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 MR. SAUNDERS: Present.

THE CHAIRMAN: Michael Moran,
Recommended by the Senate Majority Leader.
MR. MORAN: Present.
THE CHAIRMAN: Donald Scarinci,

Recommended by the Senate Minority Leader. Donald, I see you but I don't hear you. I'll mark you as present.

MR. SCARINCI: Present.
THE CHAIRMAN: Dennis Tucker, the member Specially Qualified in Numismatics.

MR. TUCKER: Dennis Tucker, present.
THE CHAIRMAN: Kellen Hoard,

Representing the General Public.
MR. HOARD: Present.

THE CHAIRMAN: Annelisa Purdie,
Representing the General Public.
MS. PURDIE: Present.

THE CHAIRMAN: And I am Peter van

Alfen, the member Specially Qualified as a Numismatic Curator and the new Chairperson of the CCAC.

So I believe we do have a quorum.
MR. WEINMAN: You do, yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: The agenda for today's public meeting includes:

The approval of minutes and letters to the Secretary from the November 28, 2023, public meeting;

The swearing in of our new member of the CCAC - Annelisa Purdie, who is one of the three members appointed to represent the interest of the general public;

The review and discussion of the candidate designs for the 2025 American Innovation \$1 coin honoring innovation in Florida;

The review and discussion of candidate designs for the 2025 American Innovation $\$ 1$ coin honoring innovation in Texas;

The review and discussion of design options for the 2026 Semiquincentennial One Cent and Five Cent Coins; and

Discussion of Future Themes for Platinum Proof Coins.

Now, before we begin our proceedings, I will ask the Mint Liaison to the CCAC, Ms. Jennifer
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Warren, if we are aware of any members of the press who are remotely watching the public meeting?

MS. WARREN: Yes, this is Jennifer
Warren. Paul Gilkes, Coin World Senior Editor, is on the call, as well as Mike Unser, Founder and Editor of CoinNews Media Group.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you, Jen, and welcome, gentlemen.

For the record, I would also like to confirm that the following Mint staff are in attendance today. So please indicate "present" after I have called your name.

Director Ventris Gibson, US Mint.
MS. GIBSON: Present. Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. April
Stafford, Chief of the Office of Design Management.
MS. STAFFORD: Present.

THE CHAIRMAN: Megan Sullivan, Senior
Design Specialist.
MS. SULLIVAN: Present.
THE CHAIRMAN: Roger Vasquez, Senior Design Manager.

MR. VASQUEZ: Present.
THE CHAIRMAN: Russell Evans, Design Manager.

MR. EVANS: Present.

THE CHAIRMAN: Boneza Hanchock, Design
Manager.
MS. HANCHOCK: Present.

THE CHAIRMAN: Sukrita Baijal, Design Manager.

MS. BAIJAL: Present.
THE CHAIRMAN: Joseph Menna, Chief
Engraver.

MR. MENNA: Present.
THE CHAIRMAN: Michael Costello, Manger of Design and Engraving.

MR. COSTELLO: Present.
THE CHAIRMAN: Jennifer Warren, Director of Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs and Liaison to the CCAC.

MS. WARREN: Present. And also, just to point out, sir, the last agenda item is also the Best of the Mint that we'll be talking about, as well.

Forgot that on the list.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.

MS. WARREN: That was my fault.
THE CHAIRMAN: No problem. Greg Weinman, Senior Legal Counsel and Counsel to the CCAC.

MR. WEINMAN: Good afternoon, Peter. Present.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Brendan Tate, Senior Government Affairs Specialist, Office of Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs.

MR. TATE: Present.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. And finally, Michael White, Office of Corporate Communication.

All right. And hopefully I've got everybody. And finally, I want to note for the record that we will be joined later in the meeting by liaisons for the various programs we are reviewing today.

And for the 2025 American Innovation \$1 coin honoring innovation in Florida, we will have Anastasios Kamoutsas, who is Deputy Chief of Staff of the Executive Office of the Governor of

Florida.
For the 2025 American Innovation \$1 coin honoring Texas, we will have Thomas W. "Wes" Hambrick, who is Director of the Office of StateFederal Relations, in the Office of the Governor of Texas.

And in addition, we will have Andrew Meade McGee, who is Curator of Computing at the National Air and Space Museum, Smithsonian Institution, who will be joining us for both the Florida and Texas portfolios, as the subject-matter expert.

So welcome to all of you, and we do look forward to hearing from you, your thoughts on these portfolios, and any preferences that you might have.

So I'd like to begin with the Mint.
Are there any other issues that need to be addressed before we begin?

MS. WARREN: No, sir.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. So
the first order of business that we have today then is
for this Committee is the review and approval of the CCAC minutes and letters to the Secretary of the Treasury from our public meeting on November 28, 2023. Are there any comments on these documents?

All right. Hearing none, is there a motion to approve the minutes and the letters?

DR. CAPOZZOLA: Chris Capozzola, so moved.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you, Chris. Is there a second?

MR. TUCKER: Dennis Tucker, second.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Dennis. All right. So all those in favor, except for Ms. Purdie, who is abstaining from this vote, please signify by saying aye.

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Are there any objections to the motion? All right. Hearing none, without objection, the minutes and the letters are approved. Thank you very much.

Next, very happy moment, will be the
introduction and swearing in of Annelisa Purdie. Ms. Purdie was appointed effective January 30, 2024, just over a month ago, or almost a month ago, as one of three members to represent the interests of the general public.

Ms. Purdie, who is a historian and librarian by training, is a third-generation collector, whose interest in the hobby comes from both her mother and grandmother. In the past Ms. Purdie has used United States Mint education materials to develop programs for children at the New York Public Library and at after-school programs with the Salvation Army. Ms. Purdie brings to the Committee a strong knowledge base and enthusiasm, which I can attest to, in our nations coins and medals.

The Director Ventris Gibson, the Director of the United States Mint, will administer the oath of office to Annelisa Purdie, and I now turn it over to Director Gibson.

MS. GIBSON: Thank you. And at this time it is my honor, Annelisa Purdie, to administer that oath of office, and to thank you for your strong
personal and family history with numismatics and your work with sharing the interest of our coins with youth.

So Ms. Purdie, in just a moment $I$ will administer that oath. And with this oath, you will assume the position on the CCAC, as a member representing the interests of the general public. By doing so, you will join a very select and prestigious group of individuals invested in U.S. coins and medals. So would you be so kind as to raise your right hand and repeat after me? When I say, "I do solemnly swear," I'd like for you to pause and say "I," your name, "do solemnly swear." Please begin. I, your name, so solemnly swear.

MS. PURDIE: I, Annelisa J. Purdie, do solemnly swear --

MS. GIBSON: -- that $I$ will support and defend the Constitution of the United States.

MS. PURDIE: -- that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States --

MS. GIBSON: -- against all enemies, foreign and domestic.

MS. PURDIE: -- against all enemies, foreign and domestic.

MS. GIBSON: That $I$ will bear truth
faith --

MS. PURDIE: -- that I will bear true
faith --

MS. GIBSON: -- and allegiance to the same.

MS. PURDIE: -- and allegiance to the same.

MS. GIBSON: -- that $I$ take this
obligation freely --
MS. PURDIE: -- that I take this
obligation freely --
MS. GIBSON: -- without any mental
reservation --
MS. PURDIE: Without any mental
reservation --
MS. GIBSON: -- or purpose of evasion.

MS. PURDIE: -- or purpose of evasion.
MS. GIBSON: And that $I$ will --
MS. PURDIE: And that $I$ will --

MS. GIBSON: -- well and faithfully
discharge --

MS. PURDIE: -- well and faithfully
discharge --
MS. GIBSON: -- the duties of the office I'm about to enter --

MS. PURDIE: The duties of the office I'm about to enter --

MS. GIBSON: -- so help me God.
MS. PURDIE: -- so help me God.
MS. GIBSON: Ms. Purdie,
congratulations and welcome to the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee. We look forward to working with you. I now invite you to say a few words.

MS. PURDIE: Thank you very much. It's an honor to be here on the Committee, among likeminded individuals, who also share the same passion and love and $I$ hope to continue to serve well in this capacity. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Purdie, please allow me to add my heartiest congratulations and to welcome you to the CCAC on behalf of the rest of us.

Now, before we get back to our agenda, I'd like to make a few personal remarks. I began my term as Chairperson of the CCAC following that of Dr. Lawrence Brown, who did an outstanding job chairing this Committee, a sentiment $I$ know is shared by my colleagues. He is to be sure, a hard act to follow, not just for his excellent leadership, but for his generous heart and mind.

At a CCAC meeting later this year we will have a chance to thank him more fully for his contributions to our Committee, and to the field in general, including his initiatives to bring people from more unrepresented communities into numismatics. So I've now served on the CCAC for three years. It has been a joy and a privilege, one of the best experiences I've had yet, and not just for the interesting and important work that we do, but for the people I've met.

By design, the CCAC brings together an eclectic mix of 11 volunteers from different backgrounds and different parts of the U.S., and ask that we work together for the benefit of the entire
country. And we do that, not always agreeing with one another, of course, but are respectful and constructive, and along the way many of us have become, I would dare say, friends.

But at the same time we work alongside remarkable and wonderful staff of the U.S. Mint, who juggle many interests, who generate the designs we review, and who ultimately strike them into coins and medals. The Mint staff never fails to impress with their absolute professionalism and relentless pursuit, to create the best that can be, as demonstrated by the many spectacular recent issues coming out of the Mint.

I have no doubt that those of the future will look upon this period as one of the best in the Mint's history.

Now, my experience working with my CCAC colleagues and the staff of the Mint has given me a great deal of hope for the future, and this is hope in all large caps, like those on Reverend Dr. Pauli Murray Quarter, that has just entered circulation, hope that we as a nation will continue to do great things, hope that divisions will be mended, but more
specifically in our case here, hope that all of us, the staff of the Mint, the AIP artists, the stakeholders, the CCAC, along with the members of the CFA, will help bring to light the most stunning and prideworthy coins and medals the American public has yet seen.

Starting today and over the course of this year, we on the CCAC will be reviewing, among other things, the candidate designs for the coins celebrating upcoming Semiquincentennial in 2026, the 250th anniversary of the Declaration of Independence, and the formation of the United States of America.

I am really excited about what lies ahead. Ours is a once-in-a-generation, if not once-in-a-lifetime, opportunity to celebrate a major national milestone with a full suite of circulating coins and other special issues, and to show our fellow citizens and the world what we can do, all of us, together.

So let's get started. Moving on to our first portfolio to be reviewed today, this is the reverse candidate designs for the 2025 American

Innovation $\$ 1$ coin honoring innovation in Florida. The American Innovation \$1 Program was authorized by Public Law 115-197. April Stafford, Chief of the Office of Design Management, and Russ Evans, Design Manager for the program, will present the candidate designs for the 2025 American Innovation $\$ 1$ coin honoring innovation in Florida. So April, all yours. MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. Some background on the American Innovation $\$ 1$ Coin Program. It is Public Law 115-197, the American Innovation Dollar Coin Act, that requires the Secretary of the Treasury to mint and issue dollar coins with a reverse design, honoring innovation or innovators from each of the 50 states, the territories and the District of Columbia.

In accordance with the Act, the U.S. Mint worked with the governors of each state or representatives of the governor, to be honored in 2025, to develop concepts for the coins. These concepts have been approved by the Secretary of the Treasury.

The first two states recognized in

2025, Arkansas and Michigan, were presented at the CCAC's November 2023 CCAC and CFA meetings. The remaining 2025 states, Florida and Texas, are presented here today.

The governors were asked to propose between one to three design concepts, and then artists would create designs based on the concepts that were approved by the Secretary. Both Florida and Texas submitted one design concept for consideration, and they were both approved by Secretary Yellen.

The Mint collaborated with the liaisons and experts from the states in developing the following candidate designs. The obverse design for this program will remain the same as in previous years, and will contain a unique gear-shaped privy mark that will distinguish it from previous years' issuances.

So we'll start with Florida, and we have with us, as you mentioned, Mr. Chairman, Anastasios Kamoutsas, who is the Deputy Chief of Staff in the Florida Executive Office of the Governor, and I believe we also have Andrew Meade McGee, curator at
the National Air and Space Museum. So if you have any questions, we can go to these representatives. I'll ask Mr. Kamoutsas to say a few words in just one minute.

But first $I$ will tell you that Florida's concept is the Space Shuttle program. And just a little bit of background here, the Space Shuttle or Space Transition System was the world's first reusable spacecraft. Prior to use of the Space Shuttle, spacecraft were designed to be single-mission only. The Space Shuttle orbiter held a much larger cargo and could transport up to eight crewmembers, as compared to the three crewmembers in Apollo capsules.

NASA Space Shuttle fleet first launched on April 12, 1981, and completed 30 years of missions, each launched from the Kennedy Space Center's Launch Complex 39. So we have a total of nine candidate designs for your consideration.

Before presenting those, let me ask Mr. Kamoutsas to say a few words. Are you will us, Mr. Kamoutsas?

MR. KAMOUTSAS: I am. Good afternoon.

How is everybody today?
MS. STAFFORD: Great, thank you.
MR. KAMOUTSAS: Wonderful, wonderful. Well, I will be brief. It is week eight of our legislative session here in Florida, and so we are two weeks away from the end of it, and we are quite busy, but I wanted to make sure $I$ had the opportunity to say a few words of these great designs.

Florida is an undisputed leader in commercial space economic activity and exploration. In fiscal year '22-'23 Florida experienced 62 launches and during the '23 calendar year, the state had already surpassed that record on track for more than 80 launches by the end of the year, base Florida. As of November of 2023, estimated about 1.61 million pounds of payload that has been sent into orbit from Florida, underscoring the economic significance of that increased launch activity.

It was certainly great to see all the designs and provide feedback, and so, you know, I stand ready to, you know, re-emphasize the position we've previously taken on the designs that were
created.

Thank you so much for your time this morning.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. And to share with the Committee, it is our understanding, and please correct me if I'm wrong, Mr. Kamoutsas, the governor's office did not offer a specific preference but they did ask that Design 8 not be selected. So, again, if you have any questions, we can delve into that.

So we'll move through the candidate designs. We start with Design $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8$. Again, not a stated preference, but actually the governor's office did ask that this not be a selected design. And finally, Design 9, which was the CFA's recommendation.

Mr. Chairman?

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, April, and thank you, Mr. Kamoutsas. Are there any technical or legal questions from the Committee about this program or these designs for the 2025's American Innovation \$1 Coin honoring innovation in Florida, before we begin
our general discussion?
Hearing none, seeing none, let us begin our consideration. So I would like to remind members to please try to keep your comments to five minutes or less, and please do identify yourself prior to speaking. Additionally, if any members have any questions or comments on any program, we will consider them at the ends of the comments of each of the CCAC members.

So let's begin with Dr. Harcourt Fuller, if he's joined us. No, he hasn't --

MS. WARREN: Sorry, this is Jennifer Warren. He will not be attending, sir.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. Dr. Christopher Capozzola.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right. This is Chris Capozzola, and $I$ just want to say thank you to the designers and thank you to Florida for giving us the Space Shuttle, and we look forward to commemorating this innovation.

As I was sort of looking through these designs, $I$ was guided by a couple of things, which
also informed by our conversation about Arkansas and Michigan in our previous meeting, and ways in which it's important to, whenever possible, convey the specificity of the state and its contribution, and the relationship of this innovation to that state's history and it's population and its culture.

I also am cognizant that we want things to be recognizable to people who are collecting or, you know, sort of encountering these coins, and that it would give a chance to, you know, spark a conversation but maybe doesn't have to tell the entire story on one side of one coin.

I think if I had a preference, I think I'm torn largely between Number 1 and Number 9, and I can say a little bit about each, that on Number 1, I thought that this had -- it was very recognizable; it had great motion; it had a nice visual balance; it conveys obviously, Florida; and I might even suggest that those trees could be made a little bit more Florida specific, maybe a palm tree or so. And it would certainly, you know, sort of remind people of the past, present and future of the Space Shuttle
program. So I thought that one was very strong.
I also thought that Number 9, if we can
go all the way down there, also was strong. It certainly conveys an iconic image of the space Shuttle, not in space, but actually the Space Shuttle in Florida, you know, during takeoff, right, in ways that would be recognizable to many.

I didn't, frankly, love the smoke clouds, you know, artistically, and there is an opportunity here, I think, to depict some landscape either/or some buildings from the Kennedy Space Center, around it. I appreciated the font and we'll obviously be talking about NASA-themed fonts, for both of these quarters today. And I thought that one would also be very strong.

I think maybe with that, I'll just stop there.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much for your comments. Mike Moran.

MR. MORAN: Thank you, Peter. This is Mike Moran. I'm going to basically differ with Chris on his choice on Number 9. I did like the exhaust
from the rocket. I thought it framed it nicely, and added to a sense of motion, when you view this design. When you compare it to Number 2, which is similar, I think this is the superior design. The Space Shuttle is very recognizable here and it's going to get my vote.

I'm done.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mike. Donald Scarinci.

MR. SCARINCI: Except for the -- I
happen to like Number 3. You know, it's clear, it's -- there is motion there. I don't care for the three big stars, you know, which I'm sure somebody is going to interpret as meaning something that they clearly don't mean, but, you know, I think I could also see Number 1, very clear, very clear Space Shuttle, but that's the Space Shuttle landing, and I don't believe the Space Shuttle lands in Florida. I think it lands at Edwards.

MR. MCGEE: The Space Shuttle does land
in Florida sometimes. It also landed at Edwards.

MR. SCARINCI: It does?

MR. MCGEE: Yes. Yes. In fact, I've got a great photo $I$ happen to have in front of me now of the Space Shuttle landing in Kennedy Space Center in 2011, the last landing of Discovery.

MS. WARREN: Is this Andrew McGee speaking?

MR. MCGEE: Yes. Hello, my apologies for not introducing myself. Hello. My name is Andrew Meade McGee. I'm Curator of Computing at the Smithsonian Institution's National Air and Space Museum, and $I$ work for all of you. I'm the federal -computer -- general historian and technology and space life.

MR. SCARINCI: Thank you, Andrew. But, you know, I think I have to thank Florida for giving us a space coin. You know, space coins, you know, always popular and, you know, we haven't had a shuttle. I think there was this minor depiction of one in one of the state quarters, but that was a long time ago.

So I think, you know, I think either 1 or 3. I understand what you're saying, Mike, about
the smoke, you know, of the liftoff, and these are all -- that's certainly a nice design. I agree with you, that's if we were -- for people who want that takeoff candidate, Number 9 is superior to Number 2 in my opinion, but, you know, $I$ like the angles of 7 and 6. I agree with the governor's office to eliminate Number 8.

You know, so I think you can't go wrong really with any of these designs. They're all, you know, they're all clear. They're nice. It's a nice Space Shuttle coin and a nice tribute, and a kind of really excellent tribute to Florida, well done to the governor's office.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Donald. Dennis Tucker.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Dennis Tucker, and I really love Design Number 9. It has action; it has very dramatic explosive action. But having said that, I must tell you why I object to it, and will not be giving it my support.

The Mint recently publicized the Alabama Innovation Dollar, which will be coming out
this year. I'll hold up a photo or a printout of it. And if you can't see this now, you can see it on the website usmint.gov. It's a very similar design to this motif that we see for Florida Number 9. It has the dramatic depiction of the exhaust at bottom of the vehicle blasting into space. In Alabama's case it was a Saturn V rocket.

So I love the design. I love the way it looks, but $I$ think it's just too similar to Alabama. Those of us who were on the Committee in June of 2022 will remember reviewing Alabama's design candidates. We scored that design 30 out of 33 potential points in our ranking, and it obviously is the one that ended up being selected by the Secretary of the Treasury. So there's no question that it's a very nice design.

But for that reason, that similarity, and almost repetition of the basic motif, I will not be voting for it, but I think the Florida Design 2 offers a similar look at the experience of a Space Shuttle launch, with perhaps an even more dramatic perspective, and it has the added advantage of having
an explanation of what we're seeing on the upper left arc, where it says "The Space Shuttle Program," which is something that is also lacking in Reverse 9.

So my support is for Reverse 2. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

THE CHAIRMAN: Dennis, thank you very much, and also thank you for bringing to our attention the previously selected Alabama design. John Saunders.

MR. SAUNDERS: Thank you. This is John
Saunders. My first thing is I'd like to welcome Annelisa to the Committee, before $I$ begin the -- but it's nice having you here.

You know, it's not very often that $I$ like the same three that everybody else does. I like -- well, one exception. I like Number 2 probably best, as an artistic design. I just don't think it would look very good on a coin. I think the Space Shuttle is clear on paper, but if you have that in medal, even though it is a dollar-size coin, it's not going to show up as well. So I'm not in favor, but I'd really like it artistically if it was a portrait.

I also want to thank Dennis for
bringing up what he just did. I think that's actually an important point, and $I$ wasn't aware of it. So, Dennis, thank you very much.

I went back and forth between 3 and 1, which is my first preference. I like them both. I think I like 3 a little bit better, just because it shows you're in space and it's dramatic. I do like 9 for the same reason Mike did, the clouds show drama, it shows motion. It's clearly the space Shuttle.

So I like all three of those, 1, 3 and 9, and I'm going to listen to what everybody else has to say before I make my final choice as to which one I like best.

THE CHAIRMAN: Nicely done. Kellen
Hoard.
MR. HOARD: Thank you. This is Kellen Hoard. The three kind of principles $I$ was looking at when I looked at the designs which appealed to me most, were $I$ wanted to, A, center the shuttle in the image, or not necessarily in the physical center, but actually have it be the focus point. Also, to have it
in motion, you know, I feel like ones where there's not that motion happening, are significantly more boring. And I prefer to have it in space, which for me ruled out 1. I thought that, you know, part of the appeal of the Space Shuttle is that it goes to space, and that's something that is compelling to see at a coin.

And so that kind of ruled out 1 for me. So I really landed on also having 9 as a preference. I actually don't mind that the clouds are framing. I think it's kind of nice. I think it, again, centers the shuttle well. It's in space.

I also liked 6 and 7, as well. Both of those, you know, had their merits for me, and I'll write those fairly highly, 6 and 7.

But, you know, ones like 5, where there isn't that motion, again, didn't speak to me.

I also pushed back on 2, being a selection. For me, it's like I'm -- I'm looking at the thing from that vantage point. I'm also being incinerated a little bit by the jets from the rocket. I'm not sure that's -- you know, that's an angle of
maybe like -- to my personal wellbeing, I find looking at a rocket from that vantage point -- I also think it's a little difficult to see the shuttle. It's not as, you know, a coin might be kind of small, hard to actually see that, is the rocket, actually dominated by the exhaust, and the jet engine.

So again, that leads me back to 9 as my first choice, and 6 and 7 as secondary ones.

Thanks much.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much, Kellen. And Annelisa, would you like to give us your comment?

MS. PURDIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I also want to recognize the excellent work done on each of these designs. The details are so intricate and while looking at each of them, I was also thinking about how well these would translate to the format of a coin.

One thing that $I$ did like about Design Number 2, which $I$ was drawn to, is that it does give that perspective of someone who is on the ground and watching the launch, but at the same time, as
mentioned, it's the one -- closer is a concern there, but I did like the design of the clouds and the way that they frame the Space Shuttle as it's going off.

I was not fond of the space Shuttle as it's going off. I was not fond of Number 5, mainly because of the direction of the shuttle. I do like the way that Florida is highlighted on the lower right, so one can be reminded of where all of this innovation originates, but something about the orientation of that shuttle going down, just doesn't sit too well with me.

I was also drawn to Number 9 as my
favorite. I think the symmetry works well, the framing of the clouds work well with the stars. You clearly see what it is, what this is about, and it's from a safe perspective, but my final decision would be with Number 1, as well. I think that this particular design ties in all of the important elements of the Space Shuttle, the location, the fact that this is the program that we're highlighting, and everything fits, as well, in a way that's not confusing to the viewer.

I also liked Number 4, Design Number 4, the intricacy, but I'm still not sure that that would fit well on a coin, for someone who was looking at it for the first time. On a later model, possibly, if it could be condensed a bit, but that's definitely something to return to for later, because these details are excellent.

Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much, Annelisa.

And finally, my comments. I have to say, I've always found the Space Shuttle to be one of the most impressive vehicles that anybody in this country, or anybody else, truly has ever produced. I've had a chance to see the Space Shuttles. There's the Space Shuttle both at the National Air and Space Museum in Washington, but also here in New York City at the Intrepid Museum, and it really is astounding to me how impressively large and just impressive these vehicles are overall.

And I really do want to commend the artists on a fantastic portfolio. I think all of
these designs really are quite just spectacular.
I do have a preference for Number 9. I do like the way that the smoke frames the Space Shuttle. I like the dynamism and the power associated with the takeoff, but as Dennis pointed out, this is rather similar to a design which we selected earlier.

So as my backup, I will select Number 2, which also has a lot of that same dynamism and power associated with the takeoff of the space Shuttle.

All right. So are there any additional comments or motions from the members at this time?

MS. STAFFORD: Chairman, I'm sorry, I
had to turn my light back on. I apologize.

THE CHAIRMAN: Sure.
MS. STAFFORD: I just wanted to share, in the event, after you score, perhaps before, Design 9, which was the recommendation of the CFA, they did also add that -- they questioned the stylized depiction of the stars in the background, and so should this be the CCAC's recommendation, I just wanted to introduce that, because we would appreciate
the Committee's feedback on that. And, of course, we have Joe Menna and Mike Costello here, if you wanted to engage on that point.

And also, if you find it helpful, I
believe one of my team members could share their screen and show the Alabama design, if you feel like that would be helpful. I appreciate Mr. Tucker holding it up to the camera, but perhaps we can do a better job of that. Would you like that?

THE CHAIRMAN: I would, actually. I think it would be very useful.

MS. STAFFORD: Okay.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
MS. STAFFORD: Great. So Megan, I think you were sharing your screen. Are you able to share that? And while Mehan is --

MS. SULLIVAN: Give me a minute to pull it up.

MS. STAFFORD: Sure. While Megan is calling up that design, I'll just share -- you could tell by Mr. Tucker sharing it on the camera, that they do have similarities.

The Alabama design specifically honors the -- apologies. It just completely went out of my brain.

MR. HOARD: Saturn V.

MS. STAFFORD: The Saturn V rocket.
Thank you. The Saturn $V$ rocket, and it has the moon in the back, and thank you, appreciate that. So there you have it. So it has the similarities of something being launched. It shows the clouds, as well.

THE CHAIRMAN: That's wonderful, thank you.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you, Megan.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you, Megan. Thank you, April. So similar, but not exact. Mike Costello, Joe Menna, do you have anything additional to share with the Committee on the designs for this program?

MR. MENNA: No, unless any technical questions about the design, no.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, I don't have anything --

MR. MENNA: Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do we have any further comments from our liaisons? All right, so hearing none, and if there is no further discussion, the Committee will now score the reverse design candidates for the 2025 American Innovation $\$ 1$ coin honoring innovation in Florida. Each of you should have already received the scoresheet from Jennifer Warren, and when you're done with those, please do either email or text them to the CCAC counsel, Greg Weinman. We'll take the tally of the scores and then we'll present the results.

And in the meantime, we will take a five-minute break while scoring the tallies.
(Off the record.)

THE REPORTER: Okay, court reporter is ready. We should be good to go.

THE CHAIRMAN: We are back. I recognize Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC, to present the results from the scoring sheets. Greg, all yours.

MR. WEINMAN: Yes. With eight number scoring, this is out of a potential score of 24. So
out of the potential score of 24 , Design 1 received ten points. Design 2 received 14 points. Design 3 received ten points. Design 4 received three. Design 5 received 4, Design 6 received eight points. Design 7 received eight points. Design 8 received one point. And Design 9 was the high point getter, with 17 points, 17 out of 24 points.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, great. Thank you very much. Are there any motions?

MR. HOARD: I'll make a motion, Greg.
MR. WEINMAN: Go ahead, Kellen.
MR. HOARD: Thank you. I was just
going to move that we vote to recommend Design 9.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is there a second?

MR. SAUNDERS: John Saunders, I'll second.

MS. WARREN: This is Jennifer Warren. Kellen, that was you who made the motion; correct? MR. HOARD: That's right. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a discussion? Mike, would you --

MR. MORAN: This is Mike Moran. I really think before we approve 9, we need to more directly, the entire Committee, face up to Dennis' issue, and that would be a hands up vote between 2 and 9, being the second vote-getter. And then we can consider what we want to do from there. So I would call a vote between the two of them, if it were me.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Well, we do have a motion on the table at the moment, Kellen's motion. We can proceed with that. And if there's -- Dennis, I see that you have your hand up. Is there --

MR. TUCKER: Yes. Thank you, Mr.

Chair. This is Dennis Tucker, and kind of echoing Mike's sentiment, if I could take this opportunity, specifically about this vote, to encourage Committee members to vote against this motion, I do think that we need some more discussion based on some of the ideas that we've talked about in our deliberation.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, thank you,
Dennis. Kellen?

MR. HOARD: Yeah, I'm fine with further
discussion here. You know, I nominated that as the high vote-getter, but I think, you know, discussion about the designs generally would fit within the discussion regarding this motion, we could discuss it and then vote on the motion, versus voting it down before discussing, if that is correct, I think, procedurally?

MR. WEINMAN: Point of order. I think Kellen is right. The motion, it works either way. Have a full discussion between 2 and 9, and Kellen's motion still stands.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So we could proceed with a hands-up vote between 2 and 9, as part of the discussion; is that correct?

MR. WEINMAN: Yes.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, thank you. Okay, let's then have a hand-up motion between 2 and 9. Actually, before we proceed with that, I see Joe Menna, you have your hand raised.

MR. MENNA: Yes, and April, is it fair to say -- I just mention this to you offline.

MS. STAFFORD: Yes, absolutely --

MR. MENNA: Yeah, I wouldn't speak out of my lane, but talk to -- I just wanted to illustrate that historically the Mint and many other Mints have used similar framing devices over and over and over again, leaves, wreaths, other such devices. And sometimes coins can be looked at very literally in terms of the particulars of the subject, rather than composition only. I'm not saying you are. I'm not making -- please, that's not my place. Just something to consider. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, Joe. Thank you very much. Dennis, I see your hand is raised. MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Dennis Tucker. Maybe it would be helpful to have any input from Mr. Kamoutsas and Dr. McGee, and also I would point out too, that my other objection, besides the similarity in design to Alabama's dollar, was the fact that Number 2 has the added advantage of actually saying the Space Shuttle Program, where Number 9 does not identify that, and I think that that's something helpful and educational for the average viewer of these coins. But if we could have
any input from our liaisons, may be they would be able to help us frame these questions, as well.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, Dennis, thank you very much. Mr. Kamoutsas, Mr. McGee, do you have any additional comments?

MR. KAMOUTSAS: I do not. MR. MCGEE: From a technical standpoint, $I$ don't have any comments aside from a little bit of the coloration. It would be nice in 2 and 9 to see a little bit more of the black markings, the Space Shuttle, like the nose cone, which you can't see for the angle on 2, but you can see on 9, and maybe see slightly darker -- on the -- right above the ailerons there.

In terms of issues with what you would see from a launch, you know, the plumes of smoke are going to be there in both cases. I guess are there any technical questions about like the design of the actual technology -- I feel as though I'm not qualified to comment on the aesthetic aspects.

THE CHAIRMAN: all right. Thank you, Mr. McGee. Kellen, I see your hand is raised.

MR. HOARD: Yeah. Well, just, you know, in kind of the broader discussion of 2 versus 9, underneath this motion here, $I$ would -- you know, regardless of the things of 9 , $I$ would speak against 2 for a couple reasons, the big one for me being it's kind of difficult for me, and $I$ think it's even more difficult on a small point, to see the shuttle.

I feel at this point $I$ think deemphasizes looking at the shuttle. It's a small piece within -- it's dominated by this exhaust, and so in terms of appreciating this innovation and its technical ingenuity, $I$ think that 9 just shows that better, and 2 is kind of difficult to see. And, yes, we articulate, you know, the words there, but we need to articulate the words, because we can't actually see the innovation itself, $I$ think, very well on this coin, and to say nothing of the fact that this coin, $I$ think, has similarity in pretty similar ways to the Alabama coin.

Again, a rocket kind of launching off, but it's just not a head-on angle, and so for distinguishing between just merely the angle we're
looking at, $I$ think that 9, you know, both of them are going to be similar to Alabama. We might as well go with 9, which is, you know, shows the ingenuity of the design, which has, you know, kind of the nice interesting framing, which has interesting fog -- you know, which shows technical details that are -- and you're actually able to see the shuttle itself, which is a big thing for me. I'd like to be able to see the shuttle.

Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Kellen. Any other comments? All right. Why don't we then proceed with the hand vote between Number 2 and Number 9? Dennis, I see your hand is up.

MR. TUCKER: I apologize, Mr. Chair. This is Dennis Tucker. Just kind of mapping out how this vote will go and the end result, I guess if we agree with the motion, then we recommend to the Secretary Number 9, so $I$ wanted to mention this as a possibility.

In June of 2022 , when we were considering the Alabama dollar design candidates,

Alabama 1 did not have the wording "Saturn V" on it, and we made a recommendation to the Secretary that we include that wording in the design of the coin, and that decision was finally made in the final design. So as -- this starts to become like some sort of friendly amendment or corollary to that motion. I wonder if we end up deciding we like 9 better, if we could make recommendation to include the words "Space Shuttle Program". I mention that now, only because $I$ don't know when -- when else I would mention it. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

THE CHAIRMAN: Great, thank you,
Dennis. Kellen, since it is your motion, if it does happen that we proceed with Number 9, would you accept this friendly amendment?

MR. HOARD: Well, I guess I would ask the design staff if they, you know, see a way that those words could be incorporated within the existing design, you know, aesthetically, you know, or designfriendly way, before $I$ answer that question, but I'm not opposed to. I just didn't know if it's possible. MS. STAFFORD: Joe or Mike, do you have
any thoughts on that?
MR. MENNA: Would you repeat that? I'm sorry.

MR. HOARD: Sure. Just in terms of whether Dennis' suggestion of putting the words like "Space Shuttle Program" somewhere on the coin, would be possible to fit within the design here, in a way that wouldn't be, you know, ugly or overcrowding, if that's possible.

MR. MENNA: April, is it cool?
THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead, Joe.
MR. MENNA: Yeah. So if you put "Space Shuttle," if you follow the circumference, if you follow the circumference of the United States of America, and made a smaller line, "Space Shuttle Program," I don't know how -- be able to justify splitting the two, and $I$-- legibility-wise, that would also be possible, not the smallest thing I've ever put on an AI, but it's more a challenge to just, you know, with the shuttle where it is, breaking up that line of text, but it is doable, yes. Not to be verbose, sorry.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you, Joe. Dennis, $I$ see your hand is again raised.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Dennis Tucker, and thanks, Joe, for that. Joe, my follow-up question to you would be what if -I see the Florida is incused at the bottom, right, at six o'clock on this design. What if "Florida" were moved over to the right or to the left, and "Space Shuttle Program were also set incuse, or along that bottom arc?

MR. MENNA: This is Joe. Any relief, including this, at the border of the coin, is necessarily going to be shallow. I think Florida is nicely grounded, as it catches the entire verticality of the boosters and the frames, and the wings. I think -- so you see the artist made the relief more shallow in that area, even though -- just typically shallow along the edges, when you get on both sides, the Van Gogh-esque kind of robustness of the relief is going to make incusing text there very challenging, unless we make those -- unless that smoke is made basically flat, it's going to be really challenging to
incuse it, just technically, and I think Mike would support that.

MR. COSTELLO: Yeah, I think -- Joe -some difficulties.

THE CHAIRMAN: John, do you have comments pertaining to this current amendment?

MR. SAUNDERS: I was just going to say, I see no reason to put the word "program" there. If you do change it at all, to just "Space Shuttle" and Florida, would in my opinion be sufficient. But I take Mike's comment about the fact that the clouds have already been kind of been flattened where Florida goes, it might not work as well on the sides. But if we do do it, I don't see -- I don't think the word "program" adds that much more.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Mike, I see your hand.

MS. WARREN: Peter, Chris has been having his hand up before a lot of people, and -THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, who? MS. WARREN: You're skipping over him. THE CHAIRMAN: Who?

MS. WARREN: Chris.
THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, I'm sorry, Chris. I don't see you on my view of the screen. Chris, please go ahead.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: Actually -- this is
Chris Capozzola. Actually, mine is a different friendly amendment, so I'm happy to see, to stay on top on that.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Why don't we first try to work through this friendly amendment, and then we'll return to yours. Mike, are you speaking to the friendly amendment?

MR. COSTELLO: Yes, I am. I think the image of the Space Shuttle on Number 9 is iconic. It's clear. You don't need the additional inscriptions. I'm going to urge Kellen to reject the friendly amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN: Kellen?
MR. HOARD: Well, yeah, if no one else has anything to say, I guess, you know, from hearing what Joe had to say, I'd say probably don't add those words. I think Mike's right, it's pretty clear what
we're featuring here, especially given the Florida aspect and the kind of the technical difficulties with fitting that in, so I'd say probably no on that, for now.

MR. TUCKER: I would withdraw it at any
rate. This is Dennis Tucker, after that commentary from Joe Menna.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, thank you, Dennis. So Dennis' friendly amendment has been withdrawn. Chris, did you want to talk about your friendly amendment?

DR. CAPOZZOLA: Sure thing. This is Chris Capozzola, and again, I think this is the right time to raise this, but certainly before we voted. I wanted to return to what April shared from the CFA about the stars, and say that $I$ do agree with that. I think they may be a little too stylized and would concur with the CFA's request that those be reexamined.

THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. Thank you, Chris. Why don't we do our hand vote? Joe, I'm sorry, I see your hand is raised?

MR. MENNA: If I'm not speaking out of turn, I've heard the stars mentioned a couple times, both Donald with the other design, and here. We've done stylized designs on coins before and you see it often in other global mints. If there's any issue about these particular shapes, they could be -instead of four-pointed stars, we could add four more smaller points, so they're eight-pointed starts and look like sparkling stars, actually kind of do, when they twinkle, through a telescope or something like that. The stars, with April -- the stars could be adjusted, I'm just saying, design-wise, from my perspective.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, Joe. Thank you very much. I would suggest that we return to the question of the stars, if Design 9 proceeds, if we're able to work through this motion. John, I see that you have your hand raised. You're muted. John, you're muted.

MR. SAUNDERS: Excuse me. That was
from before. I haven't figured out how to turn it off because --

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, no problem. Let us proceed then with our hand vote. Kellen?

MR. HOARD: Sorry, Peter. So just to clarify, the hand vote is a non-binding, between 2 and 9, or it's a recommendation about 9? What are you asking for on the hand vote?

THE CHAIRMAN: We are taking a hand vote to see where we are, between Design Number 2 and Design Number 9 .

MR. HOARD: Okay.
THE CHAIRMAN: And then we will then proceed with the motion. So let us then take a hand vote. Those you who are in favor of Design Number 2, please raise your hand. And I will need help, I'm sure, Jennifer, counting the hands raised.

MS. WARREN: I see no hands raised.
THE CHAIRMAN: I see one.

MS. WARREN: Oh, are you guys raising your hands or are you actually raising on the icon?

MR. WEINMAN: If you press the little hand, press the little hand button, it will --

MS. WARREN: All right, we have one.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
MS. WARREN: So Dennis, turn down your hand.

THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Those in favor of Design Number 9, please raise your hand.

MS. WARREN: I see five.
THE CHAIRMAN: I see five, as well. Okay. I would suggest then that we proceed with the motion, Kellen's motion to adopt Design Number 9, and this motion was seconded by John.

MR. HOARD: Pardon me, Peter. Chris has a request for a friendly amendment on the stars, before we make the recommendation.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, that's fine.
DR. CAPOZZOLA: No, I think -- I'm sorry, this is Chris. My understanding, Mr. Chair, is that you had -- that we were going to postpone the discussion of the stars, or do we do that now?

THE CHAIRMAN: I would suggest that we vote on the motion, and then, depending on where we are with the motion if Design Number 9 is accepted, then we will discuss the stars.

MR. WEINMAN: It will be a secondary
motion, yes.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: I withdraw the friendly amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, thank you. All right. So all of those in favor of Kellen's motion to adopt Number 9 as the design, please say aye.

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right, those
opposed?
MR. TUCKER: Me.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any abstains? All
right. It would appear that the motion has passed. Chris, would you like to introduce a motion then about the stars?

DR. CAPOZZOLA: Yes. I think the motion that $I$ would make -- this is Chris Capozzola -is that informed by the recommendation of the CFA, that the CCAC sort of concur in the concerns about the stars' design, and recommend that the designers -- I'm not sure I'm being specific enough -- we examine or
return to those designs in a less stylist fashion.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Is there a second? Is there a second?

MR. MORAN: This is Mike Moran. I'll certainly second it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So Mike Moran seconds that. Is there any further discussion or debate?

Okay. Then $I$ will call the question. All of those in favor of this motion, please signify your favor by saying aye.

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: That's three. Any
opposed?
MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Nay.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any abstains?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I abstain.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I am not able to determine whether or not this is passed, just on the voice vote, so I think we're going to have to do hand raise again, just to confirm. So --

MS. WARREN: Peter, you can actually
roll call and ask for their vote. This is Jennifer Warren.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, thank you. All right.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Chairman, can you repeat the motion, as well?

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, Chris, would you repeat the motion, please?

DR. CAPOZZOLA: This is Chris

Capozzola. To be a little more clear, the motion I would put on the table, and $I$ think it merits greater discussion, would be to convey the sense of the CCAC, that it concurs in the CFA's recommendation, that the stars in FLO9 be re-examined in the design phase, and revised in a less stylized fashion.

MR. WEINMAN: Mr. Chairman, I think the software provides -- you don't need to call the roll. I think if you just look at the hands raised by pressing, that will give you an exact number of who's in favor of the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I'm not seeing hands raised at the moment.

MR. WEINMAN: So now if everybody could vote, if you're in favor of the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, thank you.
MR. WEINMAN: Press the hand raise and it will literally tell you the number of people voting for it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I just want to clarify though. By re-examine, Chris, you mean to not eliminate the stars, but just re-examine the design --

DR. CAPOZZOLA: Yes, and revise --
THE CHAIRMAN: -- perhaps --
DR. CAPOZZOLA: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. All of those then in favor of this motion, please raise your hand.

MS. WARREN: This is Jennifer. There's five hands raised. So everybody lower your hands.

THE CHAIRMAN: Anyone opposed to the motion, please raise your hand.

MS. WARREN: No hands are raised. So some people did not vote.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any abstains?
MS. WARREN: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, John, are you
opposed?
MR. SAUNDERS: I was opposed to the motion, yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, all right.
MR. SAUNDERS: I had my hand up --
THE CHAIRMAN: Any abstains? All
right. The motion carries. All right. Is there any further discussion then about the design?

All right. Well, thank you very much.
I would like to then express our appreciation to our liaison for attending today. Thank you so much, Mr. Kamoutsas and Mr. McGee.

Next. So our next portfolio is to review the reverse design candidates for the 2025 American Innovation $\$ 1$ coin honoring innovation in Texas. This is the last of the portfolios to be considered for the 2025 American Innovation \$1 Coins, and April Stafford, Chief of the Office of Design Management and Russ Evans, Design Manager for the program, will present the candidate designs for the 2025 American Innovation $\$ 1$ coin honoring innovation
in Texas. April, all yours.
MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. As you mentioned previously, we have Thomas Hambrick, Director of the Office of State Federal Relations, with the Office of the Governor, in Texas, and as before, Andrew Meade McGee, curator at NASA, if you have any technical questions. I'll come to Mr. Hambrick in just a moment.

We'd like to give you some background on the Texas portfolio. Texas also had a single theme that was sent forward. It is Mission Control at the Johnson Space Center.

So a few bits of information about Mission Control. The Johnson Space Center provides NASA with the economic, logistical and intellectual support needed for Manned Flight Program. Mission Control for Human Space Flight began during Project Mercury, when Dr. Christopher Kraft developed a system of resource management and communication protocols, to use when directing space flights, which he based primarily on existing aircraft flight operation procedures.

For the first time NASA could
comprehensively control missions from a single location to improve subsequent missions. Today the Mission Control Center manages flight control for NASA's Human Space Program, and coordinates with astronauts from the many countries that participate in the International Space Station Program.

So we have for your consideration nine candidate designs, but before presenting let me ask Mr. Hambrick to say a few words. Mr. Hambrick, can I throw to you, and $I$ do believe you actually have a preference that you would like to share with the Committee.

MR. HAMBRICK: Yes. Good afternoon. Can you hear me all right?

MS. STAFFORD: Yes, thank you.
MR. HAMBRICK: Great. Thanks, April.

My name is Wes Hambrick. Thomas is my first name, but normally only called when I'm in trouble by my mother or my wife. But I want to thank you and everyone else for having me today. Thanks for your all's work on this issue, and definitely thank you for including the
state in the process.
As you said, I work for Governor Abbott in the state of Texas, and specifically $I$ represent the state here in Washington. It's been an honor to work with your team on the American Innovation coin for Texas for many months now.

I'd like to say a few quick words about the importance of space exploration to the state of Texas, first. Of course, I'm biased as a Texan and a native Houstonian, but, you know, one can't think about space without thinking about Texas.

As you mentioned, NASA's Johnson Space
Center has been at the heart of human space exploration, as evidenced by the scientific breakthroughs and engineering feats that have allowed the human race to explore beyond our planet. And, of course, Mission Control, for all of the infamous Apollo Missions, was in Houston, but even to this day, as you mentioned, what's known as Modern Mission Control, communicates and coordinates with astronauts from all over the world in the International Space Station Program.

And outside of NASA, companies like SpaceX have a large presence in Texas, and continue to push space exploration into the 21st century. In fact, at the state government level in Texas, we continue to invest in the space, as well. And, in fact, just last year the governor signed into law legislation creating a Texas Space Commission, to further this mission, and also include hundreds of millions of dollars in investment.

We're currently standing up that
Commission now. I'm very excited for the opportunities it will create to partner with our federal partners in the space.

All that said, and perhaps equally as important as the technology itself that I mentioned, Johnson Space Center has been at the heart of training our brave men and women, who train to be astronauts and make the journey into space. And it is our feeling that their spirit best captures what it means to be an American, and we as Texans are incredibly proud that their training continues in Texas.

For those reasons, we do have a strong
preference as a state for Design Number TX03. We feel strongly that this design best captures the technological innovation that has been vital to space exploration, as well as the human spirit, of our brave men and women who represent the best among us.

We're proud that both of these innovations of both technology and of spirit, have a history and continued home in the great state of Texas. We look forward to conveying these preferences in an official letter and look forward to the Committee's continued work on this issue.

Thank you and thanks again for having me today.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much. All right. So with that we'll go to the candidate designs. We'll start with Design 1, 2, 2A, 3. Again, 3 is the preference of the Texas Governor. And this design features an American astronaut conducting a spacewalk outside the International Space Station. Design 4, 4A, 4B, 5, and 6.

Mr. Chairman, that concludes the candidate designs.

THE CHAIRMAN: April, thank you very much, and Mr. Hambrick, thank you very much for your comments, as well, and for your presence here today.

Are there any technical or legal questions from the Committee about this program, or the designs for the 2025 American Innovation $\$ 1$ coin honoring innovation in Texas, before we begin our general discussion?

All right. Hearing none, seeing none, let's begin our consideration. And again, I'd like to remind members to please try to keep your comments to five minutes or less, and please do identify yourself for the record, prior to speaking. Additionally, if there are any additional comments, we'll consider these at the ends of the comments of each of the CCAC members. So let's begin with Dennis.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Dennis Tucker. It seemed to me, from the materials that we are given, that computing power is a very important concept to Mission Control. That was something in the back of my mind, as $I$ was reviewing these design candidates.

Mr. Hambrick, thank you for your
additional commentary. That's very helpful, as well. My favorite design, just from -- just as a design aesthetic viewpoint, was Number 2. That's the one that really stood out at me as $I$ was reviewing this portfolio. I liked that the moon is visible in the background. I like the hexagonal aspect of it. That's unique or very unusual in American coinage, at least. And I like the human element.

But now that you -- and since you mentioned, Mr. Hambrick, the importance of astronaut training in Texas, and how important that is to the Governor's Office, $I$ see the appeal of Number 3, and I would -- those are the two that I'm kind of wrestling with right now as my favorite designs. And if $I$ could ask you, do you have any feedback from the Governor's Office on Design 2, and how it would compare with 3? Did you have any discussion with 2 and 2 A in particular?

MR. HAMBRICK: Hey, Dennis, thanks for that. I don't think $I$ have anything specifically in terms of comparing and contrasting 2 and 3, other than
just to say 3 was a strong preference of my boss, in terms of what it encapsulates in the design itself. MR. TUCKER: Thank you, sir. And my other question would be a technical question, and maybe that should wait for the end. I would just like to hear Joe Menna's and Mike Costello's commentary on the differing relief, you know, what would be the relief in Design 3. So if it's helpful, that's my commentary.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right, thank you. I think we'll wait until the end of the rest of the comments before we engage Joe and Mike on your question. All right. John Saunders.

MR. SAUNDERS: Thank you. This is John
Saunders. In terms of just design, I like 3 best; however, the theme is Mission Control, rather than man in space. I mean, they're obviously related, but I had thought that either 6 or 1 expressed the Mission Control aspect that we're trying to push here. So my initial thought was giving preference to one of those two. However, I'm reconsidering it now, given the
state of Florida [sic] likes Design 3.
Also, I'd like to comment on Design 2 and 2A. First ask the question, is that a particular astronaut being depicted, or is it just a generic version of a woman in space? If anybody knows?

Beyond that, I like those designs, as well.

MR. WEINMAN: The answer, that should not be an individual. That should be generic. I'm not aware that this is an individual, an actual person.

MS. STAFFORD: That's correct. Thank you, Greg.

MR. SAUNDERS: Again, $I$ have the same objection to 2 that $I$ have for 3 , that it doesn't really portray Mission Control. In terms of a preference between 1 and 6, I'm tending towards 6, because it has the space Shuttle shown on it, and the action with putting this space -- so I'm thinking that 6, because of the theme here, is my first choice. 3 and 2 fall probably closely. And 1 also is a very good design, in my opinion.

MR. HAMBRICK: This is Wes. I know you didn't ask me a specific question, but sort of in the nature of responding to Design 6, I think we like that design. I think we felt that the Space Shuttle specifically, sometimes people identify that more with the state of Florida, given the launch in Cape Canaveral, and kind of historically thinking through that, so I think that was, yes, I agree with you, but that was one consideration that we spoke about internally on our end, for whatever it's worth. If it's worth nothing, then that's fine too.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Wes, thank you very much for that additional comment. John, thank you for your comments. Chris?

DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right. This is Chris Capozzola, and again thanks to Texas for Mission Control. And these are some really great designs. I am a little bit torn, and much informed by listening to other people in their discussion.

My initial preferences were for 1 or 6, for, you know, similar reasons to my thoughts about Florida, in that they depict the work of Mission

Control. They depict Texans. They depict something happening in Texas.

$$
\text { I'm particularly struck in Number } 1 \text {, }
$$ the ways in which it is recognizable as Mission Control, without the words Mission Control needing to be put there. The legibility of this design is quite remarkable.

There are, you know, that said, I certainly, you know, take the point from Dennis Tucker that Number 2 is a unique design, and reflect things we haven't really seen on coins, shapes and aesthetically. Number 2 is something that we have not seen before and is creative in that sense.

And with Number 3, this may be reflecting some of what others have raised. I worry about legibility, when it is actually produced, as a coin, and whether parts of what looks as a really remarkable drawing, in two dimensions, might get lost in the end.

And then finally, between 1 and 6, if we look at Number 6, I worried that the computer equipment might be too specific, too historically
specific, to convey what else is happening. And I also shared the concern that the Space Shuttle would read as Florida, rather than Texas.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Chris. MR. HAMBRICK: This is Wes, if I may. Just regarding some feedback on Number 1 that we had spoken about, $I$ think one of the concerns that we mentioned was that women have contributed quite a bit to the Space Program, and it's pretty clear to me that Number 1 that depicts three men and no women, and I think when you contrast that with Number 6, you know, I think that speaks as an astronaut for everybody, you know, not just both genders, but everyone who's been a part of that in the future, in addition to the International Space Station, which is sort of, you know, today's version of what some of these other drawings might depict, Number 1 looking more like something from the 60 s and 70 s perhaps. So thanks. DR. CAPOZZOLA: Understood. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Wes, thank you again. Chris, thank you. Mike, your comments, please.

MR. MORAN: Thank you, Peter. I'm going to make a negative comment to begin with. If I never see another control panel on a coin designed for us, it will be too soon. I think they're deadly, plain and simple.

When I looked through this portfolio, I felt like Number 3 was superior. I think they can do it in two different reliefs. I was a little concerned about whether it was appropriate in the theme; however, with Texas coming out in favor of this, it settled it for me.

Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Mike, thank you very much. Donald, your comments, please.

MR. SCARINCI: So I, you know, how can you say no to an astronaut? I just support the governor's choice. I do -- I agree, I thought that 2 and 2A was very interesting. They're going to get merit votes for the artists who did them.

I also, you know, for -- you know, I like what Mike just said about control panels, is kind of -- it's been done, but $4 B$ is a really nice coin.

It's a really nice coin design. I want to compliment the artist there.

And, you know, Number 5, I'm not advocating it, but $I$ want to give it, you know, some merit. You know, like sort of -- it just, it looks like words, and we have not used words the way we could in these coins. And I like the artistic elements that encircle the words, but, you know, I'm not going to support it. I'm going to go with the -I'm going to go with the astronaut. I think it will make a nice, you know, another space coin, that will promote the program.

THE CHAIRMAN: Wonderful, Donald. Thank you very much. Kellen, your comments, please. MR. HOARD: Thank you. Thank you, Wes, for coming and speaking with us here. I am strongly in favor of 6 for a couple reasons. One, I think, you know, for me, I'm heavily driven by the theme here, which is, you know, Mission Control at Johnson Space Center. I think this is -- that one and Number 1 are the only ones that actually show that, that the innovation of Mission Control, the -- you know, the
leading edge in Texas of Mission Control. And I think you understand what you're looking at in this image. It's a matter of showing and not telling. A lot of these images tell quite a bit. This one shows it, shows the work being done. It connects it back to space, which is always a popular thing.

And I think it's a nice design, as well. So I am, you know, really drawn to this one. You know, versus 3, you know, where, you know, kind of as a -- well, A, I don't feel it connects to the theme quite as well, of Mission Control specifically. I wouldn't know that's what $I$ was looking at.

But even beyond space generally, as a young person, you know, who talk to other young people, here at -- there's like a Space Policy Institute, so my kids are talking about space.

The association with astronauts in space, among my peers, is Florida. And I think that just having an astronaut there doesn't necessarily, you know, beyond the word, having the word "Texas," does not necessarily show the innovation that's happening in Texas, in a lot of the minds of my peers,
you know, even though we had that Texas word there, we also have the word "Texas" on Design 6, which I prefer. You know, kind of really reaffirming this is the place where it's happening. You know, you must have a sense of place here, and the place is Texas, and that's what this, you know, this kind of leading edge is occurring, and it has that clear tie to -- to the space program and to their work there, can be, you know, applicable in the 60 s or the modern age, and so I just felt -- and it was just a good design.

One last thing I give merit to, but I don't think I'll go for it, are the 2 designs, 2 and 2A, just because I think they're really great designs, and they just, again, don't tie back to that theme so well.

But what I'm doing, what I'm trying to do ultimately is for, you know, young people, have them understand, you know, what innovation Mission Control in Texas was and the state's leadership role in that area. So I'll be going for Number 6. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Kellen, thank you very
much. Annelisa, your comments, please.
MS. PURDIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Mr. Hambrick, for being here, as well.

I am going to second that 6 was my favorite design, as well, in large part because it ties together the people who were possible at Mission Control, who are making all of these innovations that we see available to us, that these Space Shuttles obviously don't. Yet in the air, by themselves, if they do, would be wonderful, but it's people that make it possible, the people at Mission Control who make that possible. And $I$ think that 6 does a very good job, both in terms of design and structure, of tying those two together, that it's those who are on the ground who are making this happen.

I like the hexagonal designs for the coins, but $I$ don't know, maybe it's just a personal preference, but hexagonal shapes are just very hit or miss on coinage, as a rule. They're very unusual. Sometimes they work, sometimes they don't. I think this one is juxtaposed very well, but I don't see where it doesn't emphasize the theme, as well as

Number 6 does. And the astronaut in Number 3, wonderful, it brings back memories. These details, the -- they're excellent, but I do think that Number 6 does a better overall job of pressing the theme. We see the astronaut in the air, but it's on the ground that's important to these people who we often don't see all the time, and that's also a way to convey to the public that -- to remember that it's these people here who are possible and making these innovations possible.

So it's still a very strong Number 6 for me. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Thank you very much for your comments. And I am more than happy to support Governor Abbott's selection of Design Number 3 for this coin.

So are there any additional comments or motions from the members at this time? Dennis, $I$ see your hand is raised.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I'll keep this brief.

Simply because this is a public meeting
and our transcripts are made public, to be read currently and by people, you know, historians well into the future, I want to express my admiration for Design 5, as well, simply for its innovative use of text.

This is something similar to what we saw in the Anna May Wong candidate portfolio, where we saw Anna May Wong in Quarter Dollar, as if it were wording on a poster or playbill. It's just a neat use of text, and as a writer, it stood out to me. I figured I would mention that now, but $I$ still support 3, as well.

Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right, thank you very much. Joe, Mike, $I$ know that Dennis raised a question earlier about Number 3, in terms of -- I believe it was relief, Dennis; is that correct?

MR. TUCKER: Yes, that's correct.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right, thank you. Joe, would you like to address that, please?

MR. MENNA: Yes. This is Joseph Menna. We've said many times before nothing goes before this

Committee that's not coinable or sculptable. When working with this portfolio, if you see the background elements are at least -- are in the periphery below letter height. They would necessarily follow the curvature of the basin, but that said, rather than -because they are so low, rather than dimensional, there's many types of relief, many different traditions.

So rather than dimensional relief, which would not be possible at that scale, I saw this s stacking, using overlaps to convey what's in front and what's behind, and scribing.

So it would be more like a decorative background, that would fully look like this, and it would read like this, but the dimension -- the star of the show is the astronaut, so the robust relief would be on the astronaut, and the background would be fully legible. But you wouldn't see -- it wouldn't have like a topography like mountains or -- you know what I mean, so that's how it would be approached and it would be very effective, I believe.

THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you very
much. Are there any additional comments, clarifying, from you, Wes, or Mr. McGee?

MR. MCGEE: I will defer to the governor's representative first.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Wes, please.
MR. HAMBRICK: Thanks. I don't have anything else to add. I just appreciate you guys considering the governor's opinion and hearing me out, and thank you very much.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you again. Mr. McGee, please.

MR. MCGEE: Thank you. I appreciate all of these designs. I think they're quite striking, and $I$ enjoy being part of the process. So thank you to the Mint for reaching out to me.

Just in terms of a few notes on each of these, this is my particular area of expertise. I will note that the theme of Mission Control is very important and is something deeply rooted in Houston.

There are many remarkable aspects of American technology, and our space flight program, but what's most remarkable, in some ways it goes
unacknowledged, is just the sheer complexity of the systems that underpin it, the knowledge, the expertise, the machines, the practice, all of that is based in Houston. So if you can find a way to capture this interface of humans and computers, that make our ability to control space flight possible, I think that's a valuable technological history intervention, the story that doesn't often get told.

There are lots of pictures of space stations and lots of pictures of astronauts. There are not very many showing this interface of humans with computers, that actually undergirds the whole thing. 1965 will be the 60th anniversary of Houston becoming the site of Mission Control, with the July 4 mission. It would nice to see some sort of representation of computers and humans, embracing them as part of that.

When it comes to some of the specific designs, you know, I like all of them. I particularly like the historic details and features in Number 1, but again, that is a sort of 60s, 70s, era, Mission Control.

Design Number 2 and 2A are striking.
They are, from what $I$ could tell, the Cupola of the International Space Station. I do have two notes. One is obviously all interactions with the astronauts in the International Space Station are run through Houston. But that's not necessarily as apparent here, and also the Cupola itself was manufactured by the European Space Agency. So there's less of a direct tie to Houston there, although $I$ do think it's quite a striking piece.

For Number 3 you have the astronaut in the space suit with -- above the International Space Station, again, very striking, and I understand the governor's preference for that. I think I prefer to see a computer and sort of show Mission Control or show that complexity of the people on the ground, actually in Houston, actually make Texas the center of the space universe, the way it really is, but $I$ understand the striking nature of this.

I will note there's one technical
detail I had not noticed previously. There doesn't appear to be any sort of cable or cord holding the
astronaut to the space station. That would be useful, because otherwise he would float away, so it might be worth thinking about that, in design -- and that could just be the angle, but $I$ feel we have a gravity type situation here with our -- astronaut.

When it comes to Designs 4 and 4A, I'm a sucker for consols. I understand some folks in the Committee are sick of them, but as the Curator of Control for the United States Government, I really love consoles. And I think that's very nicely displayed -- especially 4B. I think that's just particularly well drawn. I don't know it's the most exciting, but $I$ really like how it looks, like in the images on 5, with the lettering and the wording, again, very striking.

When it comes to Number 6, I did verify all the computers and things. It looks a little busy, but it is very appropriate. And if Texas would like to sort of highjack the Space Shuttle from Florida, even though they take off in Florida, they are managed from Texas, and then $I$ think that Design 6 conveys the fact that our entire space infrastructure is funneled
through Houston, and all the important decisions, using computers, using expert simulations, using the knowledge base of the space industry, comes right out of that room. So I think it's a very striking piece. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, thank you very much for your very insightful comments and observations. Very much appreciated. All right, thank you. Is there any further discussion? MR. WEINMAN: Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR. WEINMAN: Point of order, this is Greg. I think it's always valuable to have all of this conversation, and certainly the Committee has wide latitude on who it hears from and what it picks up. I just wanted to point out that as with all of these programs, there are official liaisons, and so we're receiving feedback. In this case the governor is the official legal liaison for the program, and so please weigh that as -- as is due.

THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Yeah, thank you very much for that. Is there any further discussion
then? Hearing none, seeing none, the Committee will now score the reverse candidate designs for the 2025 American Innovation $\$ 1$ coin honoring innovation in Texas. Each of you should have already received your score sheets, and if you could, please, email or text those to CCAC counsel Greg Weinman, who will tally the scores and present the results momentarily.

And while he is doing that, we will
take a five-minute break. Thank you very much.
MS. WARREN: Court Reporter, we will
just stop for five minutes. Just give me a thumbs up when you're ready.
(Off the record.)
MS. WARREN: Okay, we have a thumbs up, so go ahead, Peter.

THE CHAIRMAN: We are back. I recognize Greg Weiman, counsel to the CCAC, to present the results from the scoring sheets. Greg, please.

MR. WEINMAN: Yes. Once again, out of a possible score of 24 , Design Number 1 received eight. Design Number 2 received five. Design Number 2A received three points. Design Number 3 received
19. That is a tie for first place. Design Number 4 received 5. Design 4A received three. Design 4B received nine. Design 5 received 2 and Design 6 also received 19. So a tie for first place between Design Number 6 and Design Number 3.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, Greg. Thank you very much. Are there any motions? John, please. MR. SAUNDERS: This is John Saunders. I'd like to bring up a question about our procedures. MR. SCARINCI: I'll make a motion -THE CHAIRMAN: Donald, just a second. MR. SAUNDERS: I'd like to bring up a question first and think about our procedures, and this particular vote illustrates where we're at. You know, if you really want to advocate for a design, your best strategy is to give the one you like the best three and give the one that maybe you like second best zero, if everybody else likes it. As I think Mike one time mentioned, strategic voting.

And I think, given the system we have here, whenever there's two that are fairly close, we should have discussion between the top two, because
otherwise people who don't use strategic voting may not get their first choice. It doesn't necessarily reflect the opinion of the Committees.

So that's an issue to think about. And in terms of this particular choice, $I$ gave both the top scoring -- a three in my opinion. I could have scored one of them a zero, and then $I$ would have had pushed it towards one side or the other, but I thought they were both good designs.

And again, I'm torn between them. I
like the astronaut best in terms of design, but I think the picture of the Space Shuttle Control illustrates the theme better, so I wanted to express that, particularly the thought about how we do going forward, for people to think about, maybe discuss at a future meeting.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Sure. Thank you, John.
MS. WARREN: Peter?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
MS. WARREN: This is Jennifer. Before we continue, I just wanted to point out, because one
of the liaisons, our subject matter expert, did say just in regards to Number 3, just for you guys to consider, he researched there's only been four untethered space flights in '84 and '94, all three predating International Space Station, so Design 3 would likely need am umbilical cable connected to the astronaut, so just something to consider, that he passed on.

THE CHAIRMAN: Wonderful. Thank you very much, Jennifer. I just want to reiterate to the Committee, as well as to our newer and newest member of the Committee, that we use the score sheet simply as a guide to see where we are with the designs. And, of course, this is really not binding. It's just a way to guide conversation and further discussion.

Dennis, I see that your hand is raised. Please go ahead.

MR. TUCKER: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Kind of combining John's thoughts with yours that you just expressed, I would also like to discourage members from automatically making a motion to recommend one design or another, when the voting is
this close, simply because the voting is just a tool and part of a broader discussion.

John, I think you expressed some of the risks and benefits of strategic voting quite well. I gave one point to Number 6 and three points to Number 3, so if we were to vote again, knowing that, $I$ would give six a zero and then 3, just looking at the numbers, would be the winner, 19 to 18.

Anyway, for what it's worth, I would like to have some more discussion and maybe a vote between 3 and 6 .

Thank you, Mr. Chair.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Do we have any other hands raised that $I$ can't see?

MR. WEINMAN: No hands are up.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right, thank you. Do we have a motion then? Dennis?

MR. TUCKER: Mr. Chair, I think before we make a motion, $I$ would recommend that we open the floor to further discussion, so the people can advocate for one or the other, and if that meets your approval.

THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, it does. I'll just wait for others to raise their hand, so we can have a discussion, but --

MR. TUCKER: Well, if I could start then, I would encourage the Committee -- if we're going to go through that process of having one more vote between 3 and 6, I would encourage Committee members to weigh the governor's office's preference heavily and advocate for Number 3.

This is tough. I mean, this is not an easy decision or an easy vote. Both have great merits, and this is a challenging portfolio, because we're dealing with concepts that are not easy to boil down, and the designs are all very good, so -- but I would prefer Number 3 for what it's worth.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

MS. WARREN: Peter, this is Jennifer Warren. I just wanted to point out, in the past the Committee has at times, when there's been a time they can't decide, you also have the option of sending both options.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, of course. MS. WARREN: Just FYI.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, thank you very much. Kellen, I believe you raised your hand. MR. HOARD: I did. Thank you. Just in the realm of this, you know, discussion period, I kind of lay myself, you know, on the opposite side of Dennis here, $I$ think, in that where $I$ would heavily weight is the theme itself, is the theme that we were trying to adhere to, and not just a them, but the innovation itself that we are trying to feature here. And as discussed by our expert from the Smithsonian, and, you know, our description from the Mint, and all these other things, you know, where we see the innovation, where we see the confluence of the people of Texas, and the innovation, where we have the most clear message of what this coin is demonstrating and showing, what's going to be accessible to the public, in understanding this theme. To me, that's time and again, 6.

An astronaut is itself a compelling design. You know, it's a neat design, but to me it
doesn't capture what the innovation is for this innovation dollar series, and it doesn't align with what the stated theme is.

And so to me, you know, we can go coin by coin, but just as a general precedent, $I$ try to adhere to whatever we're trying to show thematically, and this is what -- and the governor's office came to this being the best theme. So as you know, a theme is not -- advisors here, I think we can try to help adhere there.

Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Kellen, thank you very much. Any other comments? Mike, your hand is raised.

MR. MORAN: Took me forever to get that thing to push.

We have a dilemma here, particularly because the CFA made no recommendation. And it goes back to the original choice of Texas to use Mission Control. It's very difficult to visualize Mission Control on the hard coin. And quite frankly, none of these do it.

```
                                    If you were to put 6 and -- a larger
```

pile, you could see it, but it's not going to really pop out at you, whereas the astronaut does. It's a question of functionality design versus the artistry of the design, and I think each of us are going to have to come one way or the other on it, and vote it -- whether they're going to vote for the art or for the function.

THE CHAIRMAN: Donald, I see your hand
is raised. Thank you, Mike.
MR. SCARINCI: You know what, I'd like to make the argument for 6 , in light of -- in light of the Space Shuttle for Texas, I mean, for Florida. These coins are going to come here, right, so they're going to be in the same package. They're going to be marketed together. I think it's pretty cool, you know, in light of that, right, I think it would be pretty cool to have the Space Shuttle taking off in Florida and then next -- you've got a -- with two coins that are packaged together, will be marketed together, coming out at the same time, and Florida and Texas have dibs on the space program. Of course, Ohio thinks it does too, which it
does. North Carolina certainly does too. Right. Other places have some -- New York has some -- it's pretty cool. It takes off in Florida and then it gets -- and it's managed by Mission Control in Texas.

I think it tells a story and I'm really
inclined -- you know, I take the liaison's --
everybody knows I take the liaison's comments and recommendations as a starting point for the discussion and try to understand, you know, why they chose, you know, but our job is really, you know, not to do with the liaison suggests, but to do what we think would be, you know, would be attractive on a United States coin, and I think -- tells that story. You know, I really think, you know, we should weigh, you know, the liaison accordingly.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, Donald.
Thank you very much. I think you were breaking up a little bit there towards the end. John, your hand is raised.

MR. SAUNDERS: Yes. I wanted to be a little bit off subject here for a second but I like what Donald said. You know, it wasn't very long ago
that we were considering future program for coinage, and it might be a really cool program to have space and contributions of, you know, maybe a dozen different states.

He mentions taking off in Florida.
Well, the natural consequence would that be landing at Edwards in California, follow up with all the stuff in between, but I think that would be a great future theme.

But in terms of where we're at here, I would call for a -- make a motion that we have an up/down vote between the two leading designs. And again, $I$ 'm in favor of doing this any time they're close in the future.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. So are you actually making the motion then?

MR. SAUNDERS: Yes, I'm making a motion that we --

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
MR. SAUNDERS: -- we have an up/down vote between these two, and we strongly consider doing so any time things are close in the future, like this.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. Do we have a second for John's motion?

MR. TUCKER: I would second that. This is Dennis Tucker.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, Dennis.
Thank you very much. Why don't we then proceed with an up/down vote? We will start with Design Number 3. Those in favor of Design Number 3, please indicate by saying aye.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Is that just one? Actually, why don't we raise our hands? I think it's just going to be easier to tally the vote by raising our hands.

MS. WARREN: Peter --
THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah.
MS. WARREN: Peter, this is Jennifer. Joe Menna has his hands up. Mr. Saunders, can you put your hand down?

MR. SAUNDERS: Oh, okay, sorry.
MS. WARREN: That's okay.
THE CHAIRMAN: Joe, please, go ahead.

MR. MENNA: I was speaking with
permission of my superiors, because we do consult during the breaks and stuff. Just from an aesthetic perspective, like 3 is going to give you much bolder -- what's that? I got some message to raise my hand. 3 is going to give you -- I think 3 is going to give you much more robust story. As an allegorical element, this person in space just from an artistic symbol perspective, everything that is in this image only exists because of Mission Control's guidance and support. The very lives of these astronauts, the fact that what Mission Control does is so -- it's sophisticated beyond levels, I think -- we have cell phones because of Mission Control.

Time moves differently for these astronauts. To be able to synchronize all they do, with the atomic clocks running at a different speed than they do on the ground -- Mission Control shouldn't even be possible. This astronaut shouldn't be possible.

So artistically, symbolically, and even sculpturally, $I$ think it's a much stronger design,
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artistically, for what it communicates via the story that it tells artistically. I'm not trying to be a CCAC team member, but you guys have heard me kind of soliloquize like this in the past, and I apologize if it's coming off in any way pedantic.

Design Number 6 is going to read incredibly flat. Design Number 6 is going to be -it's a great -- no design in these portfolios -- I won't say incredibly flat, that's bad wording. No design in this portfolio is submitted without thinking it's absolutely the best candidate to submit to both committees for review and the Secretary to sign, for the American people, for the stakeholders, for all of us as Americans.

But I just think Design Number 3
aesthetically is going to give you a much more eyecatching coin than Design -- Design 3 than Design 6. Design 6 is eminently doable, but just something to consider. I apologize if I'm overstepping my boundaries.

THE CHAIRMAN: No, Joe, really do appreciate your comments. Wes, I see that you have
your hand raised, as well.
MR. HAMBRICK: Yeah, I'll just start by also apologizing if I'm overstepping my boundaries. One thing that sort of occurred to me over the last several minutes, while we've been talking through this, is that at least if the experts are on, you know, they can correct me if I'm wrong, but as far as I know the Space Shuttle Mission has been retired many years ago and, you know, there's no Space Shuttle being launched for the foreseeable future.

You know, I think when it comes to these two designs, one looks like it's the past and one looks like it's the present and potentially the future, as well, and I think, you know, to Joe's part about the artistic element, it sort of speaks more broadly about that in general, versus one sort of looks to me like sort of a snapshot about something that was great, but it has concluded, unfortunately. THE CHAIRMAN: Wes, thank you very much for your comments again, very much appreciated. So we still have a motion on the table. MS. WARREN: Peter --

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MS. WARREN: We have the subject matter expert has his hand up, Mr. McGee.

THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, I'm sorry, I didn't see that. Mr. McGee, please.

MR. MCGEE: I just wanted to quickly chime in and thank the fellow subject matter experts for their thoughts, and $I$ agree that $I$ very much like the imagery in Number 3, but $I$ feel that there's something extremely compelling about Number 6, and that sometimes the most important history, the most important stories of innovation and technology, aren't always the most artistically excited.

We can find a way to convey a complex notion that's really grounded in a particular place, the way the Mission Control is in Houston, that's a story worth telling, and $I$ think even if it may not pop as much as the astronaut -- astronauts are a dime a dozen, to be honest, and even though we don't launch Space Shuttles anymore, this is a visualization of a long and broken trend that's continued out of Houston, 60 years, that will continue to be the center of our
space operations for decades to come.
I think that's a very valuable
interesting story. The way you tell that is with the imagery of humans and computers and mission objectives interactic, and that's what makes Houston special. That's what makes the complexity of space life special.

So I like 6. I understand astronauts are exciting, but frankly, astronauts don't put things in space. Computers put things in space, systems put things in space, Houston puts things in space.

That's my -- thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
MS. WARREN: And Peter, I believe April wanted to say something.

MS. STAFFORD: Well, I just wanted to thank Mr. McGee. We, of course, appreciate your technical input. And you can see how much passion and discussion around something like this, you know, that it yields, but $I$ just, you know, wanted to echo what our legal counsel, Greg Weinman, said earlier, and just, you know, note that we have our representative
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from the governor's office and, you know, we have an official representative, liaison, stakeholder, in the governor, and $I$ do believe they have stated their preference of 3. So I just wanted -- I felt it was necessary to reiterate that. And certainly, if we have any specific technical issues, Mr. McGee, we'll absolutely call on you before the deliberations conclude.

That was it, Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, April, a point well taken.

Okay. So we still have a motion on the table to proceed with an up/down vote on Number 3 and Number 6. So again, those in favor of Design Number 3, please raise your hand, your virtual hand.

MS. WARREN: We have one.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.

MS. WARREN: Two.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, two.

MS. WARREN: Anybody else? Calling once, calling twice? Two.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. All right, so a
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total of two. Those in favor of Design Number 6, please raise your virtual hand.

MS. WARREN: I have four, which means we only have six people voting. Oh, five. One just came up, so that's seven people voting.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So based on our up/down vote, it would seem that the recommendation of the CCAC would be Design Number 6. Is there any further discussion on that?

MS. WARREN: Donald, do you have your hand up from before, or you're asking to be recognized? I think he's frozen.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, I believe he is.
MS. WARREN: I will lower his hand for him.

MR. SCARINCI: Yeah, I'm trying to take my hand --

MS. WARREN: I took care of it for you. This is Jennifer.

MR. SCARINCI: Tried to take my hand --
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So are there any further motions? Okay. If there are none, then our

Page 107
discussion on that has concluded, and I would like to take a moment to express our appreciations to our liaisons for attending today. Thank you very much, Wes and Andrew McGee, for participating and for your many useful and very insightful comments. We really do appreciate your input.

We will now take a 15 -minute recess break, something that $I$ think is very well deserved, and we will return to continue the rest of our agenda items for consideration, when we return, and the time right now is 2:18 p.m.

MS. WARREN: This is Jennifer. If we could get back a little bit earlier, like 2:30, that would be good, because we are running behind schedule. THE CHAIRMAN: Let's do that. Let's be back at 2:30, please.

MS. WARREN: Great, thank you. (Off the record.) THE CHAIRMAN: We are back from recess. The next item on the agenda today is our review and discussion of design options for the 2026 Semiquincentennial One Cent and Five Cent Coins. The
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Circulating Collectible Coin Redesign Act authorized the Secretary to change the design on any of the authorized circulating coins minted for issuance during the one-year period beginning January 1, 2026, in celebration of the United States
semiquincentennial. This is our 250 th birthday. April Stafford, along with Russ Evans, will now walk us through the design options for the one cent and five cent coins for this one-year program.

We will first review the design options for the one cent coin. April, please.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. So as part of the semiquincentennial program, a number of coins will be redesigned, but a decision was made fairly early on for various production reasons, that the penny and the nickel's designs will remain the same.

However, in order to ensure that both of these coins fold into the suite of coins offered in 2026, there are some options in terms of including elements that reflect the 250 th anniversary, the semiquincentennial, and we would love it if you would let us know the Committee's thoughts on this.

For your awareness, the CFA did consider these same options being presented to you, and they recommended the date range for both the one cent and five cent coins, so that would be Option 1.

All right. So we'll start with the options. Just a reminder, this is what the penny and the nickel currently look like. So that's the one cent. And if we can -- that's the five cents, and we'll go to the options for the 2026 one cent. This is Option 1, showing the date range. Option 2 is a Liberty Bell shaped privy mark, with the numbers 250 inside. Option 3 is a combined date range and privy mark. This is Version A, with the privy mark on the left and the date range on the right. And the last option is another version of the combined date range and privy mark, with the privy mark being centered in between 1776 and 2026.

Moving onto the options for the five cents, there are three options. Again here, you have the date range, Option 1. Option 2 is the privy mark, again, the Liberty Bell shaped privy mark, with the number 250. And lastly, a combination of the date
range and privy mark.
We did share with the CFA that they
might want to consider differentiating their recommendation between the one cent and the five cent, so that these coins could still have a unique presence in the semiquincentennial, but they felt strongly that the date range options for both was more suitable. Okay, Mr. Chairman, that concludes the options.

THE CHAIRMAN: April, thank you very much. So are there any technical or legal questions then from the Committee about this program or the design options, before we begin our general discussion?

MS. WARREN: Peter, this is Jennifer Warren. So since they showed both, you may have the conversation on both of them at the same time, or you can still talk on the penny and then the nickel. It's just with time, $I$ don't know how you want to do it, but $I$ just wanted to bring that up.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, and I very much appreciate that, Jennifer. We are behind schedule,
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thanks to our very robust conversations with the previous portfolios, so $I$ would encourage us to discuss both the one cent and five cent options concurrently. So John, I see that your hand is raised.

MR. SAUNDERS: Thank you. John
Saunders here. First question is kind of a legal question. Did we have the option of doing a completely new design of reverse, or was it only making minor changes like this? And if we did have the option of doing a completely different design, how was the matter settled?

MR. WEINMAN: Let me answer the legal question, then turn it over to April Stafford for the second part. The answer is legally we could of redesigned the penny, yes, we had the legal option. But April will take it, $I$ think, from there and can tell you what the process was and how we got to this decision.

MS. STAFFORD: Sure. And this actually
had been shared previously with the CCAC. There was some conversations internal to the Mint about both
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financial and manufacturing constraints. And in order not to exacerbate any existing issues, the decision was made internally to mitigate the circumstances, mitigate risks, out of the one cent and the five cent, and stay with the current design, but add something to it that could still make it comport with the semiquincentennial celebration.

So all of the options you see in front of you were tested by the team in Philadelphia, to ensure that it would not exacerbate any existing financial or production issues.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, April. Thank you very much. Greg, you as well.

MS. WARREN: Kellen has his hand raised, as well.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, thank you. Kellen, please, go ahead.

MR. HOARD: Thank you. I had two quick questions here. One, for the first combined design on the one cent coin, the privy mark looks different to me than it does on the privy mark alone, and the second combined design. Are they different or is that
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just kind of how it looks? I think the other two kind of look more incuse.

MR. COSTELLO: This is Mike Costello. Yes, on the actual penny themselves, it will not be incused. It's just a render depicting that. It will be more like the first design you had there, that's actually part of the surface. That's how it will be rendered.

MR. HOARD: Okay. Thank you. And then the second question was in regard to the nickel. I see there's a combined design that has the Liberty Bell in between the dates, the last design, the combined design. Was there consideration of a design where it was the bell above the two dates?

MR. COSTELLO: Yes, this is Mike
Costello. We did not consider that. We thought it best to have it in between the dates there. So -just for spacing, yeah.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.

MS. WARREN: We have Chris raising his
hand, as well.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, thank you,

Jennifer. Chris, please go ahead.
DR. CAPOZZOLA: This is Chris
Capozzola. Just a quick question, that the privy mark, is that generated at the Mint or is it a visual -- is it a logo or icon that crosses the entire semiquincentennial enterprise, to the extent that people know?

MR. COSTELLO: This is Mike Costello. April, I'm pretty sure this is across the board with the U.S. Mint.

MS. STAFFORD: If a privy mark is going to be used on any coin for the 2026 issuances, this would be the version used.

MR. WEINMAN: I think Mr. Capozzola's question is whether or not this is related -- this goes beyond the Mint. Is this something being used by --

MR. CAPOZZOLA: Precisely. Is it coming for the record the Semiquincentennial Commission or any other entity?

MS. STAFFORD: No, it was generated by the United States Mint for this program.

MR. CAPOZZOLA: Thank you.
MS. STAFFORD: Sorry. Thank you.
Thank you, Greg. I apologize.
THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. Are there any further questions before we begin our considerations?

MS. WARREN: This is Jennifer Warren, because $I$ have a question so I'm going to make sure it's clarified. They do not have to pick the same privy mark for the same -- for both penny and the nickel. They can be different privy marks; correct?

MS. STAFFORD: It can be different options for the one cent and the five cent, and it's up to the Committee, but there is something to be considered to make them different, so that the one cent and five cent can be differentiated in and of themselves. Thank you. Yes, Jen.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
All right. So let's begin our consideration. Again, I'd like to try to remember or remind everybody to keep your comments short and, in fact, since we are behind schedule, would encourage you to keep your
comments as brief as possible, say to two minutes or less, if you can. And also, please, again, do state your name as you begin your comments. We will begin with Donald Scarinci.

MR. SCARINCI: I think this is a
relatively simply exercise. I mean, I'm assuming everyone would agree that whatever we do with the penny, we should also do with the five cents, since these are the only two coins that are going to have this designation. The other coins will be redesigned. And if so, you know, I think my preference would be privy mark on the Lincoln penny and the privy mark only, and privy mark only on the Jefferson nickel. I think the privy mark, it's simple. It goes with both -- it goes with both. You know, I'm kind of bothered by, you know, 1776 -- 2026, when Abraham Lincoln wasn't even conceived in 1776. I'm a little bothered by that.

And I think it's a little too cluttered for two relatively small coins. The privy mark does what we need it to do, distinguishes the coins for 2026, and distinguishes them in the overall package of
new designs. That's it.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right, Donald. Thank you very much. Dennis, please go ahead.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
This is Dennis Tucker. For the one cent piece, I do like having 1776. The dual date range kind of harkens back to our bicentennial coinage, in 1976, and I prefer having those two elements balanced, so you have the dual date on the right and the privy mark on the left, so I prefer Version $A$ for the Lincoln cent.

For the five cent piece, the one that is most similar to that would be the one with the date range and the privy mark, so I had a similar question to Kellen's, you know, is there some way we could balance that differently, maybe have the date range together, but with Mike's explanation, I'm fine with the way it is, so those would be my two preferences. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you, Dennis. John, please go ahead. I believe you might be muted.

MR. SAUNDERS: Thank you. I keep
forgetting to do this and having a hell of a time trying to get my hand up or down when trying to do something there. Anyway, this is John Saunders. I'm strongly in favor of having a privy mark between the date, the two dates. The reason why is I think people just see the two dates, they kind of get confused. Like, what's going on here? 1776? It wasn't Lincoln's birthday. Hopefully everybody would equate pretty quickly that it's the bicentennial, but I think having the privy mark between the two let's people know what's going on in a way that's useful for the public. So I like it in between there.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, John. Thank you very much. Chris, please.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right. This is
Chris Capozzola, speaking as someone whose first published article was a history of the bicentennial. I'm deeply invested in this and you know my love for the bicentennial quarter.

I think it's also a simple issue for me. I picked date range actually, choice 1 in both. Same in the first and the five cent, but it may be
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clear that I will be outvoted. Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Chris, thank you very much. Kellen, please.

MR. HOARD: Thank you. I don't usually
like hate things here, but $I$ hate the privy mark in between the dates on both designs. You know, I would recommend against that. I think it just looks very poor, and so where $I$ find myself drawn for the cent is Version A. I do like having the privy mark. I think it's, you know, kind of a very neat and unique thing, you know, quarter millennium in, but $I$ also really like the date range.

I cannot tell you the number of collectors or non-collectors, for that matter, who have come to me, who have found a bicentennial quarter with the double dates, and are thrilled to learn more. I mean, truly, having the two dates there is what makes them more curious about numismatics. They want to come and explore, understand and learn more about coins and American history, understand -- it's an unusual thing they don't usually see, and they're excited about it.

So I think the double date has its own, you know, really great merit in that regard, but we can even distinguish it further with that privy mark on the one cent, Version A. I'd just rather not have the privy mark in that weird spacing in between. On the nickel, again, $I$ also like having both. I just really don't like the privy mark in between, so if it's possible, you know, spacingwise, to have the privy mark on top and then the double date, $I$ think that is, you know, with a line nicely between the two coins, have both elements that are going to be intriguing to the public and to collectors.
You know, not to even -- that this
should be our main weight adjustment, but would make news. I mean, just like about hey, these coins are changing, these are exciting, look out for these in your pockets, because they have not only double dates but also, you know, kind of these multiple different elements that are exciting for the public and collectors alike, to understand enough history to see the tie-back, so if it's possible for the five cent
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coin, I vote for one that had the privy mark and the double date, but the privy mark swapped out.

That's it for me. Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Kellen, thank you very much. Mike, please.

MR. MORAN: Thank you, Peter. I like the privy mark behind Lincoln on the penny, with the dual date, but $I$ don't like the placement of the privy mark on the nickel at all, unless it's just there on a single date, and I really believe that we need to have the dual date.

In addition, when you look at the penny, as well as the nickel, the dual date is just crisp, clean, simple. And I would question, particularly for the American public, will they even get that Liberty Bell or can see it on the nickel or the penny, whether they will really recognize what is involved there. They certainly won't see the 250 . But if you see the dual date, maybe they can do the math still, to figure that one out.

I'm going to vote for the dual date, plain and simple, just like Chris. It will be two of
us.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mike, thank you very much. Annelisa, your turn, please.

MS. PURDIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
This is Annelisa Purdie. For the penny, I'm torn between the privy mark with one date, and the privy mark with the date range. The reason why I'm drawn to Version $B$ would be combined date range and the privy mark, solely as to distinguish the fact that this is a celebratory coin and not that Abraham Lincoln lived for five centuries, which may be necessary in some cases, but the one cent privy mark design, with just the one date, also works, as well. It's very clean. It's very streamlined.

I'm not enthused about any of the nickel designs actually, $I$ think because of the overall design of the Jefferson nickel as it is. There's already going to be some issues in terms of crowding, and all of these designs, as presented, have -- seem to have that issue.

The one I dislike the least is the first one, with the date range and no privy mark, but
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none of these particular designs enthuse me. I think that the idea of the privy mark works even less effectively on the nickel than it does on the penny. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, thank you very much. For my own comments, I have to say that I'm not a fan at all of the privy mark. I just don't find it particular compelling or attractive.

I do think that the date 1776 is rather iconic, and it's a dual date range presentation here, I do find rather -- as Mike put it, crisp, clean and simple, and would very much prefer to have something that is crisp, clean and simple in that regard.

So my recommendation would be that we join with the CFA and just keep the simple date range.

That said, do we have any additional comments or motions at this time? Dennis, please, go ahead.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Dennis Tucker. This is, I think, a question for April Stafford. Will the privy mark element be used on other coins that are being redesigned, the
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dime, the quarters, and half dollar?
MS. STAFFORD: Well, that is something certainly that we would have the Committees weigh in on. But we would not include them in the designs, as they were developed. I believe that there's something to be said, that if the designs themselves convey things about the semiquincentennial, that there would not necessarily be a need for a privy mark. But again, we would defer to the CCAC and the CFA on that.

I do know that when we talk about the best of the Mint, Gold Coin and Silver Medal Program, I think actually that's next on the agenda, the idea would be that this privy mark would show up on the companion silver medals, but that would be because we would want to ensure that the connection to the semiquincentennial was clear, and make that something that didn't need to be communicated in the designs themselves, if that makes sense.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Any other comments or motions at this time? Kellen, please. MR. HOARD: Just quickly, I was
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wondering from the design staff, whether they thought the 250 on the privy mark would be readable? MR. MENNA: If I may, Mike? We would not -- I don't mean this in any contentious way.

Kellen, it wouldn't have been submitted if we didn't -- if we weren't confident in that. You always, with the exception of, you know, the incusing being a placeholder for what you see on the bottom left, being -- off the surface, as Mike said, everything here is eminently readable and eminently doable.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. I see that Dennis and Dufour both raised their hands, I believe simultaneously. Dufour, I'll let you speak first.

MR. WOOLFLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just wanted to make one further point of clarification on the matter that you'll be reviewing later in the meeting. The privy mark is also intended in the gold coins that will be struck for the Best of the Mint Series, as well.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much for that. Dennis, please.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
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This is Dennis Tucker. For a while in recent memory, the United States Mint did not have a chief engraver, so we're very fortunate to not only have someone holding that office, but someone who is very well qualified, and I think we would all agree, one of the greatest chief engravers in the Mint's history.

So I wonder if it would be appropriate
if we could ask Joe Menna his opinion of these designs, with the privy mark. Joe, do you like it? I guess you could just boil it down that simply. If that's not appropriate, I understand.

MR. MENNA: No, I'm just -- honestly, I don't want to seem stupid and make my boss think I'm like an idiot, but you just made me pretty emotional, Dennis, because for you to say that means a lot to me. I appreciate it, and I don't think it's true. It's my ambition.

Honestly, we worked as a -- yeah, I think every one of these -- I think we presented these as candidates. We tried to make a variety. Mike -- I worked with Mike. I worked under Mike. Mike and I worked with the rest of the team to consolidate a
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variety of options for the Committees to choose from. We believe that -- Mike, correct me if I'm wrong, every option is an equally viable option for this particular program, and celebrating the semiquincentennial and the fact that we're two blocks from the Liberty Bell. So I have to say --

THE CHAIRMAN: Point taken. Thank you. Any other comments, questions, before --

MR. MENNA: Peter, if I may?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
MR. MENNA: Dennis, if $I$ had it my way, I'd have the Rocky statue on here, you know what $I$ mean, so it's just --

THE CHAIRMAN: That's great. Thank you. Any other further discussion? All right. What I would suggest is that we score both the one cent and the five cent coin simultaneously and see where that puts us with all of that. So if you could, please, send your scores to Greg and we will take a fiveminute break and return and see where we are with all this.

MS. WARREN: If you guys can do it
faster, we'll come back faster. (Off the record.) MS. WARREN: Okay, we have a thumbs up. You can go ahead.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. We are back and $I$ recognize Greg Weiman, counsel to the CCAC, to present the results from the scoring sheets. Greg, please.

MR. WEINMAN: First among the cents, the first one with the date range, received 13 out of the possible 24. The second one with the privy mark got six. The combined $A$ got ten and the combined $B$ got six. So the high scoring was the first one with the date range.

When it comes to the five cents, again, the date range got 13 points. The privy mark, five. The combined, eight, so in both cases the high scoring submission was the date range.

THE CHAIRMAN: Wonderful. Thank you very much. Are there any motions?

MR. WEINMAN: It can stand as is, if you like.

THE CHAIRMAN: Happy to let it stand as is, unless you have any further discussion or any motions?

MR. SAUNDERS: I move we adopt the date range for both.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you, John. Is there a second?

MR. MORAN: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you, Mike. All those in favor of adopting the date range for both the one cent and the five cent coin, please say aye.

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any opposed?
Any abstain?
MS. WARREN: Donald, are you voting aye or no, or you have a question? You're muted, so --

MR. SCARINCI: I'm voting aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Great, wonderful. Thank you. It would seem then that the motion passed, so thank you very much for all of this.

Okay. So now onto our next order of
business. April Stafford, along with Russ Evans, will now walk us through the design options -- oh, no, sorry. Reading wrong page here. This is the next order of business, is the discussion of future themes for the platinum proof coins. April Stafford will provide some background before we begin the discussion. So, April, if you would, please?

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. So we are seeking this Committee's input on the American Eagle Platinum Proof Coin Program, specifically on two points. The first is a recommendation regarding what the next series in the Platinum Proof Program should be, and the second is to collect any suggestions that you might have for additional themes or concepts for this program that we can bring together to create a pool of potential themes for future Platinum Proof programs.

So you may know that the current series, the First Amendment of the United States Constitution, was launched in 2021, and it concludes in 2025. It depicts the life cycle of an oak tree as a metaphor, signifying how each of the five freedoms
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that are enumerated in the First Amendment, contribute to the growth and development of our nation. So the 2025 Right to Petition coin will be the final installment in this series.

So regarding the question as to what should be the next series for this program, initially we were considering a one-year theme for 2026, to coincide and commemorate the semiquincentennial. But after conversations we've had with a variety of stakeholders, including subject matter experts that we are working with at the Library of Congress, and the National Archives, as well as the CCAC Semiquincentennial Work Group, we identified the opportunity to offer potentially a three-year series, starting in 2026, tentatively called the Charters of Freedom, which would -- which would honor in a threeyear installment, the founding documents of the Declaration of Independence, the U.S. Constitution and the Bill of Rights.

Through this theme we could absolutely
in 2026 connect with and commemorate the semiquincentennial by honoring the $250 t h$ anniversary
of the signing of the Declaration of Independence, while then going on to year two and three in the program, and looking at the other two founding documents.

So that is the first question on the table. And then, again, after feedback on that proposal, we would ask if you have other suggested themes and concepts for this program, either for 2026 or out years, we would very much appreciate them. So that's it, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much, April.

So let's first have some consideration discussion then about this Charters of Freedom proposal. Again, we will just do this individually and, again, $I$ would ask you to keep your comments as brief as possible, and again, just state your name as you begin your comments. So let's begin with John, please.

MR. SAUNDERS: Okay. John Saunders here. I'm off of mute, got it right this time.

Anyway, I like the idea of 2026 being

## Page 133

the semiquincentennial. I like the idea of having the Constitution on the back of it. I'm kind of neutral about whether it's a three-year program or not. You know, I believe the other semiquincentennial -- did I say that right -- are one year, so I'm not opposed to this being three years, but I'm not opposed to it being one year either. And $I$ guess this is only for comment on that.

I do have a comment about a possible theme, which I can give you later when it's appropriate.

THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you very much, John. Chris, your comments, please?

DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right, this is Chris Capozzola. I think, similarly, I think the opportunity here to be part of the broader semiquincentennial conversation is really valuable, and I think it would be extremely important to do so.

I also had a sense that, unlike the bicentennial celebrations in the $20 t h$ century, which did continue until the bicentennial of the ratification of the Constitution, my sense is that the
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celebrations for the semiq are really focused on 2026, which makes an argument for -- for just, for just hitting it all in one -- at one time. Although I know that that goes against the, you know, kind of the approach of the Eagle Platinum Proof Coin Program, which is to take a theme across multiple years.

So I'm openly torn on this issue, I think, like John.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much. Donald, your comments?

MR. SCARINCI: So I collect this series, of course, and $I$ think it's a great series. I like the three founding documents idea, starting in 1776, with the Declaration of Independence. I think it will make a nice adjunct --

THE CHAIRMAN: Donald, I think you're frozen.

MR. SCARINCI: Whoops. How's that? Is
that better?

THE CHAIRMAN: There you go.
MR. SCARINCI: So I think people who see the founding documents or who become interested as
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a result of the coin redesigns in ' 26 , you know, might have some additional interest in the next two platinum coins. So I like the series. We just about every year, except the first year, did a series. This is a great series. It's a nice adjunct to the Declaration of Independence, and I would recommend that we go in that direction.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, Donald.
Thank you very much. Dennis, your comments, please? MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Dennis Tucker. I agree with Donald. This program is strongly associated with your design series. I think that the Declaration of Independence could be a standalone theme for 2026, but I think my preference would be for having the three-year Charters of Freedom. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, Dennis.
Thank you very much. Kellen, your comments, please?
MR. HOARD: I thank you. This is
Kellen Hoard. I'm okay with the Charters of Independence. I have no strong feelings really either direction. The one concern $I$ kind of would raise is
that the Declaration of Independence, I mean, we just finished -- the Platinum Program was just preamble to the Declaration of Independence for 2018 and 2020, so we kind of tread over the Declaration of Independence theme, I think, already, and there's room to explore more avenues.

We had a U.S. Constitution
commemorative coin. We had a Bill of Rights
commemorative coin. This has been featured in the U.S. Mint's work, and, you know, especially with the Declaration of Independence, quite recently we have featured these documents.

So, you know, it's okay but I think it's kind of a retread in some ways, and there's so much room for, you know, different ideas, that I'd be inclined if there were other more compelling ones, to pursue those.

I do have one idea, which even I'm like -- I'm like a seven out of ten. I'll bring that up later, but in terms of the documents themselves, it just wasn't particularly breaking new ground to me. THE CHAIRMAN: Wonderful. Thank you,

Kellen. Mike, your comments, please?
MR. MORAN: Thank you, Peter. This is Mike Moran. I'm going to endorse Donald, three years and the three documents.

THE CHAIRMAN: Keeping it brief, thank you. Annelisa, your comments?

MS. PURDIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Annelisa Purdie. I also think that three years for each of the three documents would allow us more time to look at different avenues for design, to see if there's something that we haven't explored yet, or that may be more compelling, as well, and see what opportunities are there. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, thank you. And I'll just weigh in here, in saying that $I$ too would think that three years and three documents would be perfectly fine, as well.

So April, I do have a question for you at the moment. I know that you're seeking some input and would you like a resolution from us? Would that help? Or are the comments that you've heard so far useful enough?
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MS. STAFFORD: So if it's okay, I'll ask Greg Weiman if he feels that it needs to be formalized in some way. Otherwise, for us, I think the detailed information that you gave individually gives us the input that was required. Greg?

MR. WEINMAN: This does not need to be formalized. The transcript, the minutes, will speak for themselves.

THE CHAIRMAN: Wonderful. Thank you very much.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: So we will then move on to the second --

MS. WARREN: Wait, Peter. This is
Jennifer. I don't know if -- there was two other ideas. I don't know if April wants to hear what those ideas are for future consideration or we do that in another meeting at another time?

THE CHAIRMAN: Will that be part of the theme discussion that we're about to have?

MS. WARREN: Oh, okay. I thought you were moving onto the Best. I'm sorry.
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THE CHAIRMAN: No, no, not yet. Just moving onto the second part of the Platinum Series, which is the discussion of themes. April, did you have any comments before we begin consideration of --

MS. STAFFORD: No, sir, just thank you. Appreciate the feedback, yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So we will have some discussion now of possible themes for the Platinum Series, and again, we'll just go individually and, again, we'll ask you to keep your recommendations brief and, again, please state your name before we begin. I am going to reverse the order and ask for Annelisa's ideas first. I think you're muted.

MS. PURDIE: Is it on?

THE CHAIRMAN: There you go.
MS. PURDIE: No ideas at the moment. I'm still thinking about the general concept, but when they arise, they will come, so I'll stand down at the moment. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
Mike, any ideas?
MR. MORAN: I agree with Annelisa. The
well is dry here.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
Kellen, I know that you had an idea.
MR. HOARD: Yeah. In the realm of the three-year series, and something that $I$ don't think has been done before, would be something along the lines of like a government of the people, a government by the people, a government for the people. You know, you have that kind of, you know, three-section thing. I think you trace it -- I mean, you can trace those ideals and principles back to 1776 , articulated in the 1860s by Lincoln, so you're tracing history in that way, and of continued relevance in 2026 , as we continually seek to improve on all of those three metrics.

They allow -- diversity in design, allows the artists of the Mint to kind of come up with a variety of different ideas, not just around, you know, pieces of paper, but around concepts, and I think artistic ones, at that, and how that manifests in different ways, more tangible and more abstract ways.

So I'd be interested in seeing something in a theme along those lines.

THE CHAIRMAN: Wonderful. Thank you. Dennis, did you have any ideas?

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Dennis Tucker. I'll just toss these out and then $I$ think we can discuss all of this in further detail later.

In the early 2000 s, this program had a Vistas of Liberty Series, that showed eagles flying over different scenic landscapes, representing different parts of the United States. I wonder if that theme has been played out, or if there's more opportunity there.

I know that Dr. Brown, if he was still among our body, would probably want to see some sort of urban depiction, rather than the natural landscapes. So that's one concept. And then maybe since we're looking at the past with recent coinage programs, and our discussion of the foundations of our nation, maybe look to the future for the next program, whether that's space or some other way to capture the
concept of America in the future. Just kind of a general concept there.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Dennis. Donald, would you have any ideas?

MR. SCARINCI: Yeah, there's tons of things we can do with this series, and that's the great part of the series. We could do, you know, the three branches of government, you know, the Article I, II and III. Yeah, everybody must be on their -- I guess people are coming up from the beach. Everybody goes on the internet at the same time around here.

So yeah, we could a lot of -- we could a lot of things with this. We could also, you know, talk about, you know, we haven't done much with the Continental Congress. You know, we could do the chairs of the First and Second Continental Congress. We could do, you know, something about the Continental Congress, and the Articles of Confederation. You know, leading up to -- leading up to, you know, the Convention of 1776. You know, resulting in the Constitution. We could do -- so there's tons of --
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you know, and -- you know, and I like the way the artists are handling this. They're giving us really artistic renditions. They're giving us abstract, you know, they're going into abstraction, to represent some of these themes.

You know, I think this current series of the Oak tree, you know, just really kind of sets the bar, kind of raises the bar, sets a new bar, for this series. You know, there's a lot of interesting things we could do ahead.

So, you know, that being said, that's -- you know, for now I would go with the three. It give us some time to think this through a little bit more for what happens after the three, you know, and to do a one of, you know, keeps the -- keeps the pressure on immediately.

To decide three, gives the Mint and the artists a lot of time to really come up with some of these, you know, incredible designs in this very under-collected, overlooked series.

THE CHAIRMAN: Wonderful. Thank you, Donald. Chris, do you have any ideas?
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DR. CAPOZZOLA: All right. This is
Chris Capozzola. I have -- I'm going to give you five ideas, with a caveat that they might be terrible ideas, artistically unrealizable. They might -- as you know, $I$ don't know the full numismatics history, so they may have been done before, but let me just toss them out.

Number one, the Four Freedoms, as articulated by Franklin Roosevelt, in his explanation, I think would be extremely collectible, if that hasn't already been done, someone should get on that right away.

Second, this is very hard to do, but, you know, freedom is not just freedom from things that you have to do, but also freedom to do things that are part of the obligations of citizenship, that may run from voting to jury service to paying taxes. No one is gg to buy a coin about paying your taxes, but let me just at least put that on the table.

Third, if we're looking for sort of critical texts that guarantee liberty and that have, you know, kind of iconic status, the most important in
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many ways is Section 1 of the 14 th Amendment. Again, very hard to put into artistic fashion, but -- and that would include birthright citizenship, privileges and immunities, due process and equal protection.

Fourth, another kind of trilogy of kind of key sort of moments of liberty, come in the 1960 s, with the near simultaneous adoption of the Civil Rights Act, the Voting Rights Act and the Immigration and Nationality Act, that could sort of make for a great sort of late $20 t h$ century Charter of Freedom. And then finally, another way of thinking about freedom is the arts and creative expression, which, you know, we move astronauts maybe a lot, but we could also make room for music, film, dance, painting, you know, whatever those might be, right, that sort of expressions of liberty and creativity that are part of the American tradition.

THE CHAIRMAN: Chris, fantastic. Thank you very much. John, any ideas?

MR. SAUNDERS: Yes. Again, the idea that came from Donald that was talking about Space Shuttles taking off from Florida and landing
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somewhere, I think space would be a great theme to do, whether it's historical achievements and/or future dreams, landing on Mars, and so forth, for several reasons.

One is, you know, it's something that most Americans feel proud of and like, I mean, as divided as the country is today, I'm sure there's some people out there against space exploration, but probably very few.

Secondly, from the marketing viewpoint, the Mint needs to sell these things. It's a platinum coin. Platinum is expensive. And there's a lot of people that collect space stuff, so -- or anything to do with space. So I think it would help with the Mint's marketing, as well, and it's a theme, okay. If we're talking freedom, we have the soaring eagle. You know, the soaring Space Shuttle or, you know, the correct rockets going to space, is pretty -- pretty symbolic of freedom, as well, so I like that as a theme.

As a fallback or something future, kind of following up on what Chris will say, maybe we do
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the muses, you know, for the art program, to have which -- reason -- which one you pick as opposed to, you know, you can't pick everything.

So those are my two thoughts on it, but the space one would be the one I would be supporting for the next program, either after one year or three years of the Bicentennial Program.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, great. Thank you, John. I think that we've given April plenty of ideas to mull over for the next little while, and I don't think that we necessarily have to have a discussion at the moment.

I know that we do have a break
scheduled between this agenda item and our next agenda item, but $I$ would suggest that we just push on, since we are a bit behind schedule.

So we will then take up our next agenda item, Dufour Woolfley, from Sales and Marketing will present the background on the 2026 Best of the Mint Series. Dufour.

MS. STAFFORD: Did you want me to read this information into the record real quick, before
handing off to you or --
MR. WOOLFLEY: That will be fine.

Thank you.
MS. STAFFORD: So really quickly, this
is information on this program. As part of its commemoration of the semiquincentennial of our nation's founding in 2026, the Mint plans to release the "Best of the Mint" 24-Karat Gold Coin Program. This will be a one-year series, featuring historic designs from the last 250 years of U.S. coinage.

The Mint identifies the coins in this program after consulting with numismatic experts and soliciting input from the public. These 24 -Karat gold coins in uncirculated finish will be released over the course of 2026 in fractional formats, approximating the size of the original coins. One ounce, half ounce, quarter ounce, tenth ounce.

The coins will faithfully represent the historic designs and feature their original dates of issue. To indicate that these are 2026 issuances, the coins will bear a special semiquincentennial privy mark featuring the Liberty Bell and the numerals 250,
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as Dufour shared earlier.
As mentioned, we will also release five companion silver medals featuring new and modern designs, inspired by each of the historic 24-Karat gold coins. The silver medals will feature the aforementioned semiquincentennial privy mark. Design development for the silver medals, however, can only begin once the five historic designs for the 24 -Karat gold coins have been approved by the Secretary.

So the coins that have been identified to be released as part of the "Best of the Mint" 24Karat Gold Coin semiquincentennial program, will include the 1916 Walking Liberty Half Dollar, the 1916 Standing Liberty Quarter Dollar, the 1916 Mercury Dime, the 1804 Silver Dollar (Class I Version), and the 1907 Saint-Gaudens High Relief Double Eagle.

And we do have before, if you would
like us to share the screen with those images, as you speak, we're happy to do that.

MR. WOOLFLEY: Excellent. Thank you very much. If you would be so kind as to do that? I think everybody is familiar with the coins, obviously,
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to be recognized as the "Best of the Mint," they must have some modicum of recognition and awareness in our minds, and certainly a group such as this would be most familiar with these coins.

I will avail myself for you for any questions you may have. Happy to respond. I'd also like to begin by thanking those of you who have been a part of the subcommittee that helped to decide which were the original coins that might be put into consideration. We did market research for this program, and our customers actually preferred to have the curated list from which to choose, and so we certainly appreciate the efforts of the subcommittee to put that curated list together, from which we started. And we are now obviously moving along, and we had our survey.

We had over 29,000 participants in the survey, over a 30-day period. I think this is tremendously positive. Bear in mind that the semiquincentennial is still a long way out, and if you go to a cocktail party, not too many people are talking about it. This is not something that it's at
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the front of people's minds or tip of their tongues. So to see that sort of interest in this at this point in time, $I$ think really bodes well for not just this program, but for all the efforts that United States Mint and that CCAC, of course, with all the others, engaged in the semiquincentennial celebration and our coinage are participating in now.

So thank you all very much for your support and look forward to hearing any questions you may have, and see what happens as we move this forward. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Dufour.

So we can have a discussion about this program. If we would like to take a look at the material, $I$ believe that many of us have already seen the selection of coins and what is proposed.

MS. STAFFORD: We can step through the images, if you like. So maybe we'll advance to the first option, the 1916 Walking Liberty Half Dollar, the 1916 -- the next -- I'm sorry, is it not advancing? Okay. I'm going to stop and reshare. I
apologize.
MS. WARREN: That's okay.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right.
MS. STAFFORD: All right. Thank you.

So 1916 -- sorry, Megan, I apologize. Yes, we'll start with the 1916 Walking Liberty Half Dollar. The next, the 1916 Standing Liberty Quarter Dollar. The 1916 Mercury Dime. The 1804 Silver Dollar (Class I Version). And the 1907 Saint-Gaudens High Relief Double Eagle. And I believe we have a contact sheet with all of the options.

THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. Thank you, April. Are there any questions or comments then about this program? Dennis, I see that your hand is raised. MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Dennis Tucker. I'd like to thank the Mint for taking on this big project of seeking public input. It was very involved and as Dufour spelled out, it was very robust. There was a really good turnout of public feedback. So the citizens of the United States have been consulted. The Committee has been consulted through a working group or subcommittee, and a lot of
thought has been put into this.
I don't think there are any surprises here. You know, the 1916 coins in particular are coins that if your father or grandfather, depending on how old you were, served in one of the wars and came back, or just lived through that era, and has a cigar box full of coins and other mementos, you'll probably find one of these dimes, quarters or half dollars in it. So these are coins that many Americans have seen. The 1804 Dollar is legendary. And the Saint-Gaudens Double Eagle is legendary. So there's a lot of appeal here. I almost feel, Mr. Chair, that we could -- with all of that in mind, but in the interest of time, we could make a motion to recommend to the Secretary of the Treasury that the Treasury Department proceed with this program, as spelled out here, with the strong endorsement of the Committee.

If and when the time comes $I$ would make that motion. I just wanted to, because the public is listening now, and this will be part of the historical record, $I$ wanted to make it clear that there's a lot of thought that's been put into this program, and I
think it's been very well done.
Thank you, Mr. Chair.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Dennis, and I would certainly welcome that motion. I do see that there were one or two other hands raised.

MS. WARREN: Annelisa's hand is raised.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Annelisa, please.

MS. PURDIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I also want to express my excitement about this program and say that I'm not surprised at these designs being chosen either. They are special to me. My great-grandfather actually was in World War I, so this coin, and especially the Mercury Dime, which my grandfather possessed, was very important to us, so it's very telling that even after all of this time these designs are what have stuck in the minds of the public and are still being celebrated and they're still excited about, so I'm looking forward to seeing them revisited and having others share that excitement, as well. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, and I do
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appreciate your comments. John, I believe you were next.
MR. SAUNDERS: Thank you. John

Saunders here. I agree with Dennis, the choices are excellent. One of my favorite coins is not here, is the Buffalo Nickel, but we already have a Buffalo gold piece, so that seems to have been represented just fine.

One question. I hate to bring up controversy, but might as well bring it up before it happens, is the 1916 quarter design. I can't see detail about -- looks like there's almost a strap coming down over Liberty's shoulder. And it may be a toning mark or something like that.

No, the quarter. Obviously, when it came out at the time, the bare breast created a lot of controversy, and I don't know whether it will create any at this time or not, but the question is whether the Mint is going to be true to the original design there, or as $I$ say -- I see a line there may imply they've decided to make this Liberty a little more modest, but what's the thinking there and has there
been any thought as to reaction to it?
MR. WOOLFLEY: So I can certainly address that question for you. The intent is to utilize the original design as it first came out, which is what is represented here. As far as controversy, we have actually -- this coin. It was part of the centennial celebration in 2016, and there was some concern at that time, as well, about what the -- you know, the public or critics might say in the context of the original design. And we found that there were no issues. We did not have any -- any great alarm and the world did not stop spinning. And so we were -- we were actually very successful with that program. It was very well regarded, and we intend to reproduce the original with the original design.

MR. SAUNDERS: Then America has grown up.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, John. Thank you, Dufour. Donald, I believe your hand was up?

MR. SCARINCI: Yes. Yeah, I just
wanted to point out, you know, I'm surprised nobody
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raised it. First of all, a lot of work went into all of this, and the Mint did an incredible job getting input and really having a lot of people consider what needs to be done.

You know, I recognize that, you know, with the exception of the 1804 Dollar, all of these coins have already been reproduced in gold, including, by the way, the Buffalo nickel, if you get the $\$ 5$ version, that is almost exactly the same size as the Buffalo nickel, so -- and $I$ have to admit, after we talked about this the first time, I went back to the vault and I, you know, and $I$ took the coins out and put them together in like a little set, and it is an absolutely stunning set. I mean, you know, the -- but having said that, you know, what really is even more exciting, you know, to me than the gold reproductions is what's going to be done with the medals. And you know, $I$ don't know if we're going to talk about that separately, but you know, that's the opportunity that we're going to have to give to the artists to create 21st century renditions of these coins.

You know, very -- the has been doing.
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They've got actually two series of coins re-imagined by the artists of their classic designs. Portugal is doing it.

It's actually very, very exciting to me. The silver -- the silver here is really going to be very special, and to me, the grand prize, for people who, you know, either already have these coins in gold or, you know, aren't fanatics about these old classic designs, and may need them --

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Donald. It does seem to be, as always, a tremendous amount of enthusiasm for these designs, particularly. They do continue to resonate.

Since there does seem to be just general enthusiasm for this, $I$ would certainly welcome your motion at this time, Dennis, if you'd like to proceed with that.

MR. TUCKER: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair. My motion would be that the Committee resolves to recommend this program with our strong endorsement for adoption.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right, wonderful.

Do we have a second?

MR. MORAN: It's Mike Moran, second.

THE CHAIRMAN: Great. All those in
favor of this motion, please say aye.

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Any opposed? Any
abstained? Then this motion does carry.
All right. That then brings us to the conclusion of our agenda today. Are there any additional matters that need to be addressed?

All right. So hearing none, this concludes today's public meeting. We'd like to thank --

MS. WARREN: We have one hand raised.

THE CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry?
MS. WARREN: We have a hand raised.

MR. SAUNDERS: I hit it five times, I think, before it would do it. Peter, it's something we've talked about when Chairman Brown was here. Are we making any effort to track how our recommendations get accepted or not accepted by the Secretary of State and turned into actual coins?

THE CHAIRMAN: Do you mean the
Secretary of the Treasury?

MR. SAUNDERS: Yes, Treasury, I'm sorry. I misspoke there.

THE CHAIRMAN: Not that I'm necessarily
aware of. I don't have enough information at this time to really address that question. I don't know if there's anybody from the Mint who would like to address that question or not, but --

MS. WARREN: This is Jennifer. The one point is you're not going to know what the decision is until it's a public knowledge. We do not divulge the decision of the Secretary until it goes public, so you all can do that yourselves by keeping track of in the annual report and then marking down when it comes up, but we do not track that for you.

This is one of many things that are contributed to April's team, to move the packet forward. The CFA is another one. The liaison is another one. So again, I'm not sure what value you would be getting out of that. I think there's many factors that have to be considered when these things
are moved forward.

And again, you wouldn't know until it's public, so again, you can keep track of that yourselves.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. And again, our recommendations are just simply that, recommendations, and it is ultimately the decision of the Secretary of the Treasury to make the final decision about what is minted and what is -- what is struck.

Are there any additional matters that need to be addressed? All right. Hearing none, this now concludes today's public meeting. And I would like to thank, again, those members of the public, the news community and the stakeholders for joining us today. All upcoming meetings will be announced in the Federal Register several weeks prior to the public meeting, and it appears that the next CCAC meeting will not be until April.

Now I will certainly entertain a motion to adjourn.

DR. CAPOZZOLA: I move to adjourn,

Chris Capozzola.
THE CHAIRMAN: Chris. Do we have a second? Anyone?

MR. TUCKER: Seconded by Dennis Tucker.
THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you, Dennis. All in favor of this motion, please signify by saying aye.

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
And that then concludes this meeting. The meeting stands adjourned at 3:43 p.m. or 3:42. All right. Thank you all.
(Whereupon, the meeting concluded at 3:43 p.m.)
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| $131: 1132: 5,13$ | florida $3: 144: 6$ | $102: 10$ | $46: 2$ |
| $135: 4139: 13$ | $4: 97: 1210: 20$ | forever $95: 14$ | framed $28: 1$ |
| $142: 17151: 20$ | $11: 1,1120: 1,7$ | forgetting | frames $38: 3$ |
| $156: 4157: 1,11$ | $21: 3,8,18,21$ | $118: 1 \quad 51: 15$ |  |
| fiscal $23: 11$ | $23: 5,9,11,14$ | forgot $10: 1$ | framing $34: 10$ |
| fit $37: 344: 3$ | $23: 1724: 22$ | formalized | $36: 1445: 4$ |
| $50: 7$ | $25: 1826: 18,20$ | $138: 3,7$ | $48: 5$ |


| franklin 144:9 | 92:20 106:9,22 | generic 71:4,9 | 67:15 76:9,10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| frankly 27:8 | 115:5 120:3 | generous 17:8 | 78:12 88:15 |
| 95:20 104:9 | 125:15 127:15 | gentlemen 8:8 | 91:17 93:6 |
| freedom | 129:2 141:7 | getter 42:6 | 95:4 99:22 |
| 131:16 132:14 | 163:13 164:9 | 43:5 44:2 | 109:9 112:17 |
| 135:16 144:14 | future 4:13 | getting 157:2 | 114:1 117:3,20 |
| 144:14,15 | 7:19 18:14,18 | 160:21 | 123:17 128:4 |
| 145:10,12 | 26:22 74:14 | gg 144:18 | 134:20 135:7 |
| 146:16,19 | 81:3 90:16 | gibson 2:17 | 139:9,15 |
| freedoms | 98:1,8,14,22 | 8:13,14 13:16 | 143:12 150:21 |
| 130:22 144:8 | 102:10,14 | 13:19,20 14:17 | god 16:9,10 |
| freely 15:12,14 | 130:4,16 | 14:21 15:3,7 | goes 34:5 52:13 |
| friendly 49:6 | 138:17 141:21 | 15:11,15,19,21 | 81:22 83:22 |
| 49:15,20 53:7 | 142:1 146:2,21 | 16:1,5,9,11 | 95:17 114:16 |
| 53:10,12,17 | fyi $94: 2$ | gilkes 3:19 8:4 | 116:14,15 |
| 54:9,10 57:12 | g | give 26:10 | 134:4 142:12 |
| 58:3 |  | 35:12,20 39:17 | 160:13 |
| friends 18:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g } \\ & \text { gat } \end{aligned}$ | 60:19 63:9 | gogh 51:19 |
| front 29:2 |  | 76:4 78:11 | going 27:21 |
| 82:11 112:8 | $153: 1$ | 88:11 89:16,17 | 28:5,14 32:21 |
| 151:1 |  | 92:7 100:4,6,6 | 33:12 36:3,5 |
| frozen 106:12 | $13$ | 101:16 133:10 | 36:10 42:13 |
| 134:17 | ral $2: 15,16$ | 143:13 144:2 | 46:17 48:2 |
| full 19:16 |  | 157:20 | 51:13,20,22 |
| :10 144:5 |  | given | 52:7 53:16 |
| 153:7 | 17.12 25.1 | 54:1 68:19 | 57:17 59:20 |
| fuller 5:15 | :12 68:8 | 70:22 72:6 | 75:2,18 76:9,9 |
| 25:11 | $.5102 \cdot 16$ | 89:20 147:9 | 76:10 78:20 |
| fully 17:10 | $0: 13139: 17$ | gives 138:5 | 79:4 90:14 |
| 82:14,17 | $2: 2158: 1$ | 143:17 | 93:6 94:18 |
| unction 96:7 | generally 44 | giving 25: | 96:1,4,6,13,14 |
| functionality | $77: 13$ | 29:15 30:20 | 96:15 99:13 |
| 96:3 | generate 18:7 | 70:21 143:2,3 | 100:4,6,6 |
| funneled 86:22 |  | global 55:5 | 101:6,7,16 |
| further 41:1,3 |  | go $22: 227: 3$ | 114:11 115:8 |
| 43:22 59:7 | genera | 30:8 41:16 | 116:9 118:7,11 |
| 62:9 66:8 87:9 |  | 42:11 48:2,17 | 120:12 121:21 |
| 87:22 91:15 | 13.719 .14 | 50:11 53:4 | 122:18 132:2 |


| 137:3 139:12 | grand 158:6 | grounded | 48:13,14 51:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 143:4 144:2 | grandfather | 51:14 103:15 | 52:17,19 54:21 |
| 146:18 151:22 | 153:4 154:13 | group 3:20 8:6 | 54:22 55:18 |
| 155:19 157:17 | 154:15 | 14:9 131:13 | 56:2,4,6,7,12 |
| 157:18,20 | grandmothe | 150:3 152:22 | 56:14,21,21 |
| 158:5 160:11 | 13:9 | grown 156:17 | 57:3,5 59:20 |
| gold 124:11 | gravity | growth 131:2 | 61:4,14,18 |
| 125:17 148:8 | great 18:18,21 | guarantee | 62:6 80:19 |
| 148:13 149:5,9 | 23:2,8,19 | 144:21 | 91:16 93:2 |
| 149:12 155:6 | 26:17 29:2 | guess 46:17 | 94:4 95:13 |
| 157:7,16 158:8 | 39:14 42:8 | 48:17 49:16 | 96:8 97:18 |
| good 5:2 10:6 | 49:12 64:17 | 53:20 126:10 | 99:19 100:5 |
| 22:22 32:18 | 67:872:17 | 133:7 142:11 | 102:1 103:3 |
| 41:16 64:14 | 78:13 82:22 | guidance | 105:15,15 |
| 71:22 78:10 | 93:11 98:8 | 100:10 | 106:2,11,14,17 |
| 79:12 90:9 | 101:8 102:18 | guide 91:13,15 | 106:20 111:4 |
| 93:14 107:14 | 107:17 120:2 | guided 25:22 | 112:14 113:21 |
| 115:4 152:12 | 127:14 129:19 | guys 56:18 | 118:2 152:14 |
| 152:19 | 133:12 134:12 | 83:7 91:2 | 154:6 156:20 |
| government | 135:5 142:8 | 101:3 127:22 | 159:14,16 |
| 3:10 10:9 66: | 145:10 146:1 | h | handing 148:1 |
| 86:9 140:7,7,8 | 147:8 154:13 |  | handling 143:2 |
| 142:9 | 156:12 159:3 | 8:16 149:13 | hands 43:4 |
| governor 3: | greater 60:11 | $51: 20152: 6$ | 44:13 56:15,16 |
| 3:16 10:22 | greatest 126:6 | 53:8 | 56:19 60:18,22 |
| 11:5 20:18 | greg 3:8 10:4 | hambrick 3: | 61:16,16,19 |
| 21:21 63:5 | 41:9,18,19 | hambrick 3.15 | 92:14,15 99:12 |
| 65:2 66:6 | 42:10 71:13 |  | 99:14,18 |
| 67:17 80:15 | 87:13 88:6,17 | $64: 1868: 2$ | 125:12 154:5 |
| 87:18 105:3 | 88:18 89:6 |  | happen 28:11 |
| governor's | 104:21 112:13 | $72: 174: 579: 3$ | 29:2 49:14 |
| 24:7,14 30:6 | 115:3 127:19 | 02:2 | 79:15 |
| 30:13 69:13,16 | :6,7 138:2 | hanchock 2:2 | happening |
| 75:17 83:4,8 | 13 |  | 34:2 73:2 74:1 |
| 85:14 93:8 | ground 35 | hand | 77:22 78:4 |
| 95:7 105:1 | 79:15 80: | 43:11 44:17, | happens |
| governors | 85:16 100:18 | 43.1144 .17 , | 143:14 151:10 |
| 20:17 21:5 | 136:21 |  | 155:11 |


| happy $12: 22$ | helped 150:8 | hit 79:18 | hopefully |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53:7 80:14 | helpful 39:4,7 | 59:17 | 10:14 118:8 |
| 129:1 149:19 | 45:14,21 69:2 | hitting 134:3 | house 2:7 5:16 |
| 150:6 | 70:8 | hoard 2:15 | 5:21 |
| harcourt 5:15 | hereto 163:15 | 6:12,14 33:16 | houston 65:18 |
| 25:10 | 164:11 | 33:17,18 40:4 | 83:19 84:4,13 |
| hard 17:6 35:4 | hexagonal 69:7 | 42:10,12,20 | 85:6,9,17 87:1 |
| 95:20 144:13 | 79:16,18 | 43:22 47:1 | 103:16,21 |
| 145:2 | hey 69:20 | 49:16 50:4 | 104:5,11 |
| harkens 117:6 | 120:16 | 53:19 56:3,10 | houstonian |
| hate 119:5,5 | high 42:6 | 57:11 76:15 | 65:10 |
| 155:9 | 128:13,17 | 94:5 112:18 | how's 134:18 |
| head 47:21 | 149:16 152:9 | 113:9 119:4 | human 63:17 |
| hear 6:6 64:15 | highjack 86:19 | 124:22 135:19 | 64:5 65:13,16 |
| 70:6 138:16 | highlighted | 135:20 140:4 | 67:4 69:9 |
| heard 55:2 | 36:7 | hobby 13:8 | humans 84:5 |
| 101:3 137:21 | highligh | hold 31:1 | 84:11,16 104:4 |
| hearing 11:14 | 36:20 | holding 39:8 | hundreds 66:8 |
| 12:6,20 25:2 | highly 3 | 85:22 126:4 | i |
| 41:2 53:20 | historian 13:6 | home 67:8 | icon 56:19 |
| 68:9 83:8 88: | 29:12 | honest 103: | 114:5 |
| 151:9 159:11 | historians 81:2 | honestly | iconic 27:4 |
| 161:12 | historic 84:20 | 126:12,18 | 53:14 123:10 |
| hears 87:15 | 148:9,19 149:4 | honor 13:21 | 144:22 |
| heart 17:8 | 149:8 | 16:16 65:4 | idea 123:2 |
| 65:13 66:16 | historical 4:14 | 131:16 | 124:12 132:22 |
| heartiest 16:21 | 146:2 153:20 | honored 20:18 | 133:1 134:13 |
| heavily 76:18 | historically | honoring 4:5,8 | 136:18 140:3 |
| 93:9 94:8 | 45:3 72:7 | 7:12,15 10:20 | 145:20 |
| height 82:4 | 73:22 | 11:3 20:1,7,13 | ideals 140:11 |
| held 22:11 | history 2:6 | 24:22 41:5 | ideas 43:18 |
| hell 118:1 | 5:18 14:1 | 62:16,22 68:7 | 136:15 138:16 |
| hello 29:7,8 | 18:15 26:6 | 88:3 131:22 | 138:17 139 |
| help 16:9,10 | 67:8 84:7 | honors 40:1 | 139:16,2 |
| 19:4 46:2 | 103:11 118:17 | hope 16:18 | 140:18 141:4 |
| 56:14 95:9 | 119:20 120:21 | 18:18,18,21,22 | 142:5 143:22 |
| 137:21 146:14 | 126:6 140:12 | 19:1 | 144:3,4 145:19 |


| 147:10 | 103:11,12 | 132:1 134:14 | 47:11,16 62:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| identified | 133:18 144:22 | 135:6,13,21 | 62:16,18,22,22 |
| 131:13 149:10 | 154:15 | 136:1,3,4,11 | 65:5 67:3 68:6 |
| identifies | impress 18:9 | indicate 8:11 | 68:7 76:22 |
| 148:11 | impressive | 99:8 148:20 | 77:21 78:18 |
| identify 25:5 | 37:13,19 | individual 71:9 | 88:3,3 94:11 |
| 45:20 68:12 | impressively | 71:10 | 94:15,16 95:1 |
| 72:5 | 37:19 | individually | 95:2 103:12 |
| idiot 126:14 | improve 64:3 | 132:15 138:4 | innovations |
| ii 142:10 | 140:14 | 139:9 | 67:7 79:7 80:9 |
| iii 142:10 | incinerated | individuals | innovative 81:4 |
| illustrate 45:2 | 34:21 | 14:9 16:17 | innovators |
| illustrates | inclined 97:6 | industry 87:3 | 20:13 |
| 89:14 90:13 | 136:16 | infamous 65:17 | input 45:15 |
| image 27:4 | include 49:3,8 | information | 46:1 104:18 |
| 33:21 53:14 | 66:8 124:4 | 63:13 138:4 | 107:6 130:9 |
| 77:2 100:9 | 145:3 149:13 | 147:22 148:5 | 137:19 138:5 |
| imagery 103:9 | includes 7:2 | 160:6 | 148:13 152:17 |
| 104:4 | including | informed 26:1 | 157:3 |
| images 77:4 | 17:12 51:12 | 58:19 72:18 | inscriptions |
| 86:14 149:18 | 64:22 108:19 | infrastructure | 53:16 |
| 151:19 | 131:10 157:7 | 86:22 | inside 109:12 |
| imagined 158:1 | incorporated | ingenuity | insightful 87:7 |
| immediately | 49:18 | 47:12 48:3 | 107:5 |
| 143:16 | increased | initial 70:21 | inspired 149:4 |
| immigration | 23:18 | 72:20 | installment |
| 145:8 | incredible | initially 131:6 | 131:4,17 |
| immunities | 143:19 157:2 | initiatives | institute 77:16 |
| 145:4 | incredibly | 17:12 | institution 3:18 |
| imply 155:20 | 66:20 101:7,9 | innovation 4:5 | 11:10 |
| importance | incuse 51:9 | 4:6,8,9 7:11,12 | institution's |
| 65:8 69:11 | 52:1 113:2 | 7:14,15 10:19 | 29:10 |
| important | incused 51:6 | 10:20 11:2 | intellectual |
| 17:17 26:3 | 113:5 | 20:1,1,2,6,7,9 | 63:15 |
| 33:3 36:18 | incusing 51:20 | 20:10,13 24:21 | intend 156:15 |
| 66:15 68:20 | 125:7 | 24:22 25:20 | intended |
| 69:12 80:6 | independence | 26:5 30:22 | 125:17 |
| 83:19 87:1 | 19:11 131:18 | 36:9 41:5,6 |  |


| intent 156:3 | intriguing | january 13:2 | 92:3 97:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| interactic | 120:12 | 108:4 | 111:4,6 117:20 |
| 104:5 | introduce 5:12 | jefferson | 118:3,13 129:7 |
| interactions | 38:22 58:15 | 116:13 122:17 | 132:18,20 |
| 5:4 | introducing | jen 8:8 115:17 | 133:13 134:8 |
| terest 7:8 | 29:8 | jennifer 3:6 | 145:19 147:9 |
| 13:8 14:2 | introductio | 7:22 8:3 9:17 | 155:1,3 156:19 |
| 35:2 151:2 | 13:1 | 25:12 41:7 | john's 91:19 |
| 153:13 | invest 66:5 | 42:18 56:15 | 99:2 |
| interested | invested 14:9 | 60:1 61:15 | johnson 63:12 |
| 134:22 141:1 | 118:18 | 90:21 91:10 | 63:14 65:12 |
| 163:15 164:12 | investme | 93:18 99:17 | 66:16 76:19 |
| interesting | 66:9 | 106:19 107:12 | join 14:8 |
| 17:17 48:5,5 | invite 16: | 110:15,22 | 123:15 |
| 75:18 104:3 | involved | 114:1 115:7 | joined 10:16 |
| 143:9 | 121:18 152:18 | 138:15 160:10 | 25:11 |
| interests 13:4 | issuance 108:3 | jet 35:6 | joining 11:10 |
| 14:7 18:7 | issuances 21:17 | jets 34:21 | 161:15 |
| interface 84:5 | 114:12 148:20 | job 1:21 17:4 | joseph 3:4 9:11 |
| 84:11 | issue 20:12 | 39:9 79:13 | 81:21 |
| intergovernm... | 43:4 55:5 | 80:4 97:10 | journey 66:18 |
| 3:7,11 9:18 | 64:22 67:11 | 157:2 | joy 17:15 |
| 10:10 | 90:4 118:20 | joe 39:2 40:15 | juggle 18:7 |
| internal 111:22 | 122:20 134:7 | 44:18 45:11 | july 84:14 |
| internally | 148:20 | 49:22 50:11 | june 31:11 |
| 72:10 112:3 | issues 1 | 51:1,4,5,11 | 48:21 |
| international | 18:12 19:17 | 52:3 53:21 | jury 144:17 |
| 64:7 65:21 | 46:15 105:6 | 54:7,21 55:14 | justify 50:16 |
| 67:19 74:15 | 112:2,11 | 70:6,13 81:15 | juxtaposed |
| 85:3,5,12 91:5 | 122:18 156:11 | 81:20 99:18,22 | 79:21 |
| internet 142:12 | item | 101:21 126:8,9 | k |
| interpret 28:14 | 7:20 147:14 | joe's 102:14 |  |
| intervention | 147:15,18 | john 2:7 5:20 | kamoutsas |
| 84:7 | items 107:10 | 32:8,10 42:16 | $3: 13 \text { 10:21 }$ |
| trepid 37:18 | j | 52:5 55:17,18 | 21:20 22:3,20 |
| intricacy 37:2 | j $14: 15$ | $57: 1062: 1$ $70 \cdot 14.1572 .13$ | 22:21,22 23:3 |
| intricate 35:15 |  | $70: 14,1572: 13$ 89:7,8 90:18 | 24:6,19 45:15 |


| 46:4,6 62:13 | 47:13,20 48:4 | 76:4,5,8,11,18 | 144:14,22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| karat 148:8,13 | 48:16 51:19 | 76:19,22 77:8 | 145:13,15 |
| 149:4,8,12 | 52:12 54:2 | 77:9,9,9,12,14 | 146:5,17,17 |
| keep 25:4 | 55:9 69:14 | 77:20 78:1,3,4 | 147:1,3,13 |
| 68:11 80:21 | 72:775:21 | 78:6,9,17,18 | 153:3 155:17 |
| 115:21,22 | 77:9 78:3,6 | 79:17 81:2,15 | 156:9,22 157:5 |
| 117:22 123:15 | 91:19 94:6 | 82:19 84:19 | 157:5,12,14,15 |
| 132:16 139:10 | 101:3 111:7 | 86:12 89:15 | 157:16,18,18 |
| 161:3 | 113:1,1 116:15 | 94:6,7,13,14 | 157:19,22 |
| keeping 137:5 | 117:6 118:6 | 94:22 95:4,8 | 158:7,8 160:7 |
| 160:14 | 119:10 120:19 | 96:10,16 97:6 | 160:11 161:2 |
| keeps 143:15 | 133:2 134:4 | 97:9,10,10,12 | knowing 92:6 |
| 143:15 | 135:22 136:4 | 97:13,14,14,22 | knowledge |
| kellen 2:15 | 136:14 140:9 | 98:3 102:7,8,9 | 13:14 84:2 |
| 6:12 33:15,17 | 140:17 142:1 | 102:11,14 | 87:3 160:12 |
| 35:11 42:11,19 | 143:7,8 144:22 | 104:19,20,22 | 163:10 164:6 |
| 43:21 44:9 | 145:5,5 146:21 | 105:1 108:22 | known 65:19 |
| 46:22 48:11 | 149:21 | 110:19 114:7 | knows 71:5 |
| 49:13 53:16,18 | know 17:5 | 116:11,15,16 | 97:7 |
| 56:2 76:14 | 23:20,21 26:9 | 117:14 118:11 | kraft 63:18 |
| 78:22 94:4 | 26:10,21 27:6 | 118:18 119:6 | 1 |
| 95:12 112:14 | 27:9 28:11,13 | 119:10,11 | lacking 32:3 |
| 112:17 119:3 | 28:15 29:15,16 | 120:2,8,10,14 | land 28:20 |
| 121:4 124:21 | 29:16,17,21 | 120:19 124:10 | landed 28:21 |
| 125:5 135:18 | 30:1,5,8,10 | 125:7 127:12 | $34: 9$ |
| 135:20 137:1 | 32:14 34:1,4 | 130:18 133:4 | landing 28:17 |
| 140:3 | 34:14,16,22 | 134:4,4 135:1 | 29:3,4 98:6 |
| kellen's 43:9 | 35:4 44:1,2 | 136:10,13,15 | 145:22 146:3 |
| 44:10 57:9 | 46:16 47:2,3 | 137:19 138:15 | lands 28:18,19 |
| 58:6 117:14 | 47:14 48:1,3,4 | 138:16 140:3,8 | landscape |
| kennedy 22:16 | 48:6 49:10,17 | 140:9,19 | landscape 27:10 |
| 27:11 29:3 | 49:19,19,21 | 141:15 142:8,9 | landscapes |
| key 145:6 | 50:8,16,20 | 142:14,15,16 | 141:11,18 |
| kids 77:16 | 53:20 65:10 | 142:18,20,20 | lane 45:2 |
| kind 14:10 | 70:7 72:1,21 | 142:21 143:1,1 | large 18:19 |
| 30:11 33:18 | 73:8,9 74:11 | 143:4,6,7,9,11 | 37:19 66:2 |
| 34:8,11 35:4 | 74:13,16 75:15 | 143:12,14,15 | 79:5 |
| 43:13 47:2,6 | 75:20,20 76:3 | 143:19 144:5,5 |  |


| largely 26:14 | 87:19 104:21 | liberty's | 72:18 75:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| larger 22:11 | 110:11 111:7 | 155:13 | 86:17 97:18,21 |
| 95:22 | 111:13,16 | librarian 13:7 | 107:13 116:17 |
| lastly 109:22 | legally 111:15 | library 13:12 | 116:19 143:13 |
| late 145:10 | legendary | 131:11 | 147:10 155:21 |
| latitude 87:15 | 153:10,11 | lies 19:13 | 157:13 |
| launch 22:16 | legibility 50:17 | life 29:13 104:6 | lived 122:10 |
| 23:18 31:21 | 73:6,16 | 130:21 | 153:6 |
| 35:22 46:16 | legible 82:18 | lifetime 19:15 | lives 100:11 |
| 72:6 103:19 | legislation 66:7 | liftoff 30:1 | location 36:19 |
| launched 22:14 | legislative 3:6 | light 19:4 | 64:3 |
| 22:16 40:9 | 3:11 9:18 | 38:14 96:11,11 | logistical 63:15 |
| 102:10 130:20 | 10:10 23:5 | 96:16 | logo 114:5 |
| launches 23:11 | letter 67:10 | liked 34:13 | long 29:19 |
| 23:14 | 82:4 | 37:1 69:6 | 97:22 103:21 |
| launching | lettering 86:14 | likely 91:6 | 150:20 |
| 47:20 | letters 7:3 12:2 | likes 71:1 89:18 | look 11:14 |
| law 20:3,10 | 12:7,21 | lincoln 116:12 | 16:13 18:14 |
| 66:6 | level 66:4 | 116:16 117:10 | 25:19 31:20 |
| lawrence 17:4 | levels 100:13 | 121:7 122:10 | 32:18 55:9 |
| lay 94:7 | liaison 3:7 7:22 | 140:12 | 60:18 67:9,10 |
| leader 2:8,10 | 9:19 62:12 | lincoln's 118:8 | 73:21 82:14 |
| 2:12 5:21 6:2,5 | 87:19 97:11,15 | line 50:15,21 | 109:7 113:2 |
| 23:9 | 105:2 160:19 | 120:10 155:20 | 120:17 121:12 |
| leadership 17:7 | liaison's 97:6,7 | lines 140:7 | 137:10 141:21 |
| 78:19 | liaisons 10:17 | 141:2 | 151:9,15 |
| leading 77:1 | 21:11 41:2 | list 2:2 3:2 10:1 | looked 33:19 |
| 78:6 98:12 | 46:1 87:17 | 150:12,14 | 45:6 75:6 |
| 142:20,20 | 91:1 107:3 | listen 33:12 | looking 25:21 |
| leads 35:7 | liberty 109:11 | listening 5:11 | 33:18 34:19 |
| learn 119:16 | 109:21 113:11 | 72:18 153:20 | 35:1,16 37:3 |
| 119:19 | 121:16 127:6 | literally 45:6 | 47:9 48:1 |
| leaves 45:5 | 141:10 144:21 | 61:5 | 74:17 77:2,12 |
| left 32:1 51:8 | 145:6,16 | little 22:7 | 92:7 132:3 |
| 109:14 117:10 | 148:22 149:13 | 26:15,19 33:7 | 141:19 144:20 |
| 125:8 | 149:14 151:20 | 34:21 35:3 | 154:19 |
| legal 3:8 10:5 | 152:6,7 155:21 | 46:9,10 54:17 | looks 31:9 |
| 24:20 68:4 |  | 56:20,21 60:10 | 73:17 76:5 |


| 86:13,17 | 66:18 75:2 | mapping 48:16 | th 121:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 102:12,13,17 | 76:11 79:10,11 | mark 6:6 21:16 | matter 11:11 |
| 112:20 113:1 | 84:5 85:17 | 109:11,13,13 | 77:3 91:1 |
| 119:7 155:12 | 89:10 92:19 | 109:16,16,20 | 103:2,7 111:12 |
| lost 73:18 | 96:11 98:11 | 109:21 110:1 | 119:14 125:16 |
| lot 38:8 52:19 | 112:6 115:8,15 | 112:20,21 | 131:10 |
| 77:3,22 126:15 | 120:15 124:16 | 114:4,11 | matters 159:10 |
| 142:13,14 | 125:15 126:13 | 115:10 116:12 | 161:11 |
| 143:9,18 | 126:20 134:15 | 116:12,13,14 | mccurdy 164:2 |
| 145:14 146:12 | 145:9,14 | 116:20 117:9 | 164:15 |
| 152:22 153:12 | 153:14,18,21 | 117:13 118:5 | mcgee 3:17 |
| 153:21 155:16 | 155:21 161:8 | 118:10 119:5,9 | 11:8 21:22 |
| 157:1,3 | makes 104:5,6 | 120:3,5,7,9 | 28:20 29:1,5,7 |
| lots 84:9,10 | 119:18 124:18 | 121:1,2,7,9 | 29:9 45:15 |
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| $128: 11,16$ | $36: 2040: 17$ | proposed | $15: 1,5,9,13,17$ |
| $148: 21149: 6$ | $45: 1949: 9$ | $151: 17$ | $15: 20,2216: 3$ |
| prize $158: 6$ | $50: 6,1651: 9$ | protection | $16: 7,10,11,15$ |
| probably $32: 16$ | $52: 8,1562: 21$ | $145: 4$ | $16: 2035: 13$ |
| $53: 2154: 3$ | $63: 1664: 5,7$ |  | $79: 2122: 4,5$ |


| 137:7,8 139:14 | question 31:15 | r | 128:18 129:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 139:16 154:9 | 49:20 51:5 | r 2:1 3:1 5:1 | 129:10 |
| purpose 15:19 | 55:16 59:9 | race 65:16 | ranking 31:13 |
| 15:20 | 70:4,4,14 71:3 | raise 14.10 | rate 54:6 |
| pursue 136:17 | 72:2 81:16 | 54:14 56:14 | rather 38:6 |
| pursuit 18:10 | 89:9,13 96:3 | 57:5 59:21 | 45:7 70:17 |
| push 66:3 | 111:7,8,14 |  | 74:3 82:5,6,9 |
| 70:20 95:15 | 113:10 114:3 | 93:2 99 | 120:4 123:9,11 |
| 147:15 | 114:15 115:8 | 100:5 105:15 | 141:17 |
| pushed 34:18 | 117:13 121:14 | 106:2 135:22 | ratification |
| 90:8 | 123:20 129:17 | raised 44:19 | 133:22 |
| put $50: 12,19$ | 131:5 132:5 | 45:12 46:22 | reaching 83:15 |
| 52:8 60:11 | 137:18 155:9 | 51:2 54:22 | reaction 156:1 |
| 73:6 95:22 | 155:18 156:3 | 55:18 56:15,16 | read 74:3 81:1 |
| 99:18 104:9,10 | 160:7,9 | 60:18,22 61:16 | 82:15 101:6 |
| 104:10 123:11 | questioned | 61:19 73:15 | 147:21 |
| 144:19 145:2 | 38:19 | 80:19 81:15 | readable 125:2 |
| 150:9,14 153:1 | questions 22:2 | 91:16 92:14 | 125:10 |
| 153:22 157:13 | 24:9,20 25:7 | 94:4 95:13 | reading 130:3 |
| puts 104:11 | 40:19 46:2,18 | 96:9 97:19 | ready $23: 21$ |
| 127:18 | 63:7 68:5 | 102:1 111:5 | 41:16 88:12 |
| putting 50:5 | 110:11 112:19 | 112:15 125:12 | reaffirming |
| 71:19 | 115:5 127:8 | 152:14 154:5,6 | 78:3 |
| q | 150:6 151:9 | 157:1 159:14 | real 147:22 |
| qualified 2:3,5 | 152:13 | 159:16 | really 19:13 |
| 2:13 5:18 6:10 | quick 65:7 | raises 143:8 | 30:9,12,17 |
| 6:19 46:20 | 112:18 114:3 | raising $56: 18$ | 32:22 34:9 |
| 126:5 163:7 | 147:22 | 56:19 99:14 | 37:18,21 38:1 |
| quarter 18:20 | quickly 103:6 | 113:20 | 43:2 51:22 |
| 81:8 118:19 | 118:9 124:22 | range 109:3,10 | 69:5 71:16 |
| 119:11,15 | 48:4 | 109:12,14,15 | 72:17 73:11,17 |
| 148:17 149:14 | quite 23:6 38:1 | 109:20 110:1,7 | 75:22 76:1 |
| 152:7 155:11 | 73:6 74:8 77:4 | 117:6,13,15 | 77:8 78:3,13 |
| 155:15 | 77:11 83:13 | 118:21 119:12 | 85:18 86:9,13 |
| quarters 27:14 | 85:9 92:4 | 122:7,8,22 | 89:15 91:14 |
| 29:19 124:1 | 95:20 136:11 | 123:10,15 | 96:1 97:5,10 |
| 153:8 | quorum 6:21 | 128:10,14,16 | 97:14 98:2 |
|  |  |  | 101:21 103:15 |


| $107: 5119: 11$ | $88: 17121: 17$ | redesign $108: 1$ | released |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $120: 2,7121: 10$ | $128: 6157: 5$ | redesigned | $148: 14149: 11$ |
| $121: 17133: 17$ | recognized | $108: 14111: 16$ | relentless |
| $134: 1135: 21$ | $20: 22106: 12$ | $116: 10123: 22$ | $18: 10$ |
| $143: 2,7,18$ | $150: 1$ | redesigns | relevance |
| $148: 4151: 3$ | recommend | $135: 1$ | $140: 13$ |
| $152: 19157: 3$ | $42: 1348: 18$ | reduced $163: 7$ | relief $51: 11,16$ |
| $157: 15158: 5$ | $58: 2191: 22$ | reflect $73: 10$ | $51: 1970: 7,8$ |
| $160: 7$ | $92: 19119: 7$ | $90: 3108: 20$ | $81: 1782: 7,9$ |
| realm 94:6 | $135: 6153: 14$ | reflecting | $82: 16149: 16$ |
| $140: 4$ | $158: 20$ | $73: 15$ | $152: 9$ |
| reason $31: 17$ | recommenda... | regard $113: 10$ | reliefs $75: 8$ |
| $33: 952: 8$ | $24: 1638: 18,21$ | $120: 2123: 13$ | remain $21: 14$ |
| $118: 5122: 7$ | $49: 2,856: 5$ | regarded | $108: 16$ |
| $147: 2$ | $57: 1358: 19$ | $156: 14$ | remaining $21: 3$ |
| reasons $47: 5$ | $60: 1395: 17$ | regarding $44: 4$ | remarkable |
| $66: 2272: 21$ | $106: 7110: 4$ | $74: 6130: 11$ | $18: 673: 7,18$ |
| $76: 17108: 15$ | $123: 14130: 11$ | $131: 5$ | $83: 20,22$ |
| $146: 4$ | recommenda... | regardless $47: 4$ | remarks $17: 2$ |
| received $41: 7$ | $97: 8139: 10$ | regards $91: 2$ | remember |
| $42: 1,2,3,3,4,4$ | $159: 20161: 6,7$ | register $161: 17$ | $31: 1180: 8$ |
| $42: 5,588: 4,20$ | recommended | reiterate $91: 10$ | $115: 20$ |
| $88: 21,22,22$ | $2: 7,9,115: 15$ | $105: 5 \quad$ remind $5: 6$ |  |
| $89: 2,2,3,3,4$ | $5: 216: 2,5$ | reject $53: 16$ | $25: 326: 21$ |
| $128: 10$ | $109: 3$ | related $70: 18$ | 68:11 $115: 20$ |
| receiving $87: 18$ | reconsidering | $114: 15163: 11$ | reminded $36: 8$ |
| recent $18: 12$ | $70: 22$ | $164: 7$ | reminder $109: 6$ |
| $126: 1141: 19$ | record $8: 9$ | relations $3: 16$ | remotely $8: 2$ |
| recently $30: 21$ | $10: 1523: 13$ | $11: 563: 4$ | render $113: 5$ |
| $136: 11$ | $41: 1468: 13$ | relationship | rendered $113: 8$ |
| recess $107: 7,19$ | $88: 13107: 18$ | $26: 5$ | renditions |
| recognition | $114: 19128: 2$ | relative $163: 13$ | $143: 3157: 21$ |
| $150: 2$ | $147: 22153: 21$ | $164: 10$ | repeat $14: 11$ |
| recognizable | $163: 9164: 5$ | relatively | $50: 260: 6,8$ |
| $26: 8,1627: 7$ | recorded $163: 6$ | $116: 6,20$ | repetition |
| $28: 573: 4$ | recording | release $148: 7$ | $31: 18$ |
| recognize | $163: 8164: 4$ | $149: 2$ | report $160: 15$ |
| $35: 1441: 18$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| reported 1:20 | resolves 158:19 | reviewed 19:21 | 123:5 127:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reporter 41:15 | resonate | reviewing | 128:5 129:6,9 |
| 41:15 88:10 | 158:13 | 10:17 19:8 | 131:3 132:11 |
| represent 7:8 | resource 63:19 | 31:11 68:21 | 132:21 133:5 |
| 13:4 65:3 67:5 | respectful 18:2 | 69:5 125:16 | 133:14 134:9 |
| 143:4 148:18 | respond 5:13 | revise 61:10 | 135:8,17 |
| representation | 150:6 | revised 60:15 | 137:14 144:1 |
| 84:16 | responding | revisited | 144:11 145:16 |
| representative | 72:3 | 154:20 | 147:8 152:3,4 |
| 83:4 104:22 | rest 16:22 | right 8:7 10:14 | 154:7 158:22 |
| 105:2 | 70:12 107:9 | 12:6,10,14,19 | 159:8,11 161:5 |
| representatives | 126:22 | 14:11 25:16 | 161:12 162:5 |
| 20:18 22:2 | result 48:17 | 27:6 35:10 | 162:11 |
| represented | 135:1 | 36:8 37:9 | rights 131:19 |
| 155:7 156:5 | resulting | 38:11 41:2 | 136:8 145:8,8 |
| representing | 142:21 | 42:8,20 43:20 | risks 92:4 |
| 2:15,16 6:13 | results 41:11 | 44:9 45:11 | 112:4 |
| 6:16 14:7 | 41:19 88:7,18 | 46:3,13,21 | robust 82:16 |
| 141:11 | 128:7 | 48:12 51:6,8 | 100:7 111:1 |
| reproduce | retired 102:8 | 53:22 54:13 | 152:19 |
| 156:15 | retread 136:14 | 56:22 57:4 | robustness |
| reproduced | return 37:6 | 58:5,6,10,14 | 51:19 |
| 157:7 | 53:11 54:15 | 59:2 60:4,7 | rocket $28: 1$ |
| reproductions | 55:15 59:1 | 61:3 62:5,8,8 | 31:7 34:21 |
| 157:16 | 107:9,10 | 62:10 64:15 | 35:2,5 40:5,6 |
| request 54:18 | 127:20 | 67:15 68:9 | 47:20 |
| 57:12 | reusable 22:9 | 69:15 70:11,14 | rockets 146:18 |
| required 138:5 | reverend 18:19 | 72:12,15 74:20 | rocky 127:12 |
| requires 20:11 | reverse 19:22 | 81:14,19 83:10 | roger 2:20 8:21 |
| research | 20:12 32:3,4 | 87:3,8,21 89:6 | role $78: 19$ |
| 150:10 | 41:4 62:15 | 92:16 96:14,16 | roll 60:1,17 |
| researched | 88:2 111:9 | 97:1,16 98:15 | room 87:4 |
| 91:3 | 139:12 | 99:5,11 105:22 | 136:5,15 |
| reservation | review 4:4,7,10 | 107:11 109:5 | 145:14 |
| 15:16,18 | 7:10,13,16 | 109:14 112:12 | roosevelt 144:9 |
| reshare 151:22 | 12:1 18:8 | 112:16 115:19 | rooted 83:19 |
| resolution | 62:15 101:12 | 117:2,9,19 | rule 79:19 |
| 137:20 | 107:20 108:10 | 118:13,15 |  |


| ruled 34:4,8 | saw 81:7,8 | 128:17 | 36:15 37:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| run 85:5 | 82:10 | screen 39:6,15 | 43:11 44:18 |
| 144:16 | saying 12:16 | 53:3 149:18 | 45:12 46:10,12 |
| running 100:17 | 29:22 45:8,19 | scribing 82:12 | 46:12,13,16,22 |
| 107:14 | 55:12 59:11 | sculptable 82:1 | 47:7,13,15 |
| russ 20:4 62:20 | 99:9 137:15 | sculpturally | 48:7,8,14 |
| 108:7 130:1 | 162:7 | 100:22 | 49:17 51:2,6 |
| russell 2:21 9:2 | says 32:2 | second 12:11 | 51:16 52:8,14 |
| $\mathbf{s}$ | scale 82:10 | 12:12 42:15,17 | 52:16 53:3,7 |
| S 2.13.14.1 | scarinci 2:11 | 43:5 59:3,3,5 | 54:21 55:4,17 |
| $5: 182: 11$ | 6:4,8 28:9,10 | 79:4 89:11,17 | 56:8,16,17 |
| safe 36:16 | 28:22 29:14 | 97:21 99:2,3 | 57:6,7 69:13 |
| saint sait 149:16 | 75:15 89:10 | 111:15 112:22 | 75:3 79:8,21 |
| 152:9 153:11 | 96:10 106:16 | 113:10 128:11 | 80:5,7,18 82:2 |
| sales 3:21 | 106:20 116:4,5 | 129:7,8 130:13 | 82:18 84:15 |
| 47.18 | 129:18 134:11 | 138:13 139:2 | 85:15 91:13,16 |
| salvation 13 | 134:18,21 | 142:17 144:13 | 92:14 94:15,15 |
| $\text { sandra } 164: 2$ | 142:6 156:21 | 159:1,2 162:3 | 96:1,8 101:22 |
| 164:15 | scenic 141:11 | secondary 35:8 | 103:5 104:18 |
| saturn 31:7 | schedule | 58:1 | 111:4 112:8 |
| $40: 4,5,649: 1$ | 107:14 110:22 | seconded 57:10 | 113:11 118:6 |
| saunders 2:7 | 115:22 147:16 | 162:4 | 119:21 120:21 |
| 5:20,22 32:9 | scheduled | secondly | 121:16,18,19 |
| 32:10,11 42:16 | 147:14 | 146:10 | 125:8,11 |
| 42:16 52:7 | school 13:12 | seconds 59:7 | 127:17,20 |
| 55:20 62:3,6 | scientific 65:14 | secretary 7:4 | 134:22 137:11 |
| 70:14,15,16 | score 38:17 | 12:2 20:11,20 | 137:12 141:16 |
| 71:14 89:8,8 | 41:4,22 42:1 | 21:8,10 31:14 | 151:2,10 |
| 89:12 97:20 | 88:2,5,20 | 48:19 49:2 | 152:14 154:4 |
| 98:17,20 99:18 | 91:12 127:16 | 101:12 108:2 | 155:11,20 |
| $99: 20111: 6,7$ | scored 31:12 | 149:9 153:15 | seeing 25:2 |
| 117:22 118:3 | 90:7 | 159:21 160:2 | 32:1 60:21 |
| 129:4 132:20 | scores 41:10 | 160:13 161:8 | 68:9 88:1 |
| 132:20 145:20 | 88:7 127:19 | section 140:9 | 141:1 154:19 |
| 155:3,4 156:17 | scoresheet 41:7 | 145:1 | seek 140:1 |
| 159:17 160:3 | scoring 41:13 | see 6:6 23:1 | seeking 130:9 |
| 159.17160 .3 | $\begin{aligned} & 41: 19,2288: 18 \\ & 90: 6 \text { 128:7.13 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 1631: 2,2,4 \\ & 34: 635: 3,5 \end{aligned}$ | 137:19 152:17 |


| seem 106:7 | 78:5 124:18 | shapes 55:6 | 25:19 26:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 122:20 126:13 | 133:19,22 | 73:11 79:18 | 27:5,5 28:5,17 |
| 129:20 158:11 | sent 23:16 | share 16:17 | 28:17,18,20 |
| 158:14 | 63:11 | 24:4 38:16 | 29:3,18 30:11 |
| seemed 68:18 | sentiment 17:5 | 39:5,16,20 | 31:21 32:2,19 |
| seems 155:7 | 43:14 | 40:16 64:12 | 33:10,20 34:5 |
| seen 19:673:11 | separately | 110:2 149:18 | 34:12 35:3 |
| 73:13 151:16 | 157:19 | 154:20 | 36:3,4,6,10,19 |
| 153:9 | series 95:2 | shared 17:5 | 37:12,16 38:4 |
| select 14:8 38:7 | 125:19 130:12 | 54:15 74:2 | 38:10 45:19 |
| selected $24: 8$ | 130:19 131:4,6 | 111:21 149:1 | 46:11 47:7,9 |
| 24:14 31:14 | 131:14 134:12 | sharing 14:2 | 48:7,9 49:9 |
| 32:8 38:6 | 134:12 135:3,4 | 39:15,21 | 50:6,13,15,20 |
| selection 34:19 | 135:5,13 139:2 | sheer 84:1 | 51:9 52:9 |
| 80:15 151:17 | 139:9 140:5 | sheet 91:12 | 53:14 71:18 |
| sell 146:11 | 141:10 142:7,8 | 152:10 | 72:4 74:2 |
| semiq 134:1 | 143:6,9,20 | sheets 41:19 | 86:19 90:12 |
| semiquincent... | 147:20 148:9 | 88:5,18 128:7 | 96:12,18 102:8 |
| 4:117:17 | 158:1 | short 115:21 | 102:9 146:17 |
| 19:10 107:22 | serve 1 | shoulder | shuttles 37:15 |
| 108:6,13,21 | served 17:14 | 155:13 | 79:8 103:20 |
| 110:6 112:7 | 53:5 | show | 145:22 |
| 114:6,19 124:7 | service 144:17 | 32:21 33:9 | sic 71:1 |
| 124:16 127:5 | session 23:5 | 39:6 76:21 | sick 86:8 |
| 131:8,13,22 | set 51:9 157:13 | 77:21 82:16 | side 26:12 90:8 |
| 133:1,4,17 | 157:14 | 85:15,16 95:6 | 94:7 |
| 148:6,21 149:6 | sets 143:7,8 | 124:13 | sides 51:18 |
| 149:12 150:20 | settled 75:11 | showed 110:16 | 52:13 |
| 151:6 | 11:12 | 41:10 | sign 101:12 |
| senate 2:9,11 | seven 10 | showing 77:3 | signature |
| 6:2,5 | 136:19 | 84:11 94:1 | 163:16 164:14 |
| send 1 | severa | 109:10 | signed 66:6 |
| sending 93:21 | 146:3 161:17 | shown | significance |
| senior 2:19,20 | shallow 51:13 | shows 33:8,10 | 23:17 |
| 3:8,10,19 8:4 | 51:17,18 | 40:9 47:12 | significant |
| 8:18,21 10:5,9 | shaped 21:15 | 48:3,6 77:4,5 | 34:2 |
| sense 28:2 | 109:11,21 | shuttle 22:6,8 | signify 12:15 |
| 60:12 73:13 |  | 22:10,11,14 | 59:10 162:6 |


| signifying | sir 9:21 11:20 | somebod | 7:16,16 38:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130:22 | 25:13 70:3 | 28:13 | 38:9 45:19 |
| signing 132:1 | 139:5 | soon 75:4 | 46:11 49:9 |
| silver 124:11 | sit 36:1 | sophisticated | 50:6,12,15 |
| 124:14 149:3,5 | site 84:14 | 100:13 | 51:8 52:9 |
| 149:7,15 152:8 | situation 86:5 | sorry 25:12 | 53:14 63:12,14 |
| 158:5,5 | six 51:7 92:7 | 38:13 50:3,22 | 63:17,20 64:5 |
| similar 28:4 | 106:4 128:12 | 52:20 53:2 | 64:7 65:8,11 |
| 31:3,9,20 38:6 | 128:13 | 54:21 56:3 | 65:12,13,21 |
| 40:14 45:4 | size 32:20 | 57:16 62:1 | 66:3,5,7,13,16 |
| 47:18 48:2 | 148:16 157:9 | 99:20 103:4 | 66:18 67:3,19 |
| 72:21 81:6 | skills 163:10 | 115:2 130:3 | 70:18 71:5,18 |
| 117:12,13 | 164:6 | 138:22 151:2 | 71:19 72:4 |
| similarities | skipping 52:21 | 152:5 159:15 | 74:2,9,15 |
| 39:22 40:8 | slightly 46:13 | 160:4 | 76:11,19 77:6 |
| similarity | small 35:4 47:7 | sort 25:21 26:9 | 77:13,15,16,18 |
| 31:17 45:17 | 47:9 116:20 | 26:21 49:6 | 78:8 79:8 |
| 47:18 | smaller 50:15 | 58:20 72:2 | 83:21 84:6,9 |
| similarly | 55:8 | 74:15 76:5 | 85:3,5,8,12,12 |
| 133:15 | smallest 5 | 84:15,21 85: | 85:18 86:1,19 |
| simple 75:5 | smithsonian | 85:22 86:19 | 86:22 87:3 |
| 116:14 118:20 | 17 11:9 | 102:4,15,16,17 | 90:12 91:4,5 |
| 121:14,22 | 29:10 94:13 | 141:16 144:20 | 96:12,17,21 |
| 123:12,13,15 | smoke 27:8 | 145:6,9,10,16 | 98:2 100:8 |
| simply 80:22 | ):1 38:3 | 151:2 | 102:8,9 103:20 |
| 81:4 91:12 | 46:16 51:21 | space 3:18 11:9 | 104:1,6,10,10 |
| 92:116:6 | snapshot | 22:1,6,7,8,9,11 | 104:11,11 |
| 126:10 161:6 | 102:17 | 22:14,16 23:10 | 141:22 145:21 |
| simulations | soaring 146:16 | 25:19 26:22 | 146:1,8,13,14 |
| 87:2 | 146:17 | 27:4,5,5,11 | 146:17,18 |
| si | software | 28:5,16,17,18 | 147:5 |
| 5:7 | solely 122:9 | 28:20 29:3 | spacecraft 22:9 |
| simultaneously | solemnly 14:12 | 29:10,12,16,16 | 22:10 |
| 125:13 127:17 | 14:13,14,16 | 30:11 31:6,20 | spacewalk |
| single 22:10 | soliciting | 32:2,18 33:8 | 67:19 |
| 63:10 64:2 | 48:13 | 33:10 34:3,5,5 | spacex 66:2 |
| 121:10 | soliloquize | 34:12 36:3, | spacing 113:18 |
|  | 101:4 | 36:19 37:12,15 | 120:5,8 |



| 103:17 104:3 strap 155:12 strategic $89: 19$ 90:1 $92: 4$ strategy $89: 16$ streamlined 122:14 street $1: 10$ | subcommittee $150: 8,13$ $152: 22$ subject $11: 11$ $45: 791: 1$ $97: 21 \quad 103: 2,7$ $131: 10$ submission | $\begin{aligned} & \text { support } 14: 17 \\ & 14: 1930: 20 \\ & 32: 452: 2 \\ & 63: 1675: 16 \\ & 76: 980: 15 \\ & 81: 11 \quad 100: 11 \\ & \text { 151:9 } \\ & \text { supporting } \end{aligned}$ | symmetry 36:13 synchronize 100:16 system 22:8 63:18 89:20 systems 84:2 104:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| strike 18:8 | 128:18 | 147:5 | t |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { striking } 83: 13 \\ 85: 1,10,13,19 \\ 86: 1587: 4 \end{gathered}$ | submit 101:11 submitted 21:9 101:10 125:5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { sure } 17: 623: 7 \\ 28: 1334: 22 \\ 37: 238: 15 \end{gathered}$ | t 4:1,1 <br> table 43:9 <br> 60:11 102:21 |
| strong 13:14 | subsequent | 39:19 50:4 | 105:13 132:6 |
| 13:22 27:1,3 | 64:3 | 54:12,20 56:15 | 144:19 |
| 27:15 66:22 | successful | 58:22 90:18 | take 15:11,13 |
| 70:1 80:11 | 156:13 | 111:20 114:9 | 41:10,12 43:14 |
| 135:21 153:17 | sucker 86:7 | 115:8 146:7 | 52:11 56:12 |
| 158:20 | sufficient 52:10 | 160:20 | 73:9 86:20 |
| stronger | suggest 26:18 | surface 113:7 | 88:9 97:6,7 |
| 100:22 | 55:15 57:8,19 | 125:9 | 106:16,20 |
| strongly 67:2 | 127:16 147:15 | surpassed | 107:2,7 111:17 |
| 76:16 98:21 | suggested | 23:13 | 127:19 134:6 |
| 110:6 118:4 | 132:7 | surprised | 147:17 151:15 |
| 135:12 | suggestion 50:5 | 154:11 156:22 | taken 23:22 |
| struck 73:3 | suggestions | surprises 153:2 | 105:11 127:7 |
| 125:18 161:10 | 130:13 | survey 150:16 | 163:3,12 164:9 |
| structure 79:13 | suggests 97:11 | 150:18 | takeoff 27:6 |
| stuck 154:17 | suit 85:12 | swapped 121:2 | 30:3 38:5,9 |
| stuff 98:7 | suitable 110:7 | swear 14:12,13 | takes 97:3 |
| 100:3 146:13 | suite 19:16 | 14:14,16 | talk 45:2 54:10 |
| stunning 19:4 | 108:18 | swearing 4:3 | 77:14 110:18 |
| 157:14 | sukrita 3:3 9:8 | 7:6 13:1 | 124:10 142:15 |
| stupid 126:13 | sullivan 2:19 | sworn 163:5 | 157:18 |
| stylist 59:1 | 8:18,20 39:17 | symbol 100:9 | talked 43:18 |
| stylized 38:19 | superior 28:4 | symbolic | 157:11 159:19 |
| 54:17 55:4 | 30:4 75:7 | 146:19 | talking 5:8 |
| 60:15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { superiors } \\ 100: 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { symbolically } \\ 100: 21 \end{gathered}$ | 9:22 27:13 |


| 77:16 102:5 | ten 42:2,3 | text 41:9 50:21 | 78:20,22 79:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 145:21 146:16 | 128:12 136:19 | 51:20 81:5,10 | 79:3 80:12,13 |
| 150:22 | tending 71:17 | 88:5 | 80:13,20 81:13 |
| tallies 41:13 | tentatively | texts 144:21 | 81:14,19 82:22 |
| tally 41:10 88:6 | 131:15 | thank 8:7,14 | 83:9,10,12,14 |
| 99:13 | tenth 148:17 | 8:15 10:2,8,12 | 87:5,6,9,21 |
| tangible 140:21 | term 17:3 | 11:21 12:10,13 | 88:9 89:6 |
| tate 3:10 10:9 | terms 45:7 | 12:18,21 13:20 | 90:17,18 91:9 |
| 10:11 | 46:15 47:11 | 13:22 16:15,19 | 91:18 92:12,13 |
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