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MR. GILL: Present.

DR. BROWN: Dean Kotlowski?
MR. KOTLOWSKI: Present.
DR. BROWN: Mike Moran?
MR. MORAN: Present.

DR. BROWN: Robin Salmon?
MS. WARREN: Robin's online.
MS. SALMON: Present. Present.
DR. BROWN: Thank you. Donald Scarinci?
MR. SCARINCI: Present.
DR. BROWN: Dennis Tucker?

MR. TUCKER: Present.
DR. BROWN: Peter van Alfen?

MR. VAN ALFEN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Art Bernstein?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Present?

DR. BROWN: Dr. Harcourt Fuller?
DR. FULLER: Present.

DR. BROWN: Mary Lannin?
MS. LANNIN: Present.
DR. BROWN: And I am Dr. Lawrence Brown, who happens to be pleased and honored to be chair of the CCAC. I believe we have a quorum.

MS. WARREN: Yes, we do, sir.

DR. BROWN: The agenda for today's public meeting includes the following. Presentation of the public service awards to Tom Uram and Jeanne StevensSollman; acceptance of the letters to the secretary and approval of the minutes from the April 19, 2022, meeting; review and discussion of reverse design portfolios for the 2024 Native American dollar coin; review and discussion of design portfolios for Congressional Gold Medals of the United States Capitol Police, and those who protected the U.S. Capitol on January 6, 2021; review and discussion of reverse design portfolio for the 2024 American Innovation $\$ 1$ coin honoring innovation in Illinois; a review and discussion of the reverse design portfolio for the 2024 American Innovation dollar coin honoring innovation in Alabama; and discussion of the CCAC recommendations for the 2022 annual report.

Before we begin our proceedings, I ask that the Mint Liaison to the CCAC, Ms. Jennifer Warren, if we are aware of any members of the press who are in attendance or have remotely signed on to the public
meeting?
MS. WARREN: Thank you, sir. This is
Jennifer Warren. Mike Unser, Founder and Editor of
CoinNews Media Group; Paul Gilkes, Coin World, Senior
Editor, Amos Media; Brandon Hall, Senior Associate
Editor, Whitman Publishing.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. For the record, I would also like to confirm the following Mint staff and former CCAC members are attending the meeting today. Please also indicate present after I have called your name. Deputy Director Ventris Gibson?

MS. GIBSON: Present.

DR. BROWN: Megan Sullivan, Senior Design

Specialist?

Boneza Hanchock, Design Manager?

MS. HANCHOCK: Present.

DR. BROWN: Pam Borer, Design Manager?

MS. BORER: Present.

DR. BROWN: Roger Vasquez, Design

Manager?

MR. VASQUEZ: Present.

DR. BROWN: Russell Evans, Design

```
Manager?
```

    MR. EVANS: Present.
    DR. BROWN: Joe Menna, Mint Chief
    Engraver?
MR. MENNA: Present.
DR. BROWN: Jennifer Warren, Director of
Legislative Intergovernmental Affairs and liaison to
the CCAC.
MS. WARREN: Present. And I believe
Megan just jumped on as well, sir.
DR. BROWN: Greg Weinman, senior legal
counsel and counsel to the CCAC?
MR. WEINMAN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Mike White, Office of
Corporate Communication?
(No audible response)
DR. BROWN: Betty Birdsong, special
advisor to the Office of Director?
MS. BIRDSONG: Present.
DR. BROWN: Tom Uram, former CCAC member?
MR. URAM: Present.
DR. BROWN: Jeanne Stevens-Sollman,
former CCAC member?

MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Present.

DR. BROWN: And finally, the following
liaisons will be on the call today. Mr. Herman Viola, senior advisor to the National Native American Veterans Memorial project and curator of the Emeritus at the Smithsonian National Museum of National History and former member of the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee who served as the subject matter subject expert for the 2024 Native American $\$ 1$ coin.

Lieutenant Michael Weight, U.S. Capitol Police, who was the liaison for the Congressional Gold Medals to the United States Capitol Police and those who protected the U.S. Capitol on January 6, 2021, as well as joined by Kate Hudson from the Office of the Speaker. Mr. Lee LoBue, deputy chief of staff for Executive Appointments and Agency Personnel, Office of the Illinois Governor of JB Pritzker, who is liaison from the state of Illinois for the 2024 American Innovation $\$ 1$ Coin Honoring, the innovation in Illinois.

And Ms. Lee Sellers, director of Special

Projects, the office of Alabama Governor Kay Ivey, who is liaison from the state of Alabama for the 2024 American Innovation $\$ 1$ coin honoring innovation in Alabama. I'd like to begin with the Mint to determine are there any issues that need to be addressed before we start?

MS. WARREN: No, sir.

MS. BORER: Jen, this is Pam. Pam Borer.

I just wanted let you know Mr. Viola joined.

MS. WARREN: Great. Thank you.

MS. HANCHOCK: This is Boneza Hanchock. Just a reminder that Lieutenant Michael Weight will be joining us in person along with his spouse at 10:00 $o^{\prime} \mathrm{clock}$.

MS. WARREN: Yes.

DR. BROWN: We look forward to that. Before we continue, I'd like to take a few minutes to share with you the significance of this meeting and how much $I$ really appreciate seeing you all in person. While the present meeting is still slightly different from our pre-COVID-19 meetings, it still represents phenomenal progress. This progress is monumental given
the 2 -plus years of the pandemic, where many of us around the world have been infected and almost all of us have been affected.

And following the guidance of America's public health authorities to maintain 6 feet distance to reduce transmission, it has often resulted in enhancing social distancing among many in society and in part playing a role in what we are seeing and recognizing, an increase in mental health disorders in the United States.

Despite what many have characterized as the world's worst pandemic in a century, members of the CCAC supported by the leadership of the U.S. Mint have continued to execute our responsibilities to include considering recommendations from the public and collaborating with the world's most talented artists under the guidance of what $I$ believe is the most talented chief engraver, so that the CCAC may fulfill its responsibility to advise the Secretary of Treasury of advanced persons or places or consideration for commendation.

This meeting would not be possible
without the dedication of not only the Mint staff who are present here today, but also the approximate 1,600 employees of the U.S. Mint distributed among the five facilities throughout the United States. Their support for the CCAC pales in comparison to their support to this nation's economy and the American numismatic community. On behalf of the CCAC, we thank you and we salute you.
We now move to the first order of
business for this committee is the consideration as the presentation of The Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee Public Service Award, and The Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee Chairperson's Award to Tom Uram.

Between 2012 and 2021, Mr. Uram served two terms on the CCAC as the member appointed, based on the recommendation of the speaker of the House of Representatives. He was first appointed in 2020 -- in 2012 by the then Deputy Secretary, Neil Wolin, based on the recommendation of then Speaker John Boehner. And then reappointed towards second term in 2017 , by then Acting Deputy Secretary Andrew Baukol based on the recommendation of then Speaker Paul Ryan (ph).

Although Mr. Uram completed his service on the CCAC in 2021, due to COVID this is a first meeting that we're able to appropriately recognize his service and recognition of his loyal and outstanding service. Deputy Director Gibson will present the award to Mr. Uram. Deputy Director?

MS. GIBSON: Yes. In recognition of your loyal and outstanding service, $I$ wanted to, on behalf of the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee and the United States Mint, present you with the Public Service Award, which recognizes your contributions as a member of this great body who serves the public and has served the public interest by advising the Secretary of the Treasury on the theme and design proposals relating to circulating coinage, bullion coinage, Congressional Gold Medals, and national and other precious metals produced by The United States Mint.

This award contains a framed certificate and two bronze medals, a 3-inch Alexander Hamilton Secretary's Medal, and a 3-inch bronze duplicate of a medal reviewed by the CCAC during your tenure. In this case, the Office of Strategic Services Congressional

Gold Medal that was reviewed during your tenure on the CCAC.

Today, I am pleased to present this Public Service Award to you, Tom. You consistently provided insightful and vital input in the development of numerous United States coins and medals. And you are so highly regarded by your colleagues and respected for your opinions, your insight and your leadership. So your love of coins and medals goes beyond the work on this body. You have continued to be an ambassador for this field within the numismatic community as a whole. And ladies and gentlemen, it is my honor and my privilege, if you would join me in thanking and congratulating Tom for his service to The Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee. Thank you.

And we thought that was it. You know, when it's greatness, we have to keep going. So The Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee Chairperson Award recognizes the contributions of a member of this team who has served as chairperson of committee. The award contains a framed certificate and two bronze medals, another 3-inch Alexander Hamilton Secretary's Medal,
and a 3-inch bronze duplicate of the Shimon Peres Congressional Gold Medal. You selected this medal because of the reverse inscription and the dove of peace, which you believe also related to both the CCAC's mission and one general's like purpose.

So as the -- during your tenure as a chairperson, we just wanted to pause and thank you and extend a heartfelt and a grateful appreciation, not only to this committee, but imagine to the nation we serve and those who are in the numismatic community. So we love you, Tom. We thank you. And let's get a picture of a second. Okay. Good job. Nice. Good job. Thank you. Congratulations.

MR. URAM: Thank you.
MS. GIBSON: Would you like to have a few words?

MR. URAM: Sure. Well, thank you,
everyone. And thanks for Dr. Brown, Chairman Brown, thank you all so much for the friendships and the relationships that we've had over these years. And hopefully this is just a turning point on to another chapter as we go through our numismatic careers and
enjoyment in what we do. I want to personally thank all those -- Betty and Jennifer and all those that we've worked with over the last 8 years and Greg and Megan and all the ones that are on the television -- on the Internet as well.

But it's been a lot of fun and something
that $I$ never, you know, in my wildest dreams thought that $I$ would ever participate and be part of the designs of the United States coinage and have that opinion. But you guys are in the Super Bowl of numismatics here. And that's what this is.

And $I$ really appreciate a lot has changed in the 8 years as well. And $I$ think that the Mint has come a long way and $I$ really appreciate it. Also I have a shout-out for Roger, when $I$ did the Society of Medalists, when we had that downstairs, who really helped me. And that was really a real honor to have that exhibit here with the Artist Infusion Program. So, Don Everhart had a lot to do with that as well, but just thank you very much for all and continue. I look forward to continuing our friendships and keep up good work. And $I$ really appreciate friendships,
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particularly over these last few years. Thank you. MS. GIBSON: Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, Tom. And we are so happy that you could join us today and we miss you, but we also recognize that this is just another opportunity that we will look forward to seeing you as we in fact continue the work for this country and certainly in a numismatic community.

MR. URAM: Thank you.

DR. BROWN: We're also joined this morning by Jeanne Stevens-Sollman, and who served two terms as a member appointed to represent the interest of the general public. She was first appointed to the CCAC in 2012 by then Secretary Wolin and later reappointed to the CCAC for a second term in 2016, by then Secretary Sarah Bloom Raskin. She completed her service on the CCAC in 2020, but again, because of COVID, this is the first time that we've been able to have an in-person meeting that we are able to provide her the opportunity to recognize her.

And as also in recognition of her service, Deputy Gibson will present the Citizens

Coinage Advisory Committee Public Service Award. And Deputy Director?

MS. GIBSON: Thank you very much. Would you come closer to me, Jeanne?

MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Thank you.

MS. GIBSON: Okay. At this time I wanted to just first thank you for all that you've done. I want -- by saying that for your 8 years of service to the Secretary of the Treasury, the United States Mint and the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee, you've done a phenomenal job, and we love your passion and your commitment and dedication. You are an accomplished artist and medallic sculptor. And during your tenure, you graciously served on technical evaluation panels evaluating artist candidates, and current artists for the Artist Infusion Program.

In your work, you have always considered the artists' needs and how we could best support them in developing the most artistically excellent art program, appropriate candidate designs, and so much more, and leading this body of talented and passionate people as well. So, in addition to your service, you
also served as a U.S. delegate to the Federal -- to the Federation Internationale de la Medaille. Thank you so much. Bless you for helping. And has served as President of the American Medallic Sculpture Association.

And in these roles, you continue to work to bridge the Mint with all of these organizations. And that's very important that you have a partnership for all that we do. Additionally, you served as one of the artistic judges for the commemorative coin competitions for breast cancer awareness and the Apollo 11 50th Anniversary commemorative coin programs. We and you, while you are the recipient of the ANA'S Award for Excellence in Medallic Art, and was a recipient of the American Numismatic Societies' J. Stanford Saltus Award for Single Achievement in the Art of the Medal.

So I regret that our time at the Mint did not overlap. But I thank you for having met you and for having this time with you and to express my appreciation on behalf of the nearly 1,600 Mint employees for all the work that you've done and for your exceptional service. So the CCAC public service
award includes a framed certificate, a 3-inch Alexander Hamilton Secretary's medal and a medal that Jeanne selected, the 3 -inch bronze duplicate medal of the St. Regis Mohawk Tribe Code Talkers medal, and she reviewed at -- that she reviewed during her tenure at the Mint with the CCAC.

Ladies and gentlemen, please join me in thanking and congratulating Jeanne Stevens-Sollman for her service to the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee. Bless you. Jeanne, would you like to have a few words? MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Certainly.

MS. GIBSON: Okay. Let's go right ahead. MS. STEVENS-SOLLMAN: Thank you so much for -- thank you everybody for your friendship, for coming into this meeting. This is -- it is, as the Director said, it is my passion. My passion, as you know, is to put the best arts in your pockets. I want you to be able to share that with everybody. And it is very difficult, $I$ think to be able to talk about this with other people they don't know. But $I$ make it a point when $I$ go to a green place or a place of business or something, I try to make change with our fantastic
quarters and $I$ will bring to the attention of those people, look at this, look at what we have, look at what we do in U.S. Mint.

Most of our younger generation doesn't know how to make change. And I make it a point to make them look at this. We have beautiful art and I want it to be strong. I want be proud of the part that we put. So that's my passion. You know, it's hard for me not to say anything today, so -- but $I$ am so grateful for the opportunity to serve, so grateful for Greg Weinman for introducing me into this. I appreciate it. You all have been very patient for my learning, how to walk this road in government procedures, to be patient, to not say what $I$ probably really want to say, but to couch it something to all hear and make a good decision as a group.

As I left my position a couple of years ago, I left a committee that was super. I enjoyed it very much. I enjoyed meeting new people. Last night it was so special. And I thank Jennifer for your work as a liaison. You've come aboard I think a couple of time with liaison what all the world is going through.

So thank you again, thank you for your friendship.

Thank you. Thank you, Tom. I'm going to miss you all, but I'll still be here.

I'll be watching, no better you watching. And what got me on this committee in the beginning was I was -- I write about the first state quarters. And I spent, $I$ think, 3 to 4 hours with a gentleman in this room, screaming and yelling about it. And now I'm here.

So I think that it will get a difference. It makes a difference for every design to make the best of it. And so you're doing a great job. I appreciate it. I appreciate your talent. Everybody's passion, you're special part of this. Welcome to you. That's great. Thank you. Great. Thank you, Dr. Brown. It's a pleasure to finally meet you, not just hear your voice. Yes, it's just -- it's a great pleasure. Thank you for this certificate. Thank you so very much.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much for your service. And I'm so glad that $I$ have the opportunity to finally meet you last evening, and that we have the opportunity to finally thank you and Tom. Can we have
another round of applause for Jeanne and Tom?
(Applause)

DR. BROWN: Now we turn to the business of the committee. We've had in fact, you might say our just desert. Now we got to get to work. The next item on our agenda is the review and approval of the minutes and the letters to the Secretary for our public meeting of April 9, 2022. Are there any comments or document -- or comments on the documents that are before you? Hearing none, is there a motion to approve the minutes and the letter?

MS. LANNIN: So approved.

DR. BROWN: Is there a second? Art

Bernstein seconds. All those in favor, please signify by saying aye.

SPEAKERS: Aye.

DR. BROWN: Are there any objections? I
-- apology, Robin, I'm going to have to delay it a couple of seconds at least so that you may be able to comment or vote. Are there any objections to the motion? If not, and without objection, the minutes and the letters are approved. Now we turn to Megan

Sullivan, Senior Program Manager of the Mint's Office of Design Management, and she will present the reverse candidate designs for the 2024 Native American $\$ 1$ coin honoring the Centennial of the Indian Citizen Act (ph). Megan?

MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. Can everybody hear me okay? The Native American $\$ 1$ Coin Act, Public Law 110-82 codified at 31 USC 512 -Section $5112(r)$ requires the Secretary of the Treasury to annually mint and issue new $\$ 1$ coins with reverse designs celebrating the important contributions made by Indian tribes and individual Native Americans through the development and the history of the United States.

The Indian Citizenship Act of 1924. On June 2, 1924, the Indian Citizenship Act was signed into law, granting U.S. citizenship to all non-citizen Indians born within the territorial limits of the United States. The Act notably did not require American Indians to give up their tribal citizenship to become U.S. citizens, allowing individual Indian people to preserve their tribal identity and their right to communal tribal property.

A major impetus for enacting the law was to recognize the thousands of Indians who served in the armed forces during World War I. Not only did the Act give American Indians the rights and protections afforded to citizens of the United States, it added to the diversity of thought and culture of our nation by unlocking the doors for Indians to become citizens of the United States on their own terms. 2024 is the 100th anniversary of the Indian Citizenship Act. All of the following candidate designs feature the inscriptions "UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" and "\$1". The theme is inscribed as either "INDIAN CITIZENSHIP ACT OF 1924", "1924 INDIAN CITIZENSHIP ACT" or "INDIAN CITIZENSHIP ACT OF 1924". With us today as subject matter expert, we are delighted to welcome back Dr. Herman Viola, senior advisor for the National Native American Veterans Memorial project, Curator Emeritus at the Smithsonian's Natural -- National Museum of Natural History and former member of the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee. Dr. Viola, would you like to say a few words?

MR. VIOLA: Yes, I'd be delighted to, can
you hear me?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.

DR. BROWN: Yes.

MR. VIOLA: Okay. I just want to say how important this medal is, and it really reflects a lot of what people still today do not understand about American Indian history and culture. It mentions the, you know, the reason that the Act was passed was the service of the Indians in World War I. What people don't realize is that Indians have been in armed forces since the American Revolution and by ethnicity today, they are the highest group in the armed forces. And in fact, in World War II, if all Americans had volunteered for the military at the rate Indians did there would have been no need for a draft. Some tribes gave almost all of their male and female power.

So in World War I, for example, 10,000

Indians served in the armed -- the military armed forces and 2,000 in the Navy. And the big thing that's so important about that to realize is that they weren't citizens, so they weren't liable to be drafted. So,
three out of four of the Indians who served volunteered. Several tribes actually declared war on Germany as part of their sovereignty. And so this was such a profoundly important moment.

And on top of it with, you mentioned this giving the Code Talker Medal to Jeanne, the code talking began in World War I, and if it hadn't been for the Indian participation, like sending messages in their languages, the war would have extended longer. But when the Indians got into the war a few months before it officially closed, they started giving these messages in their own language, because the Germans couldn't intercept them and interpret them. And within a few weeks, the surprise attacks worked, the Germans surrendered.

MR. VIOLA: So we owe a great deal to American Indian military service patriotism and this coin really is long overdue and very well welcome. Thank you.

MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you very much. Moving into the designs, Native -- NA-R-01 through NA-R-07, each Eagle staff, an American Indian symbol of
respect, honor and patriotism together with an American flag to represent the dual citizen -- dual citizenship of Native Americans. The Eagle staffs depicted in these designs are generalized rather than specific to an individual tribe. NA-R-06 and NA-R-07 features stylized depictions of their elements while NA-R-06 focuses on the upper portion of an Eagle staff. And as we click through these designs, I will point out the preferences of the various stakeholders. So let's click through starting with design 1, design 1-A.

REPORTER: Ms. Sullivan, I'll need you to speak louder.

MS. SULLIVAN: Sure thing. Sure thing. $1-A$ is the primary preference of the Congressional Native American Caucus of the House of Representatives and the secondary preference of the National Congress of the American Indian. Moving on, design 2, design 2A. Design $2-A$ is the secondary preference of the congressional Native American Caucus of the House of Representatives. Design 3, design 4, design 5, design 6 -- design 6 is the primary preference of the National

Congress of the American Indian and design 7 in NA-R08, utilizes two Eagle feathers and an American flag to represent the dual citizenship of Native Americans and this design is the preference of the Senate Committee on Indian affairs. And that completes the view.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Ms.

Sullivan. Thank you so much. Are there any technical or legal questions from the committee about the program for these designs for the 2024 Native America $\$ 1$ coin before we begin our general discussion?

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein
with a technical question. I was just curious on the Eagle staff, each design seems to have a different number of feathers and was there any significance to the number of feathers?

MR. VASQUEZ: Hello, this is Roger

Vasquez. Herman, would you like to take a shot at that question?

MR. VIOLA: Frankly, there really is no significance. It's just a matter of design. There's no set number that Indians have for the staff. But I mean the first -- the design that -- the number one
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design is really my favorite $I$ have to admit. And when you go to powwows, you always see the Indians come in carrying that Eagle staff and the American flag and of course followed by the veterans. And so that would -that medal there would be so recognizable in Indian country and so welcome.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you.

MR. SCARINCI: Could I follow up with Art's question? Donald Scarinci. As between, is there any significance, you know, in the number of feathers in O1-A versus the more densely packed number of feathers in O2-A? I noticed they're both recommended designs and I was just curious about, you know, how these things would look in real life and is $1-A$ more accurate than 2 -A or vice versa?

MR. VASQUEZ: Dr. Viola, do you have some insight to that?

MR. VIOLA: Oh, you were talking to me. Okay. No, no, I don't think it makes -- there's any significance in that whatsoever. But $I$ would say the more feathers the better, but the Eagle is so profoundly important to all Indians across the nation.

And so that's why, you know, they have a staff like this and sometimes the staff will have like a red cloth on it to honor veterans specifically. But no, I don't see any real concern about the number of feathers at all.

MR. SCARINCI: Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Any questions from other members of the committee? I'd just like to make a comment as that $I$ would like to maybe have it articulated here, because the fact that we're in the public, that at this time in 1924 , we had 48 states and this representation is all 50 states. And $I$ want to make sure that the Mint has an opportunity to say something to that effect.

MR. MENNA: Honestly, with that
situations --

MS. GIBSON: Joe, can you speak up and announce your name?

MR. MENNA: Hi, this is Joseph Menna.

Given that that so many of the files spoke almost exclusively on stack fragmentarily. It's not a word on the United States flag. It wasn't something that were
process. It was something, it did come up in the conversation, $I$ think, kick off meeting, but as these were about, yeah, just be 5 years. (Inaudible)

REPORTER: Mr. Menna, can I ask -- this is the court reporter, can $I$ ask you to repeat your last sentence? You're breaking up.

MR. MENNA: I don't see the microphone. It picks up all.

MS. WARREN: It picks up down here. So just project.

MR. MENNA: We were -- was a subject of conversation, but we did not worry about it too much because the designs focus only on fragments of the flag, not on the flag as a whole. So it was not an issue. Except for the first two designs, which of course do -- the first three do present the entire flag. But again, due to their scale, nobody's going to be able to tell the difference to that scale.

MS. LANNIN: Mr. Chair, this is Mary

Lannin. If we look at this, we are celebrating the 100th anniversary of this and we have 50 states in the Union. So I see no objection to having 50 stars on a
flag if somebody's going to be that nitpicky.
DR. BROWN: Any other question for other members? Hearing none, then let's begin our consideration. I would like to remind members to please try to number one, project; number two, to keep your comments to 5 minutes or less and identify yourself for the record prior to speaking.

We'll be keeping track of time and we'll indicate when time is up and ask that members please wrap up your time and your comments when you're close to 5 minutes. Additionally, if any members have questions or comments on any program, please refrain from asking them at this time or discussing them until you are recognized, number one, and at the end of the discussion and hearing the comments from every other member. I ask that you speak loud and due to the microphones that you state your name again when you begin speaking. So let us begin with Art Bernstein.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Morning. This is Art
Bernstein. All of these designs look terrific to me. I found myself gravitating towards design 1-A. I thought the fewer number of feathers made the design a
little less complicated. And when a -- I think fits the bill. I do have a preference for the wording that appears in most of the designs, which includes of, o-f, because $I$ think it clarifies the date 1924. Thank you. DR. BROWN: Thank you. Mary? MS. LANNIN: This is Mary Lannin. I agree with what Art said. I'm a big fan of diagonals on coins. And so the staff with the larger feathers on 1-A is the one that appeals to me. I think it'll be slightly easier to sculpt, it's because the feathers would be larger, maybe the public would gravitate toward actually looking at it a little bit more rather than a completely packed staff. Even though design number R-08 was listed as a favorite, from what Dr. Viola said to us is the staff is so important.

And so I think that I'm going to be seriously thinking about $1-A$ and $2-A$ as my choice for these designs. Thank you very much.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Peter?
MR. ALFEN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Peter van Alfen. One of the complications $I$ see in this design or this combination of the Eagle staff, the

American flag is combination of a lot of paralel lines with both the stripes on the flag, as well as the Eagle staff. And trying to combine those parallel lines obviously is difficult in creating a really eyecatching design. I have to admit $I$ was very drawn to number 3 simply because $I$ think that that's worked with the parallel line issue the best and in the sense of not having the lines more or less aligned as they are on design one and two and some of the other ones.

I also like the foregrounding of the eagle staff and diagonal orientation over the flag and the arrangement of the lettering on that design as well. So have to admit my preference was number 3. I could certainly go with 1 or 2 as well. Again, $I$ think that 3 deals with the issue best. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Donald?

MR. SCARINCI: You know, it's
interesting, I --

MS. GIBSON: Excuse me.

MR. SCARINCI: Oh, I'm sorry, Donald

Scarinci. It's very interesting because I was -- you know, I was looking at the recommended designs and, you
know, we have three, you know, we have three very, we have a lot of difficult choices here. There's a lot of great designs here and it's interesting that the three stakeholders picked a different design and, you know, I'm drawn -- I am -- you know, I like those seven for its simplicity and symmetry, you know.

But there is something very interesting about some of these designs, particularly $1-A, 2-A$, and that's the -- and you see it in an inverted form in 8, but that's the staff and the flag form of $V$, you know, which at the end of the day, you know, there's a victory in the citizenship given to the Indians.

And so I think that's interesting, also the victory of World War I. Maybe a little subtle, but, you know, but $I$ think -- you know, I also want to, you know, compliment the other -- the committees that selected number 6 and number 8. I think all of the stakeholders, you know, have done, you know, a really fabulous role, you know, looking not only for a meaningful design, but for good art and we can't go wrong with any of them.

I would suggest if -- depending on what
we hear by the others, if there is a continued interest in $1-A$ and $2-A$, we might want to whittle those down somehow, so that quote doesn't get diluted.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Turn to Mike. MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Mike Moran. I had -- the 3 here, I mean, I like it. I like it for the originality of the descriptions. I know we're probably going to be drawn $2-A, 1-A . \quad I$ would simply pick $2-A$ by seeing too many feathers for the design and you can reduce it down to the size of dollar coin. We're going to do in 1 -A.

I do want to say something about number
6. It's an innovative design, but it is too, too much for dollar coin. It's too much going on there, not enough use of negative space, my opinion to get the job done. So I think my vote is going to go primarily to number 3 here. I do want to make one comment about the stars on 12 guests. There were 48 in 1924. In terms of space that Native Americans living in 50 states in -- and particularly in Alaska, there needs to be 50 stars, guys. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Turn to Sam Gill.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Sam Gill. I'm going to be contrarian again. And we say that $I$ really like number 8 and here's the reason. It's -- there are two feathers and they represent the dual citizenship, and that was the whole point of this 1924 law. And I think the feathers are beautifully done. And it's a simple coin, it's beautiful flag behind it, and it's elegantly presented and very wellbalanced.

And so my choice would be number 8. I love the staffs. I think they're all quite good. It's great art all. And $I$ could certainly, you know, see how one of those might be chosen. But I -- my vote will go to number 8 because the dual citizenship and the whole point go on itself. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Dr. Fuller?

DR. FULLER: Thank you, Chairman. This is Dr. Harcourt Fuller. I also like 1-A. However, I do agree with you, Sam. I think that my first choice is number 8. I'm sorry. I also like $1-A$, but $I$ agree with Sam that -- what $I$ really liked about number 8 is the fact that we have the two feathers which represent
dual citizenship. And $I$ think that it really portrays this idea that, you know, Native Americans can retain a sense of their identity and their citizenship while at the same time being 100 percent American citizen.

I like it for its simplicity as well. I think it'll look great on a coin and, you know, the flag, the American flag, they present as well. So I would endorse that story. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Robin?

MS. SALMON: This is Robin Salmon. Thank you, Dr. Brown. I initially went with the designs that I think are more beautiful 5, 6, and 8, and they're -I like them because there is movement. There is -- the lines are beautiful. The symbolism is important and clear. On 6, I was especially intrigued by the flying stars going off the design. I realized that that's going to be difficult to see in the dollar coin size, but the overall design is very interesting I think.

The symbolism of the two feathers in number 8 speak strongly to me for the same reasons that Sam Gill gave. But $I$ also like number 3 and the strong diagonals and straight lines there, vertical lines, are
also appealing along with the stacked lettering. I think it will draw the eye in a better way than perhaps 1-A or $2-A$, but the fact is $I$ really don't dislike any of these designs. I commend the artists for all of them.

It's an important subject and they've done the subject well with all of these designs. If the preference for the committee goes toward 1-A and 2A, then $I$ will go with the committee, but more likely my top vote will also go toward number 3. Thank you. DR. BROWN: Thank you so much, Robin. Mr. Tucker Dennis.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This
is Dennis Tucker. And I'd like to greet Dr. Herman Viola. Herman, it's good to hear your voice and it's wonderful to have you involved in this program.

MR. VIOLA: Well, thank you very much. I'm excited to be part of this and it brings -- sure it brings back fond memories, especially of the close friendships we had. At one point, I'd like to point out when you talk about this coin and the medal, the Indians got the Citizenship Act passed, but they did
not get the right to vote. And so Indians were not allowed to vote for the most part until after World War II and the major contribution they made there. So, that's kind of one of the oddities of this Indian Citizenship Act.

MR. TUCKER: Okay. Thank you for that, Herman. And I want -- I will address part of that in my comment. So it is good to hear from you. My preference coincides with banner for National Congress American Indian, and that is number 6. And I'll talk a little bit about how $I$ came to my analysis of this one design.

Citizenship is a noun, but the act of citizenship is very much a verb. Good citizenship gets you involved. It's participatory, it's interactive. It's progressive. It's about community. And reverse says best captures that spirit of dynamic citizenship, democracy and motion with energy, literally in motion in this design. The flag and the feathers of the eagle staff are blown by the same wind blowing in the same general direction, but they're not in lockstep.

There's some elegant disharmony in this
design. And $I$ think this illustrates that good citizens are still free to disagree. They're free to strive for different things as the American Indians continue to strive for the right to vote, which was not -- which was kept from them by various state governments. And of course this was a Federal act in 1924. Citizens are free to seek their own paths as long as they're moved by the same energy as the flag and the feathers are in this design. That's why this is my thing. Thank you, Mr. Chair. DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn to Dean.

MR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Dean Kotlowski speaking. Dr. Herman Viola, it's an honor to be able to serve in the position on the CCAC that you occupy, the American history specialist. So glad that you are here. You know an awful lot more about American Indian history than I. I'm working in this field a little bit. And so, Mr. Chairman was, $I$ think, very pressy on your part that $I$ would go last, because I'm going to really be a contrarian here and what I'm going to state.

Citizenship, I think for me as I read the text and the description of the coin, the phrase becoming citizens of the United States on their own terms was key, that American Indians would be able to retain their identity, their sovereignty, their institutions, their reservations. Citizenship throughout American history, with respect to the Indian -- American Indians has been a dicey proposition.

Citizenship often meant assimilation from the standpoint of policymakers and national politicians and where you saw that, at least in the field that I study, is in the post-war period where over and over again, we would hear politicians and policymakers talk about making the American Indian a full-fledged citizen of the United States. This is after the Citizenship Act, able to move with ease into the mainstream. That was always added onto that.

And to some extent, people in the NCAI were able -- this was not a major talking point, Herman, I think you might correct me on this, but they did bring up the Citizenship Act and say, "Hey, we already have citizens". So I think that this is very
important. So what was in my mind was stressing the idea of the endurance of American Indian identity and sovereignty, and also a bold design that would really highlight that.

And for me, the only design that worked was number 7. I thought number 7 with the lettering describing the act on the one side, we have the feather and staff, and then the flag looked a little different than what we usually see in the American flag. And you know, it's off to the side and your eyes are really drawn to the center of the coin and the staff. And for me, that was my favorite design. I'm not sure it's going to be the favorite design of anyone else.

So I'm going to be paying attention also in my ballot to $1-A$ and $2-A$ and $I$ do agree with what has been said that the fewer feathers probably the better on $1-A$. Lastly, $I$ do agree with Mike that $I$ think that number 6 is just a little bit too busy, a little bit too -- thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. And I must confess, my colleagues, that every time $I$ have the opportunity to hear your comments, it really informs me
about how great we are as in fact, artists. I too lean in the direction of what you mentioned, Dean, to me. I think it is a matter of telling the story. And this coin needs to tell the story. In that respect, Sam, I really appreciated your comments.

To me the fact -- and I noticed that design number 8 is very similar to actually the reverse that $I$ happened to -- many of you know, I'm a coin collector of the coins, the most current coins. So I looked at the 2021 Native American dollar reverse, and I saw that the two feathers was also part of that as well. So it seems to me that that theme of in fact the bifurcation that you raise, $I$ think is worthy to continue to repeat in that sense, $I$ probably do support number 8 .

And I would also support that extent to which the met can to more fully tell the story with respect to back what you have actually articulated being. I think it's important at this stage that we continue to tell the story. Joe?

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna. Dr.

Brown, if $I$ may, $I$ have just, that's all $I$ can is, I'm
not trying to grandstand, nobody here so short, $I$ don't reach the mic. The only thing -- it is a beautiful design and it does very much echo motif that we -visual motif that we used for the 2021 with the two feathers and the stars. So just $I$ think it's a great design. I don't really second guess any stakeholders, any way shape or perform, but from an artistic
perspective to repeat something we've only done so recently, even though they're oriented in any different matter, it's still very much in line with what we just did just last year (inaudible).

DR. BROWN: Understood. Thank you so
much. Are there any additional comments or motions at this time? Joe? Do you have anything -- additional comments you'd like to make? MR. MENNA: No, sir. DR. BROWN: Are there any additional
comments or clarification from Megan, Roger or Dr. Viola? MR. VASQUEZ: No, sir. MR. SULLIVAN: This is Megan. Nothing for me.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much for your participation and your comments. Hearing none, the committee will now score the reverse candidate designs for the 2024 Native American \$1 coin honoring the Centennial of the Indian Citizens Act of 2024 -- 1924.

MS. WARREN: Dr. Brown, just so you know, Robin, $I$ e-mailed you the score sheets a couple of minutes ago, so you should have it and e-mail it to Greg. And then the rest of you have hard copies in front of you. This is Jennifer Warren speaking. And what we'll do is, sorry, I guess take about 5-minute break for this.

DR. BROWN: Yes.

MR. WEINMAN: Make sure your name is on the score sheet. And if you have gotten used to emailing me over the last 2 years, you're welcome to still do so.

MS. WARREN: So Pam (ph), you can stop recording and then start when we come back on. If that's possible.
(Discussion off the record)
(Recess)

MS. WARREN: Thank you, Pam. Okay. Dr.

Brown?

DR. BROWN: Court Reporter, are we ready? REPORTER: Yes. And before we begin -MS. WARREN: Okay. You're muted, Court Reporter.

REPORTER: Ah, yes. One moment.

MS. WARREN: Still muted.

REPORTER: Before we begin, if $I$ could ask for the microphone to be situated closer.

MS. WARREN: We can't. It's the way it's set up and it's a portable one, but $I$ will keep telling them to speak up. I've been trying to do that.

REPORTER: Yes. The following -- it gets really choppy the further away from the microphone.

MS. WARREN: Okay. Thank you. We'll do the best we can. And Lieutenant, if you need to, you can come up here and speak from here. Maybe that'll be better since you'll be louder.

DR. BROWN: If that -- absolutely.

MS. WARREN: Yes. Okay. So we are going
back into session, sir.

DR. BROWN: We are back. We recognize Greg Weinman, counsel for the CCAC to present the results from the scoring sheets.

MR. WEINMAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. With all the members present, the potential score is 33. With that in mind, design reverse 1 received four points; $1-A$ received 18 points. Reverse 2 received four points, $2-A$ received five points. Reverse number 3 received 14 points. Reverse four received 2 points. Reverse 5 received three points. Reverse 6 received seven points. Reverse 7 received nine points and the highest score, reverse 8 received 19 points. So it was close between 19 points for reverse 8 and 18 points for reverse $1-A$.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, Greg. Are there any motions? Are there any motions?

MR. MORAN: Hi, Mr. Brown, Mike Moran, I will move that we let the vote stand for 8 . DR. BROWN: Reverse 8, is there a second? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Cross talk) and I second.

```
MS. SALMON: Salmon, I second.
```

DR. BROWN: Is there any further debate?
All discussion has concluded. I will call for question. All those in favor, say aye.

SPEAKERS: Aye.

DR. BROWN: Opposed, nay.

MS. SALMON: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Please forgive me, Robin. It
appears that the motion has passed. Are there any further motions? There are none. If all discussion has concluded, then we will move to the next order of business.

Now turn back to Megan, the Senior

Program Manager for the Mint's Office of Design Management, who will present the obverse and reverse design candidates, both Congressional Gold Medals to the United States Capitol Police, and those who protected the United States Capitol on January 6, 2021. Megan?

MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown.
MS. WARREN: Megan, speak up.

MS. SULLIVAN: Sure thing, thank you.

Public law 117-32 awards four Congressional Gold Medals

7 and the Architect of the Capitol. The design of the

8 medals are emblematic of the service and sacrifice of

9 those who risked their lives to uphold democracy on

10 January 6, 2021.

11

MS. WARREN: Megan, you muted out. It just went out. Just speak up.

MS. SULLIVAN: Okay.

MS. WARREN: There you go.

MS. SULLIVAN: Okay. I have no idea what
happened. My apologies. Every day, the United States Capitol Police protects the U.S. Capitol, Members of Congress, congressional staff and institutional staff, journalists, and the visiting public. As described in the Public Law findings, on January 6, 2021 , a mob of insurrectionists forced its way into the U.S. Capitol
building and congressional office building, engaged in acts of vandalism and looting and violently attacked Capitol Police officers.

The sacrifice of heroes, including Capitol Police Officers Brian Sicknick and Howard Liebengood, Metropolitan Police Department Officer Jeffrey Smith, and those who sustained injuries, as well as the courage of Capitol Police Officer Eugene Goodman exemplifies the patriotism and the commitment of Capitol Police officers and members of law enforcement agencies who risked their lives in the service of our country.

Several Americans died following this violent attack and more than 140 law enforcement officers sustained physical injuries including 15 officers who were hospitalized. The desecration of the United States Capitol and the violence targeting Congress are tragic events that will forever remain a part of our nation's history.

Following this day of violence, on April 2, 2021, Officer William "Billy" Evans was killed while protecting the north barricade of the U.S. Capitol.

Officer Evans was a distinguished member of the first responders unit and an 18 -year veteran of the United States Capitol Police.

The medallic artists of the United States Mint were asked to develop offers and reverse designs honoring the service and sacrifices of those who protected the U.S. Capitol on January 6. These concepts identified by the program liaison represent some of the core characteristics of the U.S. Capitol and the D.C. Metropolitan Police officers and civilians who went above and beyond the call of duty to uphold and protect our democratic process. In developing the portfolio, the Mint worked closely with primary liaison Lieutenant Michael Weight from the U.S. Capitol Police.

The descriptions and design elements across obverse designs include January 6, 2021, and a depiction of the United States Capitol building with a flag at half-staff. Common inscriptions and design elements across the reverse design includes "CONGRESS 2021", honoring the service and sacrifice of those who protected the U.S. Capitol, depiction of the statue of Freedom, service badges of the United States Capitol

Police and the Metropolitan Police of the District of
Columbia inscribed with the respective years of their
creation and depictions of the Apotheosis of
Washington, a painting by Constantine Brumidi of the
ceiling of the Capitol rotunda.

With us today, we have Lieutenant Weight

16 I'm Lieutenant Michael Weight, currently of the
17 Hazardous Devices Section, but previously serving as
18 the executive officer in the Chief's Office for the
19 U.S. Capitol Police position that I held until December
20 20, '21. It was in this position that was asked to
21 represent the U.S. Capitol Police and the creation of
22 the medal being reviewed today.

Ms. Hanchock, Ms. Stafford, for their considerable help and guidance with this process, to Joe, the Chief Engraver and as co-artists who provided the individual portfolio designs. And finally to all the members of the committee for your work and your review, and the final approval of this medal.

I'm going to try to keep this short and to the point. This is the highest honor I can imagine for the U.S. Capitol Police and Metropolitan Police Department. I've been asked to talk with you about this medal, and what this medal means to me and our departments. And I will try to do my best. What I would like you to know that those who know me know me more of as a man of action than an expression.

So with that, when I was asked to be the liaison and represent the U.S. Capitol Police in this creation of this medal, $I$ did not recognize the journey that I was embarking on. I immediately went back to my college days when $I$ was working on my fine arts degree. And I started thinking of ideas. I sketched, I copied, I took photographs, and basically researched every gold

18 east, with the sun rising every morning to meter the
19 start of a new day. For dome symbol of democracy,
20 which has welcomed visitors from all over the world,
21 including current and past presidents, visiting heads
22 of states, and foreign dignitaries, as well as small
children visiting on school tours.

Inside the dome, the rotunda, which has hosted inaugurations, the lying in state of our leaders, and the lying in honor of four of my brothers who lost their lives in the actions of defending her. Above the flag waving in the wind at half-mast as we honor our fallen. I've seen this flag at half-mast more times than $I$ would like to.

The medal includes the date of January 6, a date that will never be forgotten, the men and women of the U.S. Capitol and the Metropolitan Police Department. For the reverse of $06-\mathrm{C}$, the flags and badges. The flag represents the draped coffins of the lost members of law enforcement family. The U.S. Capitol Police badge with 1828 and the Metropolitan Police badge of 1861 represent the years the departments were established. The badges not only represent the departments which lost lives, but they also honor the departments which gave the largest response in the defense, the U.S. Capitol in her time of need.

The words "Honoring the service and
sacrifice of those who protect the U.S. Capitol." The simple but powerful words are from the bill authorizing the medal's creation. When a violent mob attacked the U.S. Capitol, officers didn't run, they didn't leave their posts, they stood their ground and they fulfilled their duty to defend the U.S. Capitol.

In this service, three officers lost their lives in the days following the attack, and a fourth during the second attack in April. Heroes tend to emerge in times of need, and officers and agents of our two departments stepped forward to protect members of Congress, their staff when the need arose. They placed themselves between angry crowds and Members they were sworn to protect. Others prevented Members from heading towards angry crowds where they would have been assaulted.

These two medal designs belong to us. They were chosen by the chiefs of police, and they were reviewed and approved by the congressional committees and the Speaker of the House. They represented what we endured those two terrible days, truly -- and truly honor the men and women of the U.S. Capitol Police and


```
    They not only thanked me for my service,
    which many people do that, but they also said that it
    was as important then as it is now. And I, sir, want
    to thank you for your service, because it was as
    important then as it is now.
    LT. WEIGHT: Thank you. I really
    appreciate that.
    DR. BROWN: Turn now to members of the committee.
Are there any technical or legal questions from the
committee about this program or these designs of the
Congressional Gold Medal before we begin our general
discussion?
```

    Then let us begin our consideration. As
    before, $I$ would like to remind members of the committee
to please try to keep your comments to 5 minutes and
identify yourself prior to speaking. We will begin
keeping track of time with my colleague over here,
Jennifer. Additionally, if any members have questions
or comments on any of the past-mentioned program,
please refrain from asking those questions at this
time.
MS. WARREN: Wait. Megan, did you go

design 6, 6-A, $6-B$ and $6-C$ are all variations of the same design. And additionally feature an American flag. And design 6-C is the preferred design of the Speaker of the House, the primary liaison, the U.S. Capitol Police and the Metropolitan Police Department. Moving on to design 7 and design 8, design 9 and design 10, and that completes the portfolio. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, Megan. And my apologies, ladies and gentlemen, $I$ was so involved and felt the emotion at the time that $I$ felt important to say something with respect to that. And I must tell you that -- and what $I$ normally do my day job, they tell me to control my emotional intelligence. So I forgive you, not forgive me for in fact (inaudible) from that. So going back on the script now, let us begin the consideration.

And I would like to remind members again the 5 minutes. Additionally, if there are any members who have any questions or comments about the program itself, please let's defer until after we've completed the discussion. And $I$ ask that also that you speak loud and remember to give -- state your name prior to


1

2 Scarinci. I always support the recipient of the

3 stakeholders in Congressional Gold Medals because I

4 think that's what makes these medals so interesting as

5 a part of American history and so collectible for the

6 bronze versions of the medals that makes available to

7 the general public. It's the concept that the

8 recipient has looked at it and has made a determination

9 that this design is the design that they like on the

10 medal that honors them.

In this case, now $I$ understand why it is
12 such a good design when I hear about the lieutenant's
13 art history background. So you certainly used that
14 background for America.
15

17 case, a very important piece of American history being
18 commemorated and honored by this medal. And I have
19 many friends who were in the Capitol that day. And so
20 it's a little more emotional for me. And I, you know,
21 I certainly honor you and all of your colleagues for
22
MR. WEIGHT: Thank you.

MR. SCARINCI: And, you know, and in this your service and you are truly American heroes. Thank
you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Let's turn to Mike.

MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I want to --

MS . WARREN: Name?

MR. MORAN: Mike Moran. So I get it there. I was thinking about what $I$ was going to say here. I respect the choice for number 2 for the obverse. I will be giving it my fullest thought. But I also want to point out the same thing as Mary said about number 5, it is a good design. I particularly like the closer view of the dome because it's got a little more of rough context. But don't be fooled by it, it will show up well on a medal. I like it. I'll be giving it equal amount of votes.

As to the reverse, $I$ have to admit I've never seen a close up of that statue. On top of the dome, and all $I$ can say is thank God I haven't because that thing ugly, grotesque. And as a result, that's still grotesque. It really is. I cannot really consider any of those designs regardless of how good
they would be. I'm going to go with the recommendation here with 6-C on this as good as any of the others. That's where my votes would be in this. So, thank you. DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Dr. Fuller?

DR. FULLER: Thank you so much. This Dr. Harcourt Fuller. I will go with obverse 2 and reverse 6-C. I would also like to mention reverse 10. I think that it represents all of the different elements that the officer pointed out as well with respect to honoring the various stakeholders and those who respond. But $I$ will go with obverse 2 and reverse 6-C.

And Officer, I'd like to say thank you so much for your service and for protecting this nation. We really, really appreciate you and every other officer who took the (inaudible) such. Thank you so much.

MR. WEIGHT: Thank you. You're welcome.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Turning now to Robin.

MS. SALMON: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This
is Robin Salmon. I also was attracted to obverse 5 for

7 it's opportunity as well for adding texture and

8 movement. The contrasting lines also appealed to me.

9 And I also will go with that one. Thank you very much.

13 is Dennis Tucker. Lieutenant, thanks to you, and to

14 your brothers and sisters who have served. I agree

15 that the obverse (inaudible) is a very strong choice.

16 It's a beautiful design. The strength of reverse 6-C

17 is the boldness of the shields and prominence of the

18 U.S. flag. I think that's very good design as well.
19 The merits of this Congressional Gold Medal are
20 unquestioned. And we often, you know, Congress issues
21 Congressional Gold Medals for celebrities, for athletes
22 and entertainers, network personalities who have done

1 charitable works and other things that bring to the
2 attention of the nation.
3

4

5

6

7 important medals deserve great and lasting art. I

8 think we'll get that if we go with the designs that

12 thought of this reverse design. To me, it made me
13 think of Benedetto Pistrucci's richly detailed Waterloo
14 medal, and its use of allegory and also the rich detail
15 that William Lyon and other great British artists
16 brought to medalic art. I do think the 6-C is very --
17 it's a good design. And -- but I'm curious to hear
18 what were our liaison's thoughts on reverse 10.
19

20 to say that all of them were actually appreciated. You
21 know, we sat, we talked, we went through. The truth
22 is, is that sometimes you give too many designs, it's
hard to focus on one. I think the reason that we've come to where we are, at least with the liaisons on the direction that we're going, is because there were discussions back and forth, and just a little bit more creation. And I mean, we're working with multiple police departments, committees, as well as the Speaker, and then multiple chiefs.

I think in all honesty, where obverse 2 and reverse $6-\mathrm{C}$ come from, is honestly a very good blend of all of them. And not to discredit any of Joe's artists and what they came up with. I think that sometimes it's there might be a little too many options. And so we end up falling towards more of what we know in law enforcement. We know police badges. We know, you know, we know the police badges, we know the flag for the U.S. Capitol Police as well as Metropolitan. I mean, everybody in here knows the dome. And if you don't know the rotunda, let me know. I will be more than happy to take you through. MR. van ALFEN: Chair, can I double down on something? I'll check it, okay?

MS. WARREN: Let's wait until the end of

MR. TUCKER: Oh, yeah. I'm sorry. Thank you, Lieutenant. That's good commentary, and I think both designs are excellent. This is going to make a wonderful medal.

MR. WEIGHT: Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much, Dennis. Let's now turn to Dean.

MR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Dean Kotlowski speaking. Lieutenant Weight, thank you for your service and for your heroism with your colleagues. I am going to go with the designs that you would -- speaker, Metropolitan Police have identified. I am unable to get away from the emotion of the moment and the preferences that you've indicated. I think that they will result in great art and a great medal. I very much appreciated what Chairman Brown said about service to the country in general.

I'll give you a little sense of where my emotion is coming from here. It's in no way comparable to what you went through and what your colleagues went
through. But I did a lot of research over the years at the Library of Congress, I virtually lived there. I think that's the same -- that's the same Capitol Hill Police force.

MR. WEIGHT: It is.

MR. KOTLOWSKI: So I got to meet some of those folks and know them and appreciate their service. And the other thing is the aftermath of January 6, I have periodically taken out the copy of the oath that $I$ took when I became a member of the CCAC. Just basically the same oath that federal officials take. I think it's the same oath everyone takes except for the President of United States, the same oath as Kamala Harris took. And we were all -- we all take an oath to defend the Constitution of United States. So it has special meaning $I$ think for all of us here. I'll close my comments.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much, Dean. Let's turn to Peter.

MR. van ALFEN: Thank you Dr. Brown. This is Peter van Alfen. Lieutenant, I just really want to thank you for your words this morning. It
22

```
    really does score things (inaudible). I mean really
    also as far as the importance of this medal,
    remembering and all of that. And I'm more than happy
    to support all this in achievement with 6-C. Number 2
    I think has an elegant, striking design. And I really
    like the (inaudible) border particularly edge for the
    (inaudible) has a great deal design. I'm very happy to
    support obverse 6-C I think of reverse is that one does
    have movements of the flag, which I do quite like and
    the badges as subsequent are very representative of the
    (inaudible). So, with that, I thank you.
```

            DR. BROWN: Thank you. Let's turn to
    Art.
            MR. BERNSTEIN: Hello, this is Art
            15 Bernstein. Lieutenant, I'd like to add my appreciation
            16 to that of my colleagues. So many have been here. And
            17 I appreciate the role that you serve in the day job as
            18 well as the work that did this medal, with this medal.
            19 I also support obverse 2 for all the reasons that are
            20 stated, and I'm happy to go with design 6-C on the
            21 reverse. reverse.
    8, 9, and 10, with the Apotheosis of Washington. I thought there was a certain grandeur in that design. And I liked the fact that the badges were aligned. They were parallel to each other, whereas in all the other designs, the badges were at different angles. But I certainly accept the recommendation and appreciate that change.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. As chair,
I also want to say that your recommendations resonate with me. So $I$ will provide my votes in that direction. Are there any additional comments or motions from the members at this time? Joe?

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna. I just wanted to say regarding the committee images that underscoring the special nature -- or underscoring the importance of this medal, the uniqueness of it, repeating a motif that we just did on a medal for Brumidi within the past (inaudible).

MR. WEIGHT: Even longer.

MR. MENNA: Yeah, we did a -- we did a medal memorializing the interior of the Capitol Hill. I think it would be to allude the importance of this
medal, $I$ think this medal presents with the same kind of clarity that goes in $9 / 11$, maybe (inaudible)
history, we equally consider more (inaudible). There's a certain design necessitate what designs -- the designs place themselves, I think Brumidi, because Brumidi designs, beautiful as they are, that are part of interactive dialogue that we had with Lieutenant and others in police. But $I$ would just consider that possible. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Are there any additional

13 service. And thank you also the Mint staff for

14 presenting to us what we have in front of us now. Are

15 they any other further discussion?

16

17

18 now score the obverse or reverse candidate designs for
19 Congressional Gold Medals to the United States Capitol
20 Police and those protecting the U.S. Capitol on January

Moving on to the reverse. Reverse 1 received one point. Reverse $2-A$ received -- I'm sorry, correction. Reverse 1 received three points. Reverse 2-A received three points. Reverse 3 received the score of one point. Reverse 4 received the score of one point. Reverse 6 received the score of two points.



MS. WARREN: You're welcome. Okay. So we're in recess now. Thank you.
(Recess)

MS. WARREN: Court Reporter, you're good to go, correct?

REPORTER: I am recording now.

MS. WARREN: Great. And Dr. Brown, it's to you.

DR. BROWN: Good afternoon once again. We have all returned from $I$ am sure a nutritious lunch. We now turn to Megan, our Senior Program Manager of the Mint's Office of Design Method, who will present the reverse candidate designs for the 2024 American Innovation Dollar coin honoring innovations in Illinois and Alabama. The first portfolio to be considered on the reverse candidate designs for Illinois for the 2024 American Innovation Dollar coin. Megan?

MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you very much.

REPORTER: Megan, this is the court reporter. Can you please raise your volume?

MS. SULLIVAN: Is this better?

REPORTER: Just speak -- can you speak
louder?

MS. SULLIVAN: Yeah, I will do what I can. I am recovering from COVID. So I only have so much voice. I apologize.

REPORTER: Okay.

MS. SULLIVAN: Put headphones on if that helps. Public Law 115-197, The American Innovation Dollar Coin Act requires the Secretary of the Treasury to mint and issue $\$ 1$ coins with a reverse design honoring innovation or innovators from each of the 50 states, the territories and the District of Columbia. In accordance with the Act, the Mint worked with governors of the states being honored in 2024 to develop design concepts for the coins.

These concepts have been approved by the Secretary of the Treasury. The first two states to be honored in 2024, Illinois and Alabama are presented here. The next two states, Maine and Missouri will be presented later in 2022. The governors were asked to propose from one to three design concepts and artists created designs based on all concepts proposed and subsequently approved by the Secretary. The advisory
committees are not obligated to choose a theme and then select a design from that theme. Instead, they can recommend the design they believe will create the best coin. The states that feel strongly about a particular theme may choose to submit only one theme. Others choose to highlight the variety of innovations or innovators tied to their state.

The Mint worked with liaisons and experts from each state in developing the following candidate designs. The obverse design for this program will remain the same as in previous years and will contain a unique gear-shaped privy mark to distinguish this year's coin.

On the phone with us today is Lee LoBue, Deputy Chief of Staff for Executive Appointments and Agency Personnel, Office of Illinois Governor JB Pritzker. Lee, would you like to say a few words?

MR. LOBUE: Hi, can everyone hear me okay?

REPORTER: Yes.

MR. LOBUE: Great. Thank you. My name
is Lee LoBue. For the Court Reporter, L-e-e $L-o-B-u-e$,

1 2
believe.

16 the Midwest, and the beginning of the Industrial Age in 17 agriculture.

Illinois steel plow were firmly planted
19 at the center of it all. And today, Illinois continues
20 to be an innovation hub and leader in the agricultural
21 industry. For this reason, that is why the steel plow
22 concept is the preferred choice of Governor Pritzker
and for the State of Illinois.

Thank you for the invitation to join today. And I welcome any questions or feedback I can provide additionally. Thank you.

MS. SULLIVAN: Right into the design. Beginning with the concept of the steel plow. As Americans migrated to the Midwestern United States in the early 1800 s, the land they encountered was covered with grassland prairies that proved challenging to plow. In settling this land, they found that the wooden plows that easily cut through the sandy soil in the Eastern United States became stuck in the dense Midwestern soil.

One such settler was blacksmith John Lane, who moved from his native New England to Homer Township, Illinois. Lane is credited as one of the first manufacturers of the steel plow. Lane was one of a number of plow-makers during this era in the Midwestern United States. The steel plow was first made commercially successful in 1837 by John Deere in Grand Detour, Illinois.

The steel plow has had important and
wide-ranging effects, sparking both the migration of Americans to the Midwest throughout the 1800 s and marking the beginning of the Industrial Age in agriculture. Required inscriptions are "UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" and "ILLINOIS".

Then on to the design, just to note, the Mint is aware that further corrections will be necessary for several of these designs to bring contemporary buildings, clothes, farm equipment into harmony. Should any of these designs move forward in the process as a recommended design, the Mint will continue to work with experts in Illinois history to make those corrections. And I'll point out those designs as we get to them.

Okay. So design number 1. Design 1 features a large steel plow blade affixed to a righthanded beam and braces. Behind the plow is a stand of big Bluestem prairie grass and field of soil below. The inscription "STEEL PLOW" rounds out the design.

Design number 2. Design number 2 shows a classic steel plow in the foreground with an Illinois farmer and his farm in the background and shows the
inscription "STEEL PLOW" above. It's one of the (inaudible) designs that would be needed.

Design 3. Design 3 features a steel plow
filling farmland with native Illinois prairie grasses on the right. The inscription "STEEL PLOW" sits below the composition.

Design 4 depicts a farmer plowing his field with the morning sun at his back.

Design 5 features an early example of a smooth polished steel plow share and moldboard. The design also features the -- also includes the inscription "STEEL PLOW".

Design 6-A and 6-B present a farmer figure in overalls driving a walking plow pulled by a pair of large draft horses. The plowed field stretches into the foreground. An arched shock of wheat frames design 6-B. The inscription "STEEL PLOW" appears above the plow. And these designs also would have to be updated.

And design 7 features a stylized close up
frontal view of a steel plow cutting the soil in a field with a windmill on the horizon. The inscription
"STEEL PLOW" appears at the rim of the design. Moving to the second concept, the Eder-Berry biopsy attachment. Dr. Leonidas H. Berry was an innovator in medicine and public health whose practice and teachings were the cutting edge of gastropic procedures that led to advancements in the early detection of gastric cancers and other gastrointestinal diseases.

Dr. Berry began his career in gastroenterology in an all-Black hospital in Chicago, Illinois. In 1937, after studying under gastroscope inventor Dr. Rudolph Schindler, Dr. Berry opened his own clinic using a Wolf-Schindler scope. It is likely that Dr. Berry was the first African-American to practice gastroscopy using this model during the time of segregated medicine.

Dr. Berry invented the Eder-Berry biopsy attachment for the gastroscope in 1955, allowing doctors to more safely and effectively collect tissue from the upper digestive system. The Eder-Berry biopsy attachment made the gastroscope the first direct vision suction instrument used for taking tissue samples during gastroscopic examination. The suction
instrument was especially useful for widespread stomach lesions characteristic of conditions such as gastritis, granulomatous lesions of the stomach, and sarcoidosis.

Design number 8 presents the Eder-Palmer gastroscope equipped with the Eder-Berry biopsy attachment. The inscription "EDER-BERRY BIOPSY ATTACHMENT" rounds out the design.

Design 9 shows the side-view of the EderPalmer gastroscope with Eder-Berry biopsy attachment. The design features a textured border and with infused text and the inscription "EDER-BERRY BIOPSY ATTACHMENT".

Design 10 displays a side-view of the Eder-Palmer gastroscope with Eder-Berry biopsy attachment over a textured stomach. The inscription "EDER-BERRY BIOPSY ATTACHMENT" sit above the stomach.

Design 11 depicts a stomach being treated with a gastroscope. And incused starburst represents the spirit of invention and the inscription "EDER-BERRY BIOPSY ATTACHMENT" rounds out the design.

Design 12 shows the Eder-Palmer gastroscope with the Eder-Berry biopsy attachment
connected and shown in the foreground. The additional inscription "EDER-BERRY BIOPSY ATTACHMENT" is included.

Design 13 features a cutaway view of the

16 general discussion?

18 is Mike Moran, for a couple of questions. There you
19 said for 6-A, 6-B, a pair of horses, but $I$ only see
20 one. And they also mention $I$ would say a like the
21 designs that would be asking the questions. There will
22 be some updates required. Joe, can you answer a couple
of those updates be on this one?

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna, at this point actually $I$ can't. I'm ignorant about it too.

MR. MORAN: I understood number 2, and why they did it there. I can't see it here.

MR. MENNA: This is Joe again. Sometimes the technicalities of equipment, machinery and stuff in order to fully be faithful to the stakeholders and the citizens of the state, we need a little like time to add -- the composition that we're presenting the case, detail to be as accurate as possible, it actually takes extra time to take it -- to do it.

MR. MORAN: Maybe it's a bit of overalls, actually there was something like it, (inaudible).

MR. EVANS: This is Russ Evans. That is correct. The overalls place the design in the $20 t h$ century, whereas the plows in several designs place it in the $19 t h$ century. So the clothing in $6-A$ would need to be updated. And also in design 02 , the buildings are not contemporary with the plow in the foreground and the overalls.

MR. SCARINCI: So -- I'm sorry, Donald

from Megan's instruction specifically, advisory committees are not obligated to choose a theme, and then select a design from that theme.

MS. SULLIVAN: That is correct. You are -- I will read that part again. The advisory -- excuse me, the advisory committees are not obligated to choose a theme, and then select a design from that theme. Instead, you can recommend the design that you believe will create the best coin. So you could -- so to simplify, you can look at both themes and make your decision based on basically what would create the best coin.

MR. MORAN: This is Mike Moran. Harcourt we struggle with this, been here several times. And I think the soundest way to put it is that art make the choice for you.

DR. FULLER: Okay. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Any other questions? This is

Lawrence Brown. I do have a question. I'm sort of curious of that the state of Illinois is recommending a particular theme. I think it would be useful to get an understanding what went into the conversations with
respect to the medical theme that for example have in front of us for consideration.

MS. SULLIVAN: Lee, can you speak to the discussions that you had at all?

MR. LOBUE: There were a number of concepts shared. And I apologize, I -- the point person from our office leading up to this recently departed. I was part of the initial committee of our team to review various options. She had provided feedback with the U.S. Mint Staff and provided contextual details along with conversations with the state historian and museum staff.

I was provided with the correspondence and that up to that point that it was shared that this concept, while both were reviewed, that the preference of the two was the steel plow. I'd be happy to have further discussions with Mint staff and also on individual designs of the concept to bring back to the Governor for further discussion and if there's a preference of individual design, but this is the information that was shared with me. And I do apologize, $I$ can't speak directly to all past

3
(No audible response)

DR. BROWN: Hearing none, then let us
begin our consideration. Once again, I'd like to
remind members to please try to keep our comments --

Nantified SPEAKER: Can we hear
someone?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Are there
any other questions for any other members of the
committee?

DR. BROWN: -- please try to keep our comments to 5 minutes. We'll be keeping track of time and will indicate when the time is up. And just, if any member have questions or comments on any aspect of the program, please refrain from asking or discussing these items as there will be, again, an opportunity at the end of our considerations. I ask that we all speak loud enough in order for that to be heard through the microphones, and that we state our names before we begin to speak.

1

2

3

4

21 in fact that have resulted, and prescriptions by the
22 Public Health Service, that why the colonoscopy is an
important prevention for those of us observing.

Now, I do agree that the design is
important for us on this committee. I do think we in fact have an opportunity to talk about also the story. In this case, this is not only an African-American physician who has had the experience that Megan has shared. He also was the first African-American physician to be on as an attending at cook county Hospital. For those of us who don't know, Cook County Hospital is the largest public hospital in United States. And he in fact was instrumental in using this innovation to in fact provide a way to make a diagnosis for communities that could not otherwise pay for.

Now, I do recognize that when I look at all the designs, the one that actually motivates me more is actually design -- the very last one, that would be design 14. And I do that from the standpoint is that you can actually see the hands. And actually the way in which it's been handled is consistent with what a gastroenterologist would do now to do a biopsy.

So as much as I defer to all of you, esteemed members of the CCAC, in terms of the design,
for telling the story with respect to this innovation, for not just the state of Illinois, but for these United States is so important. Thank you.

I will now turn to Dr. Fuller.

DR. FULLER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Harcourt Fuller. I guess I also wanted to say if -and I don't know if this is permissible, but $I$-- well, first of all, $I$ thank you for that perspective. I did have a couple of these designs that I like. But I would go with 14 and $I$ wanted to know if $I$ could yield my time to you if you had anything else to say about it. I don't know if you had more about the story about this, I would be happy to yield my time if that is permissible. But if not, I would be happy to go with 14 based on the education that you've just provided to us. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you for the offer. I really appreciate it. I think that we can move on to the next member of our committee. And thank you again so much. So we want to move now to Peter.

MR. van ALFEN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I have no doubt that the Eder-Berry biopsy attachment is
significant medical treatment and save countless lives through (inaudible) medical prognosis, research and so forth.

My concern however, with this as a concept is that it seems that it's something that would be -- that most of the population in this country will probably have to Google to try to figure out what in fact it is about the significance. A steel plow seems a little bit more obvious. And while I also think that some of the representations I actually attached to this representative here are actually quite interesting. I, this case, happy to support Governor Pritzker's suggestion, concept of the steel plow, and design number 6, or 6-A, and 6-B, which I think are little quite strong designs. I really like the curvature of the earth. I like the power of this design. And also relative simplicity. 6-A I think would be a little better, even though $I$ like the top frame, this design element. I think that the material will loss something the size of a quarter.

So my preference in this case would be 6A although I certainly -- support to Eder-Berry biopsy
attachment designs as well. So thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Mary?

MS. LANNIN: Mary Lannin. This is interesting. I'm a daughter of a surgeon who undoubtedly used this device, because my dad did a lot of surgery over the 40 years of practice. This is a tough one. I understand how important it is to the general population that we have medical advances. I can see Peter's viewpoint which is articulated nicely, which is more of us are certainly going to recognize a steel plow in that we all eat, and not all of us are going to have to have, you know, gastro, you know, anything examined.

In terms of if we worked with what the Governor -- the theme that he appreciated, I can see 6A, but actually my favorite one was number 1. If we can get back to that, Megan, or whoever's operating those, that's clean to me. It's sort of like civilization meets the prairie. And that's how we got more farmland. And so it's not necessary to know that's grass, it could be wheat, it could be anything. But that shows the device absolutely doing what it

perspective to what this is. I know this is one -- I think design number 12 where there are no hands, I couldn't tell, it actually -- I thought that was the International space Station. And I think the hands add a lot of perspective. So $I$ would agree with you on the choice number 14.

If we decided to go with the plow, I was not inclined to go with the designs that had a bunch of other stuff besides the plow. I didn't want to celebrate some husky farmer or a farmhouse and so I was attracted to the designs that just add the plow, I could think that would be number 1 , number 5 , and number 7. And I thought number 7 had the added feature of what $I$ think are meant to be screws. As part of the equipment looks like, those are two screws on the border.

MS. LANNIN: Oh, I see. Yeah.

MR. BERNSTEIN: I thought was an interesting part of the technology. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Mike?

MR. MORAN: And Dr. Brown, this is Mike Moran. I had three that I liked in the plow. And
again, I felt like I'm not in a position to judge the
technologies and relative merits through technologies
and $I$ won't. I liked both of the 6 s , although $I$ think
that $6-A$ is a little cleaner and shows the curvature of
the earth distinctly, it missed them in 6-B, but
they're both good. And I will make a motion we finish
the discussion and we combine $6-A$ and $6-B$ for the
voting and then come back if it is the winner and
decide between $A$ and $B$.
I also liked 7, that's very dynamic. I
12 and virgin soil on the other side. Those are my
13 favorites.

16 thank you for your help understanding that device. It

18 very, very much, which makes me think that this is kind
19 of a Hobson's choice here because both of which are
20 deserving of serving the technology and the innovation.
21 I went with the plow because I grew up on a farm. I
22 appreciate what a plow does, we owned many, many pieces
of John Deere equipment, and we have a John Deere plow as we speak in the past, our relationship with the John Deere family as well.

So I also realized that the steel plow
fit enabled us to feed our country and around the world. So that's where I vetted out. But it was like as $I$ said, thanks to Dr. Brown, it's a Hobson's choice now, made it worse. So, thank you.

My initial thought was number 1 because I agree with Mary, I love that it just depicts the plowed areas, good depiction of it, it's exactly what it looked like or used to, we don't anymore, but that's (inaudible). And I have -- we had, when I was a small boy, we had a team of draft mules. At the end, we had an old farmer there and you actually did what is in this picture -- these pictures at 6-A and 6-B.

So I appreciate the movement there, the flow, how hard to be -- this horse has to pull in order to get that done. We have special horseshoes that enable them to do that, to dig into the ground. And so I could -- for that, I could easily go with either the $6 s$ or number 1. And back to the medical device 14 is
squarely the winner there for me. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Let's move on to

Robin.

MS. SALMON: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I
think of the plow as being so much a part of the history of America. And as Sam said, the plow made it possible not only to feed America, but the world. And of those designs, I was attracted to two concepts. One is number 1, just the plow. That's really quite beautiful as far as equipment goes, and I particularly liked the lettering of Illinois, but that overall design is harmonious and pleasing.

I also liked 6-A. And we have a sculpture here at Brookgreen Gardens titled in memory of the workhorse by Anna Hyatt Huntington and that horse is that sculpture. It's, again, another slice of Americana. And $I$ understand that the farmer's attire needs to be made 19th century and certainly I'd have no conflict with that. Having said all of that, $I$ also recognize this important medical accomplishment of the gastroscope. And so I'm torn. This is so significant.

Number 10 attracted me. I liked the idea

19 as a well-balanced design, there's no hyphen between
MR. TUCKER: Thank you. This is Dennis Tucker. And Dr. Brown, I appreciate your context -your additional context that you provided, it's very insightful. And kudos to the Mint's artists who have developed this portfolio of medical device designs. That's a challenge and $I$ think we have a very good slate of designs here.

My question to number 14 , which $I$ agree Eder and Berry. And there is elsewhere in other designs, $I$ don't go past the technicality that needs to be addressed, but it's something to consider. So I
(inaudible).

REPORTER: Mr. Tucker, this is the court reporter. Can you please repeat your last sentence, you were chopping up badly?

MR. TUCKER: Oh, yes, I said that I like number 14 and I like number 8. My personal preference is for the steel plow between the two themes presented. And among these designs, we have kind of a challenge, because it's not really sexy to show a piece of hardware. That's a bit of a challenging theme to illustrate. But $I$ think the artists have done a good job. I do like number 1. My eye was drawn to that one. If we make a differentiation between the designs that focus on farm equipment, and those that focus on the use of the farm equipment, the farmer of course, I'd like number 1.

I think number 5 and number 7 would both be challenging to appeal to mass market audience. So my favorites are either 4 or more so 6-A or 6-B. I actually preferred 6-B, but I liked that motif of the horse in action, and even though it doesn't focus as much on the plow, $I$ think the entire scene is symbolic
of the concept of the steel plow and what it did for America. So I think that my greatest support would be for 6s. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Turning to Dean.

MR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It's Dean Kotlowski. And I don't want to make a choice between these two. So I'm going to talk about the designs. I think you'll get a sense that $I$ have a preference for one subject over the other, but $I$ definitely liked for the Eder-Berry gastroscope biopsy attachment number 14 , you had the caring hands of the doctor there. And that was the only one that $I$ really focused on and thought was really important, but that's an important innovation.

I appreciated what was said earlier with this series about having something you have to Google and something you're familiar with. With this series, we've done both. How many people knew who Annie Jump Cannon was before we started, where the Trustees Garden in Georgia, but we also did polio and the vaccine, and we did the telephone. When we look at these states, it's important to keep in mind when the Governor
that I completely agree with Mary and Robin and the others, $I$ fell in love with number 1 , because as a collector of this series, I liked seeing the innovation, I like highlighting the innovation. This is a kind of iconography, where the story is being told that the plow, you've got the land, and you've got something that's approaching the crops.

I also looked at 6-A and 6-B. It's a
little bit like the regionalist part of the New Deal period. And so $I$ really liked it, you know what, the struggling horse is stealing the seed here, you know. I wanted more of the focus on the plow. That being said, if 6-A gets this, I would be eminently happy. I thought number 7 was too dynamic and too dramatic for me. It looked a little bit like some sort of battleship coming out. And so I wasn't as attracted to that one. But these were all good designs and those are my comments, Mr. Chairman.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Turn now to Donald.

MR. SCARINCI: Donald Scarinci. And I think -- you know, I think, you know, in this series,
where it does go back to the states, what the state thinks is, in my mind, definitive. And that's why I asked the question earlier about how governor, you know, what the governor felt, or if they had a design? So I think somebody from New Jersey shouldn't be second guessing, you know, the people of the Illinois. And so I'm absolutely going to go plow.

And then the question is, which design of the plow designs, you know, is the better design? Now, I -- and Dean really hit it right on the nail. You know, 6-A looks great in this picture and 6-B with -but it's -- these are about the horse, not about plow. On the planchette size and that's why it's important to look at the small design, you know, and when you look at the planchette size of the dollar, you're not going to see the plow. You know, you're going to see the horse, and it's about the horse. And then you'll look at the utilities with a plower, right? But -- you know, so I discounted 6-A.

I don't think we -- maybe if it were a silver dollar, a large planchet, yes. But in this small planch, I think it's lost. So I'm left with the
three depictions, you know, as we have discussed in many meetings, you know, focusing in on, you know, the object in a small planchette, you know, where you don't have a lot of space, you've got to let the objects speak. So, in a way, when you think of it that way, you know, you're looking at, you know, number 1, number 3, number 7, and, you know, by far, it seems artistically number 1 tells the story.

You know, you get -- and you can see it, you know, you can see it the small planchette. So I think, you know, the number 1, you know, indisputably in my mind will play the best on the size coin. It also goes, you know, very, very well with the series, you know, which is another consideration that we should always have, which is how is this going to look in a set, right? Because very few people are going to be collecting these things. You know, I like Illinois, so I'm going to buy an Illinois coin. I love New Jersey, I'll move back to New Jersey, but who can buy depending on whole series, you know, the important series. So how does the whole thing work together? So I think, you know, for all those reasons, I'm, you know, very


MS. WARREN: Oh, it's doing it again. Hold on. Hold on. That's the one. Okay. I think we're good now.

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna. Mr. Chairman, if $I$ could answer.

MS. WARREN: Joe, speak up.

MR. MENNA: Mr. Chairman, this is Joe Menna. If I could answer Mary's question and then also add another comment, follow-up on Donald's discussion about the scale. Mary, all of the -- all the privy marks for this series are on the obverse, and they are all we've been working on them.

MS. LANNIN: Okay.

MR. MENNA: -- and we plan all the way from the end of the series. My rule of thumb, just in general, as chief engraver, my new thing, when I'm kind of talking to designers and people on my staff, or people that work in the room that I've worked with the staff, $I$ honestly, you know, I go on this line because that's about where average folks look at the nonnumismatist, look at coins about don't like, right? Arms length away, or on the counter, that's where they
9 see more designs that are (inaudible), you know, kind
10 of how is through that -- that's because more readable

DR. BROWN: Additional comments? Dennis?

MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis Tucker. I
like design 1. It -- to me it evokes the tall grass prairie corner. However, we discussed some years ago, I think it's a beautiful design. And I love the way Illinois is very readable, steel plow is there to tell you just in case you don't know the best steel plow. But $I$ would say that $6-A$ and $6-B$, $I$ would argue for these as being good designs because they prominently include the word steel plow. And the image of the horse is going to be immediately appealing and attractive to kids and to grownups as well because people are attracted to animals and Joe's particular arms length analysis, what could be more clearer than having the word steel plow right there in the main field?

So -- and I think the entirety of the design, which includes that wording tells the viewer that they're looking at the innovation of agriculture as opposed to equestrian or any other theme. I think that they'll understand that the entirety of this design is about the steel plow, even if the physical plow itself is not either fixed. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

DR. BROWN: Mike?

Moran by the way, I would move that for voting purposes we combine $6-A$ and $6-B$ this first round. And to just 6, should this be the favorite design by the committee, maybe we can vote as far as 6-A or 6-B I guess around. Otherwise, I think we were doing 6 - A or 6 - B a disservice, if you have to -- understandable. DR. BROWN: Counsel, unless I'm wrong, I think that I'll be a second for this motion. MR. WEINMAN: What is the motion? DR. BROWN: The motion is combine 6-A and 6-B in the voting. MR. GILL: I would say yes. That's Sam Gill. DR. BROWN: All those in favor aye? SPEAKERS: Aye. DR. BROWN: All those opposed? (No audible response) MR. WEINMAN: Sir, just for clarity then, when you're voting, if you're voting for 6-A, that'll come to 6-A and 6-B for purposes of the scoring. MS. WARREN: You're going to tally on A
and B. Just -- and then they'll make a decision between $A$ and $B$.

MR. WEINMAN: Yes. So when you're voting on your vote -- on your ballot, just going to 6-A will be for 6 .

DR. BROWN: Yeah. Just circle one. REPORTER: This is the court reporter.

Who just finished speaking?
MR. WEINMAN: Oh, this is Greg Weinman.
I'm clarifying for the record that -- so we -- so
there's no confusion in the scoring.
REPORTER: Okay. Thank you.

MR. WEINMAN: No worry. They'll give me a ballot with different scores for $6-\mathrm{A}$ and $6-\mathrm{B}$.

DR. FULLER: They need to be the same number?

MR. WEINMAN: Just one line is fine.

DR. FULLER: One line?
DR. BROWN: Before we can take ballot, Joe, do you have anything else you want to share? MR. MENNA: No, sir. DR. BROWN: Megan, Russ Evans and Mr.

LoBue, do you have anything additional that you would like to share?
(No audible response)

DR. BROWN: Hearing none --

MS. WARREN: Who just spoke?

MR. LoBUE: Hi, this is Lee LoBue with
the governor's office. Just saying nothing additional to share, but thank you all very much.

DR. BROWN: I need to say to all the members. Thank you so much for your listening to that points that $I$ raised. I do realize the points that you raise, I really appreciate that. I do want to remind us that we are diverse United States. So as many of us in fact come from a rural background, there are many of us who come from an urban background. They may have not seen a plow.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Fair enough.

MR. MORAN: Fair enough.

MS. LANNIN: Fair enough.

DR. BROWN: Okay. On that, are there any
further discussion?
(No audible response)

1

2

3 designs for the Illinois American Innovation Dollar

4 coin. Each of you should have your score sheet in

5 front of you. Robin, you have been communicated yours.

6 We will take 5 minutes for the scoring and tallying.

7

8

9 recording and then start it in about 5 minutes, that

10 would be great. Thank you.

11

12

13 1:25.
14

15 (inaudible). Great. Dr. Brown?
16

17 Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC to present results of
18 the scoring sheets.
19

21 score is a 33. Design number 1 received 30 points and
22 is the highest scoring design. Number 2 received five
and number 3 received six. Number 4 received four, number 5 received four. Number 6, which encompasses 6A and 6-B received 18. Design 7 received zero. Sorry, I'm sorry, design 7 received 9. Design 8 received seven, design 9 received two, design 10 received three, design 11 received two, design 12 received one, design 13 received five and design 14 received 18 votes.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, Greg. Are there

```
any motions?
```

                    (No audible response)
    DR. BROWN: Hearing none, seeing none,
then the scoring stands as has been shared with us.

Any further motions based on what I just shared?
(No audible response)

DR. BROWN: Hearing none, seeing none, then all the discussion has concluded. Then we'll move to the next order of business. And that is to return once again to you, Megan, to in fact share with us and present for consideration the reverse candidate designs for the Alabama 2024 American Innovation Dollar coin.

MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you very much.

REPORTER: Ms. Sullivan, this is the

```
    court reporter. Are you speaking --
```

    MS. SULLIVAN: (Cross talk).
    3
    4 Megan.
    5
    6 better?
    7
        8
    9 then let's see if the choppiness just goes away. Oh,
    10 yeah, you're speaking and we can't hear you.
    11
    12 you.
        13
    14
    15
    17
    18 just a little bit an echo. But \(I\) can hear you now.
        19
    MS. SULLIVAN: Okay. I'm not sure what's
    20 going on. Very strange. All right. Moving on to
    21 Alabama. For Alabama, we have one concept only on the
    22
        phone to -- on the video today with us is Lee Sellers,
    director of special projects with the Office of Alabama -- Alabama Governor Kay Ivey. So, Lee, would you like to say a few words before $I$ start talking about the Saturn V rocket? Now you're muted, Lee.

MS. WARREN: Yeah. Lee, you're still muted.

MS. SELLERS: Got you. I appreciate the opportunity to talk to the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee. And I -- Russ Evans and Jennifer Warren have been delightful to work with. So in thinking through our Alabama's greatest contribution to the country and to the world, the Saturn $V$ really put Alabama on the map. And Governor Ivey believes possibly that it was Alabama's greatest contribution to mankind. So Governor Kay Ivey proposed the Saturn V rocket to be the design concept with the American Innovation coin representing Alabama.

The Saturn $V$ rocket was designed and built in Huntsville, and it supports the delivery system behind weather forecasting and GPS. Even smartphones can trace their origins to the race to the moon. So the impact on innovation has been tremendous.

It was initially developed to support the Apollo program for human exploration of the moon and later used to launch the Skylab, which was the first American space station.

So the Saturn $V$ technology was used 13 times at Kennedy Space Center with no loss of crew nor payload. The Saturn $V$ remains the tallest, heaviest and most powerful rocket ever brought to operational status. It was designed under the direction of Wernher von Braun at the Marshall Space Flight Center in Huntsville. And today, the Saturn $V$ remains the only launch vehicle to carry humans beyond low earth orbit. Thank you very much.

MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you. I appreciate that. So moving in with the design.

MS. WARREN: Megan, microphone's cutting out.

MS. SULLIVAN: Let's see what I can do here.

MS. WARREN: There you go.

MS. SULLIVAN: All right. The Saturn V rocket. The Saturn $V$ rocket was designed and built at
the George C. Marshall Space Flight Center in Huntsville, Alabama. The heavy lift vehicle was as tall as a 36 story tall building and at launch generated more power than 85 Hoover Dams. Initially developed to support the Apollo program for human exploration of the moon, a total of 13 Saturn $V$ rockets were launched between 1967 and 1972 from the Kennedy Space Center, with no loss of crew or payload.

As of 2022, the Saturn $V$ remains the tallest, heaviest and most powerful highest total impulse rocket ever brought to operational status and remains the only launch vehicle to carry humans beyond low earth orbit. The required inscriptions are "UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" and "ALABAMA". Design 1 depicts the power and force in the Saturn $V$ rocket lifting off with the moon in the background. This is one of the Governor's preferred designs.

Design 2 shows a Saturn $V$ rocket in its first stage of separation with the moon in the distance. The inscription "SATURN V" appears next to the rocket. This is also one of the Governor's preferred designs.

Design 3 features a Saturn V rocket during liftoff with the moon in the background. "SATURN V" is inscribed center left of the design. Design 4 provides an underside view of the Saturn $V^{\prime}$ s five $F 1$ engines with the inscription "SATURN V" below the composition.

Design 5 depicts a Saturn $V$ rocket shooting into the mesosphere before disengaging at stage 1 rocket section. Curve of the earth is shown below with a view of North America, Europe and North Africa. The moon to the top left alludes to the lunar missions and the inscription "SATURN V" appears alongside.

Design 6 features a Saturn V rocket against a prominent image of the moon. The additional inscription "SATURN V" is infused in the smoke clouds coming from the rocket's engine.

Design 7 presents an elevated view of the Saturn $V$ rocket with the launch umbilical tower behind the rocket. Inscriptions include the 13 missions that used the Saturn $V$ and the years of operation for the Saturn V rockets.

Design 8 presents a 3D perspective view of the Saturn $V$ rocket as it prepares for launch. Inscriptions include the 13 missions that used the Saturn $V$ and the years of operation for the Saturn $V$ rockets.

Design 9 depicts the Saturn $V$ rocket and its flight with the earth in the background. The additional inscription "SATURN V" rocket encircles the design.

Design 10 shows the tail-end of the Saturn $V$ rocket as it launches, the gas and flames streaming out from the rocket's distinctive F1 engines. The inscription "SATURN V" is infused in the smoke clouds coming from the rocket's engines in a NASA logo type inspired font.

Design 11 is at the distinctive F1 engines at the tail-end of a Saturn $V$ rocket. As in design 10, the inscription "SATURN V" inspired by the NASA logo type is infused, this time into the inside of the F1 engine. And that concludes the designs.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you so much.

Are there any technical or legal questions from members
(No audible response)

```
of the committee?
```

```
of the committee?
```

    to please, again, to keep our comments to 5 minutes or
    less and to please repeat your name before you speak.
    Additionally if there are any members who have any
    questions or comments about the aspects of the program,
    please refrain from asking these and we will discuss
    them at the end of the conversation.
    I ask that you speak loud enough so that
    you can be heard and that do we make sure that when you
    speak again, before you speak to give your name. Let
    us begin with Mike Moran.
    MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This
    16 is Mike Moran. I think first of all, I like our
    17 portfolio because any of them, but I'm going to limit
    18 my selections to the two preferences that our client
    19 showed you, the 1, 2 Mint, between those two. Thank
    20 you.
    REPORTER: Mr. Moran, this is the court
reporter. Can you repeat your last sentence please?

MR. MORAN: I limit my choices between 1 and 2 .

REPORTER: Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Mary?

MS. LANNIN: This is Mary Lannin. Okay. I have to say that my favorite of the group of 11 , which are all great designs, is number 3. I like simple, the number 11 I gave 3 points to number 11 , I'll be all right. Yeah, it's just -- it -- to me that shows the power that this rocket had. I love the graphics. I love the font. I think that if you're in Alabama, you already know about the Saturn $V$ rocket and that it's like one of your state's great accomplishments. So I like 11.

My second favorite one $I$ think would be a number 3. It's clean. It shows everything that you need to see and that will be my second choice. Thank you very much.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Turning now to Donald.

MR. SCARINCI: I agree that -- I'm sorry, Donald Scarinci. So, you know, it's -- you know, it's
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funny, I was really liking design 11, you know, because
I liked the way Alabama is written there. And, you
know, the problem is, you know, the problems with some
of these designs, it's not a problem artistically.
They're all outstanding artistic, and you know, the
problem with 11 when we were really look more carefully
at it, it's, you know, is -- are we talking about --
are we celebrating, you know, engines in the Saturn $V$
or the saturn $V$, right?
And so this does exactly what this

This does a great job, the whole portfolio does in fact. You know, number 4 is even a fascinating, you know, design artistically. Number 9 I

2 kind of discounted because, you know, looking at the
command service module, we're focused more on that than we are the rocket, and it's Alabama's contribution was the rocket. And you know, and so I -- you know, I think through -- well, if the governor's office is favoring design 1 or 2, let's narrow it on that as Mike suggested. And, you know, and honestly, you know, as between the two, $I$ kind of get why they like -- why the State likes number 1 and number 2 .

I mean, but number 1 would be my choice. Wouldn't be bad on a small planchette, it works, almost because it's iconic, $I$ mean, maybe it's just I'm showing my age, $I$ watched every single one of the launches of these rockets. Every single one was glued to the thing, I was glued to the TV for this. So maybe it's just -- but, you know, this is a very identifiable thing. And there's the moon in the background and this rocket got us to the moon.

I mean, but for this rocket, you know, probably wouldn't have made it for another couple of decades. So, you know, I think, you know -- I think the --- you know, sometimes, you know, we need to question, you know, the judgment of the state and of
the state officials, sometimes we don't. And this is -- this instance, I don't think we do. I think number 1 would make a fine design, a fine coin and a coin that, you know, that America could be proud of, and the people of Alabama could be proud of.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn to Sam.

MR. GILL: Thank you once again, Mr. Chairman. Well, my first points without the input of the state and the Governor, I was looking at number 3 because $I$ just -- I thought it captured the rocket and said Alabama clearly and I'm greatly satisfied. So that's where I start. I agree with Donald that number 4 had a nice artistic bent to it. I wouldn't have chosen number 1, but I liked it. I also liked 7 and 8 because they mentioned the missions. So I appreciate that.

But I wouldn't have chosen, I think they're a little too busy. But going back to what the Governor -- the two Governor preferences here, 1 and 2, I -- either one would be fine with me for sure. But I would make a point that Saturn $V$ is already mentioned
in number 2. And $I$ think that it's important to capture Saturn $V$ since that's what the innovation is. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Dr.

Fuller?

DR. FULLER: Thank you. Mr. Chairman,
this is Harcourt Fuller. This one was a tough one because I like most of the designs. I think one that I would, if $I$ may, probably rule out would be number 6 . If $I$ may, simply because $I$ feel like the rocket is aimed at -- it just seems like it's aiming at and not -- so -- but I do like number 8 that would be my top choice, but say so. Because I think that it shows, you know, the launch pad, it shows the land around it, and you see USA -- at center, and $I$ think it's a strong design. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thanks so much. Turning to

Robin.

MS. SALMON: This is Robin Salmon. I
liked several of these, and they are all actually beautiful from the design perspective. Number 2 in particular attracted my eye. I always like a diagonal
sort of movement. Number 2, not number 3, yes. And it shows the entire rocket. Number 7 attracted me because of the missions being included. And $I$ think 7 and 8 are probably the only ones that actually say saturn V rocket, rather than just Saturn $V$.

And then number 11, very visually
compelling. The lettering is also quite attractive and certainly fits in with NASA. I think that people will understand what that is, especially people of a certain age. Now maybe young people will have to figure out what Saturn $V$ was. But like we said earlier, that's what Google is for.

I commend all of these artists for their designs. It's not easy to put science into a beautiful design. But they've managed to do that in just about every instance. I will probably head toward number 11. But I'm still thinking about that. Thank you so much.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Dennis.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Dennis Tucker. Again, congratulations to the artists. This was a really important portfolio to look
through. It reminded me of the Hubble Telescope, that portfolio that we reviewed recently. My eye was drawn to number 1 and number 6. And $I$ think it was because the number 1 and number 6 remind me of the Eisenhower dollar of Bicentennial (inaudible), that big prominent lunar landscape in the background.

As somebody mentioned this, Sam, I think
you said -- you noted that number 2 is the only one -of 1 and 2 that actually had a Saturn $V$ on it. So for that reason, $I$ prefer 6 if $I$ were to choose between 1 and 6. I think it's -- I do think it's important to have that spelled out for the viewer. But the designs are compelling and $I$ love seeing the different perspectives of the rocket. And $I$ have to decide now whether it's going to be 1, 2 or 6 items. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Turning now to Dean.

MR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

This is Dean Kotlowski. I think that today's discussion of innovation going in Alabama shows the best of this series. Because with Illinois, we had two
very compelling subjects. And we had a great conversation about it.

Here, Alabama, well done. If you're going to give us one subject, give us a wide variety of excellent designs. So this was truly, truly excellent. We are about maybe 5 hours in to our CCAC meeting. Nobody's mentioned the tabletop test yet. So I'm going to -- I'm going to start here with the tabletop test. It's on the table, what would you pick up and look at? It for me would be number 4. I like the circularity. I like the perspective, I'm wondering if all of those lines there are rays of the sun.

I said I like it. I don't necessarily love it because $I$ started to look at it and think about it and think that the artist is not really exploiting the kind of elegance and the grace of the rocket's form. So I like number 4, and I'm going to give it some point. Now on the opposite end, and I'm not making fun of the artists here, I promise, I'm making fun of myself. When $I$ looked at number 9, my eyes saw a baby bottles. So I couldn't do 9 and I couldn't do 5 for much the same reason. It's my eye spoiled me on
4 excellent. Between 1 and 2, 1 would again favorite

20 turn now to Peter.

MR. van ALFEN: Thank you, Dr. Brown.
22 Peter van Alfen. I have to say, I don't think you can

14 as it's done on number 6, where you have Alabama and

15 the lower infused or lower curve there just to make it

19 looking at -- I had seen that before somewhere, but

20 that really sort of drove it home and really
21 underscores the iconicness of the design that Don pointed out. So thank you.

1

21

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Art?

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein.
And I did not grow up on a farm and never saw a plow. But I'm almost that age group that Robin referred to. And I saw a lot of Saturn rockets take off. And every one of these designs was just powerful. I just loved them all, brought back a lot of memories of watching TV with my dad. I lean towards the two designs that the Governor's office was suggesting all to do with that. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. First and
foremost, let me in fact again really ask Joe that to
give our thanks to the artists, not just for this
portfolio, but all the portfolios we saw today. This
way, it's phenomenal. And we really thank them for

16 their contributions to the process. I too must confess

17 that I'm leaning this time in direction of the
18 recommendations of the Governor and probably won't be
19 part of what we've heard previously, to option number
202.

22
But I don't have anything to add or to
2 subtract from anything else that when $I$ say, so I've
come to appreciate, if you can't say anything more, then you should be quiet. Is there any additional comments or motions from members at this time?

MR. BERNSTEIN: I should have asked this -- this is Art Bernstein -- during the legal question session. But Greg, are we okay using with the NASA font?

MR. WEINMAN: Yeah, Art, technically it is typeface as opposed to new font. And for this probably, yes. If they were talking about the underlying software that would potentially be an issue. But for our purposes, we're talking about a typeface and it's this font, yeah.

MR. MENNA: And Dr. Brown, if I may?

DR. BROWN: Please.

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna. I understand this is an analogue for the NASA font, this is not the NASA font, this is something artists inside and out typically use, a battery replacement fonts with Adobe Illustrator, and it's not as limited as it sounds, it's well over 5,000 available. Greg and I've had that discussion many times in the past about
getting away from that and being original, then using licensed art is -- a font is a piece of part that someone else has created. So it's something that (inaudible) totally appropriate.

MR. SCARINCI: You know -- I'm Donald

Scarinci. If we did go with number 1 , you know, perhaps we could make a motion, if we went with it, you know, to see if, you know, to volley back to the state for their opinion about whether they like Alabama, in the fonts of number 11 , you know, because $I$ think we all think that's pretty cool. And for some reason, I kind of resonate with me, right? But, you know, I'd be fair to the people of Alabama, to see what they think.

And then the other thing, I do think we would need to -- probably not that you need to -listen, $I$ don't know if you need to Saturn $V$, $I$ think the world knows that Saturn $V$, but, you know, if we didn't -- if the State did want to include Saturn $V$, $I$ would propose a motion -- if 1 succeeds, I would propose a motion to kick it back to Alabama and let them decide about, you know, their font and whether they want the word saturn $V$ on the obverse somehow as
well. And then we'll work more close and directly so that we're not designing by committee.

DR. BROWN: This is Lawrence Brown. I hear the recommendation. I understand that it's going to be contingent on what the vote will be.

MR. SCARINCI: Correct. DR. BROWN: So we will postpone considering that until after we see the vote. MR. SCARINCI: Yes.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Any other questions or comments with respect to this design?

DR. FULLER: If I may, Harcourt Fuller. Can we go back to 11, please? I guess I really -- I also really liked this. But the only thing that's missing from these fire that's supposedly coming out of the side, I wanted to put that out here to see if anyone else bothers them. Okay.

DR. BROWN: This is Dr. Brown. Moves some people but doesn't move others.
(Laughter)

DR. BROWN: Are there any other comments or questions? Joe, do you have anything additional
that you want to add?

MR. MENNA: No, sir.

DR. BROWN: Ruff Evans -- Mr. Evans, and Ms. Sellers, do you have any additional comments you would like the committee to consider?

MS. SELLERS: Yes. I love your idea about adding Saturn $V$ to number 1. That is a brilliant idea. And $I$ also, $I$ don't know if this matters or not, but the typeface that NASA uses now, they did not use back when Saturn was going up. It's a newer design after Saturn V.

MR. SCARINCI: That's interesting.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. I really appreciate that contribution. Any further discussion or comments?
(No audible response)

DR. BROWN: Hearing none, the committee will now score the reverse candidate design for Alabama American Innovation $\$ 1$ coin. Again, each of the members have score sheet, we'll know that Robin -members, colleagues? We know that -- well, back to score. We will take a 5-minute break. Ladies and
gentlemen, thank you so much.

MS. WARREN: So, we'll take a five 5break. Pam, you can stop the recorder till we come back. And court reporter will be back within about 5 minutes.
(Recess)

REPORTER: Recording now for me for the court reporter --

MS. WARREN: Great. And Pam, if you
could hit it or somebody from the Mint could hit recording, that would be great. Awesome. Thank you. Dr. Brown, turn it back to you.

DR. BROWN: We are back. And I recognize Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC to present results of the scoring sheets.

MR. WEINMAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This one is a bit of a tighter group. So just keep in mind as $I$ read the scores. Number 1 has 22 points, and once again, remember, the top score is 33 . So number 1 is 22, that is the high score given, but then number 2 is 21 points. Number 3 is nine. Number 4 is nine, number 5 is three. Number 6 is seven, number 7 is five,
number 8 is six, number 9 is three, number 10 is seven and number 11 is 19. So we have a fairly tight mix with number 1 receiving 22, number 2 receiving 21 and number 11 receiving 19 .

DR. BROWN: Thank you, Greg. Are there any motions?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.

MR. SCARINCI: Well, I'm -- I'll make a motion to accept number 1 and 2 , and to allow the state of Alabama to work with the Chief Engraver to add Saturn $V$ and to decide whether they prefer the Alabama script from some (inaudible).

MR. van ALFEN: Peter van Alfen, I second that.

DR. BROWN: There's a motion and a second.

MR. MORAN: I've got a question that kind of got before this, please. Mike Moran. When you look at number 2, I'm not seeing any -- obviously any power coming out of the back of the rocket. Sorry. I'm a civil engineer, not an aeronautical engineer. I know in your space and probably limited amount of oxygen for
all this, and $I$ also am seeing the separation capsule. So I'm assuming this is at the point that the thrusters quit and the capsule has attachments about to leave.

MR. van ALFEN: Yeah.
MR. MORAN: Joe?

MR. MENNA: Dr. Brown, this is Joe Menna. Mike, I'm trying to -- I don't mean to sound pedantical pejoratively, but I'm talking now in the name of the Super Bowl, but assuming we're trying to be so literal because we try not to be -- we're avoiding being too literal in both the spirit of cooperating with the communities advice over the years. And also, just because, you know, I mean, like, this is almost like, in a way, this is like, not only -- you know, this is not an illustration of an event, but this is an -- this is the design of the rocket, actually seeing the rocket in a given perspective.

You're right, it will be added as the stage separating. We don't sculpt propellers, right? But we don't do propellers. In this case, sculpting the fire and smoke would completely obscure being able to see the rocket that everybody's saying are so
important. So all this stuff goes into consideration, sometimes it works, sometimes it doesn't. So I hope in this case it works. Thank you.

MR. MORAN: I -- yes, I would -- for a different motion.

DR. BROWN: This one is going to require a vote on. Just --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Cross talk).

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Uh-huh.

DR. BROWN: Okay.

MR. SCARINCI: Donald Scarinci, maker of the motion.

DR. BROWN: And the motion withdrawn.

We're entertaining a new motion.

MR. MORAN: And I move that we choose and we vote between number 1 and number 2 .

MR. BERNSTEIN: Art Bernstein seconds.

DR. BROWN: Okay.

MR. MORAN: We might want to take a minute to just think about this. I mean, I don't know which way I'm going.

MR. MORAN: Yeah, I don't either.

MS. LANNIN: Well, that's confident.

DR. BROWN: I'm sorry, on that basis we will -- we have a motion and we have a second.

MR. WEINMAN: This is a point -- this is offering in such a situation, you could rather than up and down vote possibility, you could score 1 and 2 only as 1 and 2 on the scale that you typically use of a 0 , 1, 2 or 3 and that might give you a little more from the shootout.

DR. FULLER: If I may, wouldn't that -Harcourt Fuller --

DR. BROWN: Name?

DR. FULLER: -- wouldn't that result in possibly overturning what we voted on because you've already between 1 and 2?

MR. WEINMAN: Well, I think the idea --
if you're conflicted between 1 and 2 and the committee -- obviously this is just a tool for you to use. Ultimately, you're making motions which we recommended. And so if rather than a simple straight up, that 1 or 2, you could utilize your tool one more time to see where the strength is, and which is what -- which is what the scoring system is all about. So one possibility, yes. It's not -- you're not really overcoming anything, you're just using the tool in different directions.

And so, one possibility I'm just throwing out is like return your score sheets to you, you can then have a scoring of just 1 and just 2 and that will give information based on if you want to change -- I understand your point, you've already scored them, but somebody else may never have -- may not have scored -number 1 at all. Somebody else may not have scored number 2 at all. And so those are now the only two
options. You might get a different set I gave.
MR. MORAN: I kind of want to withdraw my motion. And suggestively rescore on just number 1, number 2 , redo the score sheets.

DR. BROWN: All right. One second folks. Mike Moran is withdrawing this motion and the second has been withdrawn as well?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, sir.
DR. BROWN: Okay. So, now you want to --

MR. MORAN: Do it again, I move that we rescore the portfolio, choosing between judging 1 and 2.

MR. van ALFEN: And $I$ second that.
DR. BROWN: And second by Peter.
MS. WARREN: So, I'll just put us on mute, but we won't go in recess because it shouldn't take too long.

REPORTER: Okay.
DR. BROWN: So let's --
(Recess)
DR. BROWN: We had a motion to score. We did not vote to execute that. All in favor?

SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Anyone opposed?
(No audible response)
DR. BROWN: Great catch.

MS. WARREN: So we'll just be on mute for a couple of minutes and then we'll come back on, but again just keep recording and it should only take a minute or two. Hold on, there's something.

MR. TUCKER: Dennis Tucker. Do we have an opportunity for further discussion?

DR. BROWN: We do. So, let's hold this horses. Dennis?

MR. TUCKER: Well, I would like to advocate for --

DR. BROWN: State your name again.

MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis Tucker. I would like to advocate for number 1 because as Donald said, I think originally people would watch these rocket launches. This is how you see the rockets. And as innovative as number 2 is with its unique perspective, our number 1 is going to be instantly recognized. And I also like the Eisenhower dollar.

So, those are my comments. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Sam?

MR. GILL: Mr. Chairman, this is Sam

Gill. I agree with Dennis. I think the number 1 captures the whole picture to nice rockets going on, it's got the - meaning it looks good. It's nice balance and if they could put Saturn $V$ there, it would be perfect.

DR. BROWN: Donald?

MR. SCARINCI: 1 is a great coin. Make a great iconic --

DR. BROWN: Name?

MR. SCARINCI: Oh, Donald Scarinci.

Number 1 would make a great coin. It's an iconic design. It passes, you know, it passes the table test. You immediately know what it is and everyone can identify, you don't even -- I think the reason Saturn V is not on label there is because it's so obviously Saturn 5, it's obvious for us in this room, maybe not obvious to a 12-year-old kid, but it's iconic enough. There's images just like it. So, I think it says -- I think it communicates the message that the people of

Alabama will communicate.

MR. KOTLOWSKI: Okay. As I looked at
them -- Dean Kotlowski, as I looked at the two images up there on the screen, number 1 and number 2 , 1 just think number 1 is far more dramatic and is the better image.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Peter?

MR. van ALFEN: I agree with my
colleagues with number $1 . \quad$ I just want to add with number 2 , as much as $I$ liked the design and perspective from the view of the rocket, I think that there's some odd bit of foreshortening there and the structure of the metamodule separating just adds a sort of oddness to design. Again, I really like the design, but I think that compared number 1, I think number 1 really is the face of power and just the -- like the iconism of the machine.

DR. BROWN: Any final comments? And I think we should score it.

MS. WARREN: Now we'll go on mute for a minute and we'll come back.
(Recess)

DR. BROWN: Robin Salmon --

MS. WARREN: Hey, Robin, are you going to
send the score in?

MS. ROBIN: Yes, I just -- I need just a
little bit more time. I'm doing my e-mail to Greg right now.

MS. WARREN: Okay. Thank you. Okay. We're coming back on. So, I'll hand it off to Dr. Brown.

DR. BROWN: Good afternoon, again. Greg, we'll turn to you about the results of the score.

MR. WEINMAN: I think this is a useful exercise with the rescoring of 1 and 2.1 received 30 points, 2 received 14.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you. Are there any motions?

MR. MORAN: Hey, this is Mike Moran, just coming out of a car comb (ph). I move that the Mint in consultation with the liaison in Alabama consider adding Saturn $V$ to this design in the final production.

MR. SCARINCI: Can I amend. And also --
MR. WEINMAN: Identify yourself.

MR. SCARINCI: Oh, Donald Scarinci. And also to consider using the font from the 11. If they choose and to the extent they choose automatically work with Joe to accomplish that.

DR. BROWN: Yes.

MR. van ALFEN: Peter van Alfen, I'll
second that motion amended.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'll go with that amendment.

DR. BROWN: All those in favor of the amendment.

SPEAKERS: Aye.

DR. BROWN: Any opposed?
(No audible response)

DR. BROWN: Hearing none, phase complete. We will now move to the next item of business. Before we leave, $I$ want to thank the liaison from Alabama. Really appreciate the time that you spent as well as from Illinois. This -- you don't know how much you really contributed to this process that allows the CCAC to do its job to make sure that its recommendations are fully informed by the input that you have provided.

Thank you so much and have a wonderful remainder of the day.

MS. SELLERS: Thank you. And you as well.

DR. BROWN: Now we move to the last order of business, the report from the CCAC Working Group on consideration of various recommendations of themes for our future medals and coins. Mary Lannin, who is the head of the CCAC Working Group, will bring each of us up to date on these recommendations and today as a full committee we will need to decide on what recommendations we will move forward to Congress and the Secretary in a letter as well as our annual report, now to Mary.

MS. LANNIN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. All
right. The CCAC working group has had a couple of meetings and conversations on various topics that I'm going to present to you today and we will hopefully be bringing additional topics to the September meeting. The CCAC Working Group set out a list of those ideas and I will methodically and swiftly go through each of them, then open the floor for any comments. I ask that
you keep your comments less than 5 minutes if you have anything to add. And to please indicate with the raise of your hand to be recognized.

All right. The first recommendations are for commemorative coins. The Working Group recommends moving forward each of the recommendations of the CCAC recommended last year, but have not have yet been signed into law. The members of the Working Group feel strongly enough to once again recommend these concepts for inclusion and the list is as follows: The semiquincentennial of the Declaration of Independence; the 2028 Summer Olympics commemorative coin; a commemorative coin dealing with American horses or American Horse Racing. And the fourth would be wildlife and the environment or animal-related themes. So, I would like to open up the conversation to the full committee on these topics and suggest that we do a voice vote and if necessary recorded vote on moving all of these above with the same reasoning as last year as recommendations unless there are any other motions. I know COVID has thrown such a wrench into all of our meetings, it's hard to
remember when and what we have done, but $I$ didn't want to do a disservice to the Working Group last year who recommend topics that Congress just hasn't gotten around to and that's where we are recommending them again. Dr. Brown?

DR. BROWN: Any additional comments?

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein, and $I$ love to raise a comment to issue with regard to horseracing. I love the idea of horses on coins. Horseracing itself concerns me, many consider it to be cruel to animals. And $I$ wondered why you would just go with horses and not into the subset of horseracing.

MS. LANNIN: I believe that the reasoning behind that initially was because of the anniversary coming up of various derbies in horse races, and that's why we added the word racing, but $I$ understand what you're saying.

MR. MORAN: Art, this is Mike Moran. You've got the 175 th of the Kentucky Derby coming up in 2 years. I understand some of your misgivings about horseracing. I do think that though there's an opportunity here to tie into a slightly wider audience
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environment and animal-related themes because those who had previously done, we'll talk about the other things in a couple of minutes. MR. SCARINCI: Great. DR. BROWN: So, thank you. So, is there a motion or that we accept all four recommendations here from the group?

MR. van ALFEN: Just one quick question.

This is Peter van Alfen. What are the denominations these concepts are proposed for?

MS. WARREN: This is Jennifer Warren.

This will be the commemorative coin program, so it would be up to the Members of Congress to decide, but for commemorative coins, it is usually typically a $\$ 5$ gold coin, a $\$ 1$ silver dollar and a half dollar clad. They can do one two or three. They -- we have also in the past done a larger 5 ounce proof at times that may or may not be recommended in any of these, but we don't specifically -- we usually leave it up to the Member of Congress and that are the recipient organization, that would be part of it what they would like to see. The past, the CCAC and the CFA have
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recommended to do all three coins and also do it as designs, so they can have various designs that tell a larger story. But that is again, the statute just designates those three types, but it does not require that you always do all three.

MR. van ALFEN: All right. Thank you very much.

DR. BROWN: Any other comments?
(No audible response)
DR. BROWN: Hearing no additional
comments, is there a motion to vote on all these as a whole or other coins?

MR. TUCKER: Dennis Tucker, so moved. MR. KOTLOWSKI: Dean Kotlowski, second. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And there's a second.

DR. BROWN: All those in favor, aye. SPEAKERS: Aye.

DR. BROWN: Opposed, nay.
(No audible response)
DR. BROWN: Is there any further debate?
(No audible response)

DR. BROWN: Hearing none, then we will call -- or did that. Let's go back to Mary.

MS. LANNIN: Okay. Okay. Thank you.

The second recommendation on which should be
(inaudible) is for the American arts medal program.

This is a recommendation also from the fiscal year 2019 annual report to help promote the artists of the Mint. This program will promote artists that do such amazing work such as we saw today and would provide a way for the general public to get to know the artists more directly. The overall thought is to showcase the talent of the United States Mint staff and our artists, as well as the designers from the Mint's Artistic Infusion Program. Do you have any comments? MR. van ALFEN: This is Peter van Alfen. Question. Would the artists be given free hand to design whatever they want?

MS. LANNIN: Anything they want. MR. van ALFEN: But would it necessarily have to be struck or would it --

MS. LANNIN: What do you mean by that? MR. van ALFEN: Cast metal, would the
cast metal be enough, so --

MS. LANNIN: No, no cast metal.

MR. van ALFEN: -- they're all struck, cast metal, no necessary inscriptions or anything of that sort? All right.

MS. LANNIN: Yeah, anything they want.

DR. BROWN: Donald?

MR. SCARINCI: This is -- Donald

Scarinci. The art medal program has been one of my pet programs forever and I've been advocating forever as a way to -- and a lot of Mints around the world have been sponsored art medal program. It's a great opportunity for the artists, you know, to really compete with one another for -- in an artistic sense, pure art, you know, without anybody telling me what to do, just, you know, let's do something. You know, it can be a way to -- you know, the British Royal Mint in the beginning used their art medal program to acclimate the British public to what ultimately results in a change of designs to allure modernist design for their circulating coins.

And the art medal program encourages
artists to get their juices flowing and working with Joe Menna in this particular case, you know, I think it just energized the artists to be able to compete and get a medal made by the United States. And I also think internationally, it would help you the United States Mint which only recently has taken the table since before the apocalypse taking the table at the World's Fair of Bernie (ph) Berlin, which is a major show for mints. It's a mint -- tell the conjunction with the annual mint directors conference.

So -- and we have now participated in that with a booth. So, we're taking strides and having the artists produce in art medal which they can be exhibited at a FIDEM, which you heard Jeanne Sollman when she spoke talk about she is the -- she's also the FIDEM delegate representative in the United States. And having our artists display their medallic output would be, you know, I think raise the bar for the United States internationally.

So, I think this is a program $I$ would love to do. It really -- you know, it's hard for the Mint to object to it because it's just pure profit.

And there's no downside to the Mint really. There's -it's time, there's a time --

MR. MORAN: Yes. There is overhead.

MR. SCARINCI: Yeah, I don't think they have to worry about it. You know, I think
unfortunately, you know, hopefully we did talk about the pricing of the medal, you know, $\$ 160$ I think is learning, that's not working, you know, that's too high. But you know, I think I strongly encourage, you know, the idea it would result stronger designs and more enthusiasm.

DR. BROWN: On that basis, and can we put this as you're making this motion for this arts medal program?

MR. SCARINCI: Yes, absolutely.

DR. BROWN: Is there a second?

MR. van ALFEN: Peter van Alfen, I'll second that wholeheartedly.

DR. BROWN: All those in favor, aye.

SPEAKERS: Aye.

DR. BROWN: Return back now to Mary.

MS. LANNIN: Okay. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Any nay? (Inaudible).

MR. SCARINCI: In getting something from
it.

MS. LANNIN: All right. So, the third recommendation is for, here we go again, a medal/or numismatic coin program product focusing on science, technology, engineering, arts and math. And I would like to thank Robin for inserting the "A" in this, because it was originally just for STEM program and she said let's add arts and it's perfect. The Working Group members felt that something like this could excite potentially young collectors.

The medal would honor the birth of modern American and could focus on important developments of the 1920 s and 1930 s in such areas as technology, radio, film, Broadway music, et cetera. We pick this era as we're now entering the centennial of it, and which we think provides perfect opportunity to place a spotlight on some of those events. Does anybody have any comments on this?

MR. van ALFEN: Peter van Alfen, I do have a question. So would these medals or coin
products necessarily include "S""T""E""A""M" as part of the design --

MS. LANNIN: It could.

MR. van ALFEN: -- or would it be --

MS. LANNIN: It could. If you're
thinking of the Austrian mint that did that beautiful gold series that Gustave Klimt of the artist did, they did five of them and it's spelled out $K-l-i-m-t$ if you collected the entire series. So, that's apparently possible that we would use one of the capital letters to small privy mark somewhere and one of the medals or coins.

MR. van ALFEN: So, you mentioned
technology and -- or science --

MS. LANNIN: Science, technology, engineering, arts and math.

MR. van ALFEN: So, potentially this might be five medals, say each in its (cross talk).

MS. LANNIN: Yes. Yeah.

MR. van ALFEN: And how would these be selected?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: As (inaudible).
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MR. van ALFEN: All right. Okay. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Probably come back before this committee to give this opinion?

MS. LANNIN: Yes.

MR. van ALFEN: Okay.
MS. LANNIN: These are recommendations from the subcommittee.

MR. MORAN: This is Mike Moran. I think that this is a particularly fertile area. I would reinforce that statement, actually think back -- I'm not advocating these ideas, but think back to some of the technologies we've had on the backs of dollars. Been a fair amount of them popped out from 1920 s or '30s. And you think of the Anna May Wong, there's another one that popped up.

MS. LANNIN: Yeah.

MR. MORAN: I mean, it's just I think that once this thing if we get it rolling, $I$ think it will just really pick up steam.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There we go.
MR. van ALFEN: Right. Thank you.

MR. WEINMAN: Well, as a point of information, well, many of the mint's numismatic programs have a genesis in legislation. The Secretary does have certain discretionary authority for numismatic items, among them broad authority for medals, among them numismatic -- broad numismatic authority for gold coins, for example. But if I'm hearing the recommendation right, it's recommendation the Mint exercise discretionary authority.

MS. LANNIN: Exactly.

MS. WARREN: This is Jennifer. It could also be something goes to the Hill they might decide to create a numismatic similar to what they did for Morgan and Peace. So, it gives that flexibility of who actually acts on it.

MR. WEINMAN: Probably the CCAC I elect (ph) and so potentially provide a justification for the organization (inaudible).

MR. SCARINCI: Donald. If I recall correctly --

DR. BROWN: Name?

MR. SCARINCI: I'm sorry, Donald

Scarinci. If I recall correctly, we're recommending several of these program, right, for medals three. So, you know, to try to get Mint interested in this, you know, it maybe -- maybe, I mean, these, the metals that you're recommending for these areas could be we could use -- we spent $\$ 2$ million on the metal on the machine that produced those large size silver medals, 5-ounce for the America the Beautiful, right, program. And you still have that machine, right?

And we still have people who were
trained, who've been trained in Europe to use that machine and we probably do not, the silver. But what we're hoping would happen to silver. And these types of things would be a nice adjunct to go along with, you know, our different coin designs and programs that maybe we don't select for coin or medal. And if we dangle the idea of making it bullion, I think that might attract the marketing people here at the Mint to be more interested in this.

And they are selling silver. They're
selling a lot of silver and they're selling those recycled portraits of the Presidents from 200 years
ago. So, you know, if we're making money on that, we can make a lot more money on something like this and use a machine that is occupying space than what paid for and people have been trained to operate. So, I mean, I don't know, I don't want to second-guess the committee.

But, you know, maybe we can wrap all of these things around that or maybe it could be a recommendation for a whole separate program to be adjunct silver medal to go with a commemorative coin using some of the designs or to go with the -- you know, these beautiful innovation design, dollar series designs. So, I don't want to second-guess the committee. So, you know, I'll leave it all up to you.

DR. BROWN: So point of information, maybe suggest that we stay with the recommendation for consideration at this point, and consider anything else at a future time. I'll bring it back to the working group.

MR. MORAN: Let's get one of these
recommendations approved and then we can talk about bells and whistles tacked on.

had the pleasure of answering letters from the CCAC mailbox, we actually had some really great ideas now. Okay. So, the CCAC has received the following suggestions over the past few months via e-mail and mail and $I$ just want to bring this up to see if we think of these and put them on record.

The 400 th anniversary of New York City; Roosevelt Island set with a proof West Point silver Roosevelt dime. A collaboration with the Royal Dutch Mint for a New Amsterdam set; a three coin set with a West Point Morgan dollar, a West Point Peace Dollar, and a commemorative coin.

The 50th anniversary of the Bicentennial coins; gold ownership in the 50th anniversary; Hank Aaron commemorative coin or Congressional Gold Medal, a 5-ounce coin of the very much hoped for 2023 Jovita Idar of the design that was recommended by the committee; a 5-ounce of the drummer boy in the Bicentennial series; and also another suggestion that comment for honoring corrections officers. Does anybody have any comments on what the public has written in?

DR. BROWN: Peter?

MR. van ALFEN: Peter van Alfen, I just want to say that sounds like there was some Dutch American, New Yorkers, they can suggest them, but strongly support those suggestions.

MS. LANNIN: Okay.

DR. BROWN: Dean?

MR. KOTLOWSKI: This is Dean Kotlowski.

I am strongly in favor -- or at least strongly interested in a Hank Aaron coin/medal. I think that that would have some popular support. I think it would be extraordinarily important on a number of different levels. I just finished writing a piece about Jackie Robinson and $I$ would never, you know, never question any sort of contribution to Jackie Robinson. I wonder, sometimes people think that when, you know, his breakthrough, and then other African-Americans joining Major Leagues, and then the Negro Leagues collapsing, that that was something, somehow the end of the story, you know.

And in Hank Aaron and breaking the record for home runs, he had to deal with death threats and
things. There was a nasty racist dimension to that whole story. And he persevered and he survived that. That is, I think, extremely important. I think also too -- I still am attracted to anniversaries. So, one of the things is he passed away recently, something like January of either this year or last year, I think 2021, 2024 will be his 90th birthday. So, let's say that would go on the coin. This could be the justification in the press release and things like that.
I'm also thinking to put him as a
national -- perhaps even an international icon. And also the way he stands two very important, at least two very important states in the United States, Georgia, as he played for a long time with the Atlanta Braves, but almost is one maybe a little bit, I think a little longer with the Milwaukee Braves because they were one, then he finished his career with the Milwaukee Brewers. And that's something a little bit about his character to the, he went to the place where he really started.

I don't think he started at Boston Braves or at Boston, but $I$ have to look that up, but you get
that sense of how this was an important person. As to the diversity of our coins, $I$ know we seem to do a lot with baseball, but maybe they're not --

MS. LANNIN: American pastime.

MR. KOTLOWSKI: Yeah, I don't think that that's a bad thing.

DR. BROWN: Point of information for the members of the CCAC, as Mary mentioned, these are recommendations that came from the public. So, we are meeting our obligations and $I$ thank you, when $I$ respond, $I$ say to the person that makes the recommendation that $I$ will provide this to CCAC for its consideration. So, you are actually helping to fulfill an obligation.

MS. WARREN: Point of order, this is

Jennifer Warren, you can also at this time make a recommendation for any of these.

MR. MORAN: I'm about to, yeah.

DR. BROWN: Please.

MR. MORAN: This is Mike Moran. I really feel like that, just making a recommendation that we do a medal of some sort for Hank Aaron, we'll get lost in
the struggle very quickly. I really think what we need to do is recommend that the Congress, that they do a Congressional Gold Medal. That's really what needs to be done. That way it doesn't get lost. It's highly deserved.

MS. WARREN: This is Jennifer Warren.

Point of order, we usually will say national medal because technically you're supposed to recommend to the Secretary, but we send the letter also to Congress and we usually put a parenthesis like a Congressional Gold Medal. So that way they understand it is a nuance.

MR. MORAN: So, shall I move that we make that recommendation as corrected by Jennifer, so I don't have to repeat, screw it up?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Art Bernstein seconds. DR. BROWN: All those in favor aye.

SPEAKERS: Aye.

DR. BROWN: It's ready for Robin.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We listen to the public.

DR. BROWN: Any votes?
(No audible response)

DR. BROWN: There are none. That portion of this recommendation passes.

MS. LANNIN: Thank you all.
DR. BROWN: Now, it's important to share that any other aspects of this will go back to the Working Group for further consideration by our strategy committee. It's only one recommendation.

MS. WARREN: This is Jennifer, if there's something else that you want to move you can.

DR. BROWN: That's true. Does anyone else make a recommendation?

MR. MORAN: I also have the Amsterdam -the Netherlands Mint.

MR. van ALFEN: Oh, okay. I actually --
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 400th anniversary New York is important.

MR. van ALFEN: Well, the question is what 400 th anniversary?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well, I don't --
MR. van ALFEN: This is Peter van Alfen. So the foundation of New York City are going Amsterdam took place in 1609. That anniversary has already
sailed. So the anniversary of New York as a refoundation by the British is still in the future, the 400 th anniversary, so I'm not entirely sure what 400 th anniversary is current. There were some problems with Dutch community, Dutch settlements in 1620 s, but not sure if there's an anniversary to be celebrated.

However, the idea of pursuing the joint project with the Dutch Mint is certainly intriguing, much like we are currently doing with the Royal Mint in the United Kingdom and that might be something to explore. I'm not sure exactly how that could be proposed and how we deal with that and what exactly could be proposed as a program.

Again, apparently because of the anniversary issue or the settlement in 1609, that anniversary has already gone on and something such as Dutch -- English-Dutch wars were ongoing throughout this period, the colony of course, and the Dutch relinquishing New Amsterdam to the British, the refoundation insisting supports the work. So, I don't necessarily feel the Dutch Mint want to be celebrate that anniversary, but, you know, exploring something
with Dutchmen would be great, but again I'm not sure exactly what that would be to commemorate. So at this point, I don't have a specific motion or proposal.

MR. SCARINCI: Yeah, I mean -- Donald

Scarinci. I, you know, would be my preference to eliminate the correctional officers. I think that's not something that, you know, I could support or that I think we should be recommending. If Congress wants to do something like that on their own, they can, but $I$ don't think that's worthy of, you know, of our recommendation.

MS. LANNIN: Well, let me just bring up, all we are doing is reporting to you what suggestions have come in from the mailbox to the ccac.gov. So, we did that.

MR. SCARINCI: Okay. No motions
necessary?
MS. LANNIN: No motions necessary.
DR. BROWN: Are there any other motions?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: For one to adjourn.

DR. BROWN: With respect to these
recommendations of the public.
(No audible response)

DR. BROWN: Hearing none, thank you,
Mary, and the members of the Working Group, and we look forward to your continued input until we are here for a meeting in September. Are there any additional motions on any matter?
(No audible response)
DR. BROWN: Hearing none, $I$ look for a
motion, the type that you --
MR. MORAN: I move we adjourn.
DR. BROWN: Your name is?

MR. MORAN: Michael Moran.

MR. SCARINCI: Donald Scarinci seconds.

DR. BROWN: I appreciate your attendance for this meeting, especially our first public meeting after 2-plus years. If there is no further business, then we are adjourned.

MS. WARREN: Bye, Robin.
MS. LANNIN: Bye, Robin.

MR. SCARINCI: Bye, Robin.
MS. SALMON: Bye. Sorry I couldn't be
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| 125:10 | historian 94:12 | 56:20 60:22 | hub 84:21 |
| heavy 125:2 | historic 109:11 | 69:11 81:14 | hubble 135:1 |
| held 57:21 | history 5:11 | 82:10 115:20 | hudson 12:15 |
| hello 32:16 | 12:7 27:13 | 173:20 | 57:9 |
| 75:16 | 28:20 29:8 | honors | huh 147:19 |
| help 58:2 59:12 | 45:16,18 46:7 | hoover 125:4 | human 124:2 |
| 103:18 162:7 | 55:19 67:6,15 | hope 147:2 | 125:5 |
| 164:5 | 67:20 77:4 | hoped 173:16 | humans 124:12 |
| helped 19:17 | 86:12 105:6 | hopefully 18:21 | 125:12 |
| helping 22:3 | 109:6,22 | 62:10 156:18 | huntington |
| 84:17 176:13 | hit 111:10 | 165:6 | 105:15 |
| helps 82:7 | 144:10,10 | hoping 170:13 | huntsville 123:19 124:11 |


| 125:2 | 86:12,21 87:4 | 108:14,22 109:8 | 159:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| husky 102:10 | 88:10 90:16 | 111:13 112:20 | including 55:4 |
| hyatt 105:15 | 93:20 98:2 | 133:1 134:22 | 55:15 59:22 |
| hyphen 106:21 | 105:11 106:9 | 135:11 147:1 | inclusion 157:10 |
| i | 109:5 111:6 | 166:14 174:12 | incorporated |
| icon 175:12 | 112:17,18 116:4 | 175:3,13,14 | 138: |
| iconic 131:11 | 120:4 135:22 | 176:1 178:4,16 | increase 14:9 |
| :11,14,20 | 155:19 | improvement | incused 89:18 |
| iconicness | illuminating | 115:7 | independence |
| 138:22 | 103:19 | impulse 125:11 | 157:11 159:22 |
| iconism 153:16 | illustrate 107 | inaudible 35:3 | indian 27:4,12 |
| iconography | illustrates 45:1 | 49:11 65:14 | 27:14,15,20 |
| 110:5 | illustrating 90:5 | 69:16 70:17 | 28:9,12,13,13 |
| idar 173:17 | illustration | 75:2,7,8,12 | 29:8 30:8,17,22 |
| idea 42:2 47:2 | 146:15 | 76:18 77:3,4 | 31:18 32:1,5 |
| 54:19 105:22 | illustrator | 78:16 87:2 | 33:5 44:4,10 |
| 143:6,8 149:4 | 140:20 | 91:14 99:2 | 45:18 46:7,14 |
| 158:9 165:10 | image 116:8 | 104:13 107:1 | 47:2 50:5 |
| 170:17 179:7 | 26:15 153:6 | 115:10,20 | indians 27:17,19 |
| ideas 58:21 | images 76:14 | 120:18 135:5 | 28:2,4,7 29:10 |
| 156:20 168:12 | 152:21 153:3 | 141:4 145:12 | 29:11,15,19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 150: 20 \\ & 173: 2 \end{aligned}$ | imagine 18:9 | 162:5 166:1 | 30:1,10 32:21 |
| identifiable | 58:9 | 167:22 169:18 | 33:2,22 39:12 |
| 131:15 | immediately | inaugurations | 43:22 44:1 45:3 |
| identified 56:8 | 58:19 115:5 | 60:3 | 46:4,8 |
| 73:14 | 116:9 152:16 | inch 16:19,20 | indicate 10:10 |
| identify 36:6 | impact 123:22 | 7:22 18:1 23:1 | 36:9 95:19 |
| 63:16 115:7 | impetus 28:1 | 23:3 115 | 157:2 |
| 152:17 154:22 | importance 75:3 | inclined 102:8 | indicated 64:18 |
| identity 27:21 | 76:16,22 | include 14:14 | 73:16 |
| 42:3 46:5 47:2 | important 7:15 | 56:16 116:8 | indicating 106:2 |
| 109:6 | 22:8 27:11 29:6 | 26:20 127:3 | indisputably |
| ignorant 91:3 | 29:21 30:4 | 138:14 141:18 | 112:11 |
| ii 29:14 44:3 | 33:22 37:15 | 167:1 | individual 27:12 |
| illinois 5:22 9:14 | 42:14 43:6 47:1 | included 90:3 | 27:20 31:5 58:4 |
| $12: 18,19,21$ | 48:19 63:3,5 | 134:3 | 94:18,20 |
| $81: 14,16 \text { 82:17 }$ | 65:10 67:20 | includes 9:3 | industrial 84:18 |
| 83:16 84:2,5,7 | 71:8 85:22 97:1 | 23:1 37:3 56:19 | 86:3 |
| 84:13,20,21 | 97:3 98:3 100:7 | 60:9 87:11 | industry 84:22 |
| 85:1,16,21 86:5 | 105:20 108:13 | 116:16 159:17 |  |


| 14:2 | innovators | instrument | introduction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| information |  |  |  |
| 21 96:16 | input 17:5 71:7 | instrumen | vented 88:16 |
| 9:18 169:2 | 132:9 155:22 | 7: | vention 89:19 |
| $15176: 7$ | 81: | insurrectionists | ventor 88:1 |
| informed 155:22 | inscribed 28:12 | 54:22 | verted 39:9 |
| informs 47:22 | 7:3 126:3 |  | nvitation 85:2 |
| infused | inscription 18:3 | 65:13 | involved 43: |
| 26:16 127:13 | 86:19 87:1,5,12 | interactive | 44:15 65:9 |
| 127:19 138:16 | 87:17,22 89:6 | 44:15 77:8 | 159:5 |
| infusion 19:18 | 89:11,15,19 | intercept | island 173:8 |
| :16 162:14 | 90:3,6,11 | interest 16:13 | issue 27:10 |
| initial 94:8 | 125:20 126:5,12 | 20:12 40:1 | 35:15 38:7,15 |
| 104:9 | 126:16 127:8,13 | interested | 82:9 113:15 |
| initially 42:11 | 127:18 | 115:20 170:3,19 | 140:11 158:8 |
| 5:7 124:1 | inscriptions | 174:10 183:15 | 179:15 |
| 125:4 158:14 | 28:11 56:18 | 184:11 | issues 13:5 70:21 |
| injuries 55:7,15 | 86:4 125:13 | interesting | it'd 115:16 |
| 62:9 | 26:20 127: | 38:18,21 | it'll 37:9 42: |
| innovation 9:13 | 163:4 | 39:13 42:18 | item 26:5 155:16 |
| 14,16,16 | inserting 166:8 | 66:20 67:5 | items 95:20 |
| 12:20,20 13:3, | inside 60:2 | 99:11 100:4 | 135:15 169:5 |
| 8:14,17 82:7 | 127:19 140:18 | 102:19 143:12 | ivey 6:4 13:1 |
| 82:10 84:5,9,16 | insight 17:8 | intergovernme... | 123:2,13,15 |
| 84:21 90:17 | 3:17 | 2:4 11:7 | J |
| 2:16 96:19 | insightful 17:5 | interior | J |
| 12 98:1 | 66:16 | 76:21 | jackie 174:13,15 |
| 08 | insisting 179:20 | in | january 5:17 |
| 0:4,4 116:17 | inspired 59:17 | 75: | 9:12 12:14 |
| 0:4 121:20 | 27:15,18 | in | 5:17 54:4 11 |
| :17,22 133:2 | instance 132:2 | 22:2 | :22 56:7, |
| 5:21 143:19 | 34:16 | international | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 2250: 1,10 \\ & 9: 9: 974: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 21 | 64 | :21 175:6 |
| inno | titution 54:7 | internet 19:5 | jb 5:22 |
| 4 83:6 84 | institutional | interpret 30:13 | 83:16 84: |
| 96:20 | :21 | intrigued 42:15 | jeanne 5:49:4 |
| innovative 40:13 | institutions 46:6 | intriguing 179:8 | 11:22 20:11 |
| 151:20 | truction 93:1 | introduce 7:19 | $21: 4 \text { 23:2,8,10 }$ |
| innovator 88:3 | instructions | roducing | 26:1 30:6 |
|  | 92:22 | 24:11 | 164:14 |


| jeffrey 55:7 | joint 179:7 | kept 45:5 | 112:2,2,3,6,6,7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| jen 13:8 | joseph 4:14 | key 46:4 | 112:9,10,11,11 |
| jennifer 2:3 9:20 | 34:19 | kick 7:6 35:2 | 112:13,14,17,20 |
| 10:3 11:6 19:2 | journalists | 141:20 | 112:22,22 |
| 24:20 50:10 | 54:21 | kid 152:20 | 113:14 114:19 |
| :18 123:9 | journey 58:18 | kids 116:10 | 115:10,19 116:5 |
| 9:21 160:11 | jovita 173:16 | killed 55:21 | 129:12,22,22 |
| 9:11 176:16 | judge 103:2 | kind 44:4 77:2 | 130:2,4,4,6,8,9 |
| 177:6,13 178:8 | judges 22:10 | 103:20 107:8 | 130:21,22,22 |
| jersey 111:5 | judging 150:11 | 110:5 114:16 | 131:3,3,6,6,15 |
| 112:18,19 | judgment | 115:10,17 | 131:18,20,20,21 |
| job 1:17 18:12 | 131:22 | 130:22 131:7 | 131:21,22 132:4 |
| 18:13 21:11 | juices 164:1 | 136:16 141:12 | 133:14 137:13 |
| 25:12 40:15 | jump 108:18 | 145:17 150:2 | 137:14 141:5,6 |
| 65:12 75:19 | jumped 11:10 | kingdom 179:10 | 141:8,8,10,12 |
| 107:12 115:19 | 64:10 | klimt 167:7 | 141:16,17,21 |
| 130:21 155:21 | june 1:67:11 | knew 108:18 | 143:8,20,21 |
| joe 11:3 34:17 | 27:15 | know 13:9 17:16 | 145:21 146:13 |
| 48:20,21 49:14 | justificat | 19:7 23:17,20 | 146:14 148:8 |
| 58:3 76:12,13 | 169:17 175:9 | 24:5,8 29:9 | 152:15,16 |
| 90:22 91:2,6 | k | 33:10,13 34:1 | 155:19 157:21 |
| 113:9,10,21,22 | k | 38:17,22 39:1,1 | 162:10 163:13 |
| 114:4,6,7 |  | 39:4,5,6,10,11 | 163:15,16,16,17 |
| 118:20 139:14 | kamala $74 \cdot 13$ | 39:15,15,16,18 | 164:2,18,21 |
| 140:16 142:22 | kate 12:15 57:9 | 39:18,19 40:8 | 165:5,6,7,8,9,10 |
| 146:5,6 155:4 | kay 6:4 13:1 | 41:12 42:2,6 | 170:3,4,15 |
| 164:2 | $123: 2,15$ | 45:17 47:10 | 171:1,5,7,12,14 |
| joe's 72:11 | keep 17:17 | 48:8 50:6 58:14 | 174:14,16,20 |
| 116:11 | $19.2136 \cdot 5$ | 58:14,14 62:19 | 176:2 179:22 |
| john 15:19 84:14 | 1:12 58:8 | 67:19,21 70:21 | 180:5,7,10 |
| 84:14 85:14,20 | 63:15 95:1 | 71:22 72:14,14 | knowledge |
| 104:1,1,2 109:8 | . 22 128.7 | 72:15,15,15,15 | 183:9 184:6 |
| 109:9,10 | $4: 17 \quad 151: 7$ | 72:18,18 74:7 | knows 72:17 |
| join 17:13 20:4 | , | 97:9 98:7,10,12 | 141:17 |
| 23:7 84:3 85:2 |  | 100:12,12,20 | kotlowski 2:13 |
| joined 12:15 | 63:17 95:18 | 101:7 102:1 | 8:1,2 45:13,14 |
| 13:9 20:10 |  | 109:2,8,15 | 73:9,10 |
| joining 13:13 | $125: 7$ | 110:10,11,22,22 | 108:5,6 109:21 |
| 174:17 | kentucky 158 | 111:4,6,9,11,14 | 135:19,20 153:2 |
|  | kentucky 158.1 | 111:16,19 112:1 | 153:3 161:14,14 |


| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 174: 8,8 \quad 176: 5 \\ \text { kudos 106:16 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|cc\|} \hline \text { largest } & 60: 19 \\ 97: 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { lee } 5: 196: 2 \\ 12: 16,2283: 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 83: 8 \\ \text { library } \\ 74: 2 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | lasting 71:8 | 83:17,22 94:3 | licensed 141: |
| l 83:22,22 | lastly 47:17 | 9:6 122:22 | liebengood 55:6 |
| la 22:2 | late | 23:2,4 | lieutenant 5: |
| label 152:18 | laughter 109:20 | left 24:17,18 | 12:11 13:12 |
| ies 17:12 | 42:20 | 11:22 126:3,11 | 51:17 56:14 |
| 65:9 143:22 | launch 124:3,12 | legal 4:18 11:11 | 57:7,10,19 |
| 55.9 | 125:3,12 126:19 | 32:8 63:9 90:15 | 64:18 70:15 |
| - 18 110:6 | 127:2 133:14 | 127:22 140:5 | 73:3,10 74:21 |
| 4 | launched | legislation 169:3 | 75:17 77:8,12 |
| landscape 1 | launches 127:11 | legislative $2: 4$ | lieutenant's |
| lane 84:14 85:15 | 131:13 151:1 | 1:7 | 67:14 |
| 85:16,17 | law 27:8,16 $28: 1$ | length 114:22 | life 33:14 109:15 |
| language 30:12 | 41:6 53:22 54:4 | 16:12 | ft 125:2 |
| languages 30:9 | 4:22 55:10,14 | leonidas 88:3 | lifting 125 : |
| lannin 3:15 8:18 | 72:1 | 90:9 89:3 | iftoff 126:2 |
| 8:19 26:12 | 82:7 157:8 | lesions 89:2 | liked 41:21 70: |
| 35:19,20 | la | letter 26:11 | 76:3 101:6 |
| 66:14,14 92:15 | 8:20 62:18 | 156:13 177:9 | 102:22 103:4,11 |
| 92:15 100:3,3 | 92:22 93:1 | lettering 38:12 | 05:11,13,22 |
| 102:17 113:6,6 | 42 | 43:1 47:6 | 07:20 108:10 |
| 114:13 119:19 | lead 109:1 | 105:11 134:7 | 110:3,10 130:3 |
| 129:5,5 148:11 | leader 84:2 | 37:15 | 32:15,15 |
| 156:8,15 158:13 | leaders 60:4 | letters 9:5 26:7 | 133:20 142 |
| 159:18 162:3,18 | leadership 14:13 | 26:22 167:10 | 153:10 |
| 162:21 163:2,6 | 17:8 | 73:1 | ikes 131:8 |
| 165:22 166:4 | lea | levels | liking 101:16 |
| 167:3,5,15,19 | 95:2 | liable 29:2 | 130:2 |
| 168:5,7,17 | leagues 17 | liaison 2:5 | limit 128:1 |
| 169:10 172:1,16 | 174:18 139:10 | 20 11:7 12:12 | 129:1 |
| 172:20 174:6 | lean 48:1 139:10 | 2:18 13:2 | limited 140:20 |
| 176:4 178:3 | leaning 139:19 | 22 56:8 | 45:22 |
| 180:12,18 | learning 24:12 | 58:17 | limits 27 |
| 181:20 182:2 | 65:8 | 4:19 65:4 | line 38:7 49:10 |
| large 86:16 | leave 59:3 61:4 | 71:13 154:19 | 113:15 114:19 |
| 87:15 111:21 | 6:3 155:17 | 55: | 118:17,18 |
| 170:7 | 160:19 171:14 | liaison's 71: | lines 38:1,3,8 |
| larger 37 | led 71:4 88:5 | liaisons 7:12 | 42:14,22,22 |
| 160:17 161:3 |  | 12:4 66:7 72:2 | 70:9 136:12 |


| 156:20 | look 13:16 19:20 | loud 36:16 65:22 | ainstream |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 157:10 159:21 | 20:6 24:2,2,2,6 | 95:21 128:13 | 46:16 |
| listed 37:14 | 33:14 35:20 | louder 31:13 | maintain 14:5 |
| listen 141:16 | 36:20 42:6 59:6 | 51:19 82:1 | maintained |
| 177:19 | 93:10 97:14 | love 17:9 18:11 | 109:10 |
| listening 7:18 | 108:21 111:14 | 21:11 41:11 | major 28:1 44:3 |
| 119:10 | 111:14,17 | 104:10 110:2 | 46:19 164:8 |
| literal 146:9,11 | 112:15 114:20 | 112:18 116:3 | 174:18 |
| literally 44:18 | 114:21 130:7 | 129:10,11 | maker 147:21 |
| 84:16 | 134:22 136:9,14 | 135:13 136:14 | makers 85:18 |
| little 37:1,12 | 145:18 175:22 | 137:8,9 138:11 | making 46:14 |
| 39:14 44:11 | 181:4,9 | 143:6 158:8,9 | 136:19,19 149:7 |
| 45:19 47:8,18 | looked 47:8 | 164:21 | 165:13 170:17 |
| 47:19 67:21 | 48:10 67:9 | loved 139:8 | 171:1 176:21 |
| 68:14 72:4,12 | 104:12 110:8,15 | lovely 70:7 | male 29:17 |
| 73:20 80:12,21 | 136:20 153:2,3 | low 124:12 | man 58:15 |
| 91:9 96:15 99:9 | looking 37:12 | 125:13 | managed 134:15 |
| 99:14,17 103:5 | 38:22 39:19 | lower 138:16, | management |
| 109:4 110:9,15 | 12:6 116:17 | loyal 16:4,8 | 27:2 53:14 |
| 122:20 132:19 | 130:22 132:10 | It 63:6 | manager 4:3,6,9 |
| 148:18 154:5 | 138:20 | lunar 126:11 | 4:12 10:15,17 |
| 175:16,16,19 | looks 102:15 | 135:6 | 10:20 11:1 27: |
| lived 74:2 | 111:11 122:1 | lunch 8 | 13 81:11 |
| lives 54:10 55:11 | 152:6 | lying 60:3,4 | mankind 123 |
| 60:5,18 61:8 | looting | lyon 71:17 | anner 62: |
| 4,8 99:1 | loss 99:19 12 | m | facture |
| 109:15 | 125:8 |  | 85:17 |
| living 40:19 | lost 59:9 60:5,14 | machine 138:3 | map 123:13 |
| lobue 5:19 12:16 | 60:18 61:7 62:4 | 153:17 170:6, | mark 83:12 |
| 83:14,18,21,22 | 62:8 111:22 | 0:12 171:3 | 167:11 |
| 92:5,12,18 94:5 | 176:22 177:4 |  | market 107:1 |
| 119:1,6,6 | lot 19:6,12,19 | mail 50:8 154 | marketing |
| lockstep 44:21 | 29:6 38:1 39:2,2 |  | 170:18 |
| logo 127:14,19 | 45:18 74:1 | mailbox 173 | marking 86:3 |
| 138:11 | 100:5 102:5 | alloox | marks 113:7,7 |
| long 19:14 30:18 | 2:4 137:19,19 | ailed 50:7 | 114:11 |
| 150:17 |  |  | marshall 124:10 |
| 175:15 | 163:11 170:21 | main 116:13 | 125:1 |
| longer 30:9 | 171:2 176:2 | maine 82:18 | mary 3:15 8:18 |
| 76:19 175:17 |  |  | 35:19 37:5,6 |


| 66:13,14 68:11 | 58:7,12,12,18 | medicine 88:4 | 95:19 98:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92:15 100:2,3 | 59:2,13,17 60:9 | 88:15 | 160:19 |
| 104:10 110:1 | 61:17 63:11 | meet $25: 16,21$ | members 7:20 |
| 113:4,6 114:10 | 66:8,17 67:11 | 74:6 | 9:21 10:9 14:12 |
| 129:4,5 138:6 | 67:20 68:15 | meeting 1:37:3 | 34:8 36:3,4,9,11 |
| 156:8,14 162:2 | 70:21 71:5,7,16 | 7:6,9 9:3,7 10:1 | 52:5 54:20 |
| 165:21 176:8 | 73:5,17 75:3,20 | 10:9 13:18,20 | 55:10 58:5 |
| 181:4 | 75:20 76:16,17 | 14:22 16:2 | 60:14 61:11,13 |
| mary's 114:8 | 76:21 77:2,2 | 20:19 23:15 | 61:14 62:19 |
| mass 107:18 | 115:4 162:5 | 24:19 26:7 35:2 | 63:8,14,18 |
| mast 60:6,7 | 163:9,12,18,22 | 101:16 136:6 | 65:17,18 76:12 |
| matching 101:2 | 164:4,13 165:7 | 156:19 176:10 | 78:15 95:5,11 |
| material 99:19 | 165:13 166:5,13 | 181:6,16,16 | 97:22 119:10 |
| math 166:7 | 170:16 171:10 | meetings 13:21 | 127:22 128:6,9 |
| 167:16 | 173:15 174:10 | 101:18 112:2 | 140:3 143:20,21 |
| matter 12:9 | 176:22 177:3,7 | 115:19 156:17 | 157:8 160:13 |
| 28:15 32:20 | 177:11 | 157:22 | 166:11 176:8 |
| 48:3 49:10 | medal's 61:3 | meets 100:19 | 181:4 |
| 181:7 | medalic 71:18 | meg 122:17 | memorial 5:9 |
| matters 143:8 | medalists 19:16 | megan 3:21 | 12:6 28:18 |
| mean 32:22 40:6 | medallic 21:13 | 10:13 11:10 | memorializing |
| 72:5,17 75:2 | 22:4,14 56:4 | 19:4 26:22 27:5 | 76:21 |
| 131:9,11,18 | 164:17 | 49:18,21 53:12 | memories 43:19 |
| 138:8 146:7,13 | medals 5:15 | 53:18,20 54:14 | 115:14 139:9 |
| 148:8 162:21 | 9:10 12:13 | 63:22 64:11 | memory 105:14 |
| 168:18 170:4 | 16:16,19 17:6,9 | 65:8 77:12 | men 60:10 61:22 |
| 171:5 180:4 | 17:21 53:15,22 | 81:11,17,19 | menna 4:14 11:3 |
| meaning 74:16 | 54:5,9 62:6 67:4 | 92:21 96:16 | 11:5 34:15,19 |
| 152:6 | 67:5,7 70:22 | 97:6 100:17 | 34:19 35:4,7,11 |
| meaningful | 71:877:21 | 118:22 121:19 | 48:21,21 49:16 |
| 39:20 | 156:8 166:22 | 122:6,14 124:16 | 76:13,13,20 |
| means 58:12 | 167:11,18 169:6 | megan's 93:1 | 91:2,2,6 113:10 |
| meant 46:9 | 170:2,7 | member 2:11,14 | 113:10,20,21,22 |
| 102:14 | media 10:4, | 2:17,20 3:1,4,7 | 113:22 114:4,4 |
| medaille 22:2 | medical 92:16 | 3:10,13 5:2,5,11 | 114:7,8,14 |
| medal 16:20,21 | 94:1 96:11 99:1 | 11:20 12:1,8 | 118:21 140:14 |
| 17:1,22 18:2,2 | 99:2 100:8 | 15:15 16:11 | 140:16,16 143:2 |
| 22:16 23:2,2,3,4 | 101:10 104:22 | 17:19 20:12 | 146:6,6 164:2 |
| 29:6 30:6 33:5 | 105:20 106:5,17 | 28:20 36:16 | mental 14:9 |
| 43:21 57:22 |  | 56:1 74:10 |  |


| mention 66:16 | microphone's | $77: 14$ 82:9,12 | missouri 82:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69:8 90:21 | 124:16 | 83:8 86:7,11 | mix 145:2 |
| mentioned 30:5 | microphones | 94:10,17 128:20 | mob 54:22 61:3 |
| 48:2 63:19 | 36:17 95:22 | 144:10 154:18 | model 88:14 |
| 71:11 132:16,22 | microsoft 7:14 | 162:7,12 163:17 | modern 166:13 |
| 135:7 136:7 | midwest 84:18 | 164:6,9,10,22 | modernist |
| 167:13 176:8 | 86:2 | 165:1 167:6 | 163:20 |
| mentions 29:8 | midwestern 85:7 | 169:9 170:3,18 | module 131:1 |
| merits 70:21 | 85:13,19 | 173:10 178:13 | mohawk 23:4 |
| 103:3 137:19 | migrated 85:7 | 179:8,9,21 | moldboard |
| mesosphere | migration 84:17 | mint's 27:1 | 87:10 |
| 126:8 | 86:1 | 53:13 81:12 | moment 30:4 |
| message 152:22 | mike 8:3 10:3 | 106:16 162:13 | 51:7 58:1 73:15 |
| messages 30:8 | 11:14 40:4,6 | 169:2 | money 171:1,2 |
| 30:12 | 47:17 52:17 | mints 163:11 | months 30:10 |
| met 22:18 48:17 | 68:3,7 90:20 | 164:9 | 173:4 |
| metal 162:22 | 93:13 102:20,21 | minute 50:11 | monumental |
| 163:1,2,4 170:6 | 116:22 117:1 | 78:3 143:22 | 13:22 |
| metals 16:16 | 128:16,19 131:5 | 148:8 151:8 | moon 123:22 |
| 170:4 | 145:18 146:7 | 153:21 | 124:2 125:6,16 |
| metamodule | 150:6 154:17 | minutes 9:6 | 125:19 126:2,11 |
| 153:13 | 158:18 168:9 | 13:17 26:6,10 | 126:15 131:16 |
| meter 59:20 | 176:20 | 26:21 36:6,11 | 131:17 |
| method 81:12 | military 29:15 | 50:8 63:15 | moran 2:16 8:3 |
| methodically | 29:19 30:17 | 65:18 78:6 | 8:4 40:5,6 52:17 |
| 156:21 | million 170:6 | 95:18 96:10 | 52:17 68:4,7,7 |
| metropolitan | milwaukee | 120:7,11 128:7 | 90:20,20 91:4 |
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