 14$ | $118: 22,22$ | $173: 12,14$ | $220: 4221: 10$ |
| $51: 753: 1,14$ | $119: 2,2,3,15$ | $174: 9175: 11$ | $222: 4,6,14$ |
| $54: 2,10,13,13$ | $119: 16,18,18$ | $175: 12,13$ | $224: 1,3,3,17$ |
| $54: 15,18,20$ | $119: 20120: 2$ | $178: 1,7,17$ | $226: 1227: 14$ |
| $55: 1,16,22$ | $122: 20123: 3,6$ | $180: 20,21$ | $227: 15,16$ |
| $56: 3,5,10,21$ | $124: 9125: 16$ | $183: 17184: 4$ | $229: 13231: 7,9$ |
| $56: 2257: 2,3,5$ | $125: 17,17,18$ | $184: 16,21,22$ | $232: 14234: 7,9$ |
| $57: 1058: 3,9$ | $125: 19126: 19$ | $185: 12,20,22$ | $234: 10,20$ |
| $59: 2,19,21$ | $126: 20127: 9$ | $186: 1,7,8,13$ | $235: 20236: 13$ |
| $60: 7,7,7,11,14$ | $127: 10128: 5$ | $186: 15,18,19$ | $237: 6,21,22,22$ |
| $60: 16,18,18,19$ | $129: 10130: 9$ | $187: 3,6,9,15$ | $238: 1,3,4,10$ |
| $60: 20,20,21,22$ | $130: 13131: 1,8$ | $187: 20,22$ | $238: 22239: 11$ |
| $61: 2,4,671: 14$ | $131: 14132: 1$ | $188: 2,12,15,16$ | $240: 4,5,22$ |
| $71: 2072: 12,18$ | $132: 12,12$ | $188: 17,19,20$ | $241: 8,12,16$ |
| $75: 2276: 12$ | $133: 11,16$ | $189: 8,13,16$ | $242: 17243: 10$ |
| $77: 1679: 20$ | $134: 16,18,19$ | $190: 9,18,22$ | drawings $203: 5$ |
| $80: 2,11,15$ | $136: 13138: 12$ | $191: 1,3,6,8,15$ | drawn $42: 6,17$ |
| $81: 13,2282: 1$ | $139: 15140: 10$ | $192: 3,5,10$ | $137: 5230: 13$ |
| $82: 184: 2,4,4$ | $141: 5,7142: 2$ | $194: 1,4195: 4$ | draws $160: 11$ |
| $85: 4,13,15,16$ | $142: 4143: 11$ | $195: 10,16,21$ | dream $79: 7$ |
| $85: 17,19,20$ | $143: 16147: 10$ | $196: 16,19$ | dressed $155: 21$ |
| $86: 7,14,16,16$ | $147: 14148: 9$ | $197: 9,9,10,11$ | drew $63: 20$ |
| $86: 1788: 1,3,9$ | $148: 11,11,13$ | $197: 22198: 3$ | driven $34: 8$ |
| $89: 7,9,22$ | $148: 17149: 8$ | $198: 11,15,16$ | driving $42: 5$ |
| $91: 1292: 17$ | $149: 13150: 3$ | $198: 17199: 3$ |  |


| dropping 92:5 | e | educated | elegant 92:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| drops 165:13 |  | 152:13 | 165:11 202:20 |
| drove 42:10 |  | educating | 221: |
| dubois 1 | $7: 1,131: 21$ | 101:13 | element 106:18 |
| duck 75:3 76:9 | $: 16 \text { 47:7,13 }$ | education | 221:8 |
| 82:10 | 16:10 123:14 | 16:14,19 | elements 45:6 |
| due | 7:17 128:9 | 192:16 216:13 | 76:21 77:2,3 |
| duly 245: | 39:4 148:20 | educationa | 137:8,11 141:4 |
| dumped 66:16 | 154:9 165:12 | 16:15 | 160:15 |
| duster 3:20,22 | 194:3 208:19 | educato | eleven 19:20 |
| 3:4,5 116:14 | 218:7 | 13:21 116: | elicited 146:3 |
| 6:18 120:13 | eagle 75:9,13 | effect 236:2 | eliminating |
| 0:16,20 | 78:2 | effective | 95:14 |
| 122:10 124:6 |  | 185:17 | email 19:19 |
| 124:13,20 | earlier | effectivel | emblem 183 |
| 25:20 126:7 | 40:15 69:4,20 | 102:5 168:20 | emblematic |
| 27:5 128:3,15 | 7:9 144:10 | efforts 64:4 | 26:21 27:17 |
| 145:8,8,17 | 16:22 172:11 | 68:13 | 181:7,12 |
| 147:18 148:15 | $1: 4207$ | eight | 202:17 |
| 9:9,11 | $22 \cdot 20234$ | 104:4,5,7,8,13 | embodied |
| 1:15,15 | $22: 20$ | 154:2 240:12 | 153:14 |
| 152:1,3 | earliest 77:8 | 240:14,19 | embraced |
| duster's 134:21 | 206:19 | einsatzgruppen $63 \cdot 10$ | $98: 21$ |
| $\text { dusters } 132: 15$ | early | eisenhow | emotion 146:3 |
| duties | earned | 79:16,1 | emotiona |
| dwight 79:16 | 111:21 112: | either 1 | 146: |
| dynamic 179:3 | easier 106:1 | 74:8 176:15 | emphasis 95:11 |
| 179:4 200:15 | easily 147:7 | 77:21 189 | 95:12 98:8 |
| 204:16,20 | 208:12 236: | 94:11 207:16 | emphasiz |
| 205:11 | $\text { easy } 129: 17,17$ | 232:12,12 | 154:3 |
| dynamism | $129: 21$ | 234:10 | employe |
| 224:15 | ebay | elder | 123:12 245:1 |
| dystrophy |  | eldest 163:20 | 45:14 246 |
| 28:22 33:7 | $\text { editor } 4: 15,1$ | ction 115:18 | 246:11 |
|  | 10:17,18 93:22 | electors 25:17 | employee |
|  |  | electronically | 245:13 246:10 |


| empowering | enlightening | 232:19 234:22 | evoke 36:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 116:1 | 147:22 | esque 208:17 | evolved 31:1 |
| encapsulation | enlisted 62:8 | 209:1 | evolving 96:5 |
| 165:14 | enraged 114:18 | essence 43:17 | exactly 123:22 |
| encourage | enrich 59:3 | 50:4 219:14 | 136:2 140:14 |
| 64:12 | ensure 73:15 | essential 171:4 | 158:16 204:10 |
| encouraged | 99:13 111:17 | essentially | 205:2 234:17 |
| 71:4 193:15 | 126:14,17 | 90:11 97:7 | example 77:7 |
| encouraging | enter 18:4,7 | establish 64:12 | 78:1 100:20 |
| 22:11 | 36:14 | established | 153:15 182:22 |
| endeavor | entered 63:18 | 63:5 152:21 | 192:16,21 |
| 232:19 | entertain 234:3 | establishment | 199:7 208:19 |
| endorse 163:5 | entire 44:5 | 64:4 | excellent 32:8 |
| endorsement | 48:16 66:8 | estate 28:7 | 90:15 |
| 20 | 08:18 | et 79:3,3 | exception |
| endured 114:9 | entirely 170:8 | 175:19 | 209:12 |
| enemies 17:9 | entry 27:12 | ethnic 176:21 | exceptionally |
| 17:12 | 236:2 | 177:5 | 33:3 |
| energy 70:10 | envisioned | ethnically 28:1 | exchange 36:21 |
| engaged 21:15 | 153:6 | europe 62:12 | excited 95:19 |
| 24:15,21 25:5 | equal 111:4 | 78:21 81:5,7 | 96:6 142:6 |
| 68:17 | 139:1 158:4 | 152:19 216:18 | 200:13 218:21 |
| engagement | 173:9 | evans 3:13 | excitement |
| 22:2 23:18 | equality 114:11 | 11:15,17 | 229:21 |
| engaging 43:21 | 192:20 | evasion 17:20 | exciting 48:3 |
| 70:10 179:9,18 | equally 46:21 | 18:1 | 162:6 200:10 |
| england 152:15 | 173:5 | evening 32:10 | 200:15 201:2 |
| engrave 203:14 | equate 235:8 | event 5:2 6:2 | 201:10 202:20 |
| engraver 39:10 | equation | everybody | 227:9 229:20 |
| 51:5 | 205:13,20 | 46:15 101:18 | exclamation |
| engraving 2:21 | era 159:15,16 | 131:21 169:3 | 95:11 |
| 12:2 | es 245:4 | 210:7 | excluded 225:4 |
| nhance 39:12 | especially | everybody's | excuse 188:8 |
| enhanced 52:2 | 31:15 32:19 | 145:9 | 207:5 |
| enjoyed 218:18 | 42:13 45:8 | everyone's | executive 4:3 |
| enjoying | 85:5 174:14 | 130:6 | 13:9 154:13 |
| 202:13 | 179:6,9 180:13 | evidence 62:16 | executor 4:8 |
|  | 208:12 223:1 | 192:7,11 | 13:16 14:1 |



| 242:7 | feedback 20:6 | 12:21,22 13:1 | 230:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| farm 215:18 | 24:5 86:12 | 26:5 58:8 | figures 165:11 |
| fascinated 76:2 | feel 36:1 43:18 | 61:10,18,19 | filipino 16:13 |
| 138:15 | 43:19 45:13 | 62:3,6,14,21 | filled 33:13 |
| father 4:10 | 50:2 52:2 | 63:3,15,18,22 | film 30:10 31:1 |
| 13:18 40:4 | 137:8 141:17 | 64:7,14 65:1,4 | final 38:8 |
| 41:9 70:8 | 165:17 167:15 | 65:17,21 66:3 | 81:14 148:10 |
| 74:12,18 75:1 | 168:10 174:2 | 66:6 67:12,15 | 204:21 |
| 78:17 82:3,14 | 176:4,5,17,21 | 67:21 68:2,8 | finally $12: 19$ |
| 82:15 91:17 | 177:1,4,19 | 68:11 70:2 | 13:22 71:12 |
| 153:20 | 179:17 194:21 | 71:873:11,22 | 119:13 156:15 |
| father's 84:8 | 202:14 205:11 | 74:7,11,19 | 195:15,19 |
| favor 14:22 | 209:1 227:6 | 75:7,21 76:4 | 220:2 229:4 |
| 56:7 57:7 | 237:10 | 77:6 78:15 | 239:15 |
| 95:13 113:1 | feeling 45:11 | 81:8 84:1,7 | financially |
| 139:10 151:8 | 77:6 160:2 | 100:12,15 | 245:15 246:11 |
| 172:1 186:21 | 164:5 204:9 | 102:19 113:8 | find 14:4 58:13 |
| 186:22 188:4 | feelings 175:22 | ferencz's 70:19 | 88:17,19 91:4 |
| 189:2 190:14 | feels 165:17 | 95:21 96:10 | 143:8 152:18 |
| 205:10 214:16 | 220:22 | 106:22 | 156:3 166:8 |
| 242:19 | felder 14:1 | fewer 232:2,3 | 170:13 175:7 |
| favorite 79:19 | 218:11,16 | field 37:8 108:2 | 179:3 224:8 |
| 135:14 211:19 | 219:3 234:11 | 133:5 226:20 | 233:2 |
| 222:8 224:13 | 234:11,15 | 230:16 | fine $37: 339: 6,7$ |
| 226:4 | 238:8,12 239:7 | fields 27:20 | 41:11 70:6,21 |
| feather 122:4 | 239:12 243:4,8 | 242:10 | 80:5 89:3 90:1 |
| 122:22 143:7 | fellow 16:4 | fierce $31: 16$ | 94:14 96:13 |
| feature 81:7 | fellowship | 34:4 38:4 | 119:9 131:7 |
| 88:22 198:10 | 114:5 | fiercely 216:4 | 134:7 156:14 |
| featured $27: 1$ | felt 37:7 43:16 | fifteen 104:9 | 172:12 195:9 |
| features 37:15 | 90:7,9 118:11 | fight 34:5 | 219:12 |
| 37:22 48:5 | 118:14 137:6 | 64:21 114:11 | finer 219:22 |
| 70:3,16,18 | 137:10 211:22 | figure 46:22 | 220:20 |
| 71:7,9 77:13 | 226:15 227:4 | 90:9 92:1 | finger 36:19 |
| 117:17 195:4 | female 217:1 | 129:18 179:11 | 181:22 |
| 195:16 | feminist 113:22 | 179:20 193:9 | firm 88:15 |
| featuring | ferencz 3:19,19 | 204:21 222:12 | firm's 88:19 |
| 179:22 180:6 | 5:14 10:3 | 222:12 225:10 |  |


| first $7: 1314: 7$ | $100: 1102: 20$ | focus $106: 9$ | foreign $17: 9,12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $16: 819: 9,13$ | $103: 21,22$ | $131: 13181: 3$ | foremost $19: 16$ |
| $19: 1623: 15$ | $104: 3,9,14$ | $181: 11204: 19$ | forgive $86: 7$ |
| $26: 1,2,17$ | $109: 10,16$ | $238: 20$ | $197: 11238: 10$ |
| $35: 1439: 20$ | $110: 16,17,18$ | focused $22: 22$ | forgot $91: 13$ |
| $41: 844: 1,11$ | $111: 2,4,6,18$ | $135: 21$ | form $71: 2$ |
| $45: 347: 14$ | $111: 21112: 3$ | focuses $169: 1$ | $76: 1780: 13$ |
| $61: 1779: 1$ | $132: 6149: 19$ | focusing | $93: 7,10108: 11$ |
| $85: 2294: 5$ | $161: 20177: 7$ | $176: 11,12$ | $111: 11$ |
| $95: 2,7117: 21$ | $177: 13183: 1$ | $181: 15$ | formal $77: 10$ |
| $118: 6120: 7,10$ | $183: 20184: 15$ | folks $159: 12$ | $208: 10$ |
| $121: 3,8122: 14$ | $184: 16190: 22$ | $188: 6190: 11$ | format $108: 10$ |
| $135: 18138: 17$ | $197: 1213: 2$ | $199: 10$ | $208: 13$ |
| $141: 9145: 19$ | $220: 9223: 1$ | follow $122: 22$ | formats $93: 6$ |
| $156: 5165: 2$ | $230: 3239: 19$ | $178: 6181: 17$ | formatting |
| $167: 10168: 6$ | $239: 20$ | followed | $37: 9$ |
| $171: 1,15178: 3$ | fives $82: 18,20$ | $152: 12240: 16$ | former $164: 21$ |
| $187: 4191: 5$ | $82: 2283: 8$ | following $9: 20$ | $217: 13$ |
| $192: 7193: 11$ | fixed $102: 13$ | $10: 2113: 3$ | formidable |
| $201: 9216: 7,10$ | flag $96: 15$ | $21: 5216: 10$ | $34: 5$ |
| $216: 20,22$ | flagged $172: 21$ | $217: 2$ | forming $38: 3$ |
| $217: 7,14225: 1$ | $173: 5$ | font $50: 14$ | forms $63: 16$ |
| $232: 16$ | flat $92: 13$ | $56: 1687: 4$ | $142: 14$ |
| firsts $216: 19$ | flattering $90: 3$ | $179: 15205: 16$ | formulated |
| fiscal $243: 18$ | $90: 6200: 12$ | $208: 17,17,19$ | $147: 17$ |
| fish $157: 3$ | flee $114: 20$ | $208: 21$ | formulating |
| $166: 11$ | flip $118: 5$ | fonts $123: 12$ | $140: 6$ |
| fit $127: 2,13,16$ | $141: 9$ | force $64: 18,19$ | formulation |
| fits $165: 12$ | flipped $121: 6$ | $99: 4,5101: 9$ | $48: 10$ |
| five $10: 426: 2$ | floor $21: 5$ | $101: 10,13,14$ | fort $28: 15$ |
| $26: 2027: 8$ | $227: 21$ | $136: 19226: 9$ | forth $31: 11$ |
| $28: 933: 20$ | florida $81: 9$ | $227: 4$ | $236: 4$ |
| $38: 1754: 12,15$ | $216: 12$ | forced $114: 20$ | fortunate $32: 1$ |
| $55: 1262: 22$ | flushed $200: 20$ | forceful $106: 1$ | $66: 378: 7$ |
| $70: 1871: 10$ | flying $80: 18$ | $106: 19$ | $116: 12194: 6$ |
| $78: 7,19,20$ | $87: 1690: 20$ | forefront $41: 22$ | $218: 9$ |
| $81: 1794: 18$ | $230: 7$ | foregoing | forum $20: 8,18$ |
| $97: 998: 17$ |  | $245: 3,4246: 4$ | $23: 1625: 8$ |


| forums 20:7 | founding | 230:4,5 | funny 68:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22:12 23:20 | 173:21 176:3 | fuller $2: 88: 6,8$ | further 53:20 |
| 24:3 | 186:5 | 8:10 10:6,7,9 | 54:2 56:5 57:6 |
| forward 8:12 | four 20:11, 12 | 42:22 43:1 | 57:13 69:2 |
| 18:10 19:8 | 26:6 94:18 | 54:10,13 60:7 | 101:21 102:16 |
| 25:22 42:5,10 | 97:7 103:20,21 | 60:8 81:22 | 109:15 110:6 |
| 42:18 60:11 | 103:22 104:5,7 | 82:1,7 85:19 | 110:14,15 |
| 70:9 79:15 | 145:11 168:19 | 86:14,16,17 | 112:22 149:8 |
| 90:20 130:2 | 177:15 185:5,9 | 107:3,8,9 | 184:2,3 210:17 |
| 173:3 187:12 | 223:15 226:20 | 118:22 119:1,2 | 214:14 237:1 |
| 189:2 191:22 | 236:4 240:12 | 119:3,16,18,20 | 242:17 245:13 |
| 192:1 194:10 | frame 77:13 | 125:17,17,19 | 246:9 |
| 196:16 202:12 | framed 92:12 | 126:19 134:18 | future 19:6,8 |
| 202:17 215:8 | framing 91:21 | 134:19 143:16 | 23:19 64:13 |
| 218:19 237:3 | 92:15 | 148:11,13 | 83:16 117:18 |
| 239:14 | france 62:10 | 158:21,22 | 180:2 218:4 |
| foster 90:22 | frankly 100:22 | 159:18 161:6,7 | 243:19 |
| fought 62:11 | 163:14 166:9 | 161:9 163:10 | g |
| 63:16 78:21 | frazier 27:12 | 163:11 175:11 | g 7:1 |
| 192:15 193:16 | 146:11 | 175:13,13 | gain |
| found $28: 22$ | frederick 115:5 | 185:20 186:1,1 | ained |
| 35:18 63:12 | freely 17:19,22 | 186:8,13 | galaxy |
| 69:8 84:9,15 | fresh 34:19 | 188:16,19 | 195:5,12,16 |
| 90:5,6 153:15 | friend $30: 8$ | 191:6 197:9,11 | 206:19 |
| 195:6 219:17 | 33:22 | 197:12 198:16 | garden 27:12 |
| foundation | friendly 45:9 | 198:17,19 | gasp 202:10 |
| 97:14 101:11 | 109:2,9,14,19 | 227:15,16,17 | gather 62:16 |
| founded 153:4 | 110:13 112:18 | 234:20 237:21 | 166:15 |
| 154:1 155:6 | 112:21 214:6,8 | 237:22 238:1,4 | gathered |
| 156:2 180:15 | 214:12,15 | 238:5,10,22 |  |
| founder 4:16 | friends 114:14 | fully $40: 19$ | azing |
| 10:17 114:1,3 | 164:17 | 98:13 | gazing gender 192:20 |
| 114:4,5 152:20 | front 54:7 74:8 | fun 76:5 199:1 | 193:16 |
| 156:9 170:7 | 102:20 129:13 | 199:3 203: | general 2:3,7 |
| 171:3 174:4 | 159:5 239:17 | fu | $2: 10 \text { 8:4,15 }$ |
| 175 | fueled | fundamentally | 9:17 20:1 |
| founder's | full 55:7 79:10 | 23:22 | 24:20 46:3, |
| 160:7 | 126:14 136:8 |  | .20 46.3, |


| 48:16 62:11 | girl 152:21 | 139:13 141:19 | 140:22 141:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 239:4 | 153:1,3,4,11 | 143:20 144:6 | 141:22 142:15 |
| generally 177:7 | 153:13,15,17 | 167:10,22 | 143:7 144:5 |
| generations | 153:21 154:7 | 174:16 182:22 | 159:1 175:21 |
| 19:6 | 155:20,20 | 183:20 203:17 | 178:2 185:22 |
| genocide 63:15 | 156:8,10 157:6 | 212:7 228:16 | 189:4 198:14 |
| 64:3 | 157:18 158:9 | 236:20,20 | 198:20 199:12 |
| gentleman 55:2 | 158:12 163:20 | 239:17 | 199:17 201:12 |
| 56:12 | 164:7,14,22 | given 58:20 | 212:18 227:19 |
| gentlemen | 165:1 168:8,22 | 71:2 108:1 | 231:14 233:17 |
| 185:13 191:8 | 170:7 171:3,12 | 113:9 126:22 | 235:21 237:1,3 |
| 191:21 212:16 | 171:13 172:7 | 138:18 152:12 | 239:14,19 |
| 215:9 | 173:16,21 | 157:6,18 | goal 64:11 |
| genuine 36:21 | 174:4 175:3 | 159:14 | god 18:4,7 |
| geographically | 176:3,4,6 | gives 43:22 | 27:15 181:20 |
| 28:2 90:17 | 177:18 178:13 | 92:14 241:3 | goddaughters |
| geopolitical | 179:7 181:11 | giving 45:11 | 174:14 |
| 64:11 | 181:15 182:3,6 | 64:14 80:12 | goes 124:7 |
| george 27:10 | 182:8,17 186:5 | 86:15 136:8 | going 8:12 |
| 27:13 | girls 153:7,8 | 166:13 | 28:11 42:21 |
| georgia 152:11 | 154:1,4 155:7 | glad 209:11 | 46:16 47:14 |
| 153:5 | 155:8,11,21 | glance 91:19 | 50:7 51:16 |
| georgian | 156:3 158:18 | global 217:22 | 59:18 67:8 |
| 163:19 | 159:3,9,15 | globe 81:7 | 75:20 79:9 |
| gesture 36:20 | 162:21,22 | 87:14 | 86:8,10,13 |
| getters 104:16 | 163:14,15,17 | go $21: 7$ 36:7 | 89:11 95:9,10 |
| getting 167:20 | 163:21 164:11 | 46:20 57:18 | 98:20,20 |
| 222:21 | 165:2 167:8 | 60:11 65:11,15 | 107:21 109:17 |
| gibson 6:8 14:2 | 176:18 177:5 | 67:13,13,20 | 122:21 123:11 |
| 14:2 215:14,16 | 180:1,11 183:8 | 69:18 74:21 | 124:5 129:15 |
| 215:17,20 | 183:12 186:6 | 87:10 91:7 | 129:17,21 |
| 216:4,13,20 | 191:7 | 94:12 95:2,5 | 139:20 140:17 |
| 217:5,7,21 | give 26:17 44:2 | 95:13 97:9 | 143:19 157:1 |
| 218:6,8,10 | 46:9 54:8 | 98:17 106:3 | 163:6 167:8 |
| 219:6 224:9 | 64:21 86:11 | 117:10 119:4 | 168:20 169:9 |
| gilkes 4:15 | 87:9,21 89:15 | 123:4 124:21 | 171:10 172:14 |
| gilt 10:16 | 90:12 91:6 | 130:2,8 133:15 | 174:3 177:17 |
|  | 98:7 137:21 | 138:22 140:11 | 185:17 187:7 |


| 187:12 188:12 | goodwill | gravitate | 230:13 243:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 189:1,9,10,11 | 216:16 | 140:16 | groups 98:19 |
| 190:5,6,7,12 | google 170:6 | great 3:20,22 | 177:6 |
| 191:10,22 | gordon 4:4 | 13:5,6 34:3,11 | growing 79:8 |
| 196:9 200:17 | 5:21 13:10,11 | 41:3 49:20 | 153:11 |
| 203:15 204:5 | 152:7,10 | 79:4 82:2 | growth 156:1 |
| 204:12,17,18 | 153:16 154:7 | 83:21 91:17 | guess 125:1,11 |
| 204:20,21 | 154:10,14,18 | 95:20 114:20 | 125:21 141:8 |
| 207:9,19 209:4 | 155:5,20 156:7 | 116:22 117:9 | 172:6 211:9 |
| 209:19 210:11 | 162:10 166:5 | 123:21 132:18 | 221:14 225:5 |
| 212:7,17,17,18 | 167:13 168:8 | 140:15 148:5 | 236:10 239:5 |
| 213:1 215:5,8 | 168:21 169:2 | 155:3 163:19 | guest 30:21 |
| 223:2,9 225:8 | 170:1 184:10 | 163:21 175:16 | guidance 81:17 |
| 225:20 226:11 | 196:7 | 200:16 215:6 | guides 152:21 |
| 228:8 232:17 | gosh 238:9 | 227:20,21 | 157:18 |
| 233:17 234:2 | gotten 188:22 | 228:8 229:1,19 | guiding 43:13 |
| 235:21 237:8 | government | 242:3 | 153:1 157:7 |
| 237:16 239:18 | 3:15 12:12 | greater 98:8 | guilty 63:12 |
| 239:19 240:2 | 27:22 | greatest 34:8 | guy 91:13 |
| 242:9 | governor 29:8 | greatly 32:17 | 204:9 207:19 |
| gold 5:15 10:3 | graceful 230:8 | 53:21 | guys 59:12 |
| 12:22 26:5 | gradually | greg 2:19 12:8 | 204:5 237:20 |
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| 233:21 234:13 | 200:14 203:17 | 229:2 230:15 | 115:17 148:1 |
| 234:17,19 | 205:16 207:4 | 235:11 237:11 | 167:8 170:2 |
| 238:6 | 223:19 225:15 | 237:18 238:15 | 216:8 |
| likenesses | 231:11 238:9 | 240:22 242:3 | lot 21:2 23:16 |
| 234:14 | 238:13,13 | 242:11 | 24:20 50:3 |
| limited 27:21 | live 74:20 | looked 76:18 | 84:21 91:7 |
| limits 168:14 | 163:19 181:21 | 145:10,20 | 94:8 96:4 |
| lincoln 216:13 | lived 79:6 83:3 | 146:18 147:6 | 128:18,20 |
| line 205:15 | lives 153:9 | 179:16 225:1 | 129:13,15 |
| 221:7 | living 29:11 | 238:14,20,20 | 134:12 140:15 |
| lines 145:3 | 228:3 | looking 24:9 | 167:12,14 |
| 147:19 | local 29:8 | 42:18 48:4 | 171:11,13 |
| liquidated | locals 114:18 | 56:19 70:9 | 172:3 174:16 |
| 101:12 | located 75:19 | 73:2 86:8,9 | 179:5,6 180:6 |
| list 2:2 3:2 4:2 | location 81:11 | 87:19 100:17 | 223:12 225:6 |
| 226:11 | long 30:19 | 137:20 139:19 | 228:7 232:2 |
| listen 66:21 | 64:22 83:4 | 162:12 177:4 | 235:1,7 |
| 68:19 | 94:7 95:21 | 177:11 194:10 | love 34:2,3,4,8 |
| listened 46:14 | 96:12 | 195:4 201:22 | 34:10 50:12 |
| 67:9 69:5 | longer 99:17 | 202:12,13,17 | 139:18 162:18 |
| 167:5 | 166:13 | 203:6 207:7,13 | 163:7 164:18 |
| listening 7:5,19 | longevity 96:10 | 209:15 229:7 | 165:5 174:5 |
| listens 21:15 | look 18:10 19:7 40:12 46:7 | looks 83:15 135:21 168:17 | 232:7,9 |


| loved 79:16 | 126:17 139:3 | 203:21 204:12 | markings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| loves 35:5 | 139:20 171:7 | 204:12 205:19 | 222:2 |
| loving 34:13 | 176:15 194:22 | 214:6 219:21 | marks 71:4 |
| 91:20,20 | 198:7 202:10 | 221:15 229:19 | 167:16 |
| 202:16 | 209:9 210:8 | 236:8,11,12 | marriage |
| low 4:4 5:21 | 214:11 218:20 | 241:13 | 152:15 |
| 13:10,11 152:7 | 231:4 234:21 | maker 106:12 | married |
| 152:14,17,19 | 241:17 | 109:20 | 124:22 1 |
| 153:1,4,14,18 | magazines | makes 25:5 | marrying |
| 154:7,10,14,18 | 216:22 | 49:10,10 91:4 | 152:14 |
| 155:5,20,22 | magill 152:10 | 141:3 144:1 | marveled |
| 156:8 162:10 | maiden 35:16 | 148:4 200:13 | 49:17 |
| 166:5 167:13 | 143:4 | 233:5 | masculine |
| 168:8,21 169:2 | major 62:11 | making 46:11 | 166:9 171:8 |
| 170:2 173:16 | 78:21 175:8 | 99:12 103:17 | mass 192:12 |
| 173:20 176:2 | 176:9 192:8 | 127:3 150:11 | massachusetts |
| 184:10 196:7 | 201:14 | 199:14 236:16 | 15:11 |
| low's 153:16 | majorite 2:15 | male 192:17 | match 219:9 |
| lower 40:15 | majority 9:2 | 193:21 | 228:22 |
| lowercase | 179:18 185:19 | man 83:1,3 | materials |
| 174:6 | 192:12 242:15 | manage 88:15 | 111:14 |
| lunch 26:4 | make 24:1 | managed 32:21 | matter 28:6 |
| 57:16 | 32:20 49:5,22 | management | 57:14 179:12 |
| lydia 246:2,15 | 50:2 51:2,3 | 3:11 11:5 | 192:8 194:5 |
| lynching | 53:16 59:12 | 26:14 41:19 | 199:21 201:5 |
| 114:13 | 70:21 77:4 | 61:13 | 205:15,17 |
| m | 82:4,18 86:19 | manager 2:21 | matthew 1:19 |
|  | 94:6 98:12,16 | 3:3,4,12,13,14 | 245:2,17 |
| mackay 152:14 | 99:18 106:18 | 11:11,16 12:1 | mature 85:6 |
| made 21:13 | 123:10 124:19 | 51:18 206:12 | maya 230:6 |
| 33:16 38:5 | 127:22 128:1 | manner 70:10 | menally 4:13 |
| 39:12 45:18 | 130:7 132:7 | map $94: 22,22$ | 11:2,3 15:16 |
| 49:5 53:5,9 | 133:14 139:9 | maps 80:18,19 | 15:19 17:14,18 |
| 68:13 69:7 | 142:10,21 | march 62:3 | 18:2,8,15,17 |
| 81:9 87:18 | 144:17 148:11 | 145:21 153:3 | mean 31:14 |
|  | 154:4 173:6 | maria 230:8 | 81:1 91:6 |
| 105:22 | 185:16 191:11 | 231:11 | 94:14 95:7 |
| 105.22 | 192:21 194:21 |  | 98:19 99:16 |


| $106: 11,20$ | medallic $2: 17$ | $158: 12175: 10$ | mic $60: 9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $109: 10111: 2$ | $9: 5$ | $219: 1220: 8$ | michael $2: 21$ |
| $121: 9124: 7,21$ | medals $17: 4$ | $233: 1235: 14$ | $56: 2289: 10$ |
| $127: 5135: 18$ | $102: 5,10$ | $243: 13$ | $186: 19,20$ |
| $160: 4171: 13$ | $166: 14183: 5$ | membership | $222: 7$ |
| $172: 2178: 10$ | media $4: 15,16$ | $63: 13$ | michelle $3: 20$ |
| $204: 10,11$ | $10: 16,1822: 5$ | memory | $13: 4116: 13,18$ |
| $205: 2222: 21$ | $44: 14$ | $163: 14$ | $116: 19117: 6$ |
| $223: 9225: 4,14$ | medicine $31: 7$ | men's $193: 9$ | $120: 11124: 13$ |
| $227: 10235: 1$ | medium $226: 20$ | mental $17: 19$ | $145: 6149: 9$ |
| $235: 10236: 3$ | $227: 3$ | $17: 22$ | $151: 14$ |
| $237: 12238: 12$ | meeting $1: 15: 6$ | mention $221: 4$ | micromasters |
| meaning $47: 9$ | $7: 11,13,20$ | $223: 14$ | $16: 17$ |
| $112: 12$ | $9: 2210: 14,22$ | mentioned | microphone |
| meanings | $14: 1020: 9$ | $16: 332: 1$ | $74: 13$ |
| $99: 14146: 16$ | $22: 1967: 9$ | $34: 1796: 16$ | microphones |
| means $40: 18$ | $116: 20191: 20$ | $154: 19171: 11$ | $7: 2152: 21$ |
| $99: 16$ | $244: 2$ | mentored | microscopica... |
| meant $36: 21$ | meetings $22: 2$ | $31: 12,12$ | $183: 2$ |
| $199: 2206: 22$ | $201: 11$ | $193: 15$ | microsoft |
| $208: 18227: 1$ | meets $35: 12$ | merit $139: 14$ | $67: 16201: 6$ |
| mechanical | megan $2: 22$ | $207: 21211: 13$ | mics $7: 559: 13$ |
| $37: 22138: 16$ | $11: 7$ | merits $212: 1$ | mid $230: 9$ |
| mechanisms | member $5: 8$ | mesh $224: 11$ | middle $35: 14$ |
| $64: 2,19$ | $15: 6,917: 1$ | mess $11: 19$ | $126: 15$ |
| medal $5: 15$ | $23: 1936: 12$ | message $46: 4$ | migration |
| $10: 312: 22$ | $69: 21115: 7$ | $90: 21101: 1$ | $15: 15$ |
| $26: 546: 458: 8$ | $117: 13217: 19$ | $125: 19148: 6$ | mike $2: 153: 18$ |
| $61: 10,15,19,20$ | $234: 15,19$ | $155: 10168: 21$ | $4: 169: 110: 17$ |
| $66: 1068: 15$ | members $8: 1,1$ | $169: 7233: 15$ | $12: 1,1540: 22$ |
| $69: 572: 4,5,7$ | $10: 1319: 17,20$ | met $18: 19$ | $53: 559: 12$ |
| $82: 492: 22$ | $20: 1,1123: 6$ | $19: 1533: 19$ | $60: 1672: 4,6$ |
| $95: 2098: 14$ | $25: 1328: 7$ | $152: 19192: 17$ | $72: 1689: 8$ |
| $102: 4,19$ | $38: 1843: 4$ | $208: 7$ | $93: 4,5,15,18$ |
| $107: 11,22,22$ | $50: 1960: 2$ | metaphorically | $102: 8123: 5,7$ |
| $108: 11111: 15$ | $69: 1675: 10,20$ | $90: 18$ | $123: 20,21$ |
| $166: 12167: 9$ | $81: 18100: 5$ | miami $153: 20$ | $127: 12,21$ |
| $229: 19$ | $136: 18153: 13$ |  | $130: 14141: 6,8$ |


| 142:13 148:19 | millions 155:8 | 33:21 38:17 | modifications |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 167:3 168:13 | 164:13,15,16 | 54:12 96:5 | 127:3 |
| 169:22 183:13 | 174:20 195:1,1 | 97:12 102:21 | modified 236:5 |
| 184:1 203:8,10 | mind 77:12 | 103:1 132:6 | modify 106:8 |
| 203:11,19 | 86:14 101:6 | 161:20 183:20 | modifying |
| 210:19 222:5 | 105:19 106:2,7 | 184:15,16 | 56:16 |
| 223:4 225:17 | 171:15 173:3 | 197:1 213:2,3 | moment 58:11 |
| 237:8,14 | 200:9 241:4 | 213:4 220:9 | 68:3 70:11 |
| 241:22 | mindful 97:22 | 239:20 | 96:3 142:9 |
| milbern 4:8,9 | 183:21,22 | miracle 79:10 | moments 66:17 |
| 4:10,10,11,11 | 239:11 | mirroring 97:5 | mommy 209:21 |
| 4:12,12 5:12 | minimize | 97:5 | 209:22 |
| 13:16,17,17,18 | 141:11 | misinterpreted | money 20:10 |
| 13:18,19,19,20 | minority 2:13 | 146:16 | 148:8 |
| 13:21 26:12 | 3:6 8:21 9:8 | missed 80:5 | months 62:4 |
| 28:12,13,21 | mint 1:9 4:14 | misses 43:12 | moran 2:15 9:1 |
| 29:7,16 30:8 | 10:12,21 14:4 | mission 62:15 | 9:3 45:22 46:1 |
| 31:16,19,22 | 21:22 23:1,10 | 135:21 | 48:13 56:19,22 |
| 32:2,5,6,10,11 | 23:14 24:13 | missouri | 57:1 60:16,17 |
| 36:18 37:15,22 | 25:6 26:13 | 216:14 | 89:9,10 123:6 |
| 38:4 40:4 | 37:4 39:15 | mister 43:12 | 124:2 141:7,8 |
| 43:12 45:9 | 49:18,20 57:21 | misunderstan... | 142:13 167:4 |
| 49:6 51:16 | 58:1 78:5 | 181:2 | 186:18,20,20 |
| 52:4,14,16 | 97:19 112:6 | mit 15:12,13 | 187:5,7,14,18 |
| 53:14,18,18 | 126:5 139:11 | 16:4,14,15,19 | 189:6,11,14,17 |
| 54:1,5 58:12 | 148:18 183:4 | 208:5 | 190:20 203:9 |
| 58:15,16,19,20 | 184:2 196:6 | mit's 16:5 | 203:10,13,22 |
| 58:22 | 219:19 236:7 | mitx 16:17 | 222:6,7 |
| milbern's 31:3 | 243:13 | mix 192:22 | morning 7:2,8 |
| 31:9,10 48:17 | mint's 61:12 | mixed 175:22 | 7:9,17 12:10 |
| military 15:15 | minted 107:11 | mixing 174:6 | 234:16,18 |
| 70:19 71:10 | minute 54:15 | mobile 44:12 | 243:17 |
| 79:13 98:9,10 | 81:17 149:19 | moderated 1:4 | morris 217:17 |
| 99:15 109:11 | 239:19 240:3 | modern 24:16 | mother 4:8 |
| military's 75:8 | minutes 5:4 | 50:13 227:8 | 13:16 32:12 |
| million 147:4 | 9:21 14:9,14 | modest 51:2 | 115:12 153:19 |
| 153:13 155:9 | 19:14 20:13,20 | modification | 164:22 |
| 158:12,13,17 | 20:21,22 33:20 | 214:6 |  |


| mother's 35:16 | motivated | 84:10 104:6 | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ood | 34:1 | 16 | n 2:1 3:1 4:1 |
| 15:4 | motivating | 127:17 128:9 | $5: 1,16: 1,17: 1$ |
| motion 14:13 | 154:4 | 152:14 155:13 | name 8:211:1 |
| 14:21 15:4 | motto 47:7 | 191:15 201:17 | 1:19 35:14,16 |
| 51:20 56:6,11 | mountai | 219:4 220:1 | 39:1 52:11 |
| 57:12 105:9,12 | 171:17 | mullis 4:3 13:9 | 7:1,11 81:21 |
| 105:21 106:4,5 | move 8:12 | 154:13,17 | 121:1,6 124:7 |
| 106:9,12,15 | 14:16 19:12 | 155:4 157:5,11 | 124:15 125:3,8 |
| 108:14,17,20 | 21:18 25:22 | 157:15 158:11 | 125:10 126:14 |
| 109:7,20 | 47:13 55:22 | 158:15 159:7 | 132:9 135:20 |
| 112:20 113:5 | 79:15 91:14 | 160:4,19 | 41:11 162:2 |
| 130:8,9,11,13 | 104:22 105:20 | 169:20 184:5 | 79:16 196:5 |
| 130:15,21 | 128:8,11 | 191:17 | 196:16 |
| 131:1,2,4,6,8 | 150:19 152:2,5 | multi 16:10 | 208:21 220:13 |
| 131:12,15,21 | 187:12,14,15 | multiple 7:9 | 226:14 |
| 132:2 151:7,12 | 187:18 189:2 | 15:2 56:9 57:9 | names 77:21 |
| 186:12,15 | 191:22 192:1 | 113:3 151:10 | 125:14 143:5 |
| 187:1,4,11,13 | 194:10,18 | 188:7 196:15 | 196:6,8,14,15 |
| 187:15,16,21 | 201:18 202 | 198:8 211:11 | narrative |
| 188:3,5,5 | 212:17,18 | 211:15,17 | 8:22 |
| 189:1,9,17,19 | 213:22 215: | 214:18 242:21 | narrowly 21 |
| 189:21 190:3 | 241:12 | murder 63:11 | nasa 208:17 |
| 214:4,14,20 | moved 82:9,13 | muscular | national 27:5 |
| 226:9 227:7,12 | 97:18 196:16 | 28:21 33:7 | 28:5 114:2,3 |
| 228:13 229:3 | 215:19 | museum 27:5 | 15:1,19 |
| 231:13 233:9,9 | movement | 28:6 31:7 | 26:12 217:4 |
| 233:14 236:8 | 28:20 29:13 | 115:19 126:12 | 217:19 |
| 236:11,12,14 | 35:17 115:8,10 | 166:6 | nationals 216:8 |
| 236:16 237:1,2 | 146:20 153:3 | museum' | nations 112:2 |
| 241:4,9,17,18 | 4:1 155:6 | 217:20 | ative 177:8 |
| 242:1,15,18 | 230:8 | mute 7:20 | nature 199:15 |
| 243:1 | moves | 67:19 | 228:5 |
| motions 50:19 | 173:3 | muted 8:11 | navigating |
| 55:18 100:5 | movie 3 | 54:17 60:10 | $218: 1$ |
| 104:19 124:3 | moving 25:22 | 73:20 128 | nay 56:10 |
| 150:16 210:18 | 29:13 37:12 | mutual 23:13 | $57: 10,11113$ |
| 213:19 | 38:870:14 | 24:2 |  |


| 151:11 214:19 | needed 29:14 | 238:21 | 139:19 147:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nays 242:22 | 9:7 141:9 | nicely 41:11 | 150:7,8,9 |
| nazi 62:13 63:6 | 152:17 178:14 | 45:17 182:10 | 157:2 160:10 |
| 63:12 | needs 33:14 | nickname | 160:14 163:12 |
| near 35:20 | 50:5 110:1 | 75:11 152:12 | 164:6,18 |
| 90:9 215:18 | 135:4 143:2 | 172:8,10 | 165:18 167:6 |
| nearly 30:21 | 222:22 | nine 7:17 185:5 | 167:18,19 |
| 153:12 201:2 | negative 46:10 | 213:16 | 168:6 169:22 |
| 230:5 | 178:10 225:9 | noble 84: | 170:4,9,13 |
| neat | negro 114:5 | non 46:4 | 182:1,1,3,9 |
| necessarily | 142:19 | 209:16 217 | 183:8,11 185:3 |
| 31:14 37:8, | neighbor | nope 65:13 | 186:12,16 |
| 9:14 95:8 | 216:1 | 237:4 | 187:2 189:6,7 |
| 02:3 122:12 | neighborh | normally 95:7 | 191:7 200:10 |
| 160:15 173:9 | 164:16 | 177:8 | 200:22 201:2 |
| necessary | neither 126:10 | north 28:15 | 202:9,22 203:5 |
| 107:16 108:6 | 245:11 246:7 | 29:5,8,9 | 203:13 204:3,5 |
| 112:1 127:17 | net 219:8,16 | northwest 1:10 | 204:18 205:2 |
| 128:12 236:17 | 220:21 | notary 245:18 | 205:10 211:20 |
| neck 122:7 | netflix 30:9 | note 12:19 89:3 | 212:3 213:14 |
| 141:3 157:17 | neutral 100:21 | 92:5,9 117:22 | 213:17 219:5 |
| 158:6,6 | never 33:17 | 118:7 163:18 | 221:5,18 223:3 |
| necklace | 64:20 94:7 | 202:22 221:9 | 231:17 232:9 |
| 122:15,16 | 114:11 122:15 | noted 37:1,6 | 234:12,21 |
| 150:21 157:3 | 128:2 204:3 | 116:12 | 235:13,16 |
| need 14:5 | 208:6 | notes 51 | 238:5 239:9 |
| 34:13 47:7 | new 5:7 | 219:13 | numerous |
| 48:15 57:1 | 31:1 62:6 79:8 | notice 145:12 | 203:20 |
| 86:10 95:8 | 152:18 215:19 | noticed 122:3 | numismatic 3:9 |
| 98:12,15,17 | 237:10 | 122:11 124:4,8 | 9:14 19:17 |
| 101:6 112:11 | newest 1 | noting 138:3 | 20:7,8 25:20 |
| 116:16 142:10 | newly 62:14 | 219:16 | 243:19 |
| 176:18 183:21 | news 10:18 | noun 142:13 | numismatics |
| 186:21 223:21 | nice 40:12 45:4 | novel 63:5 | 3:79:11 |
| 228:13 231:14 | 45:5 92:8,11 | number 55:9,9 | nuremberg |
| 232:5 236:22 | 92:15 165:14 | 84:18 103:18 | 61:22 63:4,15 |
| 237:3 | 165:16 221:6 | 129:18 131:13 | 65:3 70:3 |
|  | 225:19 226:19 | 134:12 138:15 |  |


| $\begin{array}{\|cc} \hline \text { nuremburg } \\ \text { 96:1 } & \\ \text { nursing } & 153: 19 \\ \text { nurture } & 153: 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { obstacle } \\ \text { obtaining } \\ \text { obverse } 27: 20: 5 \\ 61: 14 \\ \text { 27:16, } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 16: 21 & 18: 3,6 \\ 26: 13 & 41: 19 \\ 61: 12 & 115: 17 \\ \text { officer } & 109: 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 242: 13,17 \\ \text { old } 62: 584 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 67:1 69:1,6,19 | 245:2 | 85:5 132:22 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { o } 5: 1 \quad 6: 17: 1 \\ \text { o'clock } 7: 17 \\ \text { oath } 15: 17 \\ 16: 21,22 \end{gathered}$ | 2:1,2 | officially | 64 |
|  | 73:5,17 74:10 | 59:7 193:11 | olive $70: 1$ |
|  | 74:10 80:6,8 | officials 63:6 | 71:1,7 80:13 |
|  | 84:14,17 85:12 | 63:12 | 92: |
| obama 30:5 object 108:8 | 85:21,22 86:2 | oh | omitt |
|  | 89:1290 | :21 52: | once |
|  | 91:18 | 85:15,17 | 169 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { objection } \\ \text { 108:5 } \end{gathered}$ | 94:9 96:6,1 | 10:11 166: | 00:16 103 |
| obje | 97:10 102:18 | 190:20 197:18 | 104:16 131 |
| -14.21 234 | 103:15,16,16 | okay 8:13 | 50:13 166 |
| obli | 103:17,19,19 | 14:20 18:8, | 189:5 213:16 |
| $17: 19,22$ | 103:20,20,21 | 39:4 57:5 | 216:17 |
|  | 104:2,2,3,3,17 | 60:11 65:11,15 | ones 77: |
| observation | 104:17,22 | 65:18 67:6,13 | 17:11 138:19 |
| 53:9 230:3 | 106:9 | 67:21,22 68:3 | 63:6 177:6 |
| observation | obvious | 68:5,11 72:18 | 2:10 222:20 |
|  | $8: 18133$ | 73:3 75:2 | 225:11 |
| observations | 146:1 | 80: | onlin |
| 86:20 192:7199:15 | october 1:5 | 81:13 85:17,20 | 16:15 18:20 |
|  | 7:12 152:11 | 86:1 93:11 | 77:7 |
| observatories | offensiv | 94:17 100: | open 15 |
|  | 160:14 | 102 | 6:15 127:15 |
| observatory | off | 103:2,6,10 | 31:3 165: |
| 193:4 195:17 | 106:6 109:8 | 107:7 109: | 216: |
| 8:11,12,13 | 116:21 187:17 | 121:12 122 | opencoursew. |
| 9:22 206:9 | 198:1 214:5 | 124:2 130: | 16: |
| 6:10 207:14 | offering 109:1 | 151:3 158: | openly 115: |
| 11 | 169:20 | 158:19 172:2,9 | opinion 94:16 |
| erve | offerings 16 | 187:16 188 | 99:1 |
| observing | offers 64:9 | , | opinions 33 |
| 193:7 | office 3:10 | 189:16 190:18 | opportunities |
|  | 3:18 11:5 | 206:21 212:5 | 48:15 |
|  | 12:12,15 15:17 | 213:7 222:8 |  |


| opportunity | ought 31:6 | overpowered | panel 21:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18:21 183:20 | outcome | 42:3 | 22:18 23:10 |
| 204:19 210:1 | 245:16 246:12 | overseas 154:1 | 55:7 |
| 210:15 232:18 | outdated 24:14 | 216:17 | panels 21:3,6 |
| opposed 56:10 | outdoor 173:1 | oversees 16:15 | paper 144:20 |
| 57:10,10 77:12 | outdoors | overstated | 193:8 |
| 94:13 113:4 | 171:14 | 172:22 | papers 134:9 |
| 151:11 173:17 | outer 144:2 | overwhelming | 135:12 |
| 174:7,19 | 156:9 | 25:9 | parity 193:16 |
| 207:17 214:19 | outgoing 33:18 | own 74:22 | park 4:8,10,11 |
| 223:5 233:8 | outlaw 64:2 | 200:16 | 4:12 5:12 |
| opposite 24:15 | outline 203:18 | owned 114:14 | 13:17,18,19,20 |
| option 142:14 | outreached | 114:15 | 13:21 26:12 |
| order 5:3 7:10 | 51:11 52:3 | p | 28:12,13 31:19 |
| 14:7 15:5 49:5 | outreaching | p 2:1,1 3:1,1 | 31:22 35:15,16 |
| 130:4 140:14 | 51:14 | $4: 1,17: 1$ | 45:8 54:4 |
| 166:1 197:8 | outside 140:4 | p.m. 7:15 244:3 | part 22:18 |
| 243:12 | 238:5 | package | 36:13 47:2,10 |
| ordinarily 96:7 | outsized 38 | 204:16 223:1 | 79:3,12,13 |
| 96:12 | outstanding | packaged | 98:8,9 100:2 |
| organization | 39:17 44:21 | 204:15 | 153:17 162:6 |
| 30:4 63:14 | 165:19 243:2 | pad 136:6 | 171:14 231:16 |
| 142:19 152:22 | outstretched | paddle 215:2 | participants |
| 153:2,4,6,12 | 230:7 | page 5:2 6:2 | 30:22 |
| 156:2 165:6 | overall 26: | 144:13 | participate |
| organizations | 00:1 226:4 | pages 111:15 | 33:2 232:18 |
| 29:8,20 | 235:19 239:8 | pain 33:14 | participated |
| organizer | overbearing | pair 88:6 | 20:12 191:20 |
| 29:18 34:16 | 203:17 | paired 156:10 | participating |
| orient 162:7 | overcame | pairing 199:21 | 7:19 59:6 |
| orients 162:16 | 47:11 193:20 | palette $46: 8$ | 243:14 |
| 162:21 | overcoming | 168:19 222:12 | participation |
| origin 192:13 | 1:21 | $\text { palm } 36: 20$ | 22:12 113:7 |
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| suppress 116:1 | switch 201 | tabled | 181:8 182:20 |
| sure 18:14 | 201:16 |  | 223:2 |
| 36:14 39:7 | switched | take 17:18,21 | tallchief 230:8 |
| 49:22 51:2,4 | 0:17 | 19:14 25:13 | 231:11 |
| 54:10 59:12 | switching | $49: 151:($ | tally 54:9 |
| 67:15 72:10 | 139:3 | $: 12,15,18$ | tallying 54:16 |
| 74:5,21 98:12 | sword 99:13,14 | $58: 1160: 1$ | taped 193:8 |
| 98:16 99:12,18 | sworn 245:5 | 98:10 99:19 | targeted |
| 124:19 128:1 | symbol 42:8 |  | 114:16 |
| 142:10,21 | 95:4 148:2 | $111 \cdot 17123:$ | task 129:17,21 |
| 146:4 147:3 | 166:21 172:7 |  | tate 3:15 12:11 |
| 157:11 164:14 | symbolic 73:7 | 149:19 151:14 | 12:14 115:18 |
| 169:12 171:8 | 87:2,6 135:12 | 52:4 178 | 197:16,20 |
| 172:4 173:6 | 144:15 206:9 | $0: 32$ | 198:2 213:1,4 |
| 177:20 186:7,9 | symbolism | 228:2 239:18 | taught 29:4 |
| 191:11 192:22 | 87:20 94:22 |  | 199:8 |
| 218:16 219:3 | 99:13 134:6 |  |  |


| teaches 15:14 | ten 103:1 | thank 10:10,15 | 117:2 118:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| teaching 16:6 | 104:10 213:16 | 10:19 12:18 | 119:5,16,21,22 |
| 142:9 216:13 | 240:12 | 18:16,17 19:8 | 120:2 121:20 |
| team 32:19 | tend 125:3 | 19:17 21:17,20 | 121:20,21 |
| 39:10 51:5 | 141:12 208:3 | 22:13 23:9 | 122:19 123:3,6 |
| 63:4 127:13 | 227:11 | 25:11 26:16 | 125:15 126:6 |
| tearing 232:21 | tennis 215:21 | 36:4,5 38:10 | 126:19 127:9 |
| technical 38:12 | 216:5,15,18 | 39:21 40:1 | 129:9 132:12 |
| 40:14 71:17 | 217:6,13,15 | 41:4,5,15,17 | 132:15 133:9 |
| 72:179:22 | 218:3,4 219:8 | 42:20 43:1,6,8 | 133:11,17 |
| 118:21 144:11 | 219:21 220:20 | 43:10,11 44:3 | 134:15,16,18 |
| 156:19 195:22 | 220:21 221:21 | 44:4,4 45:21 | 135:15 136:12 |
| 220:6 221:15 | 222:2,3 225:22 | 46:1 47:16,17 | 136:13,15 |
| 221:18 | 228:21 232:2 | 47:19 49:13,14 | 138:11,12 |
| technically | tension 224:9 | 52:22 53:13,22 | 139:15 140:10 |
| 49:4 125:1 | 226:15 | 54:14 55:1,6 | 141:7 142:2 |
| technology | term | 55:16 58:12,15 | 143:11 147:10 |
| 15:12 48:6 | terminal | 58:21 59:1,2,5 | 148:16,17 |
| teenager 29:1 | 106:1 | 59:16 61:16 | 149:11,13 |
| teens 30:11 | terminat | 69:13,14,16 | 151:14,19,22 |
| teeth 221:19 | 108:13 | 71:15 72:18 | 152:1,3,4,9 |
| telescope 195:4 | terms 23:12 | 75:18,22 76:1 | 154:18 156:18 |
| 200:22 209:18 | 42:7 50:15 | 77:15,16 79:20 | 157:22 158:7 |
| 209:21 | 75:14 76:21 | 80:11 81:12 | 158:13 159:1,6 |
| telescopes | 92:1,3 96:14 | 82:1,3,16,21 | 159:18,20 |
| 193:3 | 102:4 112:6 | 83:10,20,22 | 161:3,15,16 |
| tell 50:1 51:17 | 137:17 237:8 | 84:1,2,4,7 85:3 | 162:5 163:8,9 |
| 78:15 80:21 | terrific 23:4 | 85:4 87:10,21 | 163:11,22 |
| 83:4 133:22 | testifying 245:5 | 88:1,8,9 89:7,9 | 164:1,3,18,19 |
| 134:1 158:15 | text 37:545:13 | 89:21 91:10,12 | 167:2,4 168:1 |
| 202:13 208:18 | 45:16 91:21 | 91:16 92:17,19 | 168:2 169:14 |
| 210:6,7 | 97:13 140:6 | 94:2,3 95:15 | 169:16 170:17 |
| telling 79:14 | 165:17 220:22 | 100:3,9,16 | 170:18,20 |
| 114:22 179:13 | textured 72:14 | 101:20 102:11 | 172:15,19 |
| tells 86:4 | 72:17 92:6 | 102:15 104:18 | 173:9,11,12 |
| tempted | 230:17 | 105:10 107:17 | 174:9 175:21 |
| 129:14 | thak 97:16 | 109:4 113:6,8 | 177:22 178:1 |
|  |  | 113:20 116:19 | 180:19 183:15 |


| 183:17 184:12 | themes 22:9 | 83:2,12 84:13 | 155:10 160:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 186:6,7 188:15 | 210:12 243:19 | 85:2 86:2,4,10 | 160:13,21 |
| 190:18 191:16 | thing 69:8 | 86:22 87:4,6,6 | 161:1 162:9,15 |
| 191:17,19 | 82:12 97:7 | 87:13,15 88:6 | 162:20,21 |
| 192:4 194:16 | 98:5 99:1,10 | 88:14 89:2,14 | 163:3,3,7,13 |
| 195:21 196:18 | 109:11 122:22 | 89:14,18 90:2 | 163:21 164:5 |
| 197:18 198:3 | 125:12 128:16 | 90:3 91:18,21 | 165:1,4,8,10 |
| 198:15,17 | 138:16 171:1 | 91:22 92:6,11 | 165:12 166:10 |
| 199:18 200:3,5 | 171:15 173:20 | 92:20 93:6,12 | 166:20 167:7 |
| 200:7 201:19 | 176:13 178:13 | 93:21 94:1,9 | 167:19,21 |
| 202:4,5 203:7 | 180:3 203:14 | 94:13 95:2,19 | 168:6,12,13,20 |
| 203:9 204:2,6 | 209:13 225:19 | 95:20 96:4,7,8 | 169:5,5,6,10 |
| 205:3,5,7 | 227:6 231:13 | 97:2,3,6,9 | 169:11 170:10 |
| 206:3,4,21 | 237:15 | 98:12,15 | 170:14 171:1,3 |
| 207:22 212:3 | things 21:8 | 100:22 101:7 | 171:12 173:15 |
| 212:11 214:13 | 24:7,7 25:5 | 105:22 106:13 | 173:19 176:2 |
| 214:21 215:1,6 | 34:11 92:8 | 107:14,16 | 176:14,16,19 |
| 215:10,11 | 96:15,20 98:1 | 108:4 109:10 | 178:13,14 |
| 218:12,13,17 | 98:14 135:3 | 109:11,17 | 179:15 180:1 |
| 218:22 219:3 | 144:4 173:22 | 111:5,22 112:3 | 182:9,10,14,18 |
| 220:4 221:10 | 175:16 176:13 | 120:16,17 | 183:6,7,10,11 |
| 222:4,6,13,14 | 199:15 228:5 | 122:12 124:12 | 183:21 186:20 |
| 224:1,3,16,17 | think 19:6 21:1 | 124:17 125:7 | 189:20 190:9 |
| 226:1,3 227:13 | 21:7,9,10,13 | 127:5 128:15 | 194:12,13 |
| 227:14,16 | 21:21 22:11 | 133:2,19 134:5 | 199:9,16,19 |
| 229:12,13,15 | 23:19,21 24:2 | 134:21,21,22 | 200:1,11,14 |
| 231:6,7 235:20 | 24:4,10 25:4,8 | 135:2,4,8,11 | 201:11 202:2,2 |
| 239:12 243:4,6 | 25:9 33:22 | 135:13,22 | 202:11,16,19 |
| 243:8,10,13 | 34:3 35:1,10 | 136:3,7,10,22 | 202:20,22,22 |
| thankful 32:16 | 39:14,18 40:10 | 137:16 138:6 | 203:2,3,5,22 |
| thanking 40:3 | 40:11 41:11 | 138:22 139:1,5 | 207:3,20 208:8 |
| 68:12 169:19 | 44:11 45:3,5,9 | 139:7,10,19,20 | 208:10,15 |
| thanks 22:13 | 45:16 46:9,21 | 139:22 140:2,5 | 209:2,14 |
| 69:12 97:15 | 47:8,11,21 | 140:8,16 141:3 | 211:13 212:8 |
| 101:18 105:19 | 48:2,21 49:11 | 142:6,11,13 | 221:4,7,15 |
| 158:19 161:13 | 50:4,12,16 | 143:2 146:13 | 222:18,21 |
| theme 24:6 | 53:5 56:16 | 147:21 148:14 | 223:7,13,18,20 |
| 209:10 | 57:1,22 68:8 | 148:15 155:5 | 223:21,21 |


| 224:14 225:1 | 107:1,5 147:18 | 83:18 85:6,7 | 164:13 169:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 225:11,19 | 147:19 231:5 | 98:11 100:6 | 179:21 180:6 |
| 226:4,5,6 | thousand 47:3 | 113:9 115:15 | 180:16 202:10 |
| 227:22 228:1,7 | threats 114:10 | 119:5 129:14 | 204:15 218:10 |
| 229:16,18,22 | 114:19,21 | 129:14,20 | 223:2 226:5 |
| 230:15,18,20 | three 19:20 | 132:19 135:18 | 228:3,3 243:15 |
| 230:20 231:1 | 23:12 35:2 | 138:8 143:17 | today's 7:13 |
| 231:20 232:1,3 | 55:11 84:16,20 | 146:1,8,9 | 9:20 |
| 233:9 235:15 | 101:5,15,17,17 | 151:14 159:9 | together 137:9 |
| 236:3,15 238:9 | 103:19 104:1,4 | 159:15 162:20 | toilet 200:20 |
| 239:8,10,13 | 104:4,11 | 165:6 167:7 | 207:17 |
| 242:3,11,15 | 114:13 118:10 | 178:5 180:8 | told 35:4 64:20 |
| thinking 96:5 | 120:6 135:19 | 183:16 190:12 | 79:14 193:4 |
| 160:1 162:13 | 145:11 150:8,9 | 193:2,7 213:4 | 206:11 |
| 207:15 231:11 | 150:13 155:20 | 216:21 220:10 | tomorrow 7:17 |
| third 62:19 | 157:7,19 159:3 | 233:21 236:14 | 25:18 243:17 |
| thirteen 104:2 | 177:5 181:22 | 239:11 | 243:21 |
| 104:8 | 185:7,8 194:19 | timeframe | tomorrow's |
| thorough 145:9 | 195:7,13,19 | 118:13 | 7:16 |
| thought 23:3 | 200:10 207:20 | timely 96:3 | tone 93:13 |
| 23:12 44:14 | 212:8 213:3,4 | times 78:17 | took 79:4 |
| 48:5 67:2 | 217:11 221:14 | 97:7 101:11 | 145:21 204:12 |
| 75:12 90:14 | 223:15 224:22 | 135:19 147:4 | 232:7 |
| 91:1 94:11,17 | 230:14 | 166:6 209:4 | tool 42:12 |
| 94:19 96:18 | thrilled 58:22 | 217:12 | tools 72:9 |
| 100:19 120:9 | 76:3 86:5 | tired 88:18 | tooth 221:20 |
| 131:21,22 | 166:6 | tireless 64:7 | top 35:8 63:5 |
| 137:13 138:2,3 | throw 65:17 | tirelessly 34:14 | 77:1,11,12 |
| 140:14,20 | 69:16 | 64:1 | 171:18 197:7 |
| 146:5 165:14 | tidied 165:15 | title 216:10 | 201:7 216:5 |
| 173:9 212:2 | tie 185:9 | titles 217:4 | torch 134:5 |
| 226:12,16,19 | tied 46:8 | today 10:22 | 135:9 139:19 |
| 227:8 235:4 | tiki 145:22 | 23:2 24:20 | 142:16,18 |
| thoughtful | time 14:6 15:21 | 26:1,9 32:2,13 | 146:12,15 |
| 53:21 100:17 | 31:4 33:12 | 55:7 65:4 66:1 | 147:17 |
| 202:15 | 38:20 44:2,11 | 69:2 132:16 | torches 145:22 |
| thoughts 37:11 | 50:19 62:22 | 153:12 155:10 | 146:7 |
| 43:12 105:18 | 74:18 77:20 | 158:10 162:9 |  |


| total 145:10 | transcendent | trouble 68:9 | 201:20 229:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| touch 134:4 | 217:16 | 226:10 | 229:16 241:11 |
| touched 82:9 | transcribe | troubles 74:2 | 241:11 |
| 85:5 | 246:1 | true 17:14,16 | tuesday 1:5 |
| touching 41:10 | transcript | 76:17 106:13 | 7:12 |
| 84:10 168:13 | 246:3,5 | 187:3 245:9 | tuned 25:21 |
| tough 235:12 | transcriptionist | 246:5 | turn 26:6 39:22 |
| 235:13,17 | 245:8 | trust 27:15 | 41:6,16 42:21 |
| tour 115:9 | transferr | truth 114:22 | 43:9 44:6,22 |
| 216:16 | 62 | try 38:16 63:5 | 45:22 47:18 |
| tournaments | transform | 68:3 129:12 | 61:11 85:8 |
| 216:18 217:10 | 192:9 | 140:17 200:17 | 88:2,10 89:8 |
| toward 42:1 | transition | 220:9 | 89:22 92:18 |
| 87:20 117:18 | 242:9 | trying 66:15 | 94:4 95:16 |
| 137:20 | transparency | 79:15 110:22 | 113:11 120:3 |
| towards 88:21 | 22:4 | 111:11 127:13 | 122:20 133:12 |
| 140:16 143:8 | treasury 14:10 | 134:12 175:5 | 134:17 141:6 |
| 145:16 174:22 | 19:21 21:22 | 178:8 179:9 | 163:10 164:2 |
| 198:9 | 25:15 26:20 | 181:9 190:4 | 164:20 165:20 |
| traceability | 27:3 56:1 | 200:21 | 167:3 168:3 |
| 126:16 | 105:1 | tucker 3:7 9:10 | 169:15 198:4 |
| trach 35:20 | treatment | 9:12 21:19,20 | 198:16 200:6 |
| 36:20 | 224:10 | 43:9,10,11 | 203:8 205:4 |
| tracing 162:19 | treefoil 166:18 | 55:20,21,21 | 221:11 222:5 |
| traditionally | trefoil 156:8 | 61:2,3 92:19 | 224:2,18 226:2 |
| 236:18 | 166:18,19,20 | 93:20 104:21 | turned 30:12 |
| tragedy 34:21 | 181:20 182:4 | 104:21 105:5 | turning 25:12 |
| agic 34:20 | trial 63:10,11 | 106:8,16 | turns 173:1 |
| trailblazer | trials 61:22 | 107:18,18 | tv $59: 14,15$ |
| 230:20,22 | 63:15 65:3 | 109:22 130:17 | 199:6 |
| 231:2 232:4 | 70:3 81:9 | 130:18 131:11 | twenty 185:9 |
| 235:2,6,8 | tribunals 63:4 | 133:17,18 | two 7:13 20:13 |
| trailblazing | tricky 125:12 | 147:14,15 | 20:20,21,22 |
| 192:5 219:10 | tried 101:16 | 157:22 158:1,7 | 48:8 92:8,12 |
| trails 231:4 | tries 49:11 | 158:13,19 | 103:20 104:1 |
| traits 153:15 | trip 15:20 | 164:3,4 172:8 | 104:12 108:13 |
| trajectory | troops 79:1 | 180:21,22 | 121:22 147:8 |
| 155:7 | 155:9 | 186:14 201:19 | 150:10 156:5 |


| $156: 12158: 17$ | uncovered | uniform $66: 22$ | unresponsive |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $159: 4160: 16$ | $62: 14$ | $154: 8159: 8,9$ | $24: 14$ |
| $174: 14177: 11$ | undecided | $159: 14,17$ | unser $4: 16$ |
| $185: 6,7199: 4$ | $93: 21$ | $160: 13$ | $10: 17$ |
| $201: 21202: 1$ | under $62: 10$ | uniformly | unum $31: 21$ |
| $203: 16209: 16$ | $78: 10143: 4$ | $77: 11$ | $40: 1647: 8,13$ |
| $220: 17234: 16$ | $180: 17,18$ | uniforms | $116: 10123: 15$ |
| $234: 18$ | $216: 2243: 18$ | $155: 21159: 13$ | $127: 18128: 9$ |
| type $22: 11$ | undergraduate | $162: 19182: 5$ | $139: 5148: 21$ |
| $128: 17210: 10$ | $16: 5$ | unique $34: 1$ | $154: 9165: 12$ |
| typed $201: 7$ | understand | $47: 1222: 11$ | $194: 3208: 19$ |
| types $22: 1$ | $50: 766: 22$ | united $4: 13$ | $218: 7$ |
| typewriter | $78: 1299: 6$ | $17: 8,1227: 18$ | unusual $89: 14$ |
| $138: 2,15$ | $111: 5141: 10$ | $27: 1931: 20$ | $210: 9$ |
| $140: 21$ | $167: 12172: 10$ | $61: 2062: 5$ | unwavering |
| typewriting | $177: 14207: 11$ | $79: 7112: 2$ | $64: 11$ |
| $245: 7$ | $207: 13,14$ | $116: 9142: 18$ | updates $19: 15$ |
| typically $175: 7$ | $215: 4221: 20$ | $152: 22154: 3,8$ | upper $37: 5$ |
| u | $227: 2234: 20$ | $156: 11157: 19$ | uppercase |
| u.s. $1: 910: 12$ | understandable | $174: 5175: 4$ | $174: 6$ |
| $10: 2117: 3$ | $33: 15$ | $178: 11,12$ | upward $195: 11$ |
| $37: 349: 18$ | understanding | $194: 3205: 14$ | upwards |
| $57: 2158: 1$ | $29: 1493: 8$ | $205: 19208: 22$ | $238: 21$ |
| $70: 6,21153: 2$ | $145: 4190: 10$ | $209: 8218: 7$ | urged $153: 21$ |
| $156: 13216: 7,8$ | $190: 11192: 9$ | universe $21: 11$ | use $44: 1395: 11$ |
| $217: 15219: 12$ | understands | $192: 9,12201: 1$ | $99: 13,18102: 4$ |
| uk $157: 7$ | $226: 22$ | $207: 7,12$ | $112: 3125: 3,21$ |
| ultimately | understood | university | $126: 2,14,15$ |
| $177: 21$ | $132: 178: 17$ | $216: 14217: 18$ | $137: 16138: 2$ |
| unanimous | $190: 1,3226: 20$ | unknown | $140: 20148: 22$ |
| $15: 4188: 22$ | undertook | $102: 22192: 13$ | $196: 6$ |
| unanimously | $68: 21$ | unmoving | used $62: 16$ |
| $68: 22113: 5$ | undiluted | $137: 19$ | $73: 1874: 18$ |
| unapologetic | $169: 7$ | unmute $67: 19$ | $75: 1,1576: 6$ |
| $28: 16$ | unexpectedly | $73: 20116: 16$ | $79: 16101: 10$ |
| uncommon | $152: 16$ | unreadable | $114: 7126: 18$ |
| $77: 22$ | unfortunately | $46: 12$ | $196: 15226: 20$ |
|  | $152: 15$ |  |  |


| useful 56:16 | vasquez 3:3 | viewed 69:4 | 191:10 211:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57:22 | 11:10,12 | 212:10 | 236:2,9,18 |
| uses 42:8 | vast 192:11 | viewer 134:3 | 241:13 |
| 137:17 227:1 | vehicle 19:7 | 148:4 | voted 216:22 |
| using 66:17 | 20:5 | viewing 209:16 | 235:13,18 |
| 148:22 178:10 | vein 229:20 | views 173:18 | votes 190:4 |
| 209:17 212:13 | vera 4:5,6,7 6:5 | violence 114:7 | voting 89:16 |
| $v$ | 13:13,14 192:3 | 114:17 146:8 | 184:6 187:13 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { validated } 74: 15 \\ \text { valuable } 19: 7 \\ 47: 2249: 11 \\ \text { value } 20: 13 \\ 48: 1 \\ \text { van } 3: 89: 13,15 \end{gathered}$ | 192:5 194:2,4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { virtual 30:15 } \\ 67: 368: 20 \end{gathered}$ | 189:8 236:2 |
|  | 194:7 196:5,8 |  | W |
|  | 196:9,16 | virtually 46:11 | w.e.b. 115:5 |
|  | $\text { veracity } 112: 10$ | $\text { virtue } 50: 11$ | waist 120:22 |
|  | verification | $209: 6,7$ | 179:7 |
|  | 111:20 112:1,6 | visceral 145:20 | wait 188:13 |
| 14:18,18 39:22 | verified 78:16 | vision 160:10 | walk 235:5 |
| 40:1,2 41:3 $57 \cdot 3,360 \cdot 20$ | version 237:19 | visionary 29:17 | wall $87: 3,8$ |
| 57:3,3 60:20 $60: 2171 \cdot 20,21$ | versus 87:5 | 31:16 202:12 | want 7:22 |
| 60:21 71:20,21 $72: 12,1884: 4$ | 123:11 143:22 | visited 166:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 19 \text { 18:13 } \\ & \text { 22:8 23:9 40:3 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 84:6 85:15,17 | 237:10 | visiting 62:19 | 42:2 44:10 |
| 88:3,3 105:13 | vertically | sual 45:18 | 45:3 46:2,15 |
| 105:14 108:15 | 10 | 0:22 108 | 49:19,22 52:10 |
| 108:15 127:10 | veteran | visually 45:14 | 53:4 58:13 |
| 127:10 128:5,6 | 97:22 | 170:2 | 59:5 65:5 |
| 132:12,14 | veterans 16:13 | visuals 74:8 | 66:19 68:3 |
| 156:21,21 | 78:11 97:21 | voice 22:9 30:1 | 69:10 77:18 |
| 169:16,18 | 98:19 109:18 | 38:4 114:7 | 82:3 90:3 91:5 |
| 187:22,22 | viability | $156: 4212: 2$ 1 | 93:3 94:5 |
| 205:5,6 206:1 | viable 51:14 | volunteers $16: 9$ | 97:19 113:8 |
| 214:2,2,8,10 | viable 51:14 $149: 3$ | $56: 20$ | 121:11 122:8 |
| 224:3,5 | 149:3 | 56:20 | 124:19 130:15 |
| variations 94:9 | vicar 16:4 |  | 130:21 131:5 |
| 94:13 | victims 63:17 | 104:16 130:19 | 132:15 139:4 |
| ried 38:3 | 153:22 | 138:1 154:3 | 142:16,21 |
| various 82:18 | video 16:19 | 165:18 175:8 | 155:2 157:9 |
| 100:18 | view 41:9 | 177:21 187:3,8 | 161:11 165:9 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 17 \text { 78:2 } \\ & \text { 86:15 183:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 189: 6,10 \\ & 190: 12,13,21 \end{aligned}$ | 167:11 169:19 |


| 177:16 181:1 | warp 207:17 | 143:19 166:11 | 126:1,3 141:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 181:17 188:10 | warren 2:57:2 | 169:5 175:17 | 143:2,3 145:12 |
| 191:19 198:1 | 7:3 8:9,11,13 | 179:10 187:8 | week 30:19,20 |
| 198:21 201:14 | 10:13,15 12:4 | 187:10 200:14 | weeks 36:16 |
| 202:8 204:2 | 12:7 52:9,17 | 202:18 209:1 | 132:19 |
| 210:7 221:2,4 | 54:17,22 58:3 | 209:15 218:4 | weigh 51:17 |
| 236:9,11 | 58:4 59:9,18 | 219:17,18 | weight 46:11 |
| 239:12 | 60:9 65:7,9,13 | 224:21 228:5,9 | weighted 173:6 |
| wanted 44:17 | 67:18 68:1,7 | 233:2,10 | weinman 2:19 |
| 45:4 51:22 | 73:19 103:2,6 | 236:18 240:22 | 12:8,10 54:9 |
| 92:9 96:17 | 103:10 149:20 | ways $42: 10,15$ | 54:11 55:3,6 |
| 115:22 119:20 | 150:1 154:21 | 42:16 48:11 | 103:12,14 |
| 137:21 140:15 | 184:14,17,20 | 90:20 116:5 | 128:13,14,22 |
| 147:15,20 | 188:8,9,14 | 136:17 137:5 | 128:22 149:18 |
| 153:2 159:5 | 190:16 191:1,4 | 231:18,19 | 150:5,7 184:12 |
| 160:9 161:14 | 213:7 239:21 | we've 44:11 | 185:1,3 186:11 |
| 178:21 208:7 | 240:2 244:1 | 67:675:19 | 187:1 189:19 |
| 238:5 | warrior's 34:8 | 133:15 178:12 | 213:11,13 |
| wanting 79:12 | washington | 188:22 196:6 | 236:8 239:18 |
| wants 141:1 | 1:11 27:10 | 204:14 220:11 | 240:7,9,19 |
| 197:21 | 115:6 | 222:19 | 241:5 |
| war 15:14 16:9 | washinton's | weak 33:9 | weird 137:22 |
| 16:10 61:22 | 27:14 | 226:5 | weiss 246:2,15 |
| 62:15 63:7,13 | watch 199:6 | wealth 25:19 | welcome 15:22 |
| 63:19,20 64:2 | water 162:14 | 58:2 97:18 | 18:9,20 19:10 |
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