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P R O C E E D I N G S

DR. BROWN: Good morning. I call to order this meeting of the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee for Tuesday, April 18, 2023. And the time is 8:06 --

MS. WARREN: Yes.
DR. BROWN: -- a.m. I'd like to remind members of the committee participating virtually -few of us that are -- to mute their phone or microphone on the Microsoft Teams program so that when not talking as you announce your name prior to -excuse me. Additionally I'd like to remind the public that this is a listening only meeting.

Before we begin $I$ want to introduce the members of the committee. I have the honor and privilege of doing so. My colleagues, please respond, "Present" when I call your name. Representing the general public, Arthur Bernstein.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Present.

DR. BROWN: Recommended by the Speaker of the House, Dr. Harcourt Fuller.

DR. FULLER: Present.

DR. BROWN: Specifically qualified in American History, Dr. Dean Kotlowski.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Present.
DR. BROWN: Recommended by the House Minority Leader, Mr. John Saunders.

MR. SAUNDERS: Present.
DR. BROWN: Recommended by the Senate Majority Leader, Mr. Mike Moran.

MR. MORAN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Recommended by the Senate Minority Leader, Mr. Donald Scarinci.

MR. SCARINCI: Present.
DR. BROWN: Specifically qualified in numismatics, Mr. Dennis Tucker.

MR. TUCKER: Present.
DR. BROWN: Specifically qualified as a numismatic curator, Dr. Peter van Alfen.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Specifically qualified in medallic arts or sculpture, Darla Jackson.

MS. JACKSON: Present.
DR. BROWN: Representing the general
public, Kellen Hoard.
MR. HOARD: Present.
DR. BROWN: I am Dr. Lawrence Brown, representing the general public, and again have the honor and privilege of being the Chair of the CCAC. I believe we have a quorum.

The agenda for today's meeting includes the following. Swearing-in of our two new members of the CCAC, Ms. Darla Jackson and Mr. Kellen Howard -Hoard; approval of the minutes and the letters to the Secretary from the February 28th and the March 1st meeting of the CCAC; review and discussion of the candidate designs for the Harriet Tubman Bicentennial Commemorative Coin Program; review and discussion of the candidate designs for the Congressional Gold Medal to the Members of the Women's Army Corps who were assigned to the 6888th Central Postal Director Battalion, known as the Six Triple Eight; review and discussion of candidate designs for the Greatest Generation Commemorative Coin Program; and review and discussion of the candidate designs for the Congressional Gold Medal commemorating the
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servicemembers who perished in Afghanistan on August 26, 2021, during the evacuation of citizens of the US and Afghan allies at the Karzai International Airport.

Before we begin our proceedings, I ask the Mint Liaison to the CCAC, Ms. Jennifer Warren, if we are aware of any members of the press who have remotely signed on to the public meeting?

MS. WARREN: Hold on. I'm so sorry. There's a connection thing. Okay. Sorry. This is Jennifer Warren. Paul Gilkes from Coin World, senior editor of Amos Media; and Mike Unser, founder and editor of Coin News Media Group, LLC.

DR. BROWN: For the record, I would also like to confirm the following Mint staff in attendance today. Please indicate present after I've called your name. Deputy Director Kristie McNally.

MS. MCNALLY: Present.

DR. BROWN: Megan Sullivan, Senior
Design Specialist.
MS. SULLIVAN: Present.

DR. BROWN: Roger Vasquez, Senior
Design Manager.

MR. VASQUEZ: Present.
DR. BROWN: Pam Borer, Design Manager.
MS. BORER: Present.
DR. BROWN: Russell Evans, Design
Manager.
MS. WARREN: He's not present.
DR. BROWN: Boneza Hanchock, Design
Manager.
MS. HANCHOCK: Present.
DR. BROWN: Joseph Menna, Chief
Engraver.
MR. MENNA: Present.
DR. BROWN: Michael Costello, Manager
of Design and Engraving.
MR. COSTELLO: Present.
DR. BROWN: Jennifer Warren, Director of Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs and liaison to the CCAC.

MS. WARREN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Greg Weinman, senior legal counsel and counsel to the CCAC.

MR. WEINMAN: Good morning. Present.

DR. BROWN: Robin Terry, Project Counsel for the Harriet Tubman Bicentennial Commemorative Coin Program and the Congressional Gold Medal commemorating servicemembers who perished in Afghanistan on August 26, '21. She may not be present.

MS. WARREN: She'll be present later.
DR. BROWN: Okay. Got it. Apryl
Whitaker, Project Counsel for the Congressional Gold Medal to the members of the Women's Army Corps who were assigned to the Six Triple Eight.

MS. WARREN: She'll be present later too.

DR. BROWN: Actually I don't believe she will be present.

MS. WARREN: Oh.
DR. BROWN: We'll cover for her.
Brendan Tate, Senior Government Affairs Specialist at the Office of Legislative and Governmental Affairs.

MR. TATE: Present.
DR. BROWN: Michael White, Office of Corporate Communication.

MR. WHITE: Present.
DR. BROWN: And finally I would really love to -- I mean, I'd love -- I'm glad that we are going to have liaisons in-person with us today. So please forgive me -- my enthusiasm. It will show from time to time and get me off my game.

We will be joined today by Karen Hill, the president and CEO of the Harriet Tubman Home Incorporated, and by Woodrow Keown, Jr., president and COO of the National Underground Railroad Freedom Center for the Harriet Tubman Bicentennial Commemorative Coin Program.

For the Congressional Gold Medal to the members of the Women's Army Corps who were assigned to Six Triple Eight we will be joined by Edna Cummings, a Six Triple Eight advocate and producer of a Six Triple Eight documentary; Carlton Philpot, Chairman and Project Director of the Six Triple Eight Monument Projects; and Tracy Bradford, curator of the US Army Women's Museum.

For the Greatest Generation

Commemorative Coin Program the CCAC will be joined by

Holly Rotondi, Executive Director of the Friends of the National World War II Memorial.

I believe that I speak on behalf of the CCA that we are especially pleased to have the many liaisons participating in the proceedings. I'd like to begin with the Mint. Are there are any issues that we need to address before we start?

Next I would like to take this
opportunity -- and you might say a privilege of the Chair -- to acknowledge our former member Robin Salmon.

Robin served on the CCAC since 2020 -2018 when she was appointed by then-secretary Mnuchin as a member specifically qualified in medallic arts or sculpture. During her tenure on the CCAC Robin also served on the CCAC working crew from 2021.

At a later date to be determined we will invite Robin back to be here in person so we can properly honor her. But $I$ want to make sure to point out that $I$ along with many of our colleagues are grateful for her service and will miss her contribution to the CCAC.

Additionally Sam Gill has also played a part as a member of the CCAC. Sam served on the CCAC since 2018 when he was appointed by then-secretary Mnuchin as a member who represents the interests of the general public in coinage of the United States.

Sam will also be invited to be here in person at a later date to properly honor him. He too will be missed by myself and our colleagues. And we are grateful for his service and contributions to the CCAC.

One more word I think is important about these two former members. And there would probably be no meeting of the CCAC with me serving as a chair that $I$ don't make any kind of analogy to sports.

Analogous to the recently completed NCAA championship in college basketball and in hockey, Robin and Sam were incremental in the CCAC's championship run during fiscal year 2022, as evidenced by the recommendations of the 15 programs submitted to the Secretary of Treasury.

I needed to pause for effect. We will
now move to the first order of business -- in the introduction and swearing-in of Ms. Darla Jackson, who was appointed on March 23, 2023, as a new member specifically qualified in medallic arts or sculpture; and Kellen Howard -- Hoard, who was appointed on April loth as one of the new members to represent the interest of the public.

We will first begin with the swearingin of Ms. Darla Jackson. Ms. Jackson is a sculpting professional for 20 years and is intimately familiar with design elements and the process of creating sculpture, including medallic art.

Ms. Jackson is an adjunct professor at the Pennsylvania Academy of Fine Arts in Philadelphia, where she also serves on the faculty committee. Additionally Ms. Jackson served on the Board of the Philadelphia Sculptures, a local sculpture organization.

And to me I have the honor and pleasure of knowing that she is also a proud mom. So thank you so much. I'll hand it over to Deputy Director McNally.

MS. MCNALLY: Okay. Come on up and join me.

MS. JACKSON: Thank you.
MS. MCNALLY: Right here.
MS. JACKSON: Thank you.
MS. MCNALLY: Okay. All right. At this time I'd like to welcome Darla Jackson. As Dr. Brown mentioned, Ms. Jackson has been a professional sculptor for 20 years and is teaching sculpting to others.

We're extremely enthusiastic to welcome her to the CCAC. All right. Ms. Jackson, in a moment I'll have the honor of administering the oath of office to you.

With this oath you will assume the position of CCAC as a member specially qualified in medallic arts and sculpture. By doing so you will join a very select and prestigious group of individuals invested in US coin and metals.

All right. Please raise your right hand and repeat after me. I do solemnly swear that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United

States against all enemies foreign and domestic.
MS. JACKSON: I do solemnly swear that
I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies foreign and domestic.

MS. MCNALLY: That $I$ will bear truth and allegiance to the same.

MS. JACKSON: That $I$ will bear truth and allegiance to the same.

MS. MCNALLY: That I take this obligation freely without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion.

MS. JACKSON: That I take this obligation freely without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion.

MS. MCNALLY: And that $I$ will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I'm about to enter.

MS. JACKSON: And that $I$ will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter.

MS. MCNALLY: Ms. Jackson,

Page 18
congratulations. And welcome to the Citizens Coin -Coinage Advisory Committee. Sorry. We look forward to working with you. And I'll invite you to say a few words. Hopefully they prepared you for that.

MS. JACKSON: Thank you. Yes. I just want to express my gratitude for you all having me here. I'm very excited about sculpture in general. And I feel like being able to have a say in this amazing part of American history is really exciting.

And I would be remiss if I didn't thank my family for all the support throughout all the years and then also my daughter because I think that she would be very proud. Her and my husband are at home and I think listening in. So thanks to all of them. And thank you to all of you.

DR. BROWN: Ms. Jackson, please allow us and allow me to add my congratulations. And welcome on behalf of the CCAC. We will now turn to the swearing-in of Mr. Kellen Hoard.

Mr. Hoard is a student at the Elliott School of International Affairs at George Washington University. He has been an numismatist since the age
of 9 years of age.
And has since authored a dozen articles in a wide variety of publications, spoken at many events -- or in fact numismatists around the world -and worked as an intern at the famous Newman

Numismatic Portal and served as a member of the board for the Pacific Northwest Numismatic Association. Deputy Director, turning to you.

MS. MCNALLY: Thank you. At this time I'd like to welcome Kellen Hoard. As Dr. Brown mentioned, Mr. Hoard has been a collector since 9 years old and has been active in the numismatic community.

For his work in numismatics he was selected as the 2021 American Numismatic Association Young Numismatist of the Year. We're extremely enthusiastic to welcome him to the CCAC.

Mr. Hoard, in just a moment $I$ have the honor of administrating the oath of office to you. With this oath you will assume the position on the CCAC as a member specifically qualified in medallic arts or sculpture.

DR. BROWN: No, no.
MR. HOARD: As a member of the general
public.
MS. WARREN: General public.
MS. MCNALLY: Okay.
MS. WARREN: Mistake.
MS. MCNALLY: My notes are incorrect.
As a member of the general public.
MR. HOARD: Thank you.
MS. MCNALLY: By doing so you will join
a very select and prestigious group of individuals invested in US coins and metals. All right. Please raise your right hand. I do so solemnly swear I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies foreign and domestic.

MR. HOARD: I do solemnly swear I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies foreign and domestic.

MS. MCNALLY: That $I$ will bear truth and allegiance to the same.

MR. HOARD: That $I$ will bear truth and allegiance to the same.

MS. MCNALLY: That I take this obligation freely.

MR. HOARD: That I take this obligation
freely.
MS. MCNALLY: Without any mental
reservation or purpose of evasion.
MR. HOARD: Without any mental
reservation or purpose of evasion.
MS. MCNALLY: And that $I$ will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter.

MR. HOARD: And that $I$ will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter.

MS. MCNALLY: All right.
Congratulations. Welcome to the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee. We look forward to welcoming you, working with you --

MR. HOARD: Thank you.
MS. MCNALLY: And now $I$ turn it over to you.

MR. HOARD: Thank you.

MS. MCNALLY: You're welcome.
MR. HOARD: Well, $I$ only have a few words -- first going back to when $I$ was 9 years old and first starting collecting. And the first thing I did when $I$ started collecting was $I$ signed up to a subscription of Coins Magazine.

And I went to -- and I went away to YMCA summer camp. And I got in the mail a care package from my parents, which included my first copy of my subscription to Coins Magazine.

And in there they described the recent work of the CCAC. At the time I said, "That sounds awfully weird. And I'm not interested in that at all."

And then, you know, now ten years later
I find myself extremely interested in the work you guys do. I'm very grateful to be here.

I'm excited to work with you all as I think I said in my initial application to this committee that $I$ really see the CCAC as a source and a catalyst for reliable and accessible history and art and education.

And I'm excited to do that work with you all. So thank you so much. And let's get to work.

DR. BROWN: Kellen, please allow me to add my congratulations on behalf of the CCAC. And we look forward to a collaboration that will be unlike any others that we've had. Not because you are differently generationally than many of us but because of the fact that you are new. Thank you so much.

The next order of business before this committee is a review and approval of the CCAC minutes and letters to the secretary from our public meeting on February 28, 2023, and March 1, 2023. Are there any comments on the documents? Hearing none, is there a motion to approve the minutes and the letters?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Art Bernstein moves approval.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Oh. Van Alfen second. DR. BROWN: Primary Art, and Peter a second. All those in favor except for Ms. Jackson, Mr. Hoard, and those who are abstaining from this vote, please signify by saying aye.

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Are there any objections in motion? Hearing none, seeing none, we will now turn to the next item on the agenda. I would like to read -- share what $I$ feel are a significant issue.

Almost two years ago the CCAC reviewed tentative designs for their three-coin Negro Leagues Baseball Commemorative Program with the Nego Leagues Museum -- Baseball Museum, serving as the recipient organization.

After a recent visit to Kansas City I can wholeheartedly recommend that the museum features a collection of artifacts, exhibits, and displays that provide a comprehensive look at the history of the Negro League Baseball, the sport of baseball itself, and that era of American history.

The second item I'm going to share with you is something that $I$ cannot say too often. It is an undeniable privilege as CCAC Chair to participate in the education of the public and the numismatic community regarding the role of the CCA in the design of commemorative and circulating coins of the United
states.

For this reason I want to thank those who have sent questions or suggestions to the CCAC -emailed info@ccac.org -- dot gov, excuse me. Let me say that again. Info@ccac.gov.

Let me assure all those who have done so that the matters that you have raised will be reviewed. And the results of such a discussion will be also held at a future CCAC meeting.

April 23, 2023, will mark the 20th anniversary of the authorization of the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee of the first meeting of the CCAC being held on May 15, 2003.

I believe that we -- I can speak for all those present that when $I$ say that we are thankful for the foundations of our predecessors have established.

The next item on our agenda is to review the candidate designs for the Harriet Tubman Bicentennial Commemorative Coin Program authorized by Public Law 117-163. Megan Sullivan, Chief of the Mint's Office -- I'm sorry. Supervisor of Design

Management will introduce the program and present obverse and reverse candidate designs for this program.

MR. VASQUEZ: I'll be presenting designs today.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Vasquez. Thank you. It's always good to have good teamwork.

MR. VASQUEZ: Yes. All right. Thank you. I'll provide our introduction. So Public Law 117-163, the Harriet Tubman Bicentennial Commemorative Coin Act, which I'll refer to as the act, recognizes the bicentennial of Harriet Tubman's birth. The act instructs the design shall be emblematic of her legacy as an abolitionist.

Harriet Tubman was born enslaved as
Araminta "Minty" Ross on a Maryland plantation around the year 1822. Tubman freed herself from slavery in the fall of 1849 with the help of the Underground Railroad network.

Though she had found freedom in
Pennsylvania, she braved the perilous journey repeatedly -- returning to Maryland 13 times over the
next decade to personally guide about 70 more people from slavery to freedom and provided instructions for approximately 70 additional people who found their way to freedom on their own.

Despite laws that put her life at risk and made the journey increasingly dangerous and long, Tubman stated at a women's suffrage convention in 1896 that she never lost a passenger as a conductor on the Underground Railroad.

Harriet Tubman made the connection between her work leading people to freedom and the efforts of the Union Army during the US Civil War. She joined the Union Army as a nurse in 1862 and served in multiple roles, including as an Army scout and spy for military leaders who recognized her abilities.

Tubman proved an exceptional leader, recruiting newly-freed men into regiments of African American soldiers and distinguishing herself as the first woman to lead an armed expedition in the Civil War -- the Combahee River raid -- resulting in more than 700 enslaved people in South Carolina being
freed.
After the Civil War, Harriet Tubman spent the rest of her life -- 54 years -- living in the abolition stronghold of Auburn, New York.

There Tubman remained resolute in the causes she fought for and continued to work to provide the means necessary to care for newly-freed enslaved people, including the young and elderly.

Whether working her farm at her 32 -acre homestead or giving speeches in support of women's suffrage, civil rights, and access to health care not only for African Americans but for all people, Tubman was a visionary, a leader, and a beacon for liberty. Her life was characterized by her unwavering determination and active pursuit of freedom in every aspect of American life.

Candidate designs for this program were developed of three periods of Tubman's life and working life.

Silver dollar designs reflect her work as a conductor on the Underground Railroad. Halfdollar designs represent her work during the Civil

War. And the five dollar gold coin looks at her life after the Civil War and into her later years. Candidate designs are presented in that order in alignment with the chronology of those periods. Surcharges for the program are authorized to be paid to the National Underground Railroad Freedom Center in Cincinnati, Ohio and the Harriet Tubman Home, Incorporated in Auburn, New York for the purpose of accomplishing and advancing their missions.

The Mint worked with representatives of the National Underground Railroad Freedom Center and the Harriet Tubman Home in developing the design portfolio.

Is it appropriate, Dr. Brown, for me to introduce the liaisons or go ahead and --

DR. BROWN: Yes, sir.
MS. WARREN: Yes.
MR. VASQUEZ: Okay. So I would like to introduce the liaisons. You did an amazing introduction earlier. But I'd like to welcome Karen Hill from the Harriet Tubman Home and Woodrow

Keown -- Woody -- from the National Underground Railroad Freedom Center.

DR. BROWN: You may also offer them --
if they want to say a word or two with respect to design that would be wonderful.

MR. VASQUEZ: Okay. Karen, would you mind sharing a few words about --

MS. HILL: Of course. I always have words for Harriet. This is a momentous occasion. And you should take your work very seriously.

I would hope that with the minting of these coins that number one that we refer to educating America about the great work that's done by this amazing US Mint but that -- and that we're also sharing Tubman's story.

And her story is extremely important.
People know a lot more about the Underground Railroad certainly. But they do not know that she lived 54 years as a free woman.

And so we want the coins to have a clear expression of Tubman working to become a free woman and what her life was like as a free woman. So

I'm just very happy.
And Harriet Tubman bequeathed her
legacy ten years before she passed away to the African Methodist Episcopal Zion Church to establish an independent not-for-profit to manage that legacy, which I manage on behalf of them.

And I think that throughout the United States the Black church -- all denominations -- have had a -- freedom journey. And this coin -- these coins are viewed as the next step.

Because everybody wants a piece of Tubman and now we feel we finally have the ability to make certain that people do. These three coins will have a piece of Tubman, tell her freedom story, and pass it on to generations.

MR. VASQUEZ: Woody, do you have any words?

MR. KEOWN: Sure. Thanks, Karen. I appreciate that. And $I$ on behalf of our board of directors, our staff and volunteers for the National Underground Railroad Freedom Center would like to thank all of you for this opportunity to commemorate
and really recognize a very iconic leader of the United States of America.

We think that -- well, I've learned from this process. My knowledge and understanding of Ms. Tubman's life has really grown quite a bit through the process of working with Karen and Annette as we've gone -- really got into the details of ensuring that we were really representing an authentic Harriet Tubman.

And I think that these coins offer an opportunity to share a more complete story about her, what she's done, her contributions to freedom and around this country.

And I as I traveled around the world quite a bit in my previous life $I$ think that she also is recognized universally around the world as very significant, very American, helping to guide people.

I think it also is an opportunity to recognize the significant role that women, particularly women of color, played in the development of our country. And I think that this is a great way to do that.

And finally I'd like to say thank you to Roger and the entire Mint team. They have been outstanding in terms of being able to work with us and ensure that our views and perspectives were heard and valued and respected through this process.

And it's just been a joy working with them. And as we've become familiar and introduced to more members of the team -- very, very impressed with the US Mint in general and proud to be a part of this significant program. So thank you very much for this opportunity to be here today.

MR. VASQUEZ: Okay. Thank you very much. Pleasure working with you both. And the same. All right. So I'm going to go ahead and read our design descriptions while Pam Borer presents the designs.

So first thing I should mention is that designs are include the following required inscriptions. On the obverse we have Harriet Tubman, liberty, In God We Trust, and 2024. On the reverse we have United States of America, E. Pluribus Unum, and the denomination.

Please note that there will likely be a need once recommended designs are identified by advisory committees to improve upon the likenesses of Harriet Tubman.

The Mint will work closely with the designer, the chief engraver, and the liaisons to refine the portraits in order to best represent her.

So starting with the silver obverse designs we have HT-S-O-01. This design depicts Harriet Tubman offering her hand to the viewer. Harriet's serious and searching expression with concerted eye contact challenges the viewer to seize the opportunity for freedom. This design is a preference of both of our liaisons.

Next design, HT-S-O-02, depicts Harriet Tubman offering her hand, ready to lead the viewer over a fence, navigating by the stars and the night sky.

Design HT-S-O-04 and HT-S-O-05 features close-up portraits of Harriet Tubman. Design 4 includes the inscription, "The Underground Railroad" in addition to the other required inscriptions. And

HT-S-O-O4 is a preference of both of our liaisons. HT-S-O-07 depicts Harriet Tubman looking back confidently as she leads another person by hand, symbolic of her work as a conductor on the Underground Railroad.

In the background is a wide open landscape with a rising sun, symbolic of the liberty she is leading her passengers to. The connection between the hands continues on HT-S-R-07.

Design 8 shows Harriet Tubman as a conductor on the Underground Railroad. Five passengers are with her, including three at the background boat.

The river, trees, moon, and stars provide the setting for their journey. Design 8 is a preference of the National Underground Railroad Freedom Center. And that was obverse eight -- silver obverse eight.

Moving on to the silver reverse designs. HT-S-R-01 features silhouettes traveling across a bridge created by a pair of clasping arms. In the sky above the Big Dipper constellation points
to the North Star, which forms the O in "of". This design is a preference of the liaisons.

Design 2 shows two hands grasping chains, breaking them apart. In the sky above the Big Dipper constellation points to the North Star, which again forms the $O$ in "of".

Design 3 shows Harriet Tubman in silhouette on the path to freedom. The road leading to her represents that path to freedom. Additional inscriptions read, "The Moses of her people" and "free".

Design 4 portrays Harriet Tubman as she reaches up to help a passenger start their journey to reach freedom with the sun shining in the background.

The additional inscription reads, "Never lost a passenger" -- a testament to Tubman's success as a conductor on the Underground Railroad. Design 4 is a preference of the liaisons.

And the National Underground Railroad Freedom Center expressed that the design epitomizes her leadership in the Underground Railroad.

Design 7 shows passengers on the

Underground Railroad as they travel through the woods at night on the perilous journey to freedom.

The hand connects the passengers to Harriet Tubman, as seen in Obverse seven -- so for Obverse seven. The additional inscription reads, "Come through".

Design 8 synergizes with the 2023 Ohio American Innovation one dollar coin reverse. It depicts two strong hands grasped together, the upper arm pulling the lower arm upward, representing the support and strength of the Underground Railroad.

A chain fastened to a rustic shackle around the lower arm's wrist snaps in fragments, alluding to the hope of freedom. The additional inscription reads, "Underground Railroad".

Let's move on to the clad obverse designs. I'll note that some of the imagery on these clad coins may need to be slightly modified to accurately reflect a jacket Tubman would have worn at the time.

So starting with HT-C-O-O1 and HT-C-O02, both designs portray Harriet Tubman during the US

Civil War. And Design 1 and Design 2 are favored by the Harriet Tubman Home.

Design 3 shows Harriet Tubman carrying a US flag with the inscription, "I crossed the line", a paraphrase of her quote, "I crossed the line. I was free."

Design 4 depicts Harriet Tubman. In the background two Civil War era boats represent the Combahee River raid.

Tubman distinguished herself as the first woman to lead an armed expedition in the Civil War, the Combahee River raid, resulting in more than 700 enslaved persons in South Carolina being freed. HT-C-O-O4 is a preference of both of our liaisons.

Design 5 portrays Harriet Tubman in her role as a Union Army nurse. Representing her knowledge of medicinal plants are depictions of wild black cherry, sassafras, Burdock root, and the flower of the paw paw tree.

Design 6 depicts Harriet Tubman holding a spyglass, symbolic of her work as a scout and a spy for the Union Army during the US Civil War. Behind
her, a row of Civil War era tents line the horizon. Additional inscriptions include "Civil War", "Nurse", "Scout", "Spy", and "Combahee River Raid Leader".

Design 7 depicts Harriet Tubman holding an American flag with a pattern of stars used during the US Civil War, offering her hand to the viewer as if compelling them to join the effort.

Tubman recruited newly-freed African American men to join regiments of African American soldiers. And Design 7 is a preference of the liaisons.

Going to the clad reverse designs, HT-C-R-01 shows a map with Harriet Tubman's hand pointing to the location of Combahee Ferry, South Carolina. The additional inscription reads, "Combahee Ferry".

Design 2 shows Harriet Tubman scouting or spying for the Union Army. Additional inscriptions include, "Come through", 1862 eight dash eight -- dash 65 -- excuse me -- "Nurse", "Scout", and "Spy".

Design 3 silhouettes the additional inscription "Free" and a vertical broken chain. This design is a -- is favored by the Harriet Tubman Home.

Designs 5 and 7 depict Harriet Tubman holding a spyglass, symbolic of her work as a scout and a spy for the Union Army during the US Civil War. Additional inscriptions include "Civil War", "Nurse", "Scout", "Spy", and "Combahee River Raid Leader". Design 5 includes a row of Civil War era tents lining the horizon. And Design 7 shows Tubman in silhouette.

Design 5 is a preference of the
liaisons. The National Underground Railroad Freedom Center noted the connection to the Combahee River Raid -- a historically significant event.

Design 8 shows Harriet Tubman in silhouette on the path to freedom. The road leading to her represents that path to freedom. Additional inscriptions read, "Civil War", "Nurse", "Scout", "Spy", and "Free".

Now we move on to the gold obverse designs. HT-G-O-01, Design 01A, Design 2, 4, 5, and 6 portray Harriet Tubman in her years after the Civil War. HT-G-O-01A is a preference of the Harriet Tubman Home in which she appears approachable.

Design 4 is a preference of the
National Underground Railroad Freedom Center for the look of determination, confidence, and defiance seen in the portrait of Harriet Tubman. And Design 6 is favored by the Harriet Tubman Home.

Moving on to the gold reverse designs, HT-G-R-01 and 01A depict a two-handed gesture symbolizing Harriet Tubman's efforts throughout her life to help and care for people.

Emblematic of her life's work, Design 1
includes Harriet Tubman's final words, "I go to prepare a place for you."

01A includes Harriet Tubman's seven core values passed down from generation to generation. Those are faith, freedom, family, community, selfdetermination, social justice, and equality. Design 01A is a preference of the liaisons.

Design 2 depicts Harriet Tubman standing with the Harriet Tubman Home for Aged and Indigent Negroes in the background.

The home provided care for formerly enslaved people. And Tubman lived there herself in
her later years. The additional inscription "Free" is included.

Design 3 depicts a plaque with Harriet Tubman's seven core values. The peak of the plaque represents a roof that together with the key signify shelter while the apples signify Tubman's work on her Auburn, New York farm to sustain those who she cared for.

The inscriptions read, "Values", "Faith", "Freedom", "Family", "Community", "Selfdetermination", "Social Justice", and "Equality".

Design 3 is favored by the Harriet
Tubman Home and is the secondary preference of the National Underground Railroad Freedom Center for its inclusion of the seven core values.

Designs 5 and 5A feature Harriet Tubman's open hand in an offering of guidance and help. Design 5 includes the additional inscription, "Always the guiding hand to freedom, faith, and family".

Design 05A includes the seven core values and the additional inscriptions reading,
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"Faith", "Freedom", "Family", "Community", "Selfdetermination", "Social Justice", and "Equality".

Design 5 is a preference of the
National Underground Railroad Freedom Center. 5A is a preference of the Harriet Tubman Home. The National Underground Railroad Freedom Center favored Design 5 for the extended hand and the inscriptions.

And that concludes the designs and design descriptions. I'd like to turn the matter back over to Chairperson Brown.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Really appreciate that. To the committee, are there any technical or legal questions from the committee about this program or these designs? Okay. I'm sorry. Dean?

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Roger, this Dean
Kotlowski. Roger, this is a question. Could you clarify the last preference of the National Underground Railroad Freedom Center? Is it 5 or 5A that they prefer?

MR. VASQUEZ: Five is the National Underground Railroad Freedom Center's preference. And

5A is the preference of the Harriet Tubman Home.
DR. KOTLOWSKI: Okay. Thank you.
MR. VASQUEZ: You're welcome.
DR. BROWN: Art?

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein.
I have two questions -- one in general for today's deliberations. The letter from the director transmitting these -- this portfolio indicated that the Commission on Fine Arts was meeting today. I assume that's not correct?

DR. BROWN: It's not correct.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Have they met?
DR. BROWN: They're meeting on
Thursday.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. So we don't know their preferences. And my question, Roger, with regard to the design that uses the phrase, "The Moses of her people" -- I was wondering where that phrase came from?

MR. VASQUEZ: This might be a better question for my liaisons. However as I understand it, it is the title of a book. And she was also referred
to as Moses during her time on the Underground Railroad. Karen, do you want to expand on that?

MS. HILL: Of course, Roger. Sarah
Bradford wrote the first biography of Harriet Tubman. And it was considered a biography because, as you well know, Tubman could neither read nor write.

But she was described by those who she aided in their quest as the Moses of her people. And so the first -- both the first and the second editions of Sarah Bradford's autobiographies had Harriet Tubman, Moses of her people.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Donald?

MR. SCARINCI: Excellent job
presenting, Roger. The question $I$ have is this is a little unusual in the sense that we have three separate liaisons. And they go -- they seem to have selected to a large degree some different coins, although -- coin designs.

You know, although you've given a clue to how we can coalesce at least as to the reverse of one because of the preference with the extended hand
and the preference with the plaque.
But have you, you know, have you -- I assume you've attempted to reconcile with all three liaisons and tried to get a consensus opinion from all three as to each design. Were we unable to do that in this case?

MR. VASQUEZ: Small correction. We just have the two liaisons -- just the two liaisons.

MR. SCARINCI: Oh, two liaisons.
MR. VASQUEZ: And I think there's a value in the multiple design preferences that were named to help provide some context for what they might have been looking for specifically in designs.

I think there was -- there were a number of designs that were acceptable. And I think that the discussion here today might also include design preferences ultimately.

DR. BROWN: Peter?
DR. VAN ALFEN: I have two questions actually -- one for Joe. This has to do with the reverse. This is silver reverse 01, the preferred reverse of both of our liaisons. If I'm reading this
correctly the figures in silhouette would be incused; correct?

MR. MENNA: This is the --
DR. ALFEN: And so the hands then would be slightly raised and then the background with the stars would also be slightly raised as well too; is that correct?

MR. MENNA: This is Joseph Menna. Yes; I believe that that would be incused. And likely what reads as an excerpt would also be polished as well.

DR. ALFEN: All right. The second question $I$ have is for our chair. This is a rather large portfolio. And I'm wondering if we could consider each of the coinages separately rather than as a group as we go through.

DR. BROWN: Is there any other -sounds like a motion?

DR. ALFEN: Yeah.
DR. BROWN: Is there a second?
DR. KOTLOWSKI: I second.
DR. BROWN: Is there any discussion on the motion? Hearing none, all those in favor, aye?

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Opposed, nay? Motion
carries. Do you want to begin a discussion on that? Are there any other technical or legal questions from the committee?

I do have one. I was wondering, Joe, if you can share with the committee -- since on these designs a number of the design elements are small and others are large -- the question that $I$ have is that once the coins are actually minted are we going to be able to really recognize well enough the smaller design elements -- for example, the individuals that -- in the boat in one of the designs?

I just want to make sure that we get a sense. Because when we see the picture here that -the fantastic work by the artist that you oversee -it looks phenomenal. The question $I$ have is whether those small design elements are going to be adequately reflected based on your experience?

MR. MENNA: This is Joseph Menna. One small correction. I don't oversee the artist. That's the Office of Design Management's job. I think the
only part of -- I'm not the committee.
But it's the gold that's most problematic when it comes to scale. And I would -- I think one of the portraits would read most strongly at that scale. The reverses are all challenging. But it's always challenging in gold.

I would also bring to the committee's attention it would appear to be -- to favor obverse -the extended hand. And they're essentially a flipped drawing, which is not bad.

There's no failure on our part there. The artist ought to do more images like that. But just $I$ would take note that it won't be recommended unless you have the same over here to inscribe. That's all. Thank you. Not that that's not obvious to the committee.

DR. BROWN: Should the committee members choose to exchange designs between different metals here is that something that you would recommend or you would in fact discourage?

So for example, committee chooses to in fact have this design that's on the silver be placed
on the gold. Is that something that you feel is reasonable, existential, possible, or something you would not recommend?

MR. MENNA: This is Joseph Menna again.
That is something $I$ would not recommend despite expressing scale considerations with the gold.

It would be tough -- it would be even more difficult to take something designed for a palette of, say, silver and shrinking it down to a gold. It would most likely become even further illegible.

I do believe the gold portfolio meets all of our requirements to be legible. We have templates for the text and all that stuff. Just saying there's a challenge -- there's always a challenge. That's all.

I'm not saying any of the one -- any of the candidates are not qualified to be in that portfolio. It's just always a challenge.

And mixing and matching scales of these commemoratives -- it's easier to go silver to clad. But to take either clad or silver and go down to gold
-- that's where it gets problematic. That's what I would say.

DR. BROWN: And let me just say, Joe, that that's really helpful to the public because I'm sure there are many people out there that wondered whether that was possible. And your explanation helps us to really drill into those questions.

MR. MENNA: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Are there any other
technical or legal questions from the committee? Based on the motion that was approved, may $I$ suggest that we consider in the order in which it was presented by Roger? Is that reasonable? Okay.

So let us begin our consideration. I'd like to remind members of the committee to please keep your comments to five minutes or less and to identify yourself for the record prior to speaking.

Additionally if any members have questions or comments on any program we will consider them at the end of this discussion to include if necessary further feedback from our liaisons. So let's begin with the silver. And let's begin with
none other than Dr. Harcourt Fuller.
DR. FULLER: Thank you, Dr. Chair. Thank you to everyone. It's always great and it's always a privilege to be able to opine on the wonderful designs that we are presented with as members of the CCAC.

I would like to extend a warm welcome to the liaisons for this portfolio as well as to the other liaisons who might be present for the other portfolios.

Before I get into the design let me, Dr. Chairman, take this opportunity to highlight how important today is with respect to recognizing Harriet Tubman, who will be on a coin.

Harriet Tubman will join many other Black women, women of African descent across the world and Africa and the Caribbean and even North America who have been immortalized on coinage and also bank notes. I shouldn't have said many but several; all right?

If $I$ may be permitted, I'd like to mention a few women who have already been depicted on
coinage in different countries.
Nanny of the Maroons in Jamaica, Sanite Blair and Catherine Lon on Haitian currency -- bank notes in that sense, Viola Desmond in Canada on a bank note, Nana Yaa Asantewaa on a bank note in Ghana.

So I think that it's very timely that Tubman is finally taking her place in the world of coinage, bank notes, money. So this is indeed a wonderful day.

I also want to open up with a question the liaisons. Can we go to 01, please? There are times when, you know, when an artist wants to depict something and the artist understands what he or she is trying to say on the one hand.

And then on the other hand we have to as a committee consider how the public might interpret what the artist is trying to do.

So my question is would you explain again for us what this gesture means about when Harriet Tubman is holding her hand out kindly?

DR. BROWN: Karen?

MS. HILL: Okay. This is Karen Hill.
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Harriet Tubman lived for 91 years with an open hand, with an open heart to everyone. She only sought freedom because freedom wasn't available to where she was.

She had an open hand when she established her infirmary on the property that she owned where she provided free medical care to both Whites and Blacks.

An open heart, an open hand. And that's how she lived her life. She activated her freedom. It wasn't something that -- it wasn't static. It was consistent.

And it was virtual. And $I$ saw it was virtual because she was known around the world for having an open heart.

In fact when Ms. Tubman was gravely ill the New York Times characterized her -- and the New York Times is, you know, a publication of record known around the world. They carried her funeral.

She had an open hand. She activated her freedom. And that's really important because if she didn't activate it then she would have a closed
fist. And that's not the way she lived. She encouraged others to do the same.

DR. FULLER: Thank you very much. I know I'm limited on time. However if you would permit me because we have many designs with this whole thing -- and I'm going to ask for your forgiveness because I have to be honest. I'm wondering if the public will misinterpret her hand as her begging.

MS. HILL: I think that's --
DR. FULLER: Is that a fair question?
MS. HILL: I think that's a very fair question. And truth, there were times she did have to beg. She was near bankruptcy. She cared for other people. She fed citizens of the small city of Auburn fruits and vegetables.

She established nine cottages on the property to make sure that the indigent former slave was able to live in dignity and grace as they became more senior and more infirmed.

As I said earlier, she established a hospital for the cause of freedom. These are all things she saw as the cause of freedom.

And I'll be very honest. I have asked Mr. Vasquez what, you know, what do we -- what is the narrative going to look like that will accompany the coin so that then the purchaser will know exactly what we're talking about. And I am very serious about how important that is so that the message is clear.

MR. VASQUEZ: Thank you very much.

DR. FULLER: Yes. So I believe -- I appreciate that explanation. And $I$ will give that consideration.

I did want to highlight, if I may, 08 as one of the designs that I like. I like the fact that we see her leading people to freedom. She is looking forward. She's very determined.

And so this will be given much consideration. But in light of what you have explained as well I will give the others consideration. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much for both your comments and questions. Turn now to Dr. Dean Kotlowski.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. I have a question for you. When we review the designs are we doing simply the obverse or the obverse and the reverse for the silver?

DR. BROWN: I'm going to recommend both obverse --

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Okay.

DR. BROWN: -- and reverse.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: All right.

DR. BROWN: Oh, did you -- question?

DR. FULLER: Oh, if you wanted the reverse let me just maybe go to the reverse. I'll just mention too 01. I really like 01. I like the silhouette.

And again $I$ would have to be upfront and say I'm not so enamored with the hands in front of the silhouette. I really love the skyline and I love the silhouette. I would love to hear what my colleagues have to say.

I would also like to give some consideration to $04 . \quad$ I really like the fact that she is reaching down. In this case her hand is -- she's pulling up. And of course there's the sun in the
background indicating a brighter future. And so I will give high marks to that one as well. Thank you, Chairman.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. And thank you, Dean, for your question.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. I'll continue with my comments then. It seems so happy that Harriet Tubman is getting the recognition in the coinage and elsewhere around the country at this particular moment.

Just to speak to our two liaisons about this -- I'll probably be looking a lot at both of you as I make my comments here because I have a lot of passion.

I love the coin design. You know, it's the three phases of her life. And it's wellconceived. It's very well put together. I think the art is just wonderful. There are designs that I like better than others.

Just a little bit of biography. I've been to Auburn, New York. I'm from upstate New York. And I lived on the Eastern Shore of Maryland. I teach
at Salisbury University.
So I must confess I -- it was a long
time ago that $I$ was at Auburn. I saw the outside of the Harriet Tubman house. It had limited hours so I was unable to get in.

But the William seward home is there.

And the relationship between Seward and Tubman is very, very important.

So I'm from Buffalo, New York originally as a lot of people here know. So you get these kinds of connections.

And here on the Eastern Shore the state of Maryland has opened up a Harriet Tubman Visitors Center dedicated to the Underground Railroad and an automobile tour that you can take to the sites, including the, you know, the Bucktown Store where there was this incident when she was seriously wounded that affected her later life. So that's really very, very important.

The state of Maryland has been doing -and also as I was poking around on the internet there was a Harriet Tubman Museum in New Jersey in Cape May.

So all of this is extraordinarily important.
And I also have been to the National Underground Railroad Freedom Center in Cincinnati. I'm a little bit of an adopted Midwesterner having lived in Indiana.

But my field is mainly 20th-century US presidents and civil rights policy. So I have these kinds of connections.

And at my home university almost 20 years ago we did a teaching America history program. We read Catherine Clinton's biography. And it inspired Salisbury University to construct a -- and to establish a statue of Harriet Tubman on campus.

So all of these are important ways in which she is being recognized. Sorry for all of the background and the throat clearing, Mr. Chairman.

I feel again -- looking at the designs, just moving into them, $I$ love the portraits. I love 4 and I actually love 5 as well. I think 4 gives you a sense of youth and determination. And I really think that that's terrific.

I like 5. It seems to be just a little
bit softer. But $I$ see the determination there. And like Dr. Fuller and like the liaison said, there was a preference registered for Design No. 8.

And I want to focus most of my attention, rather unusually, on the reverses. And I want to talk about one design that hasn't been mentioned that $I$ felt was absolutely inspiring.

I think there are two designs that stood out for me. One I would say was excellence. And the other was brilliance. Brilliance in my opinion. But I'm going to push the point.

I think No. 1 is excellent. I love this design. I think that the hands work. I do see what Dr. Fuller was saying. I think the silhouettes -- I think the sky -- I think this is all very effective. It comes together in terms of its messaging.

It will be the silver dollar so it's going to be the largest coin. So there's a lot of leeway that we have in terms of what we want to represent in terms of small figures.

Number 3 appealed to my emotions. And
the artistry here $I$ think is just fantastic. I'm looking at Joe Menna. Again I don't know if anybody is going to feel the way $I$ do about this design.

But I looked at this, Joe, and I thought, "How did they do it? How do artists do this? How do they come up with something like this?" And I mean this as a rhetorical question and a compliment at the same time.

You have the -- you have circularity. You have harsh angles. You have a lot of negative space. You have an appropriate amount of text. You have the messaging of the Moses of her people.

But the figure of her is so small. And that figure is so small but she looms so large here. So what you've got here is something that is small and large and looming.

I felt that it seems like she's on a mountaintop of some sort. So it fits in with the idea of Moses. It fits with the idea of Martin Luther King, Jr. going to the mountaintop and this kind of metaphor.

I looked at it. And I felt this one in
the way it was designed captured her bold defiance of racism and slavery and oppression. She's standing there. You almost get a sense of her having her arms crossed and her head tilted slightly upward.

So there is that defiance. There's that triumph. And there's also that sense of precarity here. I mean, she could have at any point have been seized and re-enslaved or worse.

And this design -- I think it gives much to the eyes and leaves much to the imagination. And it was one that left me for one enormously moved. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. I
really appreciate your comments. You and Dr. Fuller have set the stage for others to come behind you. I would like to remind them we -- as much as possible if we could stay within five minutes or less. Let's turn now to Art.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein. With regard to the silver dollar obverses I have two favorites -- Obverse 4 and Obverse 7. Obverse 4 is a preference of one of the liaisons.

To me it's a very traditional approach. It clearly honors Harriet Tubman. And it demonstrates her and refers to her leadership of the Underground Railroad.

Obverse 7 hasn't been mentioned. And this one really struck me. To me I saw parallels with the walking liberty design on some of our earlier coinage.

And I just thought this was a beautiful design. And I really appreciate the hand reaching out and the tie-in with the Reverse 7 .

And now moving to the reverses I would speak in favor of Reverse 7, which ties in with that walking liberty obverse. And I also share with Dean an appreciation for Reverse 4, the drama of Reverse 4. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Mike Moran.

MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. You interrupted my breakfast as usual. I am prepared though. Let me ask you a little indulgence later on in the meeting though.

For some reason my Zoom is eating up my charge gradually. And at some point I'm going to have to shut down and recharge. So if you lose me, you've lost me. I'll come back when $I$ have a charge.

Moving into the silver dollar, I like the Obverse 1. I feel like it's a dignified portrait. I think the hand referred the way it is is very dignified.

I think I'm not troubled by anything that you could assign to that hand reaching forward because I think that the person that looks at that is going to see it as an offer of help.

If we go to a couple of these that $I$ think try and do too much -- 2 is one of them that does too much. There's just too much going on in that image.

And I feel like although some of you have liked No. 8 again $I$ think it tries to do too much. And it's going to be difficult even on a palette of the silver dollar size to get all that out there where you see it. The trees are a distraction in the background. It's not going to work.

Four and five -- I like them. They're very distinctive. But for a silver dollar when we have other palettes that we're going to be looking at, particularly the gold piece, I think that we need to do with a silver dollar.

And therefore I go to 07 because I think it tells a great story. I was taken by it. And the symbolism in it is just outstanding.

Turning to the reverses, I like most of the committee like No. 1 on the reverses. I just think it's outstanding. It tells so much. And only a silver dollar could do with that kind of diameter to -- that the artists can deal with.

The problem I have with 04 is that if you use it and you depict 07 on the obverse you can't do that. It's too much. It's too similar.

Eight I like. But I'm bothered by the fact that it's close to the innovation dollar. And I just think we can come up with a different design. So that's where $I$ am on this, Dr. Brown.

But I do want to say one thing. I want to thank the liaisons for breaking this down into
three coherent phases of her life so that we're not struggling with these images. So often we do.

And it allows us to focus on the art as a committee rather than worrying about the story that the three coins are trying to tell. And I think that's -- it's certainly made our job easier from this point forward. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Dr. Peter van Alfen.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I just want to preface this by saying that $I$ am quite honored to be working on this portfolio. This is really a wonderful opportunity to honor somebody who is hugely important obviously in our national story.

And I would just also like to thank the liaisons, Ms. Hill and Mr. Keown, for your comments and your presence here today as well.

As Mike Moran pointed out, No. 1-- it is a rather dignified portrait -- portrayal of Harriet Tubman. I'm also concerned about the interpretation of the gesture.

And I am just from an artistic
standpoint that the extended hands might be somewhat lost within that composition that's on the coin itself. And it appeared a little muddled as it were.

And so while I like No. 1 quite a bit my preference is more for No. 4 just because it's a simpler design and very elegant portrayal of Harriet Tubman as well.

For the reverse, No. 1 I find a -- just a fantastic design. It really is evocative of the risks and dangers of the Underground Railroad as well as the human connection. I think it's just a wonderful design. And I'm willing to support that entirely. So thank you very much.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Let's turn now to Donald.

MR. SCARINCI: So for purposes of the conversation it's always very important to look at the size of the planchet; right? When we print out here instead of using an iPad you can very clearly see the size.

And this is very important, especially for the new members, to be attentive to because I
think that really does make the difference between a really great coin design and a not-so-great coin design.

You know, I like the succession of portraits where she, you know, where there's an aging of her.

Very similar to what we did in the presidential dollar series where every president was depicted during -- except for Andrew Jackson because of the Secretary's preference -- but every president other than Jackson was depicted as he looked when he was the president. And we deliberately chose to do that. This series has that potential; right?

And so I think the accuracy of the portraits that we're depicting on the three obverses is really important.

So you know, so I do tend to like -- I do like the extended hand. And I think it has a symbolism that is very contemporary. And I think that adds a dimension of meaning; right?

I am concerned though -- and also it does work, I would think, because it's on the silver
dollar size planchet; right? This coin would not work on -- you know, but $I$ think it would work on the silver dollar size. So we could go with this.

I am a little concerned about the accuracy of the portrait when we compare it to Design 04. You know, and I guess if, you know, barring, you know, if I'm the only one that has that concern that the portrait isn't from the period then, you know, then $I$ could go with 1.

But 4 might make a better -- if we go with portraits like 4 on the others 4 might be the better, you know, more conformed series for the whole -- well, for the side we're -- so as to the reverse -and I'm watching my time so Dr. Brown doesn't take me to task.

On the reverse -- on the silver reverse do -- I mean, do we really have to have the stars on No. 1? Can't we have the negative space? Because if we have to have the star, you know, it's okay.

But I do like the silhouettes standing on the clasped hands. I think that's a -- you know, that's a very profound design. I would prefer it
without the stars unless people feel we need to have the stars.

And I certainly prefer the art of No. 1 over the art of No 4. However having said that, No. 4 does work again only because it's on the silver dollar sized coin.

So if there is a -- you know, if there is a desire, you know, depending on how we look at the other coins in the series -- because I think we need to look at the whole series, right, before we really settle in on something.

But if we -- or you just want us to decide on one or the other -- but if we are going to go with a more complex design it would be No. 4. This would be an opportunity to do that.

The other coins, particularly the gold coin -- we just talked about that -- you know, we're not going to have that opportunity, you know, to -- it would be cluttered. It would be way too small given the planchet size.

So I think, you know, I think it's really between -- I think it's really the preference,
you know, and it's really -- and I was thinking to the communed preferences because I think that the committee selections have been very good.

I think they -- I think your
collaboration, you know, and your -- if you were the, you know, leader on this, you know, you did a good job managing this.

And you know, but I think you've given us some good recommendations. And I think in this particular case, although I'm happy -- because I remember saying this for our commemorative coin program -- in this case $I$ think they've given us enough to work with on what their preferences are.

And so I was thinking my -- I'm sticking with my comments to the liaisons' preferences and just trying to come up with the best of them. So thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Dennis.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. First of all I'd like to welcome Kellen Hoard and Darla Jackson. I think you will enjoy your time on
the committee. And I look forward to your participation.

And I want to reinforce Mike Moran's kudos to our liaisons. By the way, Ms. Hill, I am also an upstate New Yorker. So greetings. I was born in Syracuse and grew up in Phoenix, New York about 30 miles north of Auburn.

When it comes to Harriet Tubman most Americans are students of her life. And you, Ms. Hill and Mr. Keown, you are teachers of her life, which requires a much greater depth and breadth of nuanced understanding.

So I appreciate the attention that you've given on how to teach her life across this group of coins. It's a very helpful foundation, as Mike said, for this program and for the committee's analysis of it.

And I will jump into my discussion of the designs. I want to follow up on and thank Dr. Kotlowski for his comments and analysis on Reverse 3. This is a very remarkable design. There's so much good about it. It's wonderful.

My one concern with it, as fantastic as it is, is that it presents Harriet Tubman from a kind of, quote, "It's lonely at the top", unquote, perspective.

And I think the importance of her contribution to humanity was her connection with others. She saved other people. She helped other people. And she did this throughout her life.

So my preference among -- well, I'll just let that preface my discussion of the obverses. My strong preference is for Obverse 8 because it shows that interaction.

But this is not a static portrait of Harriet Tubman. This is her in action doing what she did, saving people through the Underground Railroad. And I like the -- just the humanity of it, that human connection.

We get some of that in Nos. Obverse 1 and Obverse 2, Obverse 7 perhaps. But in my mind to my eye Obverse 8 is the strongest depiction of that humanity.

And for the reverses, Reverse 1 is
just -- it's wonderful. This is a fantastic symbolic and human depiction of her time saving people from the Underground Railroad. I understand that this is a shared preference of both liaisons.

By the way, $I$-- in my analysis $I$ gave the most attention because our liaisons had laid that foundation or groundwork -- I gave most of my attention to the designs that they preferred either individually or jointly.

And I think Reverse 1 is perfect for this coin. A combination of Obverse 8 and Reverse 1 gives us a very strong silver dollar.

To Mike Moran, I understand your concern about designs trying to do too much on an inch and a half diameter. But $I$ think that this will work on the silver dollar size. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's hear now from John, John Saunders.

MR. SAUNDERS: Thank you. John Saunders here. Thank you. First I'd like to make a comment about the commemorative year. It struck me as the theme -- the Underground Railroad and the Harriet

Tubman in particular -- that's really needed.
When I was about 11 or 12 years old I read about the Underground Railroad. And I thought, "What a fantastic thing that was for somebody to be involved in."

Then I found out the church I went to had some people involved in it. And also a school I went to for one year had an one building from the 1840s. And they were involved in the Underground Railroad.

I remember going down to school I think and seeing in the basement part of the stone walls -it was stone built. And it had been obviously knocked out and put back up.

But you could just imagine that it was a tunnel for the Underground Railroad. I think in truth it was probably an old coal chute that was filled in.

But you know, it -- the Underground Railroad really struck my imagination when I learned about it. And I think this is something that it -the time has come to do this. It's past time. It
should have been done earlier.
But anyway, in terms of designs I pointed this out before. We look at every -- all the designs were -- in the design -- you know, in the most consistent way to do it.

But the general public's just going to pick it up and take a look at it real quick. And I think we should verify what you see when you look at it real quick.

I mean, like, Obverse 1 -- I had to look at it closely to make sure that it was an outstretched hand. I mean, it -- clearly it is.

But if you don't look at it closely it could be her hands on her hips or something when you first look at the coin. So I missed that part of the design. I didn't miss it. But $I$ think people might miss it if they're not looking at it closely.

I really like Design 4. I like the determination in her face. I mean, I just -- I think that portrait does it. Someone else pointed out that portraits on busts or portraits on coins is a little bit boring. Maybe. But I still like it. I like it a
lot. I like the design.
I like 07 too. I thought it was just artistically pretty as well as there you see the outstretched hand -- no question whatsoever.

I think Mike's point that it probably doesn't go well with the, you know, pairing of Reverse 4 because kind of similar in theme and design -- I like it. A way I like that it was -- wasn't my probably first choice but it looked just fine to me.

Going to reverse designs, I like 01 like everybody else does. It's just a great design. Not much I can say about it beyond that. It's just it's great. Looks good. Has good themes.

I also like 04 although not quite so well as 01 in terms of the art and so forth. But the way it shows people reaching for the other hand is nice and symbolic. And those are my two strong preferences in the reverse designs.

So I'm going to listen to what everybody else -- as always, I change my mind a little bit before these meetings are over listening to other comments.

But I really like 1 and 4. And on the obverse design I like 4, 7, and 8. But probably my preference is 4 right now.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Kellen.

MR. HOARD: Thank you. One of the things that I find, especially when I talk to younger people, but in general with the public is that they tend to not only be quite interested but connect a lot nowadays with people who are -- basically break the status quo.

I think Harriet Tubman was somebody who did that in multiple different ways and in multiple valuable ways.

And I think part of the reason they connect to people who break the status quo so much, especially for younger people, is that $I$ think in the world today there's a lot of status quos being broken.

And so what happens is when that's happening and when things are changing rapidly and there's a need for change it's necessary to kind of look to what common values are held, what common
values we can look to as we try to figure out the path forward.

I think the Harriet Tubman coin does that very well because she was someone who espoused so many values that are relevant still today.

For me she was a very dynamic leader who basically just, like, never stopped in her entire life. She was not a woman who could sit down.

And so for me that's why in general I'm a little bit less passionate about the kind of traditional bust portraits.

I think that is -- I think she is a much -- we could break the status quo in that vein and not just have a traditional bust of her but actually show her in the action that she was doing her whole life, highlighting those values that she had.

And so the one -- one of the ones that spoke to me was Obverse 1. I thought that's a good place to start with that's not just one of those traditional busts but which actually again shows her a little bit in action, inviting the viewer in, inviting them to come with her not only in freedom and liberty
but also in the values that she shared and kind of beckoning in in that way. And I found that compelling.

I also like Obverse 7. Obverse 7 I thought -- Art kind of beat me to it -- but I thought it really was reminiscent of the 1986 Statue of Liberty commemorative and walk of liberty half-dollar as well.

I think it -- again it shows the outstretched hand quite clearly. And it seems to be her kind of bringing us again toward the rising tide of liberty in a way that $I$ find actually quite exciting as a viewer.

And it makes me want to go with her, which I think is important because I think we're trying to highlight and celebrate her continued relevance today not only as a historical figure but as a -- kind of a moral leader. That's important to emphasize.

In terms of the reverses, pretty much everything's already been said about it. But I like 1. And there's not much more I would say that hasn't
already been said except that $I$ just really think it's elegant as well.

And not just -- it's not just symbolically beautiful and artistically beautiful. I think it's just an elegant design.

I have more to say kind of about her work today. But that's more relevant for the clad and the gold pieces.

So in general in terms of the -- some of the other reverses that people have mentioned I agree that 2 and 8 are a little bit much for this design and that the other ones are comparatively more elegant.

And by that $I$ mean the obverse. Sorry. Two and eight $I$ thought were a little bit busy on the obverse side of things.

So I would go with kind of the more clean and compelling designs that do beckon me forward as a viewer.

And I think there's opportunity to show her action on other pieces in ways that are a little bit more compelling and clear than that one. So I
think that is -- yeah; that's it for me.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Turn now to Darla.

MS. JACKSON: Hello. This is Darla Jackson. Thank you so much, Dr. Chair. Thank you to the liaisons. This is my first time sitting on this committee. And such a big project so I'm very excited and also, you know, no pressure; right?

So I wanted to start off by saying what you said about her having an open hand really resonated not just with this first one but it was something that kind of you picked up on throughout the series.

And I think that that's a beautiful thread to tie all of these things together as another layer in addition to just her work in general.

And so the ones that I looked at primarily -- I did enjoy the portraits. Four and five I thought were fine.

However No. 1 of the obverse -- I did think that this shows not so much action but the beginnings of an action. Hand is outstretched. It's
an offering.
The one I do want to also mention
because I dismissed it immediately is No. 2. However I feel like if the stars weren't there, which I do think brings it to the more feeling of a storybook. It feels too romanticized or illustrated.

But if you took the stars and the moon away this is action. She has her hand outstretched. She's ready to go.

The only other thing with this is the portrait feels off, which would need to be a little adjusted. But $I$ did enjoy this one as well and did want to mention it for that reason.

In terms of the reverse $I$ think that No. 1 answers or completes the story of No. 1 in the obverse and No. 2 in the obverse. That outstretched hand is then clasped. And the story is continued. You see what's happening because of this.

And so I also like the idea of there being a star in the words United States of America. I feel like it's sort of a nod to someone adding an important piece to the story of this country. So
those are my thoughts.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. As Chair I've come to appreciate that there is beauty in brevity. So given the comments by my colleagues, I'm going to -- I've really come to appreciate and embrace what they have to offer.

I'm going to do a little bit of a departure only because $I$ believe that since this will likely be one of the coins that are more accessible to the public to purchase -- so I'm mindful of that accessibility because the lesson particularly of education is how much our public can have access to the information.

So to me that's the reason why this planchet really is important to tell the story. As much as it -- what I'm going to suggest is not entirely embracing the recommendations of the liaisons.

But I do want to make sure to put out there that what we choose actually helps to tell the story. And I agree with the liaison that the narrative that goes with this is going to be so
important.
So to the extent to which the CCAC is given an opportunity to weigh in on that narrative I think it would be helpful as we can make sure to look through the prism of those populations who are likely to be able to access this based that this is the one planchet besides the clad that's going to be accessible -- more accessible to the public.

So in that respect $I$ want to pay attention to the designs that tell the story. And quite frankly, that is the design where it's a combination of the Obverse 7 and the reverse -forgive me. I said about beauty in brevity -- Obverse 7 and Reverse 7.

To me that -- when you have these two designs they're telling a story one chapter into the other. If you choose any other designs it's going to be important to be able to tell the story has a connection between them.

As much as I like portraits, I think the telling of the story, especially if we're going to have the portraits on the other planchet -- it's
really important to tell the story because this is one of those that may be accessible -- more accessible -certainly compared to the gold to the public. Most of them by the context.

MR. VASQUEZ: Dr. Brown, there is a few things I'd like to note since they got some attention during the discussion.

DR. BROWN: Who is --
MR. VASQUEZ: This is Roger Vasquez. Again I'd like to note that for any of these portraits once recommended designs are identified by committees there will be a meeting to improve upon the likenesses of Tubman. So I heard some of those comments today.

The Mint will again work closely with the designer of the coin, the chief engraver, and the liaisons to refine the portraits in order to best represent her. It's very important of course for in particular to the Harriet Tubman Home.

Also I'd like to note that in Design 1 there's a small detail -- Reverse Design 1. The satchel on the figure in the far right will be removed. But the pole will remain over the shoulder.

And finally there is a preference of the Harriet Tubman Home for depictions of Tubman without her head covered, which I think we'll likely see more of that in later sections of this overall portfolio.

However in Reverse 4 -- Silver Reverse 4 -- this depiction of her with the head covering is acceptable on this design. So $I$ just wanted to note those things.

Also Reverse 7, which also got a lot of attention -- the shoes on the figures in this design will be edited to more appropriately fit the time period. They look a little too modern. And the dress on the central figure will be lengthened. And that is it. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Are there any other comments or motions from the members at this time? Hearing none, Mike or Joe, do you have any comments or suggestions that you think the committee should consider?

MR. COSTELLO: I do not.
MR. MENNA: No, sir.

DR. BROWN: Are there any additional comments or clarification by the --

MS. WARREN: Doctor --
DR. BROWN: Oh --

MS. WARREN: -- Brown, Dennis Tucker has his hand up.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Dennis?
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I just wanted to recommend that instead of voting our preferences for the silver dollar at this point we wait until we've had discussion of the plan in full. And because that -- those discussions might influence our thoughts on the silver program.

DR. BROWN: Is there any concern among the committee members?

DR. FULLER: My only concern is we, you know, this is fresh in our minds. And if we wait until we get to the third one we might -- it might get lost. So we need to find a way to record our votes at least temporarily or something before we move on to --

MR. TUCKER: I would recommend just notetaking, you know, careful notetaking by each
member.

Personally I know that my thoughts on the clad half-dollar are somewhat dependent on what we -- what ends up being decided for the silver dollar. Anyway that's my two cents.

DR. BROWN: Quick point of
clarification. This is just for members to discuss with Darla and Kellen. We're now talking about voting. This is actually a scoring mechanism that the committee uses.

And so I -- please, whatever you believe works appropriately. But this is a tool the committee uses to rate every design on a scale of 0 to 3. And then based on how that scores out we can see where the committee is at any one moment.

It is not itself definitive towards how we vote or what the committee's recommendation is. With that --

MR. WEINMAN: There's also, for the information of the committee, there's an opportunity for the committee to revisit each program once we've -- you know, so I think with the notetaking that

Dennis has suggested that we should be able to accomplish the compromise of going forth with this one and still be able to review it if we think that review is warranted. Does that make sense?

DR. BROWN: John?
MR. SAUNDERS: John Saunders. One thing that $I$ think -- it might be more precise doing what's fresh in our mind. I'd like to see what we decide for the silver dollar to see which I pick for the half-dollar.

I mean, there's something to be said about making one decision followed by other decisions. I think we have to rate everything at the same time and pick the designs that are similar for the halfdollar and dollar. So $I$ kind of like voting on this one or scoring this one -- not voting on it -- first better.

Though I do take Dennis's point as well, everything's connected to everything. Your choices here are connected to your choices of the half-dollar, which are connected to your choice of the gold.

DR. BROWN: A few more comments before we move the agenda. Dean?

DR. KOTLOWSKI: This is Dean Kotlowski. I agree with, you know, Harcourt and John. I think this is a design portfolio that's particularly rich and stimulating. And Dennis, with all due respect, I don't think your notetaking is going to do it for me.

I think making the decision or making an initial decision -- doing not a vote but not a score will give us a feel for where we are at this particular moment. And Dr. Brown, and Mr. Chairman, as you said, we can always revisit it.

DR. BROWN: Any other comments?
Hearing none, let me turn to the liaisons. Because I think this is really important now that you've heard the discussion if you have any additional comments, suggestions based on what you've heard.

MS. HILL: I do. This is Karen Hill. I just think that the committee has to keep in mind that there were very -- there were virtually no images of Tubman as a younger woman.

I was involved in the authentication of
that one portrait that she sat for at Powelson's Studio on Genesee Street in Auburn. And that was the one and only actual portrait of Tubman.

And there was a reason for that. She did not want anybody to know -- be able to recognize her. For a while in her years as a young woman they didn't know if she was a male or a female. And that worked in her -- to her advantage.

So I think that you have sort of a dual obligation of putting an image forth to reach, you know, beyond traditional collectors, beyond young people. But certainly young people are important.

But an image that will be a forever image for them of Tubman. So that's an awesome responsibility.

Because my goal is to make sure that all three coins are widely known not just throughout the United States but throughout the world. And I hope to make a celebration to the rest of the world. They have the day of the worker. I see huge placards for Harriet Tubman. There is an appreciation for her far beyond the United States.

And so this first image of Tubman as a young woman is really important.

And I was a chief proponent for her hair being shown in its natural state. The artists have just taken enormous liberty with covering, with the scarf, aging her inappropriately. So really do look at that as you, you know, make your decisions.

MR. KEOWN: This is Woody Keown. My perspective, there were three things I wanted to comment on, number one in terms of the concept of her reflecting her stubbornness, a dynamic leader, and showing action.

The Underground Railroad network was an action-oriented, unified, diverse, multicultural, and multiethnic network that really did phenomenal things. It broke the law.

It took a lot of courageous people to really make it work the way it worked to get people to freedom. So I think that the action-oriented piece -I think all about that is very good.

I think the other part for me is there was a comment -- comments about the hand. And as I
understood Ms. Tubman and from what I've read and learned and so forth is that she's always reaching out trying to help somebody.

So that is really kind of servant
leadership. You speak about leadership and so forth. So it's always just throughout her life was reaching out, helping somebody through all bases of life and so forth.

So, you know, that gesture or hand gesture that as $I$ saw it and interpreted it and so forth -- and it needs to be shared. And we covered this in the documentation is basically always reaching out and helping someone. And that job was never finished with her.

The other point was around the -- we were trying to make something out of -- struggling with how do you -- we struggle today with trying to -how do you connect the past with the present.

Well, we find there's so many people who don't understand the past yet well enough. And so some of the more contemporary-looking designs and so forth -- the one that had free -- I didn't
particularly like that one. There were a lot of things -- I liked the contemporary nature of it.

But that concept of being free centered on the top and having her isolated didn't really work for me from what $I$ know in terms of how the underground network -- Underground Railroad worked.

And also the concept of free -- it that tone and sense of finality. I don't think that she would see that she was free until all were free. So those are just some comments $I$ wanted to share with you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. We really appreciate it. Are there any further discussion? Hearing none, the committee will now score the obverse and reverse at this time of the silver.

Each of you should have at your seat either a scoresheet, a hard copy, or those that are participating virtually received that electronically.

When you are done, please email them -those of you with electronics. And those of us here will hand our scoresheets directly to Greg Weinman,
who will then score the result and present the results. We will take a ten-minute break for scoring and tally.
(Off the record.)
MS. WARREN: Okay. It's 10:01. We're coming back on. Dr. Brown?

DR. BROWN: We are back. I recognize Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC, to present the results from the scoring sheets.

MR. WEINMAN: This is a score out of
30. Although there are 11 members who began the meeting, one has temporarily departed the meeting. So ten scored, which means the top -- this is a score out of 30 .

For the silver obverse, 1 received 21 points. Which is actually the high score but barely -- Obverse 2 received 7 points. Obverse 4 received 13 points. Obverse 5 received 8.

Obverse 7 received, you know, 20
points. So just one point less. And Obverse 8 received 13. So once again the high was Obverse 1 with 21, followed very closely by Obverse 7 with 20.

For the reverses, Reverse 1 is the high vote -- high scorer with 25 points out of this possible 30. Reverse 2 received 3. Reverse 3 received 7. Reverse 4 received 11. Reverse 7 received 11. And Reverse 8 received 4. With that, Mr. Scarinci has come back.

MR. SCARINCI: And I would add my support to the two selected ones.

MR. WEINMAN: Excellent.
MR. SCARINCI: That's what $I$ would have voted. I apologize for taking a call.

MR. WEINMAN: All good.
MS. WARREN: Dr. Brown, we have a hand raised with Dennis Tucker.

DR. BROWN: Dennis?
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I would like to recommend that it would be premature to make a formal recommendation at this point so we just use the scoring as an information point of data and continue on with the clad half-dollar.

DR. BROWN: Any objection to that direction?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 1: None.
DR. BROWN: Any other questions?
DR. FULLER: Just a quick -- can we just see the sides that have been -- that have received the most --

DR. BROWN: Obverse 1 and Obverse 7?

DR. FULLER: Yes. Can we just see it on the screen, please? Thank you.

MS. WARREN: Pam -- okay. Good. You heard.

DR. FULLER: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Can we do that for the reverse as well?

DR. FULLER: Reverse. For the benefit of the liaisons mainly.

DR. BROWN: Reverse 1. Okay. Thank you. Are there any motions? Hearing none, the discussion on this will be temporarily concluded until we review the others.

Then I would like to then suggest that we take -- now go to the discussion of the clad obverse and reverse. And let us begin this time with

Dean.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. This is Dean Kotlowski. And I see a lot of designs here that are excellent. I'm very much aligned with the liaisons on what they are -- what they have identified. I think we have some very good portraits here in 1 and 2.

I believe that if we do ultimately go with the silver -- the top vote-getter for the silver dollar -- it's a little bit more of a full-length image with the hand extended -- I'd become persuaded that that's a good image, something that would maybe zero in a little bit more on Harriet Tubman. So 1 and 2 would be fine.

But probably my favorite design is 4. And this is a preference -- correct me if I'm wrong -of both liaisons. And what I liked here is you do get a portrait of Harriet Tubman.

And you know, the military significance of her work in the Civil War is highlighted in a way that $I$ think is thoroughly appropriate.

And it has good balance. It's still a
sizeable coin as a half-dollar. And I think the detail would work. I would be interested to know if other members of the committee feel that way.

And if we move on to the reverse I've -- I kind of struggled with this. You might think that I'm going to veer toward No. 8.

And I still think, you know, there's so much being represented here -- the representing of an individual act of courage is not a bad thing at all because we'll have so many different varieties.

And you know, I should let this go a little bit. But when she's looking out it's like she's looking out the next mountaintop. So nothing is ever finished here.

But I'm not really pushing that because I think Civil War, nurse, scout spy -- it doesn't have the same resonance in this context as Moses of her people.

I noticed that the Harriet Tubman Home liked No. 3. And I do kind of like some of the contemporary designs. I think that we can have a variety here. It's one of the advantages of this
portfolio and this program in this set of three coins. And I think this is again another strong image. You see the chains, you know, broken. That can be breaking the chains through the Underground Railroad or of course emancipation in the Civil War.

You know, now that $I$ look at it it reminds me of the stamp of the Emancipation Proclamation that was issued in 1963, which had chains on it like that. So I like that.

I think, you know, 7 is also very attractive. And I think No. 1 is maybe too technical and too geographical in terms of the military.

But there are a number of, again, excellent designs here. I'll be listening to what my colleagues say in making a decision. Thank you very much.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Colleagues, if we can limit our comments to three minutes or less if possible. There can be exceptions. But if we can that would be great. Let's turn now to Donald.

MR. SCARINCI: Thank you. Dean, you know, you gave it -- as you pointed this out, I mean, I have a -- I think you came up with a brilliant idea. If we're going to go with 1, right -- because we have to try to look at this as a whole series.

If we got to go with the 1, what if we went with 4, Obverse 4, of the clad except let's get rid of the stuff -- let's get rid of all the other stuff and make it -- let's get rid of all the stuff to the left and make it a clean, you know, a clean surface, clean fields with that so it looks like it goes with 1.

And you know, I'm kind of liking that. And then we can use the full head-on portrait for the small gold one. And so we get our small -- we get our, you know, we use the small portrait for the full head-on portrait.

And for the reverse -- because I'm keeping to my three minutes -- it's hard for me to do. And for the reverse, I mean, I just love Reverse 3 . And fortunately that's one of the preferences.

So but I think Reverse 3 is a no-
brainer in the piece. So I would be very passionate about that one. As a coin $I$ think we've not done that. I don't recall ever doing something like that. And I just think that's a really impactful design, especially for the clad. It does everything you need it to do. So I like 3 as the reverse.

And we could make a motion. If people like the concept of using 4 we can follow it up with a motion to get rid of all the other stuff so that we have clean fields.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Really appreciate that. Now let's turn to Art.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein. Reminder that this coin is meant to celebrate Harriet Tubman's role in the Civil War. And for that reason I gravitated towards Obverse 4.

And with all due respect to Donald, all that other stuff $I$ think is important because I think it demonstrates -- it speaks Civil War. That ironclad warship to me says Civil War. So I would -- I'd be in favor of Obverse 4.

For the reverses I liked Reverse 5 for the same reasons I liked the Moses design on the silver dollar.

And sorry. I think I might have the wrong number. The reverse that had the peak. Okay. It was, oh, Reverse 3. Sorry. I misspoke. Reverse 3, which had --

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Isn't that 8? Oh, no, no. Sorry.

MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm up here at Reverse
3. And I also like Reverse 8.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's now turn to Mike Moran. I'm sure you've finished breakfast by now.

MR. MORAN: Yes; I finished breakfast a long time ago.

DR. BROWN: Okay.
MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I'll make it quick. People haven't talked about the one obverse -- let me get my notes up here -- that I really like on the clad. And that is No. 5.

It tells a truly -- story of nursing
using the medicinal qualities of plants. I think it's a good image of her. I think when you pair it with No. 7 on the reverse you get the full story of what she did during the Civil War.

And to me you get the military aspect as well as being the scout and the spy. But the nursing function is the one that $I$ really place some value on. And that comes through on No. 5. Those are the two I'm going to vote for -- 5 and 7. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn to Peter.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I have to say this section of the portfolio was a little difficult for me because $I$ do find there to be a number of compelling designs within this section.

The problem that I'm having is that with the narrative arc that we're working with through the Civil War period with the clad particularly is trying to find the designs that are both really attractive and appealing but at the same time engage with that narrative arc.

I have to agree with Mike that 5 and 7
as designs for the obverse and reverse really are quite attractive and do -- I think say a lot about that arc.

But the liaisons' preferences of Obverse 4 and -- I think it's, if I remember right, 5 as a reverse -- I think make that Civil War component a little bit more obvious than, say, 5 and 7 would.

The one concern that $I$ have about Obverse 4 -- I was doing a little bit of research on the Combahee River raids.

And my understanding, at least the contemporary illustrations that I've found, the gunboats used were probably little sidewheel steamers.

I'm not sure what vessel is depicted. The ironclad vessel in the background there, which is one of the three vessels involved -- I don't know if that's been -- I'm sorry?

MR. SAUNDERS: I think it's the Monitor.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Right. But that would not be -- in fact that's not a Monitor-type vessel anyway.

But my only concern would be just -you know, I'm sure the Office of Design Management always, you know, does do their homework. I just would want more clarification on which vessel that's supposed to represent in that case.

But overall I'm happy to support 5 or 4 Obverse and 5 Reverse for this. Although again $I$ will be giving points to 5 Obverse and I think it's 7 Reverse as well. So thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Harcourt.

DR. FULLER: Thank you, Dr. Chairman. I'll be giving points to Obverses 4 and 5. I really like 4 because $I$ think that it -- you know, I think there's a level of -- she's saying, you know, "I made a contribution" you know, with the folded hands. You know, "I did that." It's very bold. It's very brave.

I like 5. I do have a little bit of a concern about whether or not those leaves look like a headdress -- a Native American headdress.

But I think it is significant that it's showing traditional medicine. And that kind of ties
her in with another woman that $I$ mentioned who was on a currency. And that's Nanny of the Maroons in Jamaica, who also used traditional medicine.

On the reverse I actually like 1.
There's something about that map. You know, geography is always great. And I do not think we have a map in any of these -- the three, you know, files here. But I also like 5 as well because it does show the tents used in the Civil War. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Kellen.

MR. HOARD: Yeah. I had the same kind of ideas in mind as previously in terms of, again, her continuing work through that life in an actionoriented way.

I was drawn to Obverse 4. And then of course checking in with whether in fact that boat is accurate. But in general I like 4.

Again with all due respect to Donald, I would actually keep the background because I think that really drives home exactly what it was.

Also I'll say as a student with, like,
a limited budget -- it's coins like these that $I$ would be purchasing more than anything else.

And I would -- I'm glad in some ways that we're covering her Civil War work on these pieces because I was taught a lot about Underground Railroad, not so much about her work afterwards.

So I think that's going to be accessible. And it's important that we emphasize this is the Civil War oriented one so there are those lessons there.

For the reverse I like 5, which I know has been brought up before. I would change one thing though, which is I would actually remove the words "Civil War" at the top and just have "Nurse", "Scout", "Spy".

The reason being that "Nurse", "Scout", "Spy" is what she did. She did not do Civil War. That was not her job. And I think from a lot of other context in general we know she probably wasn't in the Vietnam War or World War II.

And actually that ends up just kind of filling more words that are -- that don't fit in with
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the rest, that is kind of like a bookend on one end. It doesn't make sense to me.

I'd prefer it -- I think it'd be clearer just to say, "Nurse", "Scout", "Spy" and then along the bottom that she was the raid leader as well. The Civil War I don't think is necessary there.

And her work continues to this day. Her work as a nurse, as a scout, as a spy has had consequences -- positive consequences -- through this day.

And so I don't think we need to specify that it would necessarily limit it just to here's her work specifically from the Civil War. So I like that reverse. And I would keep that one there.

The one I didn't like, which I marked down $I$ guess -- $I$ just said 3. I don't know if it's the obverse or reverse. Let me see here. It is the reverse I think. Sorry, the obverse. Obverse 3.

I just wasn't a huge fan of that one. And I just wanted to avoid that if we could. Yeah. And also Reverse 3 as well. I didn't like either of the 3s.

Reverse 3 I thought didn't tie as well as it could into the Civil War aspect. It just wasn't necessarily as compelling of a design.

So I would probably not give that one points to the same extent as I would, again, Obverse 4 and Reverse 5 minus the Civil War.

DR. BROWN: Thank you very much. Let's turn to Dennis.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Our liaisons' preferences or -- and favored designs were for the obverse 1, 2, 4, and 7. I think of those four, 4 and 7 are the most descriptive in their visual detail.

They tell us more about the Civil War, which is the theme of this particular coin, and her involvement in the Civil War what with the flag and the aspects of the uniform, the ships, and such.

Of the two Obverse 7 is actually very similar in basic design to the obverse that $I$ preferred for the silver dollar. So I think that's a strong portrait. It's descriptive. It's more active with the outstretched hand.

However I think that Obverse 4 also has its strengths. So 4 or 7 -- those are both good candidates for me.

For the reverse the favored and preferred designs were 3 and 5. I like Reverse 3. It's innovative in its use of symbolism and the format that the design will take on the surface of the coin.

I remember Mr. Keown's remarks about Harriet Tubman not considering herself free unless all were free. I did keep that in mind as I reconsidered these designs.

But I think on that aspect of this design is -- I think that's fine with this design because we can be talking about the Emancipation Proclamation, which of course freed the slaves during the war.

And in her -- on the silver dollar we're talking about Underground Railroad, a period where not everybody was freed so -- but I think that that's reconciled with Design Reverse 3.

Reverse 5 is, you know, it uses narrative text to tell the story. And often that's
helpful for viewers. So $I$ think either 3 or 5 would be fine.

Five spells it out quite literally. So that might be a preference. But 3 is innovative. So those are my thoughts. Thank you, Dr. Brown.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn to Darla.

MS. JACKSON: Hello; this is Darla Jackson. So for the obverse $I$ was looking first at No. 4. I think that this is something that does help tell that story that we're looking to tell.

There were things about it. I feel like her expression is a little odd. But maybe that's something that could get resolved.

I want to pay attention to also Obverse 5 because I did think that this was a really beautiful piece. I think it speaks to what she's done a little bit differently -- taking, you know, native plants and turning them into something that's really helpful.

I also think that that speaks to her ability to do so much with so little. And so I did want to pay specific attention to that one.

Obverse 7 I thought was really
beautiful as well. But because we chose it for now for the first coin $I$ am not thinking about this one as heavily.

And I also did want to note with this one how her name is bisected by the flag feels very odd to me. So I did just want to point that out in case anybody's leaning in that direction.

For the reverse, No. 1 I thought was a really potentially nice idea. But unfortunately the hand feels unremarkable in comparison the drawing of the map, which I do find quite interesting. So while I like it, I think the hand could be more interesting. And I do also really enjoy No. 3.

However I worry about the pairing with the obverse. So that would be my only concern there. I love this design. I think it's very strong. However how does it pair? And that is it for me.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Turn to John Saunders.

MR. SAUNDERS: Hi. John Saunders here.
First off, Darla was mentioning carrying something
from 1, which was the silver dollar, over to the halfdollar.

I haven't given up on Design 07 for the obverse. It was one point behind. But I haven't given up on it. I think if we're talking pairing, pairing that silver dollar with the 07 half-dollar might be a good combination or a good compromise.

In terms of the obverse designs though I like 4 and I like 7. I think I like 7 a little bit better. But I like them both.

I do think if we go with 4 -- I like having an ironclad in there because it -- the ironclad kind of says Civil War to me even if it wasn't used in this particular raid or this event.

The other boat could be from any war any time. But an ironclad says Civil War to me so I like that aspect of the design.

In terms of the reverse I'm kind of with everybody else. I like 03 a lot. And I guess my second choice would be 07 . And I like the negative design there. I just like the -- I like the design of 07.
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On 03 I'm not sure I'd like this better
but $I$ just had to think about maybe we need a little bit of light between the one side of free and the black background.

Kind of like we have some white on the chain there. It would be a lot more readable quickly. So just something to think about. But I do like 03.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. And for the public -- and the committee members already know -- part of my prism is that of a veteran, this time the Army.

So to me anything that continues to tell the military story is important. And I really recommend that we don't leave it to symbolism but that we leave it to actually -- what actually it states.

So with all due respect, Kellen, I appreciate the point you raised about Civil War. I would suggest we keep it in because that war was particularly powerful on this nation's history.

This design of the reverse -- it had to do with traditional medicine, again one of my biases. I'm a physician. So as a physician, as much as I did
not typically embrace traditional medicine $I$ do understand now that -- how important it is.

And telling that -- about that portion of our history -- that's where that began -- is really so important. So to me Obverse 4 and 5 as well as Reverse 5 are the ones that $I$ would probably lean towards.

Now our liaisons, having heard the conversation of our colleagues, do you have any additional comments? I'm sorry. Please.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Mr. Chairman, this is Dean Kotlowski. I took a lot of time in discussing. I just want to share something I mentioned. What I am holding up, for the record, this is the 1963 centennial stamp for the Emancipation Proclamation issued by the US Postal Service.

DR. BROWN: Okay.
MR. HOARD: I had a question for the liaisons if $I$ could?

DR. BROWN: Please do.
MR. HOARD: Which was around Obverse 7, which was not one of my favorite designs. But $I$ had a
question about it, which is given that she fought for the Union, she fought for freedom, at the same time the United States is the country that put her in chains in the first place.

Was she a flag waving kind of person, a person who would hoist a flag over her shoulder as a proud carrier of that?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 2: That's a good question.

MR. KEOWN: I don't know that you would characterize her as a flag-waving person in the concept we would talk about it today.

But I think she was all about country. She was all about freedom and living up to the ideals that were laid out in the Constitution and so forth.

So in that sense in terms of being a -in terms of being someone who's loyal to the country, to the Constitution, and so on and so forth, I think that she was very much in that mode.

MS. HILL: Well, she was very much a patriot. That was high up on her list of -- she felt as a free American that became available to her.

That's why she joined the women's suffrage movement ten years after it began and became a leader for women's suffrage even though she knew it would not include her and women like her when women got the right to vote.

And she married a fellow patriot, Nelson Davis, who came home sickly from the Civil War. And she cared for Union soldiers when they came home. So her patriotism -- and she was a bit of flag waver but not satisfied with what that flag represented; okay?

Yes; she waved the flag -- proud to have served. But she knew that America was better than what she had experienced. And she believed in the promise of America. So in that respect --

DR. BROWN: All right. Thank you.
MR. MENNA: Dr. Brown, can I --

DR. BROWN: Please. Joe?
MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna. Just as a -- not to sound pedantic or sound like I'm trying to educate you, but images can be realistic and allegorical at the same time metaphorical.

DR. BROWN: Sure.
MR. MENNA: It doesn't mean that she was necessarily literally holding a flag but symbolically representing what the stakeholders just said.

DR. BROWN: Sure.
MR. MENNA: Artistically speaking only. I'm not trying to sway your vote or score.

MR. VASQUEZ: Dr. Brown?
DR. BROWN: Go ahead, please.
MR. VASQUEZ: I have something to note.
In Design 7 if this is to be recommended the face and hair in this portrait would be made more like Silver Obverse 1. Same artist. This depiction of her was less desirable. But Pam, if you could show Silver Obverse 1.

We would show this likeness and of course with the improvements that we would get from working with the designer, the chief engraver, and the liaisons.

But I just wanted to highlight that this would be the face. Everything from the neck up
would be what we would use on Clad Obverse 7.
DR. BROWN: Important.
DR. FULLER: Yes. Thank you, Dr.
Brown. This is Harcourt Fuller. I do want to agree with you, Dr. Brown, in response to Kellen's comments as well.

I do think sometimes there are times when you don't want to be literal and there are times when you want to be literal. I'm in favor of keeping the word "Civil War" because in that sense it's basically saying she was a Civil War nurse, a Civil War spy.

And I think sometimes the things that we think people should know -- that obviously it's not the first or second World War -- I do not take that for granted, you know, quite frankly. And I think you have to say what it is. Of course if it works artistically.

Final point I'd like to make in response to John's comments is maybe it's the historian in me. I do believe that we should strive for historical accuracy in most cases.

And so the ironclad -- if that was not the boat or, you know, used I would be in favor of using -- depicting the one that was actually used because these are in essence snapshots in time.

And for students of history, scholars -- if we're going to look at these coins and reference them they need to be historically accurate in terms of the clothing and everything else. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Donald?
MR. SCARINCI: Harcourt, if you don't mind, and Dean, if you don't mind, I'd like to put you both on the spot. I'm not comfortable putting a flag in Harriet Tubman's hands.

And how do you feel -- how did the two of you feel about that? I'm curious if you feel as uncomfortable as I am with that.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: This is Dean Kotlowski.
Do you want to go first, Harcourt?
DR. FULLER: I will make a comment
about it. But I would defer to the liaisons. Harriet Tubman is a symbol; okay? Of course she's a real
person. But she's a symbol.
So I think we're trying to do two things. We are trying to represent and to depict her in terms of the history that she made.

But at the same time it's aspirational where we're trying to basically say this -- she was a model citizen. This is what we should strive for.

And I think that if, you know, if the flag represents that -- because she was a patriot. So whether or not she was -- she actually had a flag, you know, in her hand -- she was a patriot that contributed to saving this nation from a civil war that -- you know, so I'm fine with the flag.

But at the same time $I$ don't think she necessarily needs to have it to prove that she's a patriot. She's a patriot, period, point blank, end of story.

DR. BROWN: Dean?
DR. KOTLOWSKI: And this is Dean

Kotlowski. And you know, when I voice my perspectives on these coins they are my perspectives. This is kind of what we do. It's part of a conversation. It is in
no way to be, you know, disrespectful to the liaisons or anyone here.

And you know, Donald, you're going to love this. And Harcourt, you're going to love this. I'm uncomfortable with the flag there. I just think it's an add-on that is -- it just throws the coin off artistically. I think it throws the messaging off. I'm going to stick with what I said -that I like No. 4 better. But I've become persuaded to No. 1 for the obverse for the silver, which is very similar in terms of the design with the hand outstretched. So that's where I would come down. DR. BROWN: Thank you. Kellen? MR. HOARD: Just my final note on that. Even for Obverse 7 I think since we already liked Obverse 1 even beyond the flag that they're just kind of similar. And I know people prefer 1. I disagree about the Civil War but it's not worth pushing the point so --

DR. BROWN: Well, we can look forward for other more collaborative conversations in the future.

So let me just say that, well, from my view as someone who's served in the military. And I served in a war that was unpopular. Even though that was not my choice -- I was drafted -- I still have to say that $I$ would defend this country.

And one thing about Harriet Tubman that's also to me really pivotal here -- not only does she free the slaves, from my understanding she helped to recruit some of them to fight for the Union.

So if you really were against this country you would just free the slaves and you wouldn't tell them to sacrifice themselves for a country that you had no faith in.

With respect to the flag, that's -- I'm not saying that $I$ favor the design with the flag because $I$ agree with Darla -- that separation of Harriet Tubman really is disturbing. But I don't think the flag is that critical for this design.

But I would certainly debate anyone who said that she was not a patriot. I think that for what she did in her life -- things beyond what she needed to do to in fact move people from slavery -- I
think it clearly underscores that -- her support for this country. Please forgive me. I stepped out of my role as chair.

MS. HILL: If I may --
DR. BROWN: Please.
MS. HILL: You have to put the flag into context. Remember, when she became a nurse, spy and scout she had purchased property, was living in Auburn. And she said, "I have to go fight. The freedom that is so important to me is so important to this country and so important to others."

So she viewed -- her patriotism was wrapped up in freedom -- the freedom quest. And with eight soldiers being able to free 750 other people in South Carolina was no small feat.

She was a flag-waving patriot if you know her story. And you know, metaphorically, maybe not literally.

But how do you think she became a spy? Pretending to be really dumb, deaf, inarticulate. They thought no one -- they would talk freely around her because they thought she was a nobody, a nothing.

Becoming a nurse. She paid attention to what she witnessed in Auburn and how to treat those who were wounded.

I mean, these are things she didn't have to do. She was not like Dr. Brown. She was not drafted; okay? She called up herself; okay? She called up herself to expand the franchise of freedom.

And I just think we have to be mindful of that -- of the context -- because I know -- to be very honest $I$ know a lot of the people in my circle would have a problem with the flag. But $I$ have an awesome responsibility to represent the fullness of Harriet Tubman at every opportunity.

MR. MENNA: Dr. Brown, could --

DR. BROWN: Please.
MR. MENNA: -- I add just some composition? Just from a purely compositional sense why taking the flag out of this particular design -not editorializing on the content but structurally why it's essential to this design.

The design falls apart clearly without it. You see the diagonal of the flag pole. You see
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the diagonal of her outstretched arm. They're parallel. You see the diagonal of her outstretched arms -- upper arm. You see the diagonal of the hand holding the flag.

They're parallel. That forms a square, the apex of which -- a square on an angle, the apex of which everything leads to her portrait.

So the entire story of this coin visually is told by the structure of the coin. And all roads lead to Harriet Tubman via the architectural structure of the coin visually. Just talking from a visual sense.

MS. WARREN: Dr. Brown, Dennis Tucker has his hand up.

DR. BROWN: Dennis?
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. And thank you, Joe. And thanks to our liaisons. I would just repeat what I said earlier -- that most Americans when it comes to Harriet Tubman's life are students.

Our liaisons are teachers of her life.
And they think about her all the time. They think about how to teach and communicate her story.

And the fact that Obverse 7 was one of their preferred designs -- flag and all -- I think tells us all we need to know. And we can be comfortable with that.

Joe, you spoke of the design strength, the symbolic strength of the flag. I think that this is a fine design. I don't think we need to belabor that point anymore. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. We've heard from committee members. We've heard from our design folks. And we've heard from the liaisons. I think now we are prepared to vote.

Ladies and gentlemen, I suggest that we in fact, since we had such a full conversation, that we try to do this in five minutes. So recess for five minutes.

MS. WARREN: It's 10:40. I'm going to stop the recording and put us on mute.
(Off the record.)
MS. WARREN: Okay, sir. It's 10:46.
Court reporter, are you ready?
THE REPORTER: Yes.

MS. WARREN: Okay. There you go, sir.
DR. BROWN: We are back. I recognize again Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC, to present the results from the scoring sheets.

MR. WEINMAN: I will tell you upfront the obverse is a little bit more definitive than the reverse. The scoring for the obverse is Obverse 1 received 4 points. Obverse 2 received 4 points. Obverse 3 received 1 point.

Obverse 4 received 28 out of a possible
33 points. So once again that is the high votegetter, followed by Obverse 5 receiving 17 points. Obverse 6 received 4 points. And Obverse 7 received 16 points. So once again, Obverse No. 4 with 28. Moving to the reverse, which is a little more ambivalent. Reverse 1 received 8 points. Reverse 2 received 2 points. Reverse 3 received 18 points. Reverse 5 received 20 points. Reverse 7 received 16 points, followed by Reverse 8 with 6.

So Reverse 5 is the high vote-getter but only by 2 points -- 20 versus 18 for Reverse 3. And 16 for Reverse 7.

DR. BROWN: Are there any motions?
Hearing none --
MR. SCARINCI: Motion to -- no; I'll retract that motion.

DR. BROWN: You want to wait?
MR. SCARINCI: I was going to make a motion to remove the stuff. But in light of the selection of the reverse we'll keep the stuff in it.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Very good.
MR. SCARINCI: I think -- oh, I'm so sorry. Is it -- Kellen's name. I think Kellen made a good point, you know, to keep the Civil War stuff in there.

DR. BROWN: So we're going to -- just for information -- or the committee and the public -that we're going to wait until we finish the gold before we make final recommendations of any other -or so committee feels to do so. That being the case, let us now turn to the gold. Back to you, Roger.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 3: That's you.
MR. VASQUEZ: I'm sorry. I was distracted. What was the --

MS. WARREN: Gold. We already went through the --

MR. VASQUEZ: Right.
MS. WARREN: Sorry. This is Jennifer.
You already did the descriptions. So it's your time for your --

DR. BROWN: We can discuss; okay.
MS. WARREN: Right? Didn't you go
through the descriptions?
MR. VASQUEZ: I did read them. But I would be happy to read them again if you wish.

DR. BROWN: Committee members, you are prepared to continue your conversation? So if it's the case, we will then proceed. And let's start this time with Dean.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: All right. Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. This is Dean Kotlowski again. Wonderful designs here. Mr. Chairman, I'm going to try to keep this very brief here.

I like No. 4 for the obverse. I think that this is the largest portrait that we have in this set of Harriet Tubman in terms of just her face. It
shows her in her latter years -- appropriate for the coin.

But I think the size I think works best for a very small coin with the five dollar gold piece. So that is -- excuse me. Not 5. It's Obverse 4.

And Obverse 4 is the preference of one of the liaisons. I will of course listen to what the liaisons have to say about the other designs and my colleagues.

And then with the reverse, you know, I can almost go for any of these designs except for 2 and 3. I thought 3 was just, you know, a little simple and clear. And I don't think -- I'm not sure if we had any preferences attached to it.

Two is going to be really, really
small. The outstretched hands work for me. I think 1A was a preference and so were 5 and 5A.

5A has a lot of text with it. I understand the importance of putting all of Harriet Tubman's -- these important values.

The only thing I'm going to say just again with my tradition today of independent thinking

I also like No. 1. And I like No. 1 and this very simple message of $I$ go to prepare a place for you. So there is the individual. There is the collectivity and the connectivity.

And also there is something without me explaining it that has an end of life feel to that quotation of going somewhere beyond.

And again $I$ felt very moved by that so I'm going to give that some consideration and some points. Thank you very much, Mr. Chair.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Committee members, just as a reminder, we're going for three minutes. John?

MR. SAUNDERS: Well, this is kind of dangerous here because I'm going to agree with Dean a lot. Not completely but pretty much.

I like Obverse 4. I think it's by far the strongest of the six choices. And in terms of the reverse design I like 5 best.

One is okay. I'm not quite sure what two hands -- you know, I guess one of them is shaking your hand. The other one is clasping to show things.

But I'm a little confused with that design.
I think 3 was a little bit more into -it's, you know, mostly text. It does have the design of the key and so forth. But it's mostly text. But I like 5 best.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn to Mike Moran. Is Mike online?

MS. WARREN: Muted, Mike. Yeah; he's online. He's muted.

MR. MORAN: I can't turn it on. There we go. Can you hear me now?

DR. BROWN: Yes.
MS. WARREN: Yes, sir.
MR. MORAN: Okay. I have one question, Dr. Brown, before I start. I was charging my computer when you announced the designs for the clad. What happened to the Reverse 3 on that?

MR. WEINMAN: Reverse 3 was -- received 18 points out of a possible 33. The one was a -Reverse 5 received 20. So they were very close.

MR. MORAN: Okay. That will help me a little bit when I talk here. Thank you. I like both
on the Obverse 2 and 4. I think they're both equally good. The incused inscription was the thing that gave me a bit of a nudge over to -- for 2 over 4.

On the reverses I wasn't really turned on by any of them. Five was I thought the best of an average lot.

But as I worked through this thing this morning again $I$ looked at Clad Reverse 3, which explains why I'm raising the question of where it stands.

And when we get to the final discussion I think that one really is a -- when you look at the composition of it, it kind of sums up her life -- that in the end she broke free.

And to me it would look very good on the back of a five dollar gold piece. So I'll probably withhold any of my votes on reverse and I'll vote for 2 and 4 on the obverse. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn to Art.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Hi; this is Art
Bernstein. I was attracted to Obverse 2. It's a very
modern design.
But to me it jumped off the coin. And because this coin is so small I thought there was value in seeing her name as clear as we see it on that design.

Obverse 6 was favored by one of the liaisons. I thought it was also an attractive rendering of Harriet Tubman.

And then for the reverse, unlike Dean, I actually loved Reverse 3. To me it's traditional. It's respectful.

And I might point out I'm sitting next to the US Mint seal. I've been looking at it. And I notice that the key on that seal is different than the key on this design. So we're safe.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's move on to Donald.

MR. SCARINCI: So I think Obverse 4 is, you know, is the most powerful one for the gold coin size because it -- you know, the portrait dominates the entire planchet, which is -- that'll play well with a gold coin.

My problem is the reverse of this.
Because while 5A sounds like it would appear to be the compromise with all of the, you know, the constituent groups that like the hand and like this, you know, these words.

You know, I am concerned about combining those two design elements on this gold five dollar coin because it's just -- you're going to need a loop to read the, you know, the words; right?

I mean, and the words I think are -you know, I think the words are more important than the hand here.

And I would almost -- you know, if I didn't find design by committee abhorrent $I$ would ask for -- I would either vote for 5 A and then make a motion to get rid of the hand and make the words bigger or $I$ would vote for Reverse 3 and get rid of the box and get rid of the key and just put the words; right?

I mean, I think the key here is the words. But you know, in deference to the committees, you know, a good compromise with the, you know, groups
would be 5A just as long as we all understand we need a microscope to read it.

And you know what? If we just had the words you'd probably need a microscope to read it as well. So it's a very small coin. So anyway, that's my three minutes.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Turn to Peter.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I am happy to join the others in support of Obverse 4. I think that's by far the best choice for this particular coin.

The reverses, as others have mentioned, are a little problematic. Although I have to say I am rather drawn to Obverse 1.

I do like the human connection quite literally with the -- that grasping hands and the shape of the hands and the arms on that reverse.

For me anyway that reverse I think is the most powerful of the lot. And so I will throw my weight behind that. But that's all I have to say. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Harcourt.

DR. FULLER: Thank you, Dr. Chair. This is Harcourt Fuller. I like 4. I think it's bold. She's looking sort of -- you know, she has a very captivating look. I also like 5 as well. I think the image is large enough for that small coin.

And I would agree with Mike and Art on the reverse. I think $R 3$ is nice because it goes well with the obverse. You know, and I think it adds to the diversity in terms of the design elements. So that's how I would score it. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's go to Darla.

MS. JACKSON: This is Darla Jackson. I want to note two obverse designs very quickly. I feel like No. 2 I enjoy the modern design of it. However I feel like the portrait is a bit stiff, which has me leaning towards Obverse 4.

I think that the nature in which she's looking forward and feels a little bit more relaxed is a really nice way to portray her, especially thinking
about the scale of this coin. I think that that is really important too.

In terms of the reverse $I$ would go for
Reverse No. 1. I think again at this scale not having too much to make it illegible at that size is important.

And I also think that this is looping back to the idea of the hands in the first one. There's two hands here.

So maybe at the end of her life, you know, it talks about how much more she had to give. So there's an additional sort of narrative there adding the second hand. And those are my thoughts.

DR. BROWN: Fantastic comments. Let's turn now to Dennis.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I sense a general consensus forming around Obverse 4. I think it's the boldest.

And it has a little bit of motion in it, which tells us that she's still moving, still has work to do, and is still helping humanity. So I think that -- and it's also a design that will fit well on
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the five dollar canvas. So I think that's good for the obverse.

For the reverse, Peter, Darla, you captured my thoughts on the importance of the human interaction.

The other designs -- the designs other than 1 and 1A are devoid of that. They just lack that human interaction. So I think that those are the strongest designs for the reverse.

The liaisons preferred 1A so I would give my votes to that. So it would be Obverse 4, Reverse 1A. Something I would point out -- this might be something for the liaisons to weigh in on if they have an editorial style guide.

I see a couple different ways that self-determination is punctuated -- either with or without the hyphen.

I don't know if that's something that's been thought of or formalized. But something for us to think about when the time comes. Thank you, Dr. Brown.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Kellen?

MR. HOARD: Yeah. I'm in consensus with everyone else on Obverse 4 there. I have nothing really to add that hasn't already been said except for that what I think having kind of the large, up-close, kind of more natural portrait does is it makes me feel a little bit like I'm there with her, which I think is good. She's forward-looking.

For the reverse I'm aligned with Dennis on actually 1A. I wasn't super blown away by any of the reverse designs like some other people mentioned. And I'm a little worried about the size.

But what $I$ think $1 A$ brings that 1 does not is actually having those values kind of around the outer rim there, which $I$ think is really important.

Because as I mentioned in the earlier designs, part of the importance of these for me today are the values that Harriet Tubman brought and that continue to be relevant to this day.

I think emphasizing those is actually not only more relevant to the general public and more compelling to the general public but also just something that's really important to have there in
conjunction with the design and which is something that far outlasts her in an important way. So I would actually focus on emphasizing those.

With that said, you'll hear me say this on this piece and other pieces that we're going to consider later today is I'm not a big fan of vertical lists on coins like that on 3 where it's just, you know, kind of a straight up list. I don't really like that.

Same with, like, 5A. I think it's -kind of 5 A kind of has too much blocks of text where it's a little hard to, you know, actually see.

What $I$ think 1A does really well is it kind of spreads that around the coin in an aesthetically pleasing way while still again emphasizing those values.

I like the hands. She's lifting us actually toward those values in many ways through her life and beyond that.

And so I think -- and I think what they do by actually having it surrounding the design is show how foundational -- how they encompassed
everything she did. And I think that's important to emphasize. And this design does that the best out of the six.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. I'd like to share with you that $I$ agree with many of the comments from my colleagues. I get the feeling I've been really touched by Obverse 2 and 4.

And reverse I say that, hearing from the comments, Reverses 1A, 3, and 5 are things that $I$ felt really they're appealing for me.

At this point I'm going to ask are there any additional comments first from our committee members? And then I'm going to go to our liaisons and then to the engraving staff. Committee members?

DR. FULLER: Thank you very much. This
is Harcourt Fuller. I have a question for the committee members. Can we go back to R1A, please?

And I just want to know if the hands are -- what's the word -- how close are they to her actual hands; right? Because by this time we would have had that photograph; right? Yes. So is it a true likeness of her actual hands?

MS. HILL: No.
DR. FULLER: What was the --
MS. WARREN: No.
DR. FULLER: No? Okay.
MS. HILL: But there are -- I believe with further work with the artist there are enough images that capture her hands. And she's older now. The gold coin is supposed to capture her in her later years.

So the hands are hands of a worker. They're wrinkled. They're gnarled. But they're strong. So from the strength perspective, yes. But I think a little bit more detail to show that she -those are the hands of a hard worker.

And you don't see it so much on palm side. Well, you see more of it palm side. But it should be a little bit -- or just a little bit more -you know, she worked a garden herself without gardening gloves; okay?

And you know, so that, you know, she milked the cows. She had an orchard. She did all these things herself with her hands. And this is her
later in life. And it should reflect it.
I would say that $I$ think the image is powerful because it shows her again reaching, bringing people through.

And if I could, you know, I believe Dr. Brown talked about the Obverse 2 and 4. And there seems to be a lot of consensus around 4.

I think it's terribly important that this committee might consider just pulling back the headwrap to show some of her natural hair.

It's really important because people have taken Harriet Tubman's image, you know, and created another image of her. And they all have a headwrap. Because I think that some of her hair needs to show in that if it is actually 4.

DR. BROWN: Okay. John?
MR. SAUNDERS: After hearing people I have a couple comments on the reverse design. First is the ones that have two choices. I strongly favor 01 against 01 A and 05 against 05A just because $I$ think they're less busy. And I like seeing the coin less busy.

So the real question $I$ have is I agree with Mike. I think the 03 design for the clad half would make an excellent reverse on a five dollar gold piece. I think it blows away all the rest of them here.

And in that connection, first of all I'd like to ask Joe if it's workable because I think it is. But maybe we should get an expert opinion.

And then two, I'd like to ask Dr. Brown
if we want to do that reverse on the 5 how do we go about doing it if we can't vote for it?

DR. BROWN: Joe?

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Can we see it?
MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna. I'm sorry, John. Can you repeat? I was responding to a personal email briefly.

MR. SAUNDERS: The --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 4: Pam, can you show Clad Reverse --

MR. SAUNDERS: The reverse --
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 4: -- 3?

MR. SAUNDERS: -- 03 --

MR. MENNA: Right.
MR. SAUNDERS: Can you put up 03 again for the clad half-dollar?

MR. MENNA: Okay.
MR. SAUNDERS: Would that work on the reverse for a five dollar gold piece? I mean --

MR. MENNA: Absolutely not.
MR. SAUNDERS: Not?
MR. MENNA: The bottom text is way too small.

MR. SAUNDERS: So you'd have to -okay.

DR. BROWN: While you're pondering the next question, are there any other questions from committee members? Do we have any further comments from the liaisons based on the conversation?

MS. HILL: Yeah. At this, you know, in her latter stages of life she's at the point now where she's being asked to speak a lot; okay? She's doing a lot of speaking engagements all over the country quite frankly. And she's teaching a lot.

And so her core values -- those seven
core values which are -- surround the coin with the hand in her -- or in the sort of plaque flow -- they need to be there because that's what we have an obligation as a nation to put forth.

If you are a student of Tubman then you owe it to yourself to know what her core values were, what led her. And so I think, you know, there's nothing better to communicate that than these coins that are going to go out to everyone.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Joe, Mike, any further comments you have?

MR. MENNA: No. We have no further comments.

MR. COSTELLO: Nope. No.
DR. BROWN: Okay. Hearing none, then
let us then vote -- score. Sorry. And then please return your scoresheets to Greg. We will take five minutes. Yep; five minutes' recess.

MS. WARREN: It's 11:09. Stopped recording now.
(Off the record.)
MS. WARREN: Okay, Dr. Brown. It's

11:13. Court reporter's ready and the recording is going.

DR. BROWN: We are --
MS. WARREN: And just so you know, Mike Moran is out for the moment but he'll be back on shortly.

DR. BROWN: Understood. We are back. Recognize once again Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC, to present the results from the scoring sheets.

MR. WEINMAN: Once again out of the possible score of 33 points for obverse there was a clear favorite. Obverse 1 received 2 points.

Obverse 1A received 3 points. Obverse 2 received 11 . Obverse 4 received 30 out of a possible 33 points. Obverse 5 received 4 points. Obverse 6 received 6 points.

There was a little more ambiguity towards the reverse. But there was a clear favorite. Obverse 1 received 16 out of 33 points. Obverse 1A received 20 out of 33 points, making it the high votegetter.

Obverse 2 received 1 point. Obverse 3
received 7 points. Obverse 5 received 9 points. And Obverse 5A received 10 points.

MS. WARREN: A hand's raised by Dennis Tucker.

DR. BROWN: Dennis?
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. Barring any further discussion on this I'd like to make a motion for our recommendations.

DR. BROWN: Dennis, let me suggest that we hear all the votes for all the planchets first once again. And that will place your recommendation probably in context. Do you want to hear just the high vote-getters? Just the high vote.

MR. WEINMAN: Yes. Okay. For the scoring back -- so to refresh everybody's recollection, going back to silver. The high votegetter for the silver obverse was Obverse No. 1 with 22.

And this is slightly adjusted because Donald Scarinci did submit his scores. And so it is now -- Silver Obverse 1 had 22 and is the high votegetter. But a close second was Obverse 7 with 20.

On the reverses there was a clear
favored with reverse -- Silver Reverse 1 with 26 out of a possible 33 points.

AUTOMATED SPEAKER: Tracy Bradford is now joining.

MR. WEINMAN: Moving on to clad. The clear favorite for clad obverse was Clad No. 4 with 28 to of 33 possible points, followed by Obverse 7 with 16.

For the reverses this was -- a little more ambiguity in this one where the favorite was Reverse 5 with a score of 20 points followed closely by Obverse 3 with 18 as well as Obverse 7 with 16 points.

And then moving back to gold, which we just discussed. The obverse there was a clear favorite. Obverse 4 received 30 of 33 points.

A little more ambiguity on the reverses with Reverse 1 receiving 16; Reverse 1A receiving the high vote-getter -- was the high vote-getter receiving 20 points.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Dennis,
now we turn to you.
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I'd like to make a motion that the committee recommend to the Secretary of the Treasury for the silver dollar Obverse 1 and Reverse 1, for the clad half-dollar Obverse 4 and Reverse 5, for the gold five dollar Obverse 4 and Reverse 5 with the design of Obverse 4 at the Mint's discretion being modified according to the recommendation of Ms. Hill regarding Harriet Tubman's hair. All of these reflect the high votegetters that Greg just enumerated.

DR. BROWN: I think you may have -which is for gold -- the gold reverse? Which one did you say? The high --

MR. TUCKER: Yeah. Gold Reverse 1A. I'm sorry. 1A.

DR. BROWN: Yes. Okay. Just clarifying.

MR. TUCKER: I said 5. But I meant 1A. DR. BROWN: Understood. MR. HOARD: I'll second that motion. DR. BROWN: Kellen seconds. Is there a
discussion on the motion?

DR. KOTLOWSKI: I'd like to discuss -DR. BROWN: Dean?

DR. KOTLOWSKI: This is Dean Kotlowski.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Again continuing my tradition here of independent thinking -- always very respectful. I think with the clad I have a difficulty here. I like Obverse 4. I believe it's Obverse 4. If you do Obverse 5 -- I know it's a preference of the liaisons. I'm certainly not going to quarrel and quibble. But this would be the only point where Harriet Tubman's featured on both sides. With the other coins we had some sort of text or some sort of imagery that gets at Harriet Tubman and her accomplishments and her life in a different way.

And so it's -- that's something that just bothers me a little bit. It's not that I don't want to depict her personage as much as we possibly can. But $I$ really do feel that three is the stronger choice.

And since they're so close I'm
wondering if anybody feels the same way as I do, especially since I think that the -- you know, we have a lot of coins that do a lot of explaining with text. And sometimes there is beauty in brevity as a wise man once said.

And I think the idea of free and the chains being broken -- the Emancipation Proclamation and the emancipation of enslaved people was an important part of the Civil War. And I think that that messaging is in that coin.

We're doing a lot of designs that are very, very -- I think very traditional and familiar. Here is something that is a little more modern and a little bit more of the -- maybe the tabletop test -the coin that catches your eye. There's a lot of negative space here, raised and infused.

I find this one very, very, you know, breaking barriers. You don't say half-dollar but you have one slash two dollar.

So it's a little bit of a broader tradition of Harriet Tubman where you're, you know, you're breaking barriers. You're doing something
different.

DR. BROWN: So as the maker of the motion do you accept this as a friendly amendment?

DR. KOTLOWSKI: You'd have to ask Dennis.

DR. BROWN: Dennis?
MR. TUCKER: I don't think it would be appropriate to accept that friendly amendment without discussion so no.

DR. BROWN: Okay.
MR. TUCKER: Further discussion I should say. And thank you, Dean, for that comment -that commentary.

MR. WEINMAN: Point of information. This is Greg Weinman. It's just noteworthy that although the two -- Reverse 3 and Reverse 5-- are very close in votes -- in points -- the points came from completely diametrically opposite sides of the table.

In other words, there were one, two, three, four, five members who really liked Reverse 3. And then there were one, two, three, four, five
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members who really liked Reverse 5. And there was no overlap. And so that's just an interesting point of view.

DR. BROWN: Donald?

MR. SCARINCI: So how can we persuade the five to go with Obverse 2 -- or 3 ; right? This is definitely, you know, a gorgeous design.

And, you know, and I think, you know, it's very different than, you know, than -- I mean, we're going to have the opportunity to do designs like 5 on many, many coins, right, as we consider coin designs. But it's not -- that's something we're going to see again and again and again on coin designs.

But what we're seeing with 3 is really creative and artistic and contemporary and the kind of -- and it's an impactful image that will stick in your head. And I think when it appears as a coin, you know, it really has -- you know, it's really going to be beautiful.

So I, you know, I would strongly urge, you know, if we revote this -- and maybe we should -and maybe the maker of the motion should withdraw the
motion and maybe we should consider this first to see if we have an agreement on changing -- on making this our selection.

MR. WEINMAN: So but by the way, just to clarify my earlier comment I did -- there was one -- Dennis equally supported the two.

MR. TUCKER: Yes.
MR. WEINMAN: You equally supported the two. So you're the one -- you are the overlap.

MR. SCARINCI: Ah.
MR. TUCKER: Thank you.
MR. SCARINCI: There you go, Dennis.
MR. TUCKER: If I may, Dr. Brown?
DR. BROWN: Please proceed.
MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis Tucker.
Greg, I was going to follow up with a comment along those lines. I was one of those over lappers who gave three points to both 5 and 3.

But I think after listening to our liaisons as much as $I$ loved 3 as a design and an innovative design $I$ would take away my three points if that makes sense and keep my three points for 5.

Even though it is a more conservative and traditional coin design it does tell the story. And getting back to this thought of our liaisons being the teachers of Harriet Tubman's story, I think 5 works.

DR. BROWN: Thank you very much. So let's go to -- we've got Art, Kellen, and then Peter. MR. BERNSTEIN: I have a parliamentary suggestion and a comment. My parliamentary suggestion is $I$ would find it easier if we voted on each coin independently because there are variations that are being suggested.

And it's just hard if we're looking at six different choices. And I'm suggesting we do each coin independently. That's my suggestion.

My comment has to do -- and this is more about the way in which we score things. So if we're talking about the silver dollar, I happen to be a big fan of Design 7, Obverse 7, which had 20 points.

Obverse 1 squeaked ahead with 22 . But if we were to revote and throw out the losers it could completely flip the result.

And it's just -- I find a little
troubling that we're almost ready to approve Design Obverse 1 for the silver dollar when in fact that majority of us may prefer a different design but we were voting on eight designs and not two.

DR. BROWN: Thank you for your
comments. So Dennis, there's been a suggestion that we revise or consider for you to revise and probably withdraw your motion to allow consideration of a motion on each separate planchet. Do you accept?

MR. TUCKER: No. I would ask --
DR. BROWN: Okay.
MR. TUCKER: -- that we just vote on my motion. And if it has no support we vote it down and then continue from there.

DR. BROWN: Understood. Next, Kellen?
MR. HOARD: I would speak in favor on Clad Reverse 5 , which is what was currently the highest vote-getter.

And where $I$ come from on that is not only liaison support for it but actually as this is the most accessible coin to the general public and I
think the one that will be particularly well-received both on, you know, price point and on design.

This is our opportunity to educate, as we've discussed, probably the most effectively out of any of the coins. Because $I$ think what Harriet Tubman is most known for is her pursuit of freedom through the Underground Railroad and whatnot. This is our opportunity to actually really build upon that.

And I think what 3 does, even though it's an innovative design, what 3 does is it kind of reemphasizes the focus on freedom.

It doesn't communicate as much new information as I think we're able to do in as compelling a way as we're able to do.

And I think this is our opportunity to, you know, get -- promote that education on a new subject through Reverse 5 in not only a good-looking way but also in a way that will reach a large number of people and explicitly reach a large number of people as we've discussed before the need for being explicit is sometimes --

DR. BROWN: Peter?

MR. WEINMAN: There's still a motion on the floor to go with all the high vote-getters.

MR. HOARD: So I would speak in favor of that motion.

MR. WEINMAN: Yes.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Yeah. I just want to say quickly $I$ would speak in favor of that motion as well. While I really do like Reverse 3, I did not give it too many points.

What I'm concerned about with adopting the Reverse 3 with Obverse 4 -- I believe it is -- is stylistic incongruity. I think that Reverse 3 would be much better paired with Obverse 5, for example, where you would have obverse reverse -- is this one of the --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 5: Yes. There were three.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Right. And I think that 5-- Reverse 5 or 4 -- I'm sorry. I'm losing track of the numbers here. But $I$ think that as proposed there is more congruity with the obversereverse designs. So I'm happy to support Dennis's
motion.

DR. BROWN: We're speaking to the motion on the table. So John and then Donald.

MR. SAUNDERS: Well, again it brings some very dangerous -- I agree with Donald. Probably the only time we'll agree on anything.

I like 3 better. But I also think what Art said is really important. If we have two that are close we should have a vote between the two that are close because a lot of people, you know, have a choice, like, one way or the other.

But you know, can only give three points to the thing. But $I$ do think it's -eliminating all the losers, $I$ mean, we'll have a parliamentary -- I think it's something that we should think about doing regularly when there's a close -you know, there's two clear, close ones and all the rest of them are out.

DR. BROWN: So we're having a run-off?
MR. SAUNDERS: Yeah. That's what I --
MR. WEINMAN: There's still a motion -there's a single motion on the table.
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DR. BROWN: That's right. We're going
to only speak to the motion on the table, my colleagues, please. Donald?

MR. SCARINCI: So Dennis, since this is your motion and if we're going to be stuck with Reverse 5 that has the tents in -- you've got your Civil War imagery on the reverse.

Could we possibly agree to clean up the obverse and remove the stuff from the fields so that we have something that matches in a better way as a set, you know, our choice for No. 1-- our choice for the silver?

Could we remove all that stuff? We've got this -- we've now got -- the argument that was made to keep the stuff is the Civil War imagery. But if we're going to go with the obverse with the tents you got the Civil War imagery on the reverse.

Do we really need to clutter the obverse with the stuff or can we just get rid of those lines and the boats? Could we live with that?

DR. BROWN: Dennis?

MR. TUCKER: I mean, that's -- I would
not amend my motion along those lines. But if other people are in agreement with it then we can vote and move in that direction so --

DR. BROWN: Well, let me suggest that be a secondary motion possibly. The motion on the table is what it is. Any further comments for or against the motion?

Hearing none, just as a reminder and to not necessary lobby the committee -- as strong as this motion can be passed as a different message to the Secretary. So with that in mind, all those in favor of the motion on the table signify by saying "aye".

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: All those opposed signify by saying "nay".

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Nay.
DR. BROWN: Can you total those numbers? I need to do a roll call.

MR. MORAN: This is Mike Moran.

DR. BROWN: Yes, Mike?
MR. MORAN: Can you read the motion out again?

MR. WEINMAN: As I understand, the motion is to recommend all the high vote-getters.

MS. WARREN: Mike wasn't on when you called which those are. That's probably why he's asking.

MR. TUCKER: Oh. This is Dennis Tucker. There was one modification recommended to the gold obverse. I think Ms. Hill had recommended a modification to Harriet Tubman's hair and bandanna.

So I would leave that to the Mint's discretion to consider that design modification. That was part of the original motion.

Other than that, yes, it was -- the motion is basically to recommend to the Secretary of the Treasury the high vote-getters from our ranking.

DR. BROWN: Mike, does that answer your question or do you need more information?

MR. MORAN: No; that answers it.
DR. BROWN: Thank you, sir. We're going to need to do by show of hands a vote for this motion. Art Bernstein?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Nay.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Harcourt?
DR. FULLER: Nay.
DR. BROWN: Dean?
DR. KOTLOWSKI: Nay.
DR. BROWN: John?
MR. SAUNDERS: Nay.
DR. BROWN: Mike?

MR. MORAN: Nay.
DR. BROWN: Donald?

MR. SCARINCI: Nay.
DR. BROWN: Dennis? Well, you made the motion.

MR. TUCKER: Yay.
DR. BROWN: Peter?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Darla?

MS. JACKSON: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Kellen?
MR. HOARD: Aye.
DR. BROWN: And Chair votes -- not
necessary. But $I$ think this motion fails. Okay. Now we are ready for another motion. Let's go to, in
fact, Art Bernstein.
MR. BERNSTEIN: I move that we consider the silver dollar designs and take a revote on the choices amongst the obverse limited to the two high scorers.

DR. BROWN: Is there a second for that motion?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 6: I second it.
MR. MORAN: Mike Moran. Yes.
DR. BROWN: Okay. Mike was second.
Discussion on motion?

MR. HOARD: I would speak against the motion of revoting. This would be a separate thing. But I would actually make a motion to vote on the top vote-getters.

But I would actually make a motion against revoting given the fact that the way the voting system is structured it's not that you have a limited number of points to allocate between them.

But sure; you've got -- might have more designs. But you could have given all of them threes. The revoting doesn't really change allocation.

It's not like you have ten to choose points between. So I'm not sure that would get us somewhere super productive.

I would think that it would make more sense to vote nay on that motion and then put in a motion to actually just approve the design for the top vote-getters.

MR. WEINMAN: That sounds like a friendly amendment. Actually I think that it probably makes more sense to just make a motion to support either 01 or 07 and then see how the vote comes out.

DR. BROWN: Okay.
MR. HOARD: So would you accept a friendly amendment to just vote on the top votegetters of Obverse 1 and Reverse 1?

MR. BERNSTEIN: No. I think I would prefer to do what $I$ heard Greg suggest, which was I would like to I guess amend my motion --

DR. BROWN: Okay.
MR. BERNSTEIN: -- that we select Obverse 7 as the design for the silver dollar.

DR. BROWN: Along with the reverse?

MR. BERNSTEIN: I was just limiting it
to that --
DR. BROWN: Okay.
MR. BERNSTEIN: -- one issue.
DR. BROWN: Okay.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Thank you.
MR. WEINMAN: We have a clear motion on
the table.
DR. BROWN: Is there a second for the motion?

MR. MORAN: I'll second it. It's Mike Moran.

DR. BROWN: Mike Moran seconds. Any further discussion on the motion? Hearing none, all those in favor of the motion signify by saying "aye".

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: All those opposed to the motion signify by saying "nay".

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Nay.
DR. BROWN: Another roll call. Okay. So we know where you stand, Art. Harcourt?

DR. FULLER: Nay.
DR. BROWN: Okay. Dean?
DR. KOTLOWSKI: Nay.
DR. BROWN: John?
MR. SAUNDERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Okay. Mike?
MR. MORAN: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Donald?
MR. SCARINCI: No.
DR. BROWN: Dennis?
MR. TUCKER: Nay.
DR. BROWN: Peter?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Nay.
DR. BROWN: Darla?

MS. TUCKER: Nay.
DR. BROWN: Kellen?
MR. HOARD: Nay.
MR. WEINMAN: Sounds like the motion fails.

DR. BROWN: Next motion? Kellen?
MR. HOARD: I'd like to make a motion to approve both Obverse 1 and Reverse 1 --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 7: Silver dollar.
MR. HOARD -- for the silver dollar.
DR. BROWN: Is there a second --
MR. HOARD: Correct.

DR. BROWN: Which one? The silver
dollar?

MR. HOARD: The top vote-getters.

DR. BROWN: Yes. Okay.
MR. HOARD: Yeah.

DR. BROWN: Obverse 1 and Reverse 1; yes.

DR. VAN ALFEN: And I'll second that --

DR. BROWN: Okay. Second by Peter.
MR. SCARINCI: Point of order. Do we really need a motion because they're the two prevailing designs?

DR. BROWN: They would be the default.
MR. SCARINCI: Oh, okay.
DR. BROWN: It would be -- that would be the default position. If you want -- but however when the letter is written it does give the recommendation more strength if it comes from a motion

SO --

MR. WEINMAN: Especially if it's unanimous.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: This is Dean Kotlowski. I think in light of the extended discussion that we've had today it might be a good idea just to take a vote on this motion.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Any further discussion on the motion on the table? Hearing none, all those in favor, aye.

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Opposed to the -- opposed nay. Motion carries unanimously.

MR. WEINMAN: All right. One down.
DR. BROWN: Next motion. Kellen?
MR. HOARD: I'd like to make a motion on the gold piece for Obverse 4 and Reverse 1A.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Second. Van Alfen.
DR. BROWN: Okay. That's the gold.

Repeat it once more.
MR. HOARD: Obverse 4 and Reverse 1A
for the gold.

DR. BROWN: Okay. And there's a
second.
MR. HOARD: This is gold.
DR. BROWN: Discussion?
MR. SCARINCI: Discussion on the
motion?

DR. BROWN: Please.
MR. SCARINCI: Could we also amend the motion to include the showing of the hair?

MR. HOARD: Oh, and of course, yes, it would also include at the Mint's discretion for that.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Any further discussion on the motion? Hearing none, all those in favor, aye.

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Opposed, nay. Seems like the motion carries unanimously. Okay. Next motion on the table?

MR. HOARD: Now I'm nervous.
DR. BROWN: Go for it, Kellen.
MR. HOARD: I would motion to approve Obverse 4 and Reverse 5 --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: For the clad.
MR. HOARD: For the clad.
MS. WARREN: Dennis has his hand
raised.

DR. BROWN: Dennis?
MR. TUCKER: I was going to admit that same motion so I'll second it.

DR. BROWN: Very good. Repeat the motion again.

MR. HOARD: Obverse 4 and Reverse 5 for the clad.

DR. BROWN: Yes; okay. There's been a second. Any further discussion on the motion?

MR. SCARINCI: ExCuse me. Just for clarification, so we're talking about the gold?

MR. HOARD: The clad.

MR. SCARINCI: Clad.
DR. BROWN: Clad.
MR. SCARINCI: Oh, we're talking about the clad. I'm sorry.

DR. FULLER: I guess -- may I?
DR. BROWN: Please.

DR. FULLER: My only concern is I feel -- and I don't even remember how I voted. But Obverse 4 from the clad and Reverse 5 to me -- I'm sorry. Can I see Obverse 4 and Reverse 5?

MS. WARREN: Four is up right now.
DR. FULLER: Four and Reverse 5. They just look similar to me. It's like a two-headed coin. I'm sorry.

MR. SCARINCI: Yep.
DR. FULLER: And that's it.
DR. BROWN: Further comments on the motion on the table?

MR. SCARINCI: I completely agree with Harcourt.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: This is Dean Kotlowski. That's the point I've been trying to make even earlier today.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Someone want to offer a friendly amendment for the maker of the motion?

DR. KOTLOWSKI: I can do an unfriendly one.

DR. BROWN: You can just vote it down.
Okay.
MR. HOARD: You can just vote it down then.

DR. BROWN: Okay.
MR. HOARD: I would speak on that discussion as well. Earlier to Peter's point, I think there is something to be said for continuity between the designs in addition to what $I$ said about there actually being greater value in having some level of explicit education out there.

And then actually even though I think Reverse 3 I think is the one that is an alternative, even though I think that one does have, you know, some level of education to it.

Even beyond the points of congruity and education it's just not $I$ think as attractive a design as it could be, including the fact that $I$ think the chains to me look a little bit like ClipArt as they're currently set.

And that $I$ could go into, you know, Word and search "broken chains" and pop that in. And
so that's less visually compelling to me than -- again as a student with a limited budget who wants to buy one of these coins, one that would last -- learn something new and share that with people as well -- as a coin collector share the education factor of it.

DR. BROWN: Dean?

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. Dean Kotlowski. I think that, you know, we have a very full set here of three coins and then a lot of text. We have a lot of education here.

I think I'm not persuaded that this reverse is incongruous with the first obverse. I think that -- I think there's just, like Harcourt was saying, there's just too much similarity between the two.

You have a figure of Harriet Tubman. And then you've got something kind of military in the background. And I think you're just -- it feels a little bit like you're hammering the point home just a little too much.

And I'm going to go back to where I started here about three hours ago talking about the
design $I$ love more than life itself -- that no one else loved, by the way.

You know, I'm going to keep -- I'm not going to let it go. I think there needs to be a place for some kind of modernity and innovation here -something that really -- I mean, where you pick it up and you think, "Oh my goodness. This is a leader." It's a different way of experiencing Harriet Tubman. That's all. I'm not going to say anymore.

DR. BROWN: Appreciate it. We have a motion on the table here. Anyone have any further comments about the motion on the table? MR. SCARINCI: Yes. MR. TUCKER: Dr. Brown? DR. BROWN: Dean? MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis Tucker. DR. BROWN: Oh, I'm sorry. Dennis? And then Donald. Proceed, Dennis.

MR. TUCKER: Oh, thank you. This is Dennis Tucker. We can listen to our liaisons when it comes to the reverse of the clad half-dollar.

Reverse 3 was only favored. Reverse 5
was a formal preference. A minor or seemingly minor distinction, but $I$ think one that's important. So I would say we give the weight to the liaisons' preference of Reverse 5 .

DR. BROWN: Donald?
MR. SCARINCI: So I guess, you know, if
I have to be the lawyer here on what the -- and interpret what the liaisons were saying, there is one things that's not happening in all three coins that is not going to happen unless we support 3 for this reverse.

And that is that the word "Free" is not used in this entire set unless you use it here and you select -- and select Reverse 3.

DR. BROWN: I think we are prepared to vote now. All those in favor of the motion on the table please say "aye".

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Those opposed?
MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Nay.
MR. WEINMAN: Roll call.
DR. BROWN: Roll call. Art Bernstein?

MR. BERNSTEIN: No.
DR. BROWN: Harcourt?

DR. FULLER: I'm a little bit confused
about what we're voting on.
MR. SCARINCI: Yeah.
DR. BROWN: We're voting on the
motion --

MR. WEINMAN: You're voting on recommending Obverse 4 and Reverse 5.

DR. FULLER: Nay.
DR. BROWN: Dean?

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Nay.

DR. BROWN: John?
MR. SAUNDERS: Nay.
DR. BROWN: Mike?

MR. MORAN: Nay.
DR. BROWN: Donald?

MR. SCARINCI: Nay.
DR. BROWN: Dennis?

MR. TUCKER: Yay. Yes.
DR. BROWN: Peter?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Yes.

DR. BROWN: Darla?

MS. JACKSON: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Kellen?
MR. HOARD: Aye.

DR. BROWN: Did I get everyone's vote?
It fails. It fails.
MR. MENNA: Could I add something?
DR. BROWN: You certainly may.
MR. MENNA: Just if it makes anyone
feel better or worse, just from a purely technical perspective, Dr. Dean brought up a stamp that had this graphic image because it works very successfully as a graphic image.

It is a graphic image. It will
generate a totally flat coin if that's of any interest to anyone. I'm not saying it's not an excellent design.

But it is a graphic design, brilliant as it may be. If one is interested in relief on a coin one will not have it on that artistically speaking.

DR. BROWN: -- not a reverse.

MR. MENNA: The reverse, reverse.
MR. VASQUEZ: May I follow up on that,
please?
DR. BROWN: May I suggest the
appropriate time to get a motion on the table and then we can have discussion after? I see the hands. So we've got three possibilities. Let's start with Peter.

DR. VAN ALFEN: My notes indicate that Obverse 5 of the clad was the runner-up. My motion is to adopt Reverse 5 with Reverse 3.

DR. BROWN: Obverse 5?
DR. VAN ALFEN: Or Obverse 5 with
Reverse 3 to see if that gets us our vote.
DR. BROWN: Is there a second for that motion?

DR. KOTLOWSKI: I second that. That's actually what $I$ was going to move.

DR. BROWN: Very good. Further discussions on that motion?

MR. SCARINCI: Can you show us which one we're talking about now?

MR. HOARD: This obverse with Reverse

3, which is the -- this reverse.

DR. BROWN: Let's go to Kellen.
MR. HOARD: I would just say this
design to me moves entirely away from her work during the Civil War.

And as a member of the public I would not only not realize it was related to the Civil War, I would also have nothing to indicate to me that $I$ should look more into her Civil War work by my own research.

So I would probably not support this motion just in that $I$ think it's too far divorced from the lineage we're trying to establish.

DR. BROWN: So Peter, I see you're shaking your head. Does that mean that you're willing to withdraw your motion or not?

DR. VAN ALFEN: I'd like to see where this motion goes.

DR. BROWN: Okay.
DR. KOTLOWSKI: Dr. Brown, I have to withdraw my second. I thought this was for 4 and 3.

And it's not. It's for 5 and 3. So I'm withdrawing my second.

MR. SAUNDERS: I'll second it.

DR. BROWN: So John, you had a comment about the --

MR. SAUNDERS : Yeah. I --

DR. BROWN: We've got the motion on the table that I'm happy to revise --

MR. SAUNDERS: Okay. I have a problem with 5. I think the leaves when you first look at it. Again the average person looks at it they're going to think it's a feather.

And all of a sudden we've turned her into a Native American here. Nothing wrong with Native Americans. But they're just --

MS. HILL: Right. But it's not what we're doing here.

MR. HOARD: Are we able to ask --

DR. BROWN: We certainly are.

MS. HILL: If I could, this is a
beautiful coin. But it's not -- it is not sufficiently depicting her work in using natural
medicine to be honest about it. And it does look like feathers. And I thought it was a headdress.

And you need to know that Tubman did a lot of work with native women in the suffrage space. And so I think it's going to really make it very murky. And for that reason $I$ don't think it should be considered.

And I do think that the 50 cent piece is going to be the one that's going to be the most purchased by young people, by new voters, by a broad swath of people.

And even though it has Harriet on both sides of it doesn't make it a bad thing. I think people feel like for their 50 cents they -- piece they got a whole -- a, like, big bang for their buck so to speak.

MR. HOARD: Two Harriets for one.

MS. HILL: Two Harriets for one. And they got some education about the Civil War. Nurse, scout, spy with the tents.

That is the one image where what she's wearing is perfect as compared to all the other images
that you've looked at because the other ones have to be adjusted.

And I think saying, "Roger, they've got to go and adjust this. They've got to adjust" -- but that image doesn't need to be adjusted. And I just think that, you know, it -- I could see not having her on both sides.

But 4 and 5 as a young person and I bought that piece for -- got that 50 cent piece then $I$ would say I got two Harriets.

DR. BROWN: So Peter, do you --
DR. VAN ALFEN: I would like to take those comments into consideration and respectfully withdraw my motion.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. We'll hear a new motion on the table. Oh, I'm sorry. One second, Dennis. We'll get to you.

MR. KEOWN: I just had a quick comment. I think it's important. I mentioned earlier about talking about the complete -- telling the complete story about Harriet Tubman. And I think it's important for us to keep the picture in mind.

And I think the comments that were made about the fact that we're trying to talk about her significant contributions as a conductor in the Underground Railroad, and then the key leadership role she played in the Civil War, and then what she did in her older -- later stages of life.

I think it's important to really kind of just -- this Combahee River raid was very, very important. And I think it -- these two pieces that we landed on basically talks to that from a historical standpoint.

I think it's very important to keep that in mind -- to share that information. I think it really brings out a significant part of her leadership and contribution to the country.

MS. HILL: And there are -- I'm sorry.
But there are some significant historical books coming out about the Combahee raid.

And I think it would really make this commission committee look like you were behind the -way behind the curve not to include this piece of history in the 50 cent piece -- in the most obtainable
piece.
DR. BROWN: Yes?

DR. FULLER: Please permit me -- I know we've been discussing this a lot. And we've spent some time on this. So I just need to ask a couple of questions if I may please.

Are you comfortable with a double -- I want to go back to my previous comment. Are you comfortable with a double -- what I'm calling a double-headed coin? I know it's not technically a double-headed coin. But are you comfortable with that?

MR. MENNA: This is Joseph Menna. Dr. Fuller, with all due respect, I don't feel it's appropriate for me to comment on that. That's more committee discussion.

DR. FULLER: I understand. But as a member of the committee I would like your opinion about it.

MR. MENNA: I'm comfortable with a coin where $I$ think historically for me the obverse tells it -- the obverse is kind of the main -- the obverse is
kind of the star of the show.
And the reverse is typically the
supporting cast where the obverse tells the main story and the reverse is exposition. However you want to interpret that.

MR. WEINMAN: Point of information.
This would not be -- by our standards this would not be a two-headed coin. We frequently feature fullbodied images.

MR. MENNA: And an exposition could be if you look at our first spouse full metal series the portrait was prominent on the obverse.

Oftentimes the first spouse was again represented telling her story of what she did, how she lived, what she liked on the reverse. So she was presented both times both as the marquis but then also on stage doing what it is that she did.

DR. FULLER: And in conclusion, if $I$ may be permitted, just going back to the liaisons -again we've been talking about this for, you know, a while. So you're fine with the -- with her being portrayed on both sides? That's what you're saying?

MS. HILL: Yes.

DR. FULLER: So in that --

MS. HILL: As long as we're dealing with the Civil War on the reverse.

DR. FULLER: So in that case $I$ will withdraw what I said before. And I will happily go along with what the liaisons prefer if that -- and that might mean I'm going back to my original position.

But in light of these discussions I will go ahead with what the liaisons have so eloquently pointed out.

DR. BROWN: There seems to me from the conversation here that there may be a different receptivity to a motion that failed previously. So if that be the case, I think --

MR. WEINMAN: Motion to reconsider?
DR. BROWN: Motion to reconsider. And I think, Kellen, you were the maker of that motion.

MR. HOARD: Okay. I'll motion to
reconsider for the clad piece Obverse 4, Reverse 5 based on the comments of the liaisons.

DR. BROWN: Is there a second?

MR. SCARINCI: I'll second.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: I'm actually going to surprise. I'm gong to second now based on what the liaisons said.

DR. BROWN: My apologies, Dennis. I just wanted to get through this as quickly as I can. So please forgive me for not recognizing you earlier. Do you have a comment?

MR. TUCKER: Understood. Oh, well, I was going to make that same motion. But I would also, if it comforts people, let you know that there's precedent of having the honoree of a commemorative coin on both obverse and reverse -- the Benjamin Franklin commemoratives and the Christa McAuliffe commemoratives. So if that consoles anyone who had that concern. Anyway, that's all I had.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much, Dennis. Any further questions or comments that have not been further discussed previously? Hearing none, all those in favor of the motion signify by saying "aye".

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.

DR. BROWN: All those opposed by "nay".
MR. SCARINCI: I have to be able to tell people I oppose this. So I'm a nay.

DR. BROWN: Okay. It appears that the motion passed nearly unanimously. Any other motions on this matter?

Hearing none, if all discussion has concluded then I would like to take this moment to really express our appreciation and heartfelt thanks to our liaisons for attending this meeting.

This has been a meeting that is unlike any that I have come to participate in. So we really want to thank you for what you have provided to us.

And we look forward to continuing to support such a phenomenal commemorative coin program. Thank you so much. Is it possible for us to consider lunch at this time? Do you think that's fine?

MS. WARREN: It's not set up yet; no.
DR. BROWN: Okay. Then if that's the case then may I suggest that we take a five-minute break? Five-minute break and then we'll come back. (Off the record.)

MS. WARREN: It is 11:59 a.m. And it
is recording.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. The second item for today is our review and discussion of the candidate design for the Congressional Gold Medal for the members of the Women's Army Corps who were assigned to the 6888th Central Postal Director Battalion, known as the Six Triple Eight, authorized by Public Law 117-97.

We are so pleased to have Megan
Sullivan to introduce the program and present the obverse and reverse candidate designs.

MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. As you mentioned, Public Law 117-97 awards a Congressional Gold Medal to the members of the Women's Army Corps who were assigned to the 6888th Central Postal Directory Battalion, known as the Six Triple Eight.

During WWII warehouses in Birmingham, England were filled with millions of letters and packages intended for $U S$ servicemembers in Europe. The servicemembers noticed that they were not
receiving mail from home. And Army officials reported that the lack of reliable mail was hurting morale.

As Allied forces drove across Europe, the ever-changing locations of servicemembers hampered mail delivery.

Army officials reported that the personnel shortage resulted in millions of pieces of undelivered mail and packages sitting in warehouses across the region. Army officials predicted that it would take six months to restore mail delivery to the troops.

In November of 1944, a battalion of 817, later 824, enlisted personnel and 31 officers of African American women were selected for overseas assignment.

This unit was designated as the Six Triple Eight Central Postal Directory Battalion, nicknamed "Six Triple Eight". Major Charity Edna Adams was chosen as the newly formed unit's battalion commander.

While stationed in Birmingham working in austere wartime conditions and segregated by gender
and race, Major Adams devised an efficient 24-hour mail processing system for the Six Triple Eight to route approximately 65,000 pieces of mail per eighthour shift.

Based on processing approximately 195,000 pieces of mail per day, the Six Triple Eight cleared the over seventeen million mail and package backlog in three months -- well ahead of the Army's six-month goal.

After mission success in Birmingham, the Six Triple Eight relocated to France and cleared those mail backlogs -- some undelivered mail dating back as far as three years.

While in France, the Six Triple Eight suffered a tragic loss when three of its members were killed in a crash and were buried at Normandy, funded by the other members of the Six Triple Eight.

On March 2, 1946, the last members of the Six Triple Eight boarded a transport ship in France for return to the United States.

There was no parade or any recognition for the important work done by the battalion until
they received the Meritorious Unit Commendation from the Army in 2019.

The motto of the Six Triple Eight was "No mail, low morale", reflecting the critical contributions made by the unit to increase the morale of all United States personnel stationed in the European theater of operations during World War II.

In developing the designs, the Mint worked with three liaisons -- the primary liaison, Edna Cummings, Six Triple Eight advocate and producer of the Six Triple Eight documentary; Carlton Philpot, chairman and project director of the Six Triple Eight monument projects; and Tracy Bradford, curator at the US Army Women's Museum.

And we have all three of them available to us today. And then would you like to begin by speaking?

MS. CUMMINGS: Sure.
MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you.
MS. CUMMINGS: Hello. Thank you so, so very much to the committee. And I appreciate the opportunity to discuss the Six Triple Eight portfolio.

This is probably one of the highlights of my life. You know, I'm an Army brat and I'm also retired Army so this is very, very close to my heart as it is with Tracy and Carlton.

The Six Triple Eight was a unique World War II Women's Army Corps unit who solved the military's mail and morale problem.

I know we have at least one veteran in here who happens to be army. But if you're in the military, regardless of where you are in theater, the Army is in charge of your mail -- or the executive agent.

So as with many segregated African American World War II units, the unit consisted of predominately African American women.

But it contained soldiers from diverse ethnic and cultural backgrounds. We know at least one woman was from Puerto Rico because of her skin tone.

When she came to the US the Black women went to one side who were dark-skinned because it was summertime and the light-skinned women went to the other side.

Wintertime, she went overseas, she was -- her tan had faded. So she was able to go work with the White unit. So it just depended.

So either by birth, choice, or the onedrop rule this diverse ethnic and cultured group of women served with the Six Triple Eight.

And something interesting about the Six Triple Eight is that all the women had to have high school diplomas.

Now in World War II post-Depression the literacy level of the United States was very, very low -- less than 50 percent high school diplomas.

About 85 percent of the Six Triple Eight either had college degrees or they were -- all of them were high school graduates -- women between the ages of 21 and 50. And some got waivers. So again coming from most of the states that represented the diversity.

And moreover the Six Triple Eight is now the only military women's unit to receive the nation's highest honor -- the Congressional Gold Medal.

You have the women's air service pilots -- was not a military unit. They did not receive veteran status until 1977. So in terms of a military unit receiving benefits once they left active duty, the Six Triple Eight was that unit.

A year ago we started this process with Megan. So thank you so very much. And we came up with some suggestions for inscriptions and designs. And then we refined those to give some of those musthaves.

As I listened to the discussion about the Harriet Tubman coin, it is important that this coin represents history. We want the public to dig deeper and when they see the images on this coin and inscriptions it makes them curious.

So based on our review of the portfolio, we had some collective concerns about some representations. Again I'm just so excited. I heard the presentation before.

Because some of the ethnic representations for African American Women is so important as the only military women's unit that
happened to be African American.
But we still have a story to tell. And this story of the Six Triple Eight has inspired so much far beyond the Congressional Gold Medal. We have a documentary. There's a movie in the works, a musical.

We have a monument at Fort Leavenworth, Kansas and just articles and articles. So there is genuine public interest. So we have an opportunity here to tell their story on a coin.

So we have the designs in this portfolio that $I$ believe represent our ten areas of agreement with refinement of course. And we again want the final coin to represent the history of the Six Triple Eight and a curiosity as a learning tool. Megan, you talked about the three women who were buried at Normandy. Of the 9,000 graves at Normandy, there are only four women. And three are from the Six Triple Eight. There are 14 buried at Arlington National Cemetery.

And there are Six Triple Eight women buried in our national cemetery. So that's an image
that we would like incorporated on the coin. It's not there now. But that's just an example of some of the refinements.
Charity Adams was a battalion
commander. This is a unit award. But a unit has a leader. So collectively the image and representation of the Six Triple Eight and their leader has also generated a lot of public interest.

As part of DOD's base renaming efforts, Fort Lee will now be named Fort Gregg-Adams after Charity Adams, the Six Triple Eight commander, and Lieutenant General Gregg. That's just an example of what this coin represents.

And by the way, she was only 26 years old. To our veteran here, a battalion commander of 855 people normally in today's time is a lieutenant colonel, maybe in the $40 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{mid}-40 \mathrm{~s}$.

This 26 -year-old woman led 855 women overseas to clear a problem that was impacting the war. The war wasn't over until September of '45. So this was in February when these women went over to solve a problem that was impacting the morale.

So as the primary liaison I reviewed the portfolio and agreed that the current portfolio, specifically Obverse and Reverse 3, tells that story with refinements of course.

And it serves as a historical commemorative coin that $I$ believe the nation will appreciate to see.

So subject to your questions, are there -- I don't know if I'm supposed to -- but consistent, you know, with the portfolio I think again Obverse and Reverse 3 with refinements -- that's what the majority of our team members support.

And thank you for your time and energy to tell this amazing story. So with that $I$ will turn it over to Carlton, Carlton Philpot, another liaison member. And Tracy may have some comments. She's at the airport going to Greece --

DR. BROWN: We'll get Tracy --
MS. CUMMINGS: Yeah. Tracy, do you have any comments? Maybe she got on the plane. Okay.

MS. SULLIVAN: She indicated to me she wasn't necessarily planning to speak --

MS. CUMMINGS: Okay.
MS. SULLIVAN: -- because she is in the airport. But appreciated.

MS. CUMMINGS: All right. Thank you for your time.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, Edna.
MS. CUMMINGS: Sure.
MR. PHILPOT: Good morning, Dr. Brown.
DR. BROWN: Good morning.
MR. PHILPOT: Committee members here and committee members virtually, the Mint staff, special tribute to Tracy -- I mean --

MS. SULLIVAN: Megan.
MR. PHILPOT: -- Ms. Sullivan.
MS. SULLIVAN: There you go.
MR. PHILPOT: And my three liaison members. She answered a lot of questions. She always promptly replied to my many questions and those types of things.

And being the only gentleman involved in this $I$ was often as at home with three -- a wife and two daughters -- it is a tremendous pleasure and
an immense responsibility.
But what I'm getting ready to say and the decisions that we make today is going to impact for generations and generations to come.

And hopefully this coin -- and I was impressed as I listened to your process and deliberation for the Harriet Tubman coin and the various options.

And so today I'm going to focus on some areas that $I$ disagree with what has been presented today and the recommendations. And the basis for my recommendations are this. And it's on the website of the Mint. I don't know why I didn't put my glasses on.

Congress had an intent when they invented the -- approved the Congressional Gold Medal. And that was to honor those individually and as a group who had performed an achievement that has an impact on American history and culture that is likely to have latched long in the recipient's field as long as the achievement has been done.

So my comments are based on that. And

I'm going to highlight in mine issues -- highlight issues with the current illustration that are not uniquely applicable to the 6888th, suggest inscriptions and images that are uniquely applicable to the 6888 because it was awarded to the 6888th and not to recognize newspapers and their contributions. I'd like to offer to collaborate with the Mint staff, this committee's commission, and anyone with the expertise that $I$ have as we move forward. It's important to move forward.

I know there's concern about the ages. When we started this project in 2014 we located 15 6888th veterans. Left now are six ranging in age from 100 to 103.

And the most mentally agile is the one that's 103. She is an accomplished artist. And she was one of the drivers assigned to Charity Adams.

I want to -- we all have the same goal -- to ensure that the 6888th receives a Congressional Gold Medal worthy of their services and sacrifice. They served this country before the country served them with images and inscriptions
uniquely applicable to the 6888th and to meet Congress's intent.

Now let's take the inscriptions. We started out with 14. They made modifications and adjustments. And we're down to nine. Germane to all these illustrations are they do not reflect an achievement that has an impact on American history and culture.

Generic images and inscriptions are not uniquely applicable to the 6888th. If you take the words "6888th" off those coins does it -- does the images tell you that this is the 6888th? Let's take them one-by-one if you may. STE-001.

MS. HANCHOCK: Excuse me, sir. We're not there yet.

MR. PHILPOT: I'm sorry.
MS. HANCHOCK: We haven't presented the portfolio yet.

MR. PHILPOT: Okay.
MS. HANCHOCK: That's at the --
MR. PHILPOT: The images that $I$ do not think are applicable and unique to the 6888th -- I can
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discuss those individually when you get to them. And my background is this. For 30 years or more I have spearheaded eight monuments honoring the 6888th, the Buffalo Soldiers, Colin Powell.

I have worked with the artists who do the Buffalo Soldiers stamp. I worked with Felix de Weldon, who did the Iwo Jima monument on designing the Buffalo Solider monument. That's what I've done. And I've created several commemorative coins out of bronze, 99.99 silver, and $24-k a r a t$ gold honoring the Buffalo Soldiers.

Now really the most important thing is I'm willing and committed to working with this committee, this commission, and anyone who wants to move forward with this.

And all of the women -- I know there may be a delay. But everyone that I've talked to -they want everything done right. They want the amount of time necessary to make it applicable to the 6888th. And concerning Charity Adams' image, she is a leader. I commissioned a $\$ 50,000$ sculpture
to her. And it's placed on top of the monument. But all that I've talked to feel that no one person should be reflected as the 6888th.

And I think that's a technicality of legislation $I$ was just told -- and was passed -- that it must be dedicated to the group. There's a technicality that Charity Adams' name was mentioned in the brief. Thank you for your time.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Donald, did you have a question?

MR. SCARINCI: Before he sits down -I'm sorry. So you are asking us -- you are suggesting that you would like more time on the design and you would like us to reject all the designs and go back to the board? Is that what you're asking?

MR. PHILPOT: To be candidly, I'm saying that $I$ would like to work with someone. I have ideas. But all the other projects that we've had we've talked to Felix de Weldon, the master sculpture.

Now that limited-edition prints that we did -- the experts, which you all are, we gave our ideas. You came forward. They came forward with
better ideas than we have.

My recommendation out there -- yes, sir. To answer your question, yes, sir. But I want to -- I think I want to move forward with what we have.

And if we can find working with Megan's team, Ms. Sullivan's team, and your input -- I would like to see if we can just move forward and move -take what we have now someplace and move forward. I don't know that answer.

MR. SCARINCI: Are there any images in this packet that you would -- you and the people you talked to --

MR. PHILPOT: Looking at the artwork -that was a good question. Looking at the artwork, I look at STE-OO1 and STE-002, which is not applicable to the -- with the 6888th.

ST-03, STER-03-- that I could work with the artist. But with the input that we have -as long as it's historically accurate and it's applicable -- uniquely applicable to the 6888th as set forth on the website of the USS Mint and it's long-
lasting.
What I see so far are general postal duties that if you took 6888th off could you tell it's the 6888th unit? That's the question I ask. I think you have to answer that question.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. You've given us a large amount of information to consider. May I suggest the following? It seems to me that there are some aspects of the design that you've seen that you can embrace. And there are some aspects for which you might have different suggestions. Is that a fair representation?

MR. PHILPOT: Yes, sir.
DR. BROWN: So that being the case, if you would be receptive to allow us to continue with the process and then define those designs that we think have artistic and historical benefit and get your feedback at that point, especially since we do have -- and we've got the benefit of another liaison and the primary liaison --

MR. PHILPOT: Right.
DR. BROWN: -- with respect to this
design.
MR. PHILPOT: Yes. And I think --
DR. BROWN: Would you be able to do
that? Would that be --
MR. PHILPOT: Yes, sir. With the artists and with the expert I've heard here today I think we can come to a conclusion that the 6888th women, their family members, the six living women now, will be appreciative of. And it tells a story. And let the coin tell the story. Yes, sir.

DR. BROWN: Appreciate that.
MR. PHILPOT: Yes, sir.

DR. BROWN: So with that, I suggest that we have Megan go through the design candidates that we currently have and see from the perspective of the CCAC those which we embrace and get the feedback from our liaison, particular our primary liaison, as we decide to go forward or not to go forward.

MR. SCARINCI: Mr. Chairman, in the presentation, Megan, could you -- you know, maybe I don't understand the two liaisons. And could you tell us a little bit about the two liaisons in your
presentation about the recommended designs?
MS. SULLIVAN: I can tell you flat out two of the liaisons -- both Ms. Cummings and then

Tracy, who you did not hear from -- agree on one particular recommendation. Mr. Philpot has had -made no recommendation.

MR. SCARINCI: Okay.
MS . SULLIVAN: So --
MR. SCARINCI: Thank you.
MS. SULLIVAN: I'll highlight that one too.

MS. HANCHOCK: And who's the primary liaison again? Just to remind the --

MS. SULLIVAN: Colonel Cummings is the primary liaison. Oh, she's over here now.

MS. CUMMINGS: Thank you.
MS. SULLIVAN: You just moved on me.
MS. WARREN: This is Jennifer. Just so you know, the designation is made by the sponsors of the law, not by us. We are given all the names. And they give primary. Just giving it for the record.

DR. BROWN: Yes.

MS. SULLIVAN: Okay.
DR. BROWN: And I think that's useful to put on the public record so the public can see that. So thank you so much, Jennifer, for sharing that with us.

MS. SULLIVAN: Okay. So we'll move through to the designs. Obverse Design 1 features Charity Adams holding boxes under one arm while flipping through papers in her other hand.

Two members of the Six Triple Eight work to sort mail behind her. Three stars represent the three members lost in France.

Design 2 depicts a member of the Six Triple Eight with a letter in her hand standing next to a large sack of letters and packages.

Behind her is a four-by-four Dodge Weapons Carrier, representing the three members who were killed in a vehicle crash. The background features a map of Europe. And the inscription is Six Triple Eight Central Postal Directory Battalion.

Design 3, Obverse Design 3, depicts Major Charity Adams next to a row of members from the

Six Triple Eight. A partial exergue is created by an envelope inscribed with "Women's Army Corps", "2/12/1945-3/21946", and "Act of Congress 2022".

The additional inscription is Six
Triple Eight Central Postal Directory Battalion. I'm going to stumble over that every time. And I apologize.

This design is the preferred design of two of the three liaisons. If selected it will require minor edits to correct uniform elements and facial features.

Obverse Design 6 depicts Major Charity Adams on an imagined stamp with cancellation lines surrounding the dates February 12, 1945, and March 2, 1946.

The additional inscriptions are the Six Triple Eight, US Army Postal Service, Major Charity Adams, APO, England, and France.

And Obverse Design 7 depicts a member of the Six Triple Eight holding a piece of mail being redirected to a solider. The additional inscriptions are "The Six Triple Eight", "2.12.45-3.2.46", and "No
mail - low morale".
Moving on to the reverse designs.
Reverse Design No. 1 featured -- features a pile of mixed mail sacks, wrapped boxes, and stacks of envelopes with an orderly motif of envelopes and parcels along the right side. The inscriptions are, "No mail, low morale", "Act of Congress 2022", and "2.12.45-3.2.46".

Reverse No. 2 depicts a fusion of the European Theater of Operations patch combined with the double Vs, representing victory at home and victory abroad. These phrases as well as "Act of Congress 2022" are additional inscriptions in the design.

Reverse Design 3 depicts a member of the Six Triple Eight filing mail to be sent out to American soldiers. Behind her, members of her battalion are sorting and addressing mail to the troops.

They work in a warehouse filled to the ceiling with unsorted mail. The additional inscriptions are England, France, and "No mail, low morale".

This is the preferred design of two of the three liaisons. If selected it will require minor edits.

And Reverse Design No. 7 depicts a close-up of a member of the Six Triple Eight sorting mail. The inscriptions are Six Triple Eight Central Postal Directory Battalion, England, and France. And that concludes the presentation.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. To the committee, are there any technical or legal question from the committee about this program? We'll start off with Art.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein. Megan, you mentioned maybe some edits. On Obverse 3 the pins on Major Adams' lapel -- is that just -- I couldn't figure out what that was.

Is that something that's going to be corrected or what? What are those pins that seem to be on both sides?

MS. SULLIVAN: Colonel Cummings, would you like to answer that?

MS. CUMMINGS: Yes. The intent is to
show Pallas Athena. Pallas Athena was the goddess of war and represented the Women's Army Corps.

So that design on her lapel is designed to show -- and that's the correct way the uniform was worn. That's the correct placement. So that's Pallas Athena, Women's Army Corps.

As a point of full disclosure, the Women's Army Corps was disbanded in 1978. And I was a member for a while.

So that uniform needs a little work. But so I am kind of sort of authority on that. But the Women's Army Corps -- yeah; that's Pallas Athena.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you.
MS. CUMMINGS: And it'll be amplified to show that, which was really important to the Women's Army Corps.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Donald?

MR. SCARINCI: Can I ask you a question about --

MS. CUMMINGS: Yes, sir.
MR. SCARINCI: -- the -- along the same
lines of the changes. So this is clearly -- the prominent facing figure clearly is Charity Adams; is that correct?

MS. CUMMINGS: That's correct.
MR. SCARINCI: And the other people in this medal -- do they depict any --

MS. CUMMINGS: No; they do not.
MR. SCARINCI: They're just generic?
MS. CUMMINGS: Generic, yes. So and keeping with the spirit of the unit, as I said every unit has a leader, and so she was the leader. And her rank and placement of the rank and insignia of the uniform indicates that.

Which it's unique just to have African American women in the Women's Army Corps in nineteen, you know, forty-five. There were only, like, 6,000 African American women.

So the Six Triple Eight represent 13 percent of that 6,000 or so African American women on active duty during World War II.

MR. SCARINCI: And how strong was the consensus in the two groups? Was there a lot of
discussion about this -- recommending this design or is this --

MS. CUMMINGS: Well --
MR. SCARINCI: -- was it mostly
unanimous?
MS. CUMMINGS: Well, for the three of us. And two of us agreed because of the representation in terms of the design elements that all of us agreed on -- there were about ten that we sent -- our areas of agreement.

This image has most of those. It represents African American women, Women's Army Corps, Act of Congress, Pallas Athena.

The patch is the communication zone, which is although not unique to the Six Triple Eight, Lee Marvin and I think and the Dirty Dozen had that on his patch. That was the Comm Z -- the European Theater of Operations patch.

But what's unique -- it's on the shoulder of these African American women. And they were the only ones who served overseas during World War II.

So the consensus -- two of us agreed that this, you know, with refinements would be the representation.

As an example, Charity Adams is 26 years old. That is not the image of a young woman, even though the age range was about 18 to 50 in the Women's Army Corps. So just, you know, little refinements with the rank placement and her facial features.

Yeah; thought that represented -that's sort of a historic photo because when the women arrived in Europe they had to march. And they were housed at the King Edward School in Birmingham, England. So there was this inspection photo.

And it's an iconic inspection photo for where the women are lined up and she's inspecting them, getting ready to, you know, have a passing review for Lieutenant General John Lee, the communication zone commander.

So this captures some of that history in a subtle way but yet and still shows her -- says, "Hey, you know, we are representing more than just
these 855 women."

MR. SCARINCI: Thank you.
MS. CUMMINGS: Sure.
DR. BROWN: Other questions?
MS. BRADFORD: Hi. This is Tracy.
This is Tracy Bradford. Could I add something to what Edna said?

DR. BROWN: Please proceed.
MS. BRADFORD: Yes. In Charity Adams' book she also makes reference to how proud she was of how her women marched. And so that photo that Edna mentioned is really symbolic. And she was very proud of that.

DR. BROWN: Thank you.
MS. BRADFORD: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
MR. HOARD: I have one quick question
if $I$ could. Sorry. I don't mean to --
MS. CUMMINGS: Oh, no. Sure.
MR. HOARD: -- keep you up. In terms of Design 1, Obverse Design 1, I was wondering on that design whether you feel -- what you feel is lacking
from that in relative to --

MS. CUMMINGS: Well, first of all, the three stars do not capture the women at Normandy because we prefer three crosses. And officers don't carry packages.

I mean, she was a battalion commander. I look at that -- and no disrespect to the artist. But it reminds me of someone in a department store.

And you know, that's just not a professional representation of what a battalion commander would do in a wartime environment. She supervises. She directs.

She has more packages in her hand than the women behind, even though there is one lifting. But that's just -- it just doesn't fully capture the Six Triple Eight's spirit of leadership and their -the magnitude of their accomplishments while in the European Theater.

MR. HOARD: Thank you.

MS. CUMMINGS: Sure.
DR. BROWN: Other questions or comments from the committee? I just have a few.

MS. CUMMINGS: Yes, sir.
DR. BROWN: Just a few. In the design that was preferred -- if we could go back to that one -- can you share with us -- the ribbon above her pocket. What was that to symbolize?

MS. CUMMINGS: The ribbon above her pocket. The artist put that in because they received a meritorious unit commendation. That may have been one of her ribbons.

Because Charity Adams was in the first class of the Women's Army Corps in 1942 -- the Women's Army Auxiliary Corps. And before she joined the Six Triple Eight she had other assignments.

So that ribbon could be symbolic of her duties before the Six Triple Eight that made her qualified to lead this unit overseas. So it's a generic ribbon. And I presume that that was part of the artist's interpretation.

What I need to check on is the actual placement because it seems kind of off. If there's only one it should be in the middle.

But that's a minor edit. But it's to
capture her experience prior to joining the Six Triple Eight.

DR. BROWN: I ask that -- as we have discussed, I'm a veteran. I didn't get too many of those ribbons.

MS. CUMMINGS: That's right.
DR. BROWN: But I got a few. So I'm a little understanding about that. I'm sort of curious about the uniform because in the military there's the dress uniform and then there's the uniform that you work in.

And having worked also part-time in a postal office, $I$ was really wanting to see that depiction, which was in fact a reflection of what the post office outside of the military looked like in the 60 s and 70 s of the boxes that were mailed that you had to sort. That's not the same as a post office today.

But I was sort of curious about the uniforms. Is that a fair reflection of what they would be working -- wearing when they're actually doing the mail sort versus what they would be wearing when they're actually doing marching in front of a
commanding officer?
MS. CUMMINGS: This is a marching or command review or inspection uniform. The reverse shows the work uniform. So again we get both sides. This is the inspection.

As Tracy mentioned, she's showing, you know, I'm proud of these women. And they are in their what's called Class $A$ or the suit -- the jacket, probably a skirt.

And we attempted to -- and it's kind of hard to show the insignia. But just some disc to show the US Army and the Pallas Athena. But just symbolic. You have to have the circles, the insignia, on the uniform. But that would be the Class $A$ or the dress uniform. And reverse would be the work uniform.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Any other question from the committee? Hearing none, let us begin our consideration.

MR. MENNA: If I could just come up for just a couple --

DR. BROWN: Please.

MR. MENNA: Just to maybe help allay
concerns or address concerns in advance of discussion, historically Congressional Gold Medals or any coin it is not separating text and the art would necessary make anything anonymous.

We have Greg LeMond without the -- his name on the coin with him riding a bike it could be anyone riding a bike. So text is an integral part of the artwork historically in Congressional Gold Medals.

Also when you have groups of people represented -- in the Rosie's there was not an individual in particular so they were represented anonymously.

But other instances where military -not necessarily battalions but military groups or people of great achievement as a team have been represented it is not unusual historically -- in the history of Congressional Gold Medals it is symbolically not unusual to have a single individual be emblematic of that effort. So just for clarification of the history of the medal.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let me just remind the liaisons that after we hear the
considerations by each of the committee members we will be coming back to you to again receive any comments that you have based on you -- what you've heard from the discussion.

So then let us begin, committee members, our consideration. I would like to remind the committee members to please, sir, and ma'am, to try to keep your comments to three minutes or less.

Additionally that you will be given an opportunity to ask further questions at the end of this discussion period. So let's begin then with Dean.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Dean Kotlowski. Thank you, all of the liaisons, for the information that you've provided. And in particular, Ms. Cummings, it completes the story of the four women buried in Normandy.

I knew about the fourth, which was Liz Richardson, the Clubmobile Red Cross worker. There's a book written about her by a person who I'm very close to. So thank you for completing the story.

This is wonderful history. And as you said very elegantly and eloquently, the point of the Congressional Gold Medal is the door to people learning. It's not the final word. And that has to be emphasized.

Even if I'm going over my three minutes I want to make this point because it's really, really important. And then I'm going to be really, really quick here because I go with what the liaisons say here.

I think you've done your homework in terms of obverse -- it's even easy here. Obverse 3 and Reverse 3. As a stamp collector I thought Obverse 6 was really innovative. But I'm dropping the innovation and not saying anything about that.

I think 3-- Obverse 3 and Reverse 3 -look, a discussion about if it didn't have -- I heard something about 6888th, if that wasn't there you wouldn't know. It's going to be there.

So I mean, it's -- I think with Obverse
3 you've go the individual. You stressed that earlier -- the individual. But you've got the collective.

You've got the leader. And maybe not the followers but the group. And so they're there in this line. It's very attractive. And it's very compelling. And it's very military-like. And I think that that's very good. And I tell my students not to use the word "very". And here I'm using it.

But on Reverse 3 you see actually what they're doing. And I just think that it works. It fits together. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. And to Harcourt.

DR. FULLER: Thank you. This is
Harcourt Fuller. Again it's a pleasure to have the opportunity to review this portfolio. And thanks to both of you my job is easier because I will go with the recommendations of the liaisons.

I know that there are some differences in opinion about whether or not to depict one individual or the collective. So I'd like to speak on that. But before that, yes; I'll go with 03. And then on the reverse $I$ will go with 3 as well.

But let me say this. I think everyone
wins here. I think, to use a sports analogy, there's insider baseball. There's outsider baseball in a sense.

> If you don't -- if someone from the outside picks up this coin, right, they don't necessarily know, you know, that this -- the main person depicted here is Charity Adams even though she is named. So that's why I'm saying that everyone wins here because it's both general and specific.

So I think you get the named person, Charity Adams. And from what I gathered from your comments it's also general because those who are not familiar with the story -- they're not immediately going to say, "That's Charity Adams."

So what I'm saying is that I think it's a win-win situation for these coins. You both get the main things that you're wishing for, even though I understand that at the end of the day all three of you want this medal to be issued to commemorate these brave Americans. So I hope that -- I hope I made sense. But --

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Quite
thoughtful as usual. Donald?
MR. SCARINCI: So you know, just and for the benefit of the new members, you know, I always -- I think part of the interesting thing -- this is a Congressional Gold Medal.

Part of the interesting thing about Congressional Gold Medals is when the constituent of -- when the recipient in this case expresses a preference on design.

You know, I think that makes this -- I think that makes the series for those who are still -at $\$ 160$ per bronze medal collecting Congressional Bronze Medals -- you know, to the public, you know, but, you know, it does make an interesting series and an interesting, you know, look into America and American history.

So I think, you know, I also, you know, I always work the -- on Congressional Gold Medals as opposed to other things I always support the -- either the recipients' recommendations.

And in this case, you know, I think we, having listened to the, you know, to the other
recipient group, you know, I think we can achieve what he's looking for here.

So you know, so I'll support -- I do just want to make a comment. So I will support 3 and 3. You know, but $I$ do want to make a comment on the -- on Design 6, which I think is, you know, a very interesting design.

And a topic that will come up in some of our administrative meetings in the future about making contact with the US Postal Service Board of Governors to consider doing a joint numismatic postal product, which I think would, you know, particularly be effective in the sesquicentennial as we discuss that at some future time.

So I do like Design 6, Dean. You know, I think the stamp -- the concept of a stamp on metal is a very interesting concept. But for simplicity and since this is a Congressional Gold Medal we support the recipients.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Another thought to consider. Appreciate that. Gentlemen and ladies, three minutes, pretty please. Moving on to Dennis.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. And thank you to our liaisons for your input. Having heard this discussion, I am comfortable supporting Obverse 3 and Reverse 3. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Peter?
DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. Peter van Alfen. I also would support Obverse 3, Reverse 3, although I don't really find them as attractive designs, frankly, as Obverse 1 and Reverse 1.

In fact $I$ was a little disappointed to hear from Colonel Cummings that depiction of Major Adams carrying packages would not reflect well on her leadership.

Because, forgive me, I do find the pairing of 1 -- Obverse 1 and Reverse 1 to be quite nice, particularly with the elements and the vertical and horizontal elements and with her. But again I'll support the preferences of --

DR. BROWN: John?
MR. SAUNDERS: I too when I first
looked at the art that's on it I liked Obverse 1. But
in view of the comments that were made I'm in favor of Obverse 3. And I liked Reverse 3 from the beginning, so this was an easy one.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Art?
MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein. I embrace everything that's been said about Obverse 3 and Reverse 3. I also support the attraction of Obverse 6. But that's for another day. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Mike Moran?
MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This
is Mike Moran. I personally am suffering from some fatigue over figures in a line. We've done it twice in the last year with Rosie the Riveter and with the Harlem Hellfighters.

However I overcame that listening to the liaison because it is a good design. And I will be supporting No. 3 on the obverse and 3 on the reverse. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Very good. Darla?
MS. JACKSON: This is Darla Jackson. Thank you to everyone for such amazing information. I am just going to say $I$ do support Obverse 3 and

Reverse 3.

However I do want to just make a note about Obverse 1 because $I$ do feel like as opposed to Obverse 3 it speaks to them as a unit in action.

And it shows the important aspect of small acts and how large of an impact they can have over a longer period of time. However the comment about the packages, which was what pushed me further toward Obverse 3 so --

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Kellen?
MR. HOARD: Darla and $I$ are exactly in line -- like, exactly. So yeah; I support Obverse 3 and Reverse 3. But I do think -- I think the first one is visually compelling and attractive. But the liaison comments said otherwise so I go with them.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. The stamp on the chair -- I want to disclose the fact that one of -- there are a couple of reasons why $I$ align with this program as well as the preferences by the liaisons.

One, I worked at the post office so I know how that works. And number two, as someone who's
been in the military -- mail is so crucial.
So what this unit did was no question of service to this country and helped, in my humble opinion, to win the war -- particularly with morale.

And the third item is $I$ come to find out a connection between Major Adams and Harlem Hospital. I was trained at Harlem Hospital in internal medicine.

And her husband that she married actually -- Stanley Armstead Earley -- was also trained at Harlem Hospital. And he got his medical degree after having served in the military.

At that time there were barriers to him being in medical school and being out of states. So he was trained in Switzerland.

So I found this phenomenal. But somehow or another as we tell this story that we also tell these related components to let people know that she as a leader -- she chose him who then became a physician to serve the country in a different way. So please forgive me. Again $I$ just --

MS. CUMMINGS: And she outranked him
while they were on active duty.
DR. BROWN: That is true. She
outranked him. That is true. He later became a lieutenant colonel. Then in fact they were clearly partners. Very good.

Let me ask are there any -- let's hear from our liaisons if you have any additional comments that you'd like us to consider before we begin our scoring.

MS. CUMMINGS: I'll just say thank you so very much. We appreciate your time. And as I said, with refinements $I$ think this will be a bestseller. We're counting on it.

And with Stanley Earley -- this
fascinating story -- in terms of a backstory, his children -- they feel like they're imposters.

The one we're talking about -- Stanley, Jr. -- he says, "I don't know that woman you all are talking about. I only know Mom" because Dr. Earley was limited in medical schools.

She got out of the Army, followed him to Zurich. And he was a linguist and interrogated

POWs while he was on active duty. And they got married. And in terms of the Red Cross she was on the board of the Red Cross.

They came back to the US, settled in Dayton, Ohio. So I'm very close to the Earley family. So I'll share that comment now with them. So thank you for that.

DR. BROWN: Dennis, any further comment, question?

MR. PHILPOT: Can I say something?
DR. BROWN: I'm sorry.
MR. PHILPOT: I'd just like --
DR. BROWN: One second, Dennis.
MR. PHILPOT: -- to reiterate that I'm willing to work with other designs as long as we can -- if we can choose -- I have some suggestions about wording and inscription.

As you indicated in the Harriet Tubman discussion, inscriptions and historical accuracy if possible.

If we can tell the story with the images I'm willing to work and move forward with it.

And that's still STO-3 -- you know, either one I have some suggestions if that's okay.

DR. BROWN: May I suggest that you consider working with the Mint staff --

MR. PHILPOT: Yes.
DR. BROWN: -- to actually when it goes to the Secretary to provide that additional information as they seem to think that it provides additional value? I think that makes sense. And your points are well-appreciated.

We understand that of the designs that are presented to the CCAC as much as we try to make sure we touch every base that there's always going to be something that we miss, something that we wish that we could have had.

But we realize that we're looking at this, as Harcourt said, to be a win-win. So the extent to which we can still achieve that even though we have some things on the edges that we could improve upon we certainly would like to do so.

Your points are well-taken. And we'll make sure that at least in the letter to the Secretary
we recognize that there was in fact a robust discussion with respect to these designs. Thank you so much.

MS. WARREN: Dennis has his hand up. DR. BROWN: Dennis?

MS. WARREN: You're muted, Dennis.
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. And
thank you again to our liaisons. In the interest of time, I don't think I've heard any objections from the committee on recommendation Obverse 3 and Reverse 3.

I would recommend that we skip the voting, send our votes in maybe after the meeting to Greg just so they're on the record, and then the motion would be the committee recommend to the Secretary of the Treasury Obverse 3 and Reverse 3.

DR. BROWN: Sounds like a motion. Is there a second?

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Second, Chair Brown.
DR. BROWN: Second. Any discussion on the motion? Hearing none, all those in favor, aye.

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Those opposed, nay.

Appears that the motion passed unanimously. At this point, ladies and gentlemen, we are in recess.

MS. WARREN: Why don't we -- if this is okay with ODM, we go to recess until 1:20. So add, like, five minutes --

MS. SULLIVAN: Sure. That's --
MS. WARREN: -- so that should give you guys enough time --

MS. SULLIVAN: -- fine.
MS. WARREN: -- to eat. Does that make
sense?

DR. BROWN: It goes.
MS. WARREN: Okay. So it is 12:49. We are going to go into recess until 1:20.

DR. BROWN: One more thing. If I can really thank our liaisons on behalf of the CCAC. I mean, listen, it's been phenomenal. Before we recess, I apologize.

MS. SULLIVAN: Respectfully, Dr. Brown, I do think it would actually be helpful for you to hear the discussion of some of the inscriptions because $I$ do think that it is a bit more than the Mint
is properly positioned to answer.
I think we would really appreciate your insight. I know you -- I'm keeping you guys from lunch. And I apologize. But I do think it's actually really important for this program.

DR. BROWN: So if the committee members do not mind we're going to not go into recess. And we're going to entertain and hear that portion of the suggestion, please.

MR. SAUNDERS: Chairman, as long as we're not limited to 1:20.

DR. BROWN: We will not be limited until 1:20.

MR. SAUNDERS: Thank you.
MS. SULLIVAN: Would you like to share some of the considerations?

DR. BROWN: Is it possible that we might be able to do this within about five minutes, ten minutes max? Does that make sense?

MS. SULLIVAN: I think just a -- I don't think we necessarily -- and Greg, correct me if I'm wrong -- necessarily will need a vote on it. But

I just think hearing some discussion $I$ think will be very helpful as everybody moves forward.

DR. BROWN: Makes sense.
MR. PHILPOT: And thank you, Dr. Brown.
I have a list of -- a packet that $I$ can leave with each of the members that you can review. Some of this inscription $I$ think that are missing is World War II is not prevalent on there. Put my glasses on.

I have an image that I suggested on the other side. It's that they were known for -- if you envision -- trailblazers is one word that they used.

Record breaker. They broke all the records for sorting mail. Other postal units did 624,000 in 30 days. These ladies did 5.85 million in that timeframe.

I think that -- they didn't ask for images. But we do have some images that was included in the original package that show those 6888th ladies sitting on top of a stack of mail.

If you envision a Super Walmart store -- six of them empty with six bags stacked -big bags stacked from floor to ceiling with the
ladies -- and you'll see the current -- the ladies sitting on top -- these ladies moved these things from the rafters to drop them to the floor.

That was across the ships that they were on -- there were five of them. Might be considered. But those would be further inscriptions that I think are unique to them. Listen what they did sorting the packaging --

AUTOMATED SPEAKER: Tracy Braford is now exiting.

MR. PHILPOT: -- mail, censoring mail. Those are some inscriptions that better describes what they did. And I think that, while I was not asked, these women were $18,20,25$ years old.

And if you look there the images are much older. And they were excited about serving. If you can consider -- the artist considered that -elevating that.

And the uniforms -- I'm a man. The chest was flat. And the uniforms were kind of loose. They wore their uniforms -- what do I want to say -proudly. If you've been in the military, that shirt
was tucked in. It was tucked in at the waist. And the skirt showed the body.

So those are some things that $I$ would
offer as you go through forward moving to depict -the images were included in the original design package that you have in your packet there in front of you. I'm not sure why they were not included.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
MR. PHILPOT: Thank you for the opportunity, Ms. Sullivan, for giving me an opportunity to --

MS. SULLIVAN: Oh, you're very welcome. DR. BROWN: Are there any further matters that the staff would like us to hear on this program? Hearing none, then on that basis $I$ suggest that we go into recess. We're going to modify it. If we can come back -- let's back it at 1:40.

MS. WARREN: That might push us to people having to fly out so $I$ would suggest, if we could, maybe 1:30, 1:35.

DR. BROWN: 1:30? Okay.
MS. WARREN: The time is 12:54.
(Off the record.)
MS. WARREN: It is 1:37. And Dr.

Brown, you can go ahead. Your two members are on virtually as well.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. And we are back from recess. The third item today for our review and discussion is the candidate designs for the Greatest Generation Commemorative Coin Program authorized by Public Law 117-162. Once again we are pleased to have Megan Sullivan take us through the candidate designs.

MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown.
Public Law 117-62 requires the Secretary of the Treasury to mint and issue five dollar gold coins, one dollar silver coins, and half-dollar clad coins emblematic of the World War II Memorial and the service and sacrifice of American soldiers and civilians during World War II.

The National World War II Memorial honors the 16 million who served in the armed forces of the United States, the more than 400,000 who died, and all who supported the war effort from home by joining the workforce, increasing factory and farm
outputs, planting victory gardens, and through rationing.

The Memorial opened to the public on April 29, 2004, and was dedicated one month later. It is located on the National Mall in Washington, D.C.

Symbolic of the defining event of the 20th century, the Memorial is a monument to the spirit, sacrifice, and commitment of the American people to the common defense of the nation and to the broader causes of peace and freedom from tyranny throughout the world.

The Memorial is meant to inspire future generations of Americans, deepening their appreciation of the World War II generation's accomplishments in securing freedom and democracy.

Above all, the Memorial stands as an important symbol of American national unity, a timeless reminder of the moral strength and awesome power that can flow when a free people are at once united and bonded together in a common and just cause.

Surcharges for this program are authorized to be paid to the Friends of the National

World War II Memorial to support the National Park Service in maintaining and repairing the World War II Memorial, and for educational and commemorative programs.

The United States Mint worked with Holly Rotondi, the Executive Director of the Friends of the National World War II Memorial, in developing the design portfolio. And I would like to introduce Holly to say a few words.

MS. ROTONDI: Hi. Thank you so much. I'm proud to be here. We're very excited by this process. Just as a quick background, Friends of the National World War II Memorial was founded by the folks who built the World War II Memorial. They were members of the American Battle Monuments Commission.

And after the memorial was dedicated in

2004 the Friends was founded to provide a commemorative and educational extension to the memorial experience.

But we worked very closely with the National Park Service. We embarked on this effort because we knew the Park Service was in need of a
partner in the maintenance of the memorial. And so that was our goal for this commemorative coin.

And we're excited that we're here at this process. We are so impressed by the design team and the designs they came up with.

We obviously had preferences more related to the memorial and showcasing the memorial because for us there's no greater representation of the entire generation.

Seventeen years, more than twenty-six public hearings really going through every symbol of that memorial to make sure that it appropriately remembered and honored the World War II generation. And so we obviously -- and as it will be the $20 t h$ anniversary memorial our preferences are that way. But we're looking forward to the discussions. And we're proud to be here. Thank you. MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you. All right. If there are -- are there any questions? Or would you like me to begin the -- reviewing the designs? DR. BROWN: I think that makes sense. And I think that we'll use the format that we did
previously for the other commemorative coin program so that -- where we covered each of the planchets separately. But $I$ think when you're ready you can go through them all.

MS. SULLIVAN: Go through all of them?
Okay. Happy to do so. All right. Beginning with the gold obverse designs. Gold Obverse Design 1 depicts the shape of the Wall of Stars at the World War II Memorial with a single star to mark the dead and roses to honor their passing.

The Wall of Stars at the memorial contains 4,048 gold stars, representing the Americans who gave their lives in service during World War II. Each star represents approximately 100 service members.

The additional inscription, "Here we mark the price of freedom" echoes the inscription in front of the Memorial Wall. This design is the second preference of the liaison.

Obverse Design 2 -- Gold Obverse Design 2 -- depicts an eternal flame, a symbol of commemoration and a reminder of our nation's
commitment during World War II. The flame is flanked by laurels, signifying victory. The additional inscription is, "They answered the call".

Gold Obverse Design 3 depicts the Wall of Stars at the World War II Memorial with an olive branch and the additional inscription, "World War II Memorial". This is the liaison's preferred design.

Gold Obverse 4 depicts stars representing the Wall of Stars at the World War II Memorial with an olive branch and eagle. The additional inscription is, "Honoring sacrifices of World War II".

Moving on to the gold reverse designs. Gold Reverse 1 and 1A depict a folded flag with the additional inscription, "World War II Memorial".

Design 1 also features the inscription, "Here we mark the price of freedom". Design 1A also features the inscription, "To unite the generation of tomorrow".

Gold Reverse 2 depicts a view of the National World War II Memorial surrounded by a rope representative of the bronze ropes connecting the
pillars at the memorial. The additional inscription is, "Honoring their service and sacrifice". This is the liaison's preferred design.

Gold Reverse 3 and Gold Reverse 3A depict ropes representative of the bronze ropes connecting the pillars of the World War II Memorial and a wreath inspired by those hanging on each pillar.

The additional inscriptions in Design 3A are, "World War II", "1941-1945", and "Unity and Sacrifice". Design 3 is the liaison's second preference. And $3 A$ is the third preference.

Gold Reverse 4 depicts stars representing the Wall of Stars at the memorial with an olive branch and eagle.

Gold Reverse 5 depicts an oak wreath and an excerpt from a quote from President Truman inscribed at the memorial, "America will never forget". And that completes the gold designs.

Moving on to the silver obverse designs. As previously mentioned, the liaisons do not have a preference for the silver obverse designs but welcome the committee's assistance in determining the
best design for this phase of the coin.
Silver Obverse 1 and 1A depict a view from above a baldacchino, a sculptural canopy, inside a victory pavilion in the World War II Memorial featuring four eagles holding a laurel wreath. Inside the wreath is a globe centered on the Atlantic Ocean.

The additional inscriptions are, "Victory", "In the Air", "At Sea", and "On Land". Design 1 additionally includes the inscription, "In the Atlantic". And we have Design 1 and 1A.

Silver Obverses 2, 2A, and 2B feature an allegorical tableau of figures supporting a globe. Each figure represents a branch of the US Armed Forces during World War II -- Air Force, Cost Guard, Navy, Army, and Marine Corps -- plus a figure representing the critical work of the merchant marines.

Design 2 additionally features a decorative rope and scroll inspired by bronze sculptural pieces at the World War II Memorial.

Design 2B features the additional
inscriptions, "World War II Memorial", "1941-1945", and "Defenders of Freedom".

Silver Obverse 3 features a reimagining of the figure of liberation on the World War II victory medal awarded to all who served in the Armed Forces during the war. The additional inscriptions are, "World War II" and "They answered the call".

Silver Obverse 4 depicts a silhouetted soldier in salute pose with overlaid flag stripes and stars in the background, honoring those who served during World War II.

Silver Obverse 5 and 5A depict the victory medal centered in a design that marks the victory in the Atlantic and Pacific by sea, air, and land.

The design is encircled by ropes representing the unity of the country during World War II. Design 5 additionally includes an anchor and propeller. So Design 5 and 5A. And that completes the silver obverse designs.

Moving on to the silver reverse designs. Silver Reverses 1 and $1 A$ depict a view from beneath a baldacchino, sculptural canopy, inside a victory pavilion in the World War II Memorial
featuring four eagles holding a laurel wreath. Inside the wreath is a globe centered on the Pacific Ocean.

The additional inscriptions are, "Victory", "In the Air", "At Sea", and "On Land". Design 1 additionally features the inscription, "In the Pacific". Design 1A is the liaison's preferred design.

Silver Reverses 2 and 3 depict a thick rope inspired by the bronze ropes at the World War II Memorial, created by six separate smaller strands.

Each strand represents a branch of the US Armed Forces that served in World War II with a sixth strand representing the service and support of the merchant marines.

Design 2 features the insignia of each of the six organizations and the additional inscriptions, "Air Force", "Coast Guard", "Navy", "Army", "Merchant Marines", and "Marine Corps".

In Design 3 a rabbit -- a ribbon wraps across -- around the rope inscribed with six stars, also representing the six branches. Design 3 and $3 A$. Or 4. No. Two and three. Sorry about that.

Design 4 depicts a view of the World
War II Memorial surrounded by a rope representing the bronze ropes and connecting the pillars of the memorial. The additional inscription is, "Honoring their service and sacrifice".

Silver Reverse 5 depicts an aerial view of one of the towers at the National World War II Memorial. Designs 6 and 6A depict a view of the Pacific Tower at the National World War II Memorial.

Design 6 also features trees in the background and the additional inscriptions, "Sacrifice", "Courage", and "Perseverance".

In Design 6A, the additional inscriptions are, "Unity", "Honor", "Humility", "Courage", "Sacrifice", and "Freedom". Design 6A is the liaison's second preference. And Design 6 is the liaison's third preference.

Silver Reverse 7 and 7A depict the World War II victory medal against a globe rotated to show both the Atlantic and Pacific theaters of World War II. The additional inscriptions are "1941", "1945", "Courage", "Honor", and "Sacrifice" -- 7 and

7A.
Silver Reverse 8 is inspired by a sculpture at the World War II Memorial showing the eagle facing towards peace and crowned with a banner emblazoned, "E. Pluribus Unum" and 13 stars representing that American's unity led to victory. And that completes the silver reverse designs.

Moving on to the clad. Clad Obverse 1 and 1A depict an oak wreath with drawn sword and shield representing the industrial might of the United States during World War II.

The elements are circumscribed by the rope of bronze that connects the pillars at the World War II Memorial. The additional inscription in Design 1 is "Righteous strength forged through unity". And the additional inscriptions in Design 1A are "World War II" and "Righteous strength".

Design 1 is the liaison's third preference. And Design 1A is the liaison's second preference.

Clad Obverse 2 and 2A depict a young boy in mourning holding a folded flag. In Design 2
the additional inscriptions are "Freedom", "Sacrifice", "Honor", "Humility", "Courage", and "A nation conceived in liberty and justice".

In Design 2A the additional
inscriptions are "Sacrifice" and "The price of freedom is not free".

Clad Obverse 4 depicts a mother and son working in a victory garden watching a C-17 -watching $C-17$ transport planes flying soldiers to war. The additional inscriptions are "Freedom", "Selflessness", "Honor", "Courage", "Humility", and "Sacrifice".

Clad Obverse 5 depicts the hands of three workers on the home front holding a hammer, a garden hoe, and a wrench -- symbolic of America's rapidly-changing labor market during World War II as citizens of all ages worked in agriculture and manufacturing to produce large quantities of food, supplies, and equipment for US troops. The additional inscription is "Fighting for liberty" and "On the home front".

Clad Obverse 6 depicts a pillar at the

World War II Memorial with a bronze wreath surrounded by rays and a rope representing bronze ropes at the memorial.

The additional inscriptions are "1941", "1945", and "United we stand". Design 6 is the liaison's preferred design.

Moving on to the clad reverse designs. Clad Reverse 1 depicts a wreath of wheat with a plow, representing the agricultural might of the United States during World War II.

The elements are circumscribed by the rope of bronze that connects the pillars at the World War II Memorial. The additional inscription is "Forged through unity".

Clad Reverse 2 depicts one of the many communities across the nation where residents united in the home front war efforts such as rationing, donating scrap metal, and growing victory gardens. The additional inscription is "National unity".

Clad Reverse 3 depicts a view of the World War II Memorial with a flag flying behind. The additional inscriptions are "Unity" and "1941-1945".

Design 3 is the liaison's second preference.
Clad Reverse 4 depicts a field of stars representing the Wall of Stars at the World War II Memorial. The additional inscriptions are "19411945", "A grateful nation", and "Remembers Gold Star Families".

Clad Reverse 5 features an upraised arm clutching a wrench with a B-17 bomber and liberty ship in the background with a border of riveted panels.

And Clad Reverse 7 depicts the World War II Memorial from the point of view of a person walking up a ramp leading to one of the towers. Design 7 is the liaison's preferred design. And that completes the portfolios.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. At this point $I$ would suggest that the committee consider if there's any technical or legal questions pertaining first to the gold obverse and reverse. Art?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Just a quick technical question. On Obverse 2 for the gold -- it's been a while since I've been to the memorial. Is there an eternal flame at the memorial?

MS. ROTONDI: No.
MS. WARREN: Dennis Tucker has his hand up.

DR. BROWN: Dennis?
MR. TUCKER: Thank you. And I didn't hear the answer to that last question.

MS. ROTONDI: No; there is not.
DR. BROWN: The answer is no.
MR. TUCKER: Okay. Thank you. I did have a technical question on Gold Obverse 3 the -which was a preference of the liaisons. The crooning in the words "World War II" seems very tight. Joe, is that something that could be refined a bit so that there's more spacing between those three words?

MR. MENNA: This is Joseph Menna.
Dennis, not only World War II could be expanded leftwards or -- regardless of what the graphics present our product design specialists and artists always find a way to make the coins imminently readable.

Even if they need to be modified, to modify it in a way that maintains their stylistic and
design integrity but just makes them more coinable.
So in this case I understand why it's aesthetically compressed. But we would -- we'll make it so it would work if this would be the Secretary's selection.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Joe.
MR. MENNA: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Any other legal or
technical question from the committee?
MR. SAUNDERS: What was the committee's -- or what was the constituent's preference for the reverse? Number one preference.

MS. SULLIVAN: The gold reverse?
MR. SAUNDERS: Yeah.

MS. SULLIVAN: Sure. The preferred design is Reverse 2. The second preferred -- the second preference is Reverse 3. And 3A is the third preference.

DR. BROWN: Other questions from the committee? I just have one. I'm looking forward a century from now and looking at the fact that we have this fantastic commemorative coin program.

And also thinking about that term -the greatest generation. I'm sort of curious as to the thinking about the -- not including that inscription. I'm sort of curious.

MS. ROTONDI: That's to me? That's interesting. Just to give a little background, that was changed. The name of the program was changed -or the legislation by a senator in the later drafts.

So it wasn't the initial intention to have that. I think it's one of those greatest generations which is we don't use it as often. We always talk about the next greatest generation.

But every generation should be striving to be a great -- a greatest generation; right? So that's sort of where we are coming from. I'm not opposed to it necessarily.

But I think for us we don't necessarily lean on that phrasing as an organization because we're -- we work a lot in education and are striving to teach youth that you can be a greatest generation as well. So that's sort of where we come from.

DR. BROWN: Understood. Thank you.

DR. FULLER: May I follow up on that?
This is Harcourt Fuller. So in today's classrooms how do young folks see -- I guess you've answered it. But I guess I would like to ask for a more specific explanation. Do they recognize the greatest generation as the greatest generation?

MS. ROTONDI: It is interesting that you say that because we actually are in the classrooms. So there's this program we started in 2021 where we're actually in the classrooms.

And then that -- just last year we started doing surveys. And one of the questions is do you know what the greatest generation is. And I think 80 percent said no; they've never heard the expression. Which is interesting.

And it's something that's so common to me that I was actually a little bit surprised. So they did -- had never heard the -- these are high school students. It was something that was not familiar to them at all -- the phrase "greatest generation", which was interesting.

And so we're going in -- as we're
telling the stories of the everyday men and women who served in the hopes of offering them inspiration from the act -- ordinary people, you know, with extraordinary circumstances and what they were capable of. And sort of trying to inspire them that they are equally capable of being extraordinary.

DR. BROWN: Dean?

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. This is Dean Kotlowski. And if I remember correctly I almost want to say that World War II is one of these wars that has inspired these labels that come from popular books.

And from what I remember, Greatest Generation was this book by Tom Brokaw. But the other popular book was a book by Studs Terkel, an oral history called The Good War.

And those appellations have been used. And then they have been emphasized, deconstructed, discarded, brought back. So I mean, it's something that is ongoing with these phrases.

But I had a question. Let's say even though you're not putting "greatest generation" on
these coins, this is what this is being labeled -- so we've got the big theme.

I'm just wondering if there are -- if there's a subtheme for the gold, subtheme for the silver, subtheme for the clad -- something that might be parallel to what we had with the Harriet Tubman coin where it was chronology. Was that in any way envisioned? And if you don't I am going to impose one. And I don't --

MS. ROTONDI: I don't know if you want Meagan or me. I can --

MS. SULLIVAN: We had initially spoken about the three representing -- the gold representing the sacrifice, the fallen soldiers.

The silver -- and correct me if I'm getting this wrong, Holly -- the silver representing the service of, you know, those who served in uniform. And then the clad representing everyday Americans who were not necessarily in uniform. That was the initial look. So --

DR. BROWN: Any further questions or comments? Hearing none, seeing none, let us begin our
consideration. I would like to plead with the members to keep our comments to three minutes or less. And if you have questions we'll have an opportunity at the end to pose them. So let's begin with --

DR. VAN ALFEN: Dr. Brown, just a quick point of clarification. We are doing the separate planchets --

DR. BROWN: Correct.
DR. VAN ALFEN: -- or are we --

DR. BROWN: We're just focusing on gold at this point.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Okay. All right.
DR. BROWN: So again, three minutes or less. Let's begin with none other than Dennis Tucker.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I think that the liaison's preferences of Obverse 3 and Reverse 2 will make a wonderful coin. And those are the choices that I support. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. John? MR. SAUNDERS: I like Obverse 2. It'd be nice if there was a flame at the memorial to correspond to it. But $I$ still like the design anyway.

It looks nice-looking and it's inspiring and so forth. Though also Obverse 4 would look perfectly acceptable to me.

On the reverse drawing design I like 1A. The reason is my father fought in World War II. And when he died, which was not during the war -- it was 12 years ago or so -- they took a flag because he was in the service and put it over his coffin.

And they folded it up in this design and presented it to me at the funeral or slightly -- I don't know exactly when $I$ got it. I kept it in that fold ever since then in a box.

And it was really wonderful of my father. So that means something to me personally so I like that design best. And let's see. I also thought if we didn't go with that design Reverse 4 is a nice design as well. That's it for me.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Donald?
MR. SCARINCI: Yeah. I think Obverse 3 is a no-brainer and just about makes it onto the size planchet.

The reverse is a little more
challenging. You know, I mean, obviously Reverse 2 is the most interesting one. But you know, that detail is going to be somewhat lost on the planchet size.

You know, I just think the others are just too boring to consider. So I just have to do -I'm going to go with Obverse 3 and Reverse 2.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Dean.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. And Megan, thank you. These were my sacrifice -- the highest form of sacrifice for the gold for the second, which is the clad or the silver?

MS. SULLIVAN: Silver.
DR. KOTLOWSKI: The silver. I would have said instead of "sacrifice" "victory".

MS. SULLIVAN: Okay.
DR. KOTLOWSKI: And then the last would be the clad. I would have said in terms of the theme there "the home front" representing the home front.

MS. SULLIVAN: There is some --
DR. KOTLOWSKI: More on that later.
But as Don said and as others have said, Design 3 for
the obverse is a no-brainer. It's actually a very beautiful design. And it's very evocative of an aspect of the memorial that's very inspiring.

Again I have to agree and maybe disagree with Don. I have a lot of problems with the reverse.

And then ultimately $I$ just simply decided I can't go with Obverse 2 because it's simply too detailed and it's too small. And I think we may be wanting to do some things with the memorial a little later.

So I actually wound up agreeing with John. I thought that 1 A reminded me of my grandfather's service and when he died with the folded flag. And that really hammered the issue home. And so those were my choices. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Peter.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I just want to preface my comments by saying I spent about two hours at the memorial yesterday. Haven't really spent time there before.

And I really wanted to try to
understand what it was I was looking at on the coin designs and to just try to get a sense of all this.

Obverse 3 I think is, as Dean said, very evocative. I like that quite a bit. And as he also mentioned, we might want to choose some similar design to -- for a later consideration with the clad or what have you.

So I prefer the second choice of the reverse -- the second preference -- Reverse 3 -- since this incorporates some of the major just design elements of the monuments -- the wreaths as well as the bronze ropes that are there.

And I think since this is -- in a way this program is focused on the monument as well as what the monument represents.

I think they're trying to incorporate elements of the monuments rather than, say, full flag, which is symbolic but is not actually part of what is represented at the monuments. So again 3 and 3 -Obverse 3 and Reverse 3 are my preferences.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Art?

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein. I'm having a little trouble with the phrase "nobrainer" because I don't agree. And I don't know what that says about my opinion.

But I'm afraid I don't agree with the liaison's recommendation. I found that curvature of the wall to be -- to seem like an optical illusion. And for me the stars appear misshapen and so $I$ was not attracted to that design.

I like the more traditional approaches of designs -- Obverse Designs 1 and 2. And for the reverse $I$ would go with the preference of the liaison. I thought Reverse 2 would be a good choice.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Dr. Fuller?

DR. FULLER: Thank you, Dr. Chairman. This is Harcourt Fuller. First of all let me say that these designs, all of them, they are wonderful.

They I think evoke so much history, emotions. It makes it challenging to choose. Nonetheless I will go ahead and endorse the recommendations of the liaison.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Let's go to
Mike Moran.
MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This
is Mike Moran. I guess I'm falling in the no-brainer category here. It's dangerous.

I actually, when $I$ went through these, looked at Gold Obverse 3 and 4. I felt they were equal. And still feel that way between the two of them. Maybe if I had my way I would lean to 4 over 3 . But I'd probably give them both threes.

On the reverse I like Gold Reverse 3. It's uncluttered. I don't think you need to have the World War II and the date there as you do in 3A.

I also like the tie back to the memorial itself using the design elements from the memorial. I think the wreath and the rope make a nice reverse for that. So that's where I am.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Darla.

MS. JACKSON: This is Darla Jackson. Thank you so much. I was really leaning toward Obverse 2. But hearing that there was no eternal
flame at the site it made me go in favor of the preferred design of 03 -- Obverse 3.

And then the reverse -- I felt that at this scale, while Reverse 2 was my preferred design overall, just looking at it at that size that it would be produced at it became problematic in terms of legibility from my point of view.

And so I went for Reverse 5. It's one of the more simplified but it references the wreaths, which have a few present at that location. And so I felt it -- to honor them in that way would be nice.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Kellen.

MR. HOARD: Thank you. This is Kellen Hoard. Honestly with all due respect I thought the obverse designs were kind of boring. None of them really spoke to me.

As we mentioned, I feel like I see a lot of stars. I see a lot of olive branches. None of those really spoke to me as anything particular to greatest generations or World War II besides there being a Wall of Stars.

And as a member of the public $I$ feel the same in representing the public. I see a lot of stars. So none of them really -- 3 was fine for an obverse. Like, I'd be okay with that.

I'd also even be okay using Reverse 1A as an obverse even. I think that might tie better together what the liaison said about, you know, generations today and tomorrow realizing the applicability going forward.

And actually trying to make it the greatest generation themselves because it actually does key in on the generations of tomorrow. So that would tie it in on the obverse.

And I think that -- even that kind of somewhat more interesting design. And then so that's -- would even be on the table for me.

And then on the reverse my initial inclination was to go to 2 on reverse. But $I$ think that's going to be probably too many details. I'll still give it points. But $I$ think it's going to be too many details.

So I would go with probably one of the
wreath ones. I have no strong opinions there either. Larger point was just $I$ was not really taken with any of the obverses there. So yeah. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. I must confess that $I$ was probably moved more by the preferences of the liaison. So I'm going to in fact align my votes with them.

At this point are there any additional comments or motions from the members? Mike or Joe, do you have any additional comments?

MR. MENNA: No, sir.
MR. SCARINCI: Can I say something additionally? I'm thinking about what John said earlier. You know, and I happen to have a folded flag too.

And I think the purpose, you know, the purpose of a commemorative program, you know, in addition to memorializing something, right, is at the end of the day you want to make money; right? And you want that surcharge; right? Because that's important; you know?

So I'm kind of thinking by putting the,
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you know, by putting the folded flag on the reverse you are at least doing something here that does create a connection to living people that will buy -- that possibly will buy this coin; right? And therefore create those constituencies of coin.

So as much as I -- and I don't particularly care for any of the reverse designs. And I think No. 2 -- Darla's completely right.

And the more $I$ look at No. 2 I know I'm going to regret it when $I$ see the coin because it's going to be -- you know, forget a seven -- you know, we're going to need a seven-time loop to look at this coin; right?

So probably No. 2, as nice as it is, maybe we could put it into -- I think Peter said this. There are other places where we do this. So maybe we can save No. 2 for one of the larger, you know, one of the larger planchets.

And I could see where Darla's coming from with Reverse 5. It's simple. It's a small thing. I could also see where Mike is coming from with 3. But I think 1A creates some constituency for
the coin. So $I$ just wanted to just add that.
DR. BROWN: Any further comments by members of the committee? Hearing none, the committee will now score the candidate designs for the Greatest Generation Commemorative Coin Program.

Again as usual you have your scoresheets -- and those of us participating virtually. Please all provide them to Greg Weinman. We will take a five-minute break for the scoring and tallying.
(Off the record.)
MS. WARREN: It is 2:16. We are back recording.

DR. BROWN: We are back. I recognize Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC, to present the results from the scoring.

MR. WEINMAN: The results for the gold. The obverse was fairly conclusive. Out of 33 possible points, Obverse 1 received 4. Obverse 2 received 11. Obverse 3 received 27. That became the high-scoring design. And Obverse 4 received 13.

The reverses were a little less
conclusive. Reverse 1 received 2. Reverse 1A received 18, making it technically the highest votegetter.

Reverse 2 received 16. Reverse 3 received 15. Reverse $3 A$ received 3. Four received five. And then Reverse 5 received 12. So a little less conclusive on the reverses. But conclusive with respect to the obverse.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Following the pattern that we did previously let us then proceed to the silver. Again I'd like to recommend that we keep it at three minutes or less. Let's turn to Dennis Tucker.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. For the obverse of the silver dollar $I$ was attracted to Obverse 2 in its various iterations.

I think central design is really neat. It spells out the coin's purpose. It's monumental. It's dramatic. It speaks to service and work and accomplishment.

So I was really drawn to Obverse 2. I think that this is -- it's so monumental. It's
something that we would expect to see on the threeinch bronze Congressional Gold Medal for a World War II theme. So I really like.

Of the three, I think 2B is the strongest because it spells out what's going on. You know, it's got the inscriptions, "World War II Memorial".

It has the dates 1941 to 1945, defenders of freedom. So $2 B$ is my strong preference. And then for the reverse $I$ think the liaison's preference of 1 A is strong.

And I think it's -- these are just going to be wonderful sculptural opportunities for the Mint's engravers. I like 2B for the obverse and 1A for the reverse. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Turning now to John.

MR. SAUNDERS: For the obverse, first question on Obverse 03. What is being held in the hand that doesn't have the sword? Is that a lightning bolt or a series of lightning bolts or --

MS. SULLIVAN: It's a broken sword.

MR. SAUNDERS: It's a broken sword?

MS. SULLIVAN: Mm-hmm.

DR. BROWN: The other side of the sword -- the broken sword.

MR. SAUNDERS: Okay. I like the design. I would like something -- an olive leaf, branch, instead of a broken sword for the other side to kind of be consistent with American coins. But I like that one.

And I thought 05A is not bad. I still like the other better. And those are the only two I really liked with the obverse design.

Reverse design I like the ones where they show the memorial. I think my favorite would be 6A. But $I$ could live with 6,5 , or 4 for that matter.

And if we didn't choose Obverse 2 I
like 7 also. Same reason I liked Obverse 2. You know, you wouldn't put a group of planes on it. They're on opposite sides of each other.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Turning to Donald.

MR. SCARINCI: I really do like Obverse

1 or 1A would be fine. I think Obverse 2B, you know, even though it's not something I would typically like it does kind of remind you of some of our classic commemorative coins from the classic series.

And so I think I would go with -- as far as an obverse $I$ think it's a tough call between 1A and 2B. But I think probably it's 2B.

For the reverse I agree with John about this is our -- this is an independent -- I think Peter said it earlier in the opening context of the gold. There's another opportunity to get this monument in. This might be the place to do it.

So any one of those -- 4 or 5 -probably 4, 5, or 6A -- 5 being a little more interesting because of the -- a little more artistically interesting in terms of the view, which is not something you might normally see. So I probably will go with 5.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Dean.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. This is Dean Kotlowski. I'm very
grateful to the members of the committee who went before me because they helped to clarify my thinking about this program and about this portfolio, which I really struggled with.

So I'm going to start out with what I didn't much care for. And those were the ones that were one -- Obverse 1 and 1A. And then we get versions of this.

You know, I don't know. I'm going to come across and fill the scene here a little bit. But you know, I -- it reminded me Esther Williams and Busby Berkeley musicals where you're looking at the top of something and there are people sort of swimming around in there.

And those were the eagles -- I had trouble making it out and seeing how it all fit together. I think it was a good effort on the part of the artist. There's something about it that just fell short.

> Like John, I think it's time to
represent on the coin the victory medal. And so I see a victory again here. So $I$ was very drawn to Obverse
3.

I really discounted 2, 2A, ad 2B. But Dennis, you know, got me -- and others got me thinking that, you know, maybe 2 B is good. I like the depiction of people.

And so I'm going to give that some consideration and see what everyone else says. I'm very much a follower with this one.

But on the reverse $I$ was very much attracted to 6A, 6, and 5. And depending what mood I'm in -- if $I$ want to be a little bit artistic $I$ agree with Don.

You know, 5 really gives you a different perspective -- manages to cover a good portion of the monument.

For me it's a tie between 5 and 6A, which is very traditional. But it's uncluttered. You don't have the trees in the background. And you have all of those nice words. And it's got a good balance to it.

So it's kind of a tie between 5 and 6A and then maybe -- yeah. And then 6 being maybe a
little bit below that. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Art.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein. There were some obverse designs and reverse designs that featured those eagles that we were just speaking of.

And I found those eagles hard to see. And so I discounted both of those designs for the obverse as well as for the reverses.

I also was attracted to the depiction of victory. I like the tie in with the victory medal. And so my preference for the obverse would be Obverse 3. I also like Obverse 5 and 5A again because of the depiction of victory.

For the reverse $I$ wanted a reverse the features the memorial itself as I was putting victory on the obverse.

And I looked carefully at Design 5. To me that looked a little bit like an MC Escher print. The looking at it from above $I$ saw the stairs going up and down in the same look.

And it just -- I found it made me a little dizzy. So I would go with one of the other reverses that features the memorial. And that would be 6 and 6A. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Dr. Fuller?

DR. FULLER: Thank you so much, Dr. Chairman. Just a couple of things on the obverse. Yes.

As someone who likes to highlight international relations, I like the fact when we're able to highlight, you know, the Atlantic, for example, you know, all the various countries that played a role in the Second World War. So I like highlighting the Atlantic.

With that said though, I do think that although I do like the designs, some of them for me they're too busy. And that's why I would like to go to 04.

I really love this coin because it reminds me of the -- is it Evita Adar? Is that the one? And also the hope coin; right? Because it's
just the way how the lines work. And I just think it's a unique coin.

And the other thing I like about this is when $I$ see this, this could be a soldier or a police officer. And $I$ feel like it pays homage to both. You know, that's just how I -- when I read that. So I really like that.

And then on the reverse $I$ want to say a couple of things. I do like 3. I don't know why. I see that as a -- sort of like a double helix. It just has an unusual design that kind of pulls you in.

Nonetheless I do really like 5 because it just feels like you could dive into it. You know, it gives you that three-dimensional look. For me it's unusual in the sense that it invites you in. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Mike Moran?
MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I want to expand a little bit on what Dennis said. I agree with him on the obverse. It's 2B I believe it is. Back up here.

But I want to explain why he chose what
he chose on the reverse. When you look at $2 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{-}$ no; 2B. When you look at 2B and you look at the globe there it's got the Atlantic.

So that is why Dennis went to on the reverse 1A because that's the Pacific. So in that regard Dennis was getting the whole world and the two hemispheres there. That's what he was trying to accomplish with that.

I kind of like the way the eagles' wings feather out against the rim there. I think it'll make a nice coin.

But I also think in terms of the reverse a couple of observations. I liked 2. But it's redundant with what you have if you go with 2 B on the obverse. You already have the various services there as well. So I'm not going to be going with that one.

Everybody seems to like 5 because of its three-dimensional aspect on the reverse. And it is in the drawing three-dimensional. But I guarantee you when you get coin ready you're going to lose that third dimension.

And it's going to look like a mess. I don't think that you're going -- unless you know what you're looking at -- you're going to be able to instantly envision what the artist is trying to represent there. I think it will get lost in coin relief.

If you feel that you need to tie into the monument itself the best one with the least amount of clutter is 6A. I like the idea of the victory medal. But I don't like it on the reverse.

And the eagle to me is awkward. So I'm really going to be splitting my votes on the reverse. That's it for me.

And I have watched my battery go from 55 percent to 24 percent. It must be the server here. Something is wrong. But anyway I'm going to have to shut it down here in a minute and get my juice back.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn to Darla.

MS. JACKSON: Hello. This is Darla Jackson. In terms of this set being geared towards service, 2A Obverse is the one that I'm looking at.

I enjoyed the whole No. 2 set but I think that giving the figures a little bit of room around them representing each of the arms here supporting together the world $I$ think is a really beautiful sentiment.

I think the other ones would work as well. I just think on a smaller scale it just starts to get a little bit more difficult to read.

In terms of the reverse $I$ am in favor of the designs that showcase the memorial. I am very partial to No. 5. I understand the concerns. But I think the composition is really interesting.

And it's this idea of looking back and looking over what has been accomplished. So I think conceptually I enjoy that idea.

However I'd also be very happy with a more straightforward view such as 6A. I think that that's very clean. There's a lot of room for the design to be showcased but not overwhelmed by the text involved.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn to Kellen.

MR. HOARD: Sure. I aligned with a lot of people earlier around Obverse 2B. I thought that was compelling. And I liked the people-oriented design.

I think that's -- especially for the public -- pretty exciting to look at. Often more so than allegorical depictions of liberty, especially if we're focusing on greatest generation and trying to tie it back in some way to that theme. And then on the reverse, Joe, $I$ had a question for you if $I$ could --

MR. MENNA: Yeah.
MR. HOARD: -- which is that for

Reverse 5 there were concerns it would not show up. Do you feel technically that wouldn't -- that it wouldn't show up as three-dimensional in practice?

MR. MENNA: This is Joseph Menna. If one refers back -- with all due respect to Mike, we did multiple American innovator dollars that had forced perspective. We had one with an ironworker standing above a city skyline.

And we also had one -- forgive me for
forgetting which state. But there was a cross-section of a tunnel in forced perspective that was -- that is infinitely more difficult to pull off in perspective than this.

And that was very ably pulled off by the design sculpting staff. Virginia. I guarantee we can pull this off with all due respect to Mike --

MR. HOARD: Perfect. So then --
MR. MENNA: -- ably.
MR. HOARD: In that case I would land somewhere on 5 or 6 for reverse. I actually wouldn't go for 6A. I think 6 would be better. And because as previously mentioned I'm not a fan of vertical lists of words on coins. I just find them to be a less interesting, less compelling thing.

And to me it kind of seems as if we couldn't find out any way to do that through design or through symbolism so we decided to write the words down directly and not even put it on creatively.

So I would rather go with at least 6, which has at least some level of curvature to it, fewer words, and kind of embraces that symbolism more
than explicitly just listing off words that they couldn't find a way to express artistically in a different way.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. As the Chair I must confess that I'm looking --

MS. WARREN: You missed Peter.
DR. VAN ALFEN: Yeah. I --
DR. BROWN: I'm sorry. My apologies.
Please.
DR. VAN ALFEN: This is Peter van
Alfen. Part of the reason I spent two hours at the monument yesterday is because there are these series of roughly 24 plaques -- bronze plaques -- that are about three feet long and about a foot high that depict various scenes of combat, scenes of the home fronts, and so forth.

And I find that Obverse 2 actually really ties in well to what you see of the figures across a wide spectrum of activities that are depicted on those plaques. And $I$ find that tie in to be actually really quite compelling.

Also this obverse seems reminiscent of
that iconic image of the flag raising on Iwo Jima, which also is an iconic image from the Second World War. So I'm very much in favor of Obverse 2 or one of them -- probably 2A.

Now part of the other reason I spent a great deal of time there yesterday was that in each one of these towers there is this amazing structure where bronze columns rise up.

There are then the eagles perched on top of them which have the ribbons in their mouths, which then support the wreath. And so it is an amazing thing to look at from different perspectives.

And what you're seeing in Reverse 2 -oh, no. Sorry. Reverse 1 is essentially standing directly below that structure looking up through the opening in the ceiling of that tower where in this case that globe has been positioned where the open sky would be.

And so I also like the tie in between the globe on the -- this reverse and the globe on the obverse of 2.

And so there's some continuity between
both the obverse and reverse designs with that as well as some of -- I think some of the most amazing architecture as well as many artistic aspects found on the monument that are represented to some degree in the Obverse 2 and then Reverse 1. So I'm very much in favor of that combination of designs. So thank you. DR. BROWN: Again I want to thank my colleagues for their comments. From my military prism I think I would lean in the direction of Obverse 2B, which actually gives a depiction of one theater and also focuses on people, and Reverse 1A.

That gives the globe in another part -the Pacific -- to the points that were raised earlier. At this point are there any additional questions or comments from members of the committee?

MR. SAUNDERS: You know, I know we're never going to do this. But I think 1A -- I think Obverse 1A and Reverse 1A done in relief as a medal -even if the Mint marketing staff wants to do it -- has to do bullion -- I think would be a really cool medal. Really cool. Anyway. And then they could market it as a set.

DR. BROWN: So we can return the focus on this program. And we can talk about other things -- other options later on when the time is -yes?

MS. ROTONDI: I just wanted to make one comment because I think -- I'm learning a lot listening to all of you and what you're looking for.

It's interesting that you -- a lot of you chose the 2 and 2B depicting people. And that was something that we were very concerned about is depicting people because inclusivity and then somebody's excluded.

I mean, for example, the 442 nd all Japanese American were the most decorated unit. I don't think there's an Asian depicted.

So I mean, so that -- for me when I look at it $I$ think of who's not represented. And so that for me was something that was struck. But you know, $I$ just wanted to make that comment.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Are there any further questions or comments?

MS. WARREN: Dennis has his hand
raised, sir.
DR. BROWN: Dennis?
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I think was that Holly who was just speaking?

MS. WARREN: Yeah.
DR. BROWN: Correct.

MS. ROTONDI: Yes.
MR. TUCKER: Oh. Holly, thank you for that commentary. And that actually was in the back of my mind as $I$ was reviewing this portfolio.

My grandfather-in-law was a member of the USAFFE. So he was a Filipino who volunteered for the United States Armed Forces in the Far East.

And anyway, coin design can't do everything. But it can accomplish quite a bit. And I do think that 2 B is a great accomplishment. And it represents well the great accomplishments of that generation.

So I'm not overly concerned about that absence. But $I$ am aware of it. But it's not of great concern. But thank you for your commentary on that. And thank you, Dr. Brown.

DR. VAN ALFEN: I would also just like to respond to Holly's comments. As always, when depicting groups of people like this, which is surprisingly representative of an entirely broad population, you are going to have problems like that.

But you know, with concerns of that sort if this were to be chosen we could have a motion to adjust some of the features and some of the people depicted to be a little bit more inclusive. So I mean, that is always an option, you know, with something like that. So adjust as necessary.

MS. ROTONDI: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Joe and Mike, did you have additional comments or suggestions?

MR. MENNA: An inappropriate one -maybe out of my lane. The only thing with that Peter, and I'm not -- I don't want to speak on behalf of ODM -- is to make it more -- to make this more fully representational there'd have to be researches to regiments, uniforms, this and that.

Because we do not -- everybody wasn't allowed to fully participate in the same way. So we'd
have to pick which one of these folks can be this, represent this part of the society, which one of these folks could do that.

And that could get a little tricky I think just from my experience of representing a lot of different service people.

DR. BROWN: No further comments at this point? Then on that basis $I$ suggest that we make the score. And again please pass your scoresheets -those of us that are here. And those that are participating virtually please send them to Greg. We will take five minutes for the score and the tally.

MS. WARREN: It is 2:40. Stopping recording.
(Off the record.)
MS. WARREN: It is 2:48 and we're back to recording.

DR. BROWN: We are back. I recognize Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC, to present the results of the scoring sheets.

MR. WEINMAN: Once again there is a more clear preference for the obverse than the
reverse. But beginning with the obverse. Obverse 01 received 4 points. 01A received 6. 02 received 7. 2A received 9.

2B received 25 out of a possible 33, making it the high vote-getter -- high-score design. 03 received 12 . 04 received 4.05 received 7. And 5A received 5.

Moving on to the reverses, which was a
little less definitive. Reverse 1 received 5.

Reverse $1 A$ received 18 , making it the high vote-getter -- 18 out of 33.

02 received 2. 03 received 4. 04
received 7. 05 received 17 -- so very close. 06 received 14. 6A received 15. 7 received 3. 7A received 1. And 8 received 1.

DR. BROWN: Very good. We will
continue now with the clad. So are there any
questions or comments from the committee regarding the clad designs? Hearing none and seeing none, any coming from the liaison or our chief of engraving?

MR. MENNA: No, sir.

DR. BROWN: Okay. That being the case,
let us then begin our discussion. And continuing that fantastic pattern we've had in the past, let's begin again with Dennis Tucker.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. The portfolio for the half-dollar was harder for me. To Dean's earlier question, I tried to focus on the home front. Obviously a lot of these designs for the obverses in particular deal with that theme.

My father was 6 years old when the United States entered the war. And his brothers were older. They actually served in the military. And of course his parents, my grandparents, were solidly in the home front generation.

So everybody was involved. Everybody in the family, everybody in America at that time of course was involved in collecting scrap metal.

If you're a kid, you know, you're outside watching for airplanes, whether that really helps the military effort or not. Rationing of course.

But then something I've done is I've looked through old newspapers for references to my
grandparents.
And you know, all the ladies back then -- my grandmother and her friends -- they would have benefit luncheons and clothing and food drives. And they would welcome soldiers home if they were home on leave.

And there was just wonderful news from that era. Just small, you know, little hometown news that showed that everybody was involved in this war effort.

So having said that and just segueing a bit on an unrelated note, for the obverse I really like the motif of Obverse 1. And that was -- and when I say 1 I include $1 A$ in that group.

Obverse 1 was the liaison's third preference. I actually prefer 1A. No; I'm sorry. I take that back. I prefer Obverse 1 with the longer inscription -- righteous strength forged through unity.

I think that that captures the essence of that home front activity and involvement in the war. The nation had righteous strength. And it was
forged through being united.
I would prefer to see World War II on the shield on Obverse 1 -- so take that from 1A and put that on 1. But anyway, I know you're not that detailed if 1 ends up being the preference.

For the reverse this was harder. I
think the reverse designs that depend on architectural views of the memorial are not really appropriate. We're doing that elsewhere in this suite of coins. And it doesn't really speak specifically to the home front.

So my preference for the reverse is -strangely enough it's actually Reverse 2. And it's more for the text than for the image.

When I first saw Reverse 2 I thought, "Well, that row of houses doesn't really -- that could be anywhere, anytime. It could mean anything."

But I think the addition of the text "National unity" in such a big font -- and E. Pluribus Unum, which reinforces the concept of one out of many -- I think that brings together a nice cohesive design when you add it to the obverse.

So Reverse 2 was actually my favorite.
But Reverse 3, which was the liaison's second preference -- I think again more for the text -- the use of the word "unity" I thought that that had some merit as well.

But Reverse 2 combined with Obverse 1 or 1A or some combination of those -- those are my choices and my thoughts. Thanks to our liaisons and thank you, Dr. Brown.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much for your thoughtful comments. Ladies and gentlemen, please remember three minutes. John, next?

MR. SAUNDERS: John Saunders.
MS. WARREN: Hold on. This is

Jennifer. Is there someone that has their mic open in the room? Okay. Let me check these people because there's an echo.

MR. SAUNDERS: Okay. Well, this is
still John Saunders. I want to echo a bunch of comments Dennis made.

My brother enlisted in World War II. And he was luckily -- had a good war in England, in
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France, or in Germany. Wasn't shot at. But he was overseas for three or four years.

And my mother, who you'd never -- if you knew her you'd never think of her having a job like this. She went down and got a job in a factory making machine guns. She ground machine gun barrels.

So the part of getting the whole -- the unity in the United States together and everybody wanting to chip in and help $I$ thought is a theme that's worth doing.

But I'm sorry. I didn't think any of these designs really accomplished that scene. I'm with Dennis. I like the legends on the reverse national unity. I like that legend. I just don't see the houses there as they're meaning anything.

So for the obverse design even though I'd like to present the national unity, I like the looks of Design 1 and 1A. And I think I'm kind of with Dennis. I like 1 better.

But I think moving World War II over to thing on 1A -- and in terms of reverse is to say $I$ love the legends. I'd like to see the national unity
on one of the reverse designs.
But I also think that we -- I think we rejected all the architecture ones. We have that one with the stars and the thing that -- personally but $I$ like the -- they all together probably have more votes than the design we picked for the -- or put in favor of for the silver dollar.

I think we need to give the folks at the memorial at least one coin that has the architectural features on it. And so for that reason I'm in favor of 3 and 7.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Three minutes. Moving on to Donald.

MR. SCARINCI: So I think this may very well become the commemorative -- the contemporary commemorative dollar coin that has two reverses or the one that has no obverse.

So I am not going to vote for any of these obverses. And I would implore people not to support the -- I guess unless this is Hawaii and these planes are Japanese $I$ don't know where this Coin No. 4 is going.

But the Ozzie and the Harriet view of Reverse 2 -- or the suburbanization of America in the 40s maybe -- that's the theme of all the -- of all these houses that are not my reality.

My reality is a four-bedroom -- a fourroom apartment in Union City -- a three-room apartment. I'm sorry. So I think I'm not going to support any of the obverses. There are not obverses.

The reverse I agree. I think someone said it -- I forgot who -- you know, to give the memorial something that depicts the memorial. So if they like Reverse 3, Reverse 3 is good. Reverse 7 is good. Anything but Reverse 2, please.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Turning now to Dean.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Dean Kotlowski. Again struggling with this. Really briefly, grandson of a person who fought in the war and won a silver and bronze star. And his wife, my grandmother, was a Rosie the Riveter. I've mentioned that before.

```
I also wrote a biography of Paul
```

McNutt, who headed the war manpower division in World War II. So the home front -- and that was a pretty miserable appointment for somebody who had a lot of political prospects.

So all of that is to say that $I$ was looking at the home front. And you know, you could do 1. If you're looking at the Obverse 1 and 1A you could pair it with -- talking about two reverses, Donald, here.

You could pair it with Reverse 1. And then you'd have a kind of swords and ploughshares type motif. I'm not necessarily recommending that. But of the obverses $I$ was drawn to No. 5. I don't know if anybody's going to say anything about No. 5. But sorry here.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 9: Somebody answer it quickly.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Yeah. This is going to happen. I don't think anybody's going to go for No. 5. I think No. 5 brought in the barns and the idea of the work and the factories -- the stuff that was done at home.

And I have no idea what to do with the reverse. Right now, the reverse, I'm kind of being persuaded that the thing with the eagles and the ribbons -- I might go with that.

And if I do then we do need to have the memorial. And maybe we have Reverse 7. Or maybe we take one of the silver memorials -- like the one with the cross-section view that finished second -- and maybe make that the half-dollar reverse. How would that strike people? Just a thought. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Peter.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. I'm mostly in agreement with a lot of what has been said here. And the preference for Obverse 1 is my preference currently. And Reverse 7 as well. So I'll just keep it brief and say that's that.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Art.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein. In referencing my recommendations $I$ was hoping to say
this is a no-brainer. But I'm in support of the liaison's preferences -- 5 and 7. Obverse 5 and Reverse 7.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's now turn to Dr. Fuller.

DR. FULLER: Thank you. I'm thinking a lot about what Donald said. And so this is difficult for me because I agree with what you're saying.

Nonetheless I like 2A. There's something about that little boy clutching the flag. Even if you didn't have the legend in there it's very emotional.

It makes you think about the sacrifices even if it didn't have the word "sacrifice". The price of freedom is not free. I just think that's a powerful statement.

And then with respect to 4 I -- I'm not as -- I guess if I understand you correctly, Donald, you're not sure if that image is historically correct.

Nonetheless to see, you know, the woman -- she's sort of waving, you know, the Air Force, you know, wishing them well and, you know, there's farming
going on. There's the homestead. There's a little boy. It underscores the notion of the home front.

And then for the reverse $I$ think going with the theme of including people and the home front I would go with 5. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Darla.

MS. JACKSON: Yes. Hello. This is
Darla Jackson. Thank you. I am having trouble finding somewhere where $I$ feel like the contributions of everyday Americans is shown here in a way that translates well.

So I'm going to just go toward the design that $I$ feel like is going to work beautifully on a coin, which $I$ feel like is Obverse 1.

And then in terms of the reverse because of a lot of folks having echoed that we don't have a representation of the monument itself I'm going to go with Reverse 7.

I think that this is a nice viewpoint. If you were someone -- an everyday civilian coming to honor these heroes you would be walking down the path
in this way. And so I think the perspective sort of echoes the idea here a bit, which is nice. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Kellen?
MR. HOARD: Thank you. Kind of in line with the other members of the committee, I was not really again struck by any of the obverse designs here, especially as a representative of the general public. I just didn't see much that spoke to me.

In regard to a -- I mean, like, maybe 1A. I think it's important to call for World War II there. In regard to the two designs that I think Harcourt mentioned $I$ understand that's looking at life -- sacrifice, not being free.

I think it will be ultimately seen as the depressed little boy coin. And so I didn't want to, you know, kind of give that impression. And the airplane flying overhead didn't really strike me either.

So I was -- I didn't really have any one that stood out to me there. Same with the reverses. Mostly I'd recommend against some, like Reverse 3 I'd recommend against.

It looks like unity is dead as of 1945
to me. It only lasted four years. So I wouldn't go with that one.

I do think we should have the monument on one of the coins. So I would say 7 would be my recommendation for the reverse just because it's not in any of the other ones. I think it's a nice design.

So if I had to a -- I guess my question for the committee generally would be are there any specific designs that you're actually passionate about versus fine with?

Because what I've heard so far is mostly, like, "It's okay." But not much we love it and we want it on the coin. So that's what $I$ would ask. Not only for individual designs but also for pairing.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
MR. HOARD: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Let's turn to Mike. I think you'll find the answer to that pretty soon. MR. HOARD: Yeah. DR. BROWN: Mike?

MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This
is Mike Moran. I have one question first. And this is for Peter. What model B-17 is that?

DR. VAN ALFEN: It's a G model.

MR. MORAN: G model?
DR. VAN ALFEN: Or maybe a late F.
They started putting chin turrets on some of the late F models. But definitively a G model.

MR. MORAN: Okay. Just so everybody knows, Peter knows a lot about that. Seriously. I think the design on Obverse 1 or 1A -- either one.

But what does it tell me? You have to put World War II on there before I can really make an association with it.

Maybe I'm missing something there. But it doesn't jump out to me, nor do $I$ think it will jump out to the average person that picks up the coin.

The other obverses I -- what can I say about them? The 05 -- I get the need to recognize the Rosie the Riveter theme. But I don't think this -it's kind of an ugly design.

I mean, just, I get it. It's in nice
little rectangles there on it. But $I$ can do without it.

I don't really have an obverse that I think is appropriate. And I would throw out to you that if there was a point where we should put the victory medal it might be here.

Because when I go to the reverse -- and nobody's talked about this -- I'm still doing the clad half-dollar as one that recognizes the sacrifice at home but also the victory that was achieved at the end of the war.

And for the reverse I feel like Reverse 4 -- commemorating the gold star families because it wasn't all a victory for everyone that came home. You put that on the reverse of the victory medal. To me it made some sense.

I get the point that we don't have the -- any of the monument structures on any of these coins. But it really doesn't bother me.

But we're going to have some problems with this obverse. And I don't know that I can help you any on that. Oh, lord. I could not get --

DR. BROWN: Mike, is that it?

MS. WARREN: Mike, you're muted.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 10: He's on the phone.

MR. MORAN: I'm sorry. I couldn't get
the -- turn off the -- the notification came right over the microphone. Anyway, I'm going to go get that one because it's my home alarm. Be back.

DR. BROWN: So let me quickly answer the question that Kellen -- now I'm not really someone who typically is in love with a number of things that are sentimental. But there are some things that really bring feeling to me.

And I must tell you that Obverse Design
2 and $2 A$ reminded me a bit about the commemorative program dealing with the Purple Heart and that saying that all day some, someday all.

And there are currently people on the home front who lost. So to me that's a -- you might say a reaching out, a symbolism of the lost.

And nothing is more sentimental of loss than a little boy holding a flag. I think to me that
makes this really that powerful.
From the standpoint of the reverse, as much I understand that this is supporting the World War II Memorial, this is not the World War II Memorial Commemorative Program.

So I have to be -- as much as I don't have any objection to them, I'm not in fact at a loss for it not being reflected on any of the coins.

To me the one that is more reflective of that is actually Reverse 4. And let me speak quickly to actually Reverse -- yes. Reverse 2.

I have a different perspective than
Donald. I posed a question to my mother, who just in fact turned 90, to ask her what was life like in the urban settings and the housing projects. And this was before she even got to be eligible for the housing projects in World War II.

And she says that she can only remember her mother yelling out of the third floor of a tenant saying that the world -- the war was over.

So their houses did not look anything
like in fact to -- so that's not an adequate
representation to me of enough of America, whether you're talking about an -- I actually Googled New York City and its appearance in the $40 s$ and then Chicago in the 40 s .

And none of them look like that. So to me that was not sufficiently representative if you want to be representative.

So as someone from a military background, the thing that was more powerful was again Obverse 2 or $2 A$ and Reverse 4 -- the gold star.

Because again, that was something left with some families at the close of the war on the home front. As much as they saluted victory, there was also loss. Please forgive me. We're going to now entertain comments and questions from members.

DR. FULLER: May I?

DR. BROWN: Yes, please.

DR. FULLER: Just hearing you speak on that $I$ do think that - what is it, 2 ? Obverse 2 with the little boy and then 4 -- because I guess both would represent loss.

And let me just ask frankly. Would
that make it too sad then if we sort of have, you know, $I$ mean, or is it just the reality? That's what I'm -- but I agree that they would go together. But is that, you know, too sad?

DR. BROWN: John?
MR. SAUNDERS: I like Mike's idea of putting the piece on the obverse. And I don't know how we vote for this or when it's appropriate to bring it up. But that seemed like something that if it would work from a design point of view, which I'm sure we'll hear whether it works or not -- but I'd like to see that.

And the second thing is while I still favor the monument, the 3 says unity. I'd like it to say national unity. I like the national unity, you know, concept better. And if there's a way we could work the word "national" in there I'd really like it a lot better.

DR. BROWN: Donald?

MR. SCARINCI: A couple of things.
First, this is a commemorative -- in spite of what they're calling it, it's a program that supports the
monument; right?
MS. ROTONDI: Yes.
MR. SCARINCI: Okay. Thank you.
MS. SULLIVAN: The legislation
specifically indicates that -- the design to be emblematic of the World War II Memorial and the service and sacrifice of American soldiers and civilians during World War II.

MR. SCARINCI: And the funds --
MS. SULLIVAN: The funds do; yes.
MR. SCARINCI: The funds go to the
memorial?

MS. SULLIVAN: Absolutely.
MR. SCARINCI: Okay. That's good.
Okay. Thank you. You know, I was thinking the same thing. Someone when they spoke did refer to the Silver Obverse 3 design, which is the standing figure.

You know, and that -- if we could use -- if we could appropriate that image then the coin with no obverse now has an obverse.

And the other possibility is to go with what, you know, with what Larry was saying, which is
if we use the child holding -- raising the flag -that is emotional. And is it too said? I don't know that any work of art can be too sad.

DR. FULLER: Well, I was saying if you have it on both sides -- the gold star and the little boy on either side as well.

MR. SCARINCI: I think if we were to use this as the obverse then $I$ think this qualifies as giving the coin with no obverse an obverse. Either or. Either we go with Silver Obverse 3 or we go with the boy holding the flag.

But if we go with the boy holding the flag then maybe we can revisit the gold reverse that we selected depicting that flag, which I think just now only got enough votes as a majority for the gold.

And then revisit that and maybe choose something more simple as Darla maybe suggested for the gold. So there's a way to save this if we want to do some surgery.

DR. BROWN: We can do so. Dennis and then Dean and then Peter.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I
don't think we need to use the clad half-dollar to represent the idea of sacrifice. That's covered with the gold five dollar.

If we go with the rankings that we've voted on already the -- I believe it was Megan who said that the idea was to have the gold coin represent sacrifice, silver represent service, and clad represent the home front.

So I think, you know, as compelling as those gold star-related designs might be for the clad I think it's just the right place to have those.

I think Obverse 1 for the clad. I mean, it's just a cool-looking design. It looks like a military medal from the 1930s or 1940s.

I think that that, you know, if you look at it you think World War II even if the word "World War" -- you know, you think 1940s even if World War II is not there.

And another thing I would point is something that Peter mentioned. And I think some people are losing this thought -- is that we do based on our rankings and voting -- we do have designs that
show the memorial.

They might not show kind of an architectural blueprint view. But they show significant design elements like that canopy of eagles with banners. That is as much part of the experience as towers or walkways.

So I wouldn't let that hang -- you know, don't get hung up on that too much $I$ would think. So I really -- I think this concept of sacrifice and gold star families -- not for putting on the clad dollars -- or clad half-dollar.

Not every family lost a family member during the war. But they all put into the war effort. You know, they had scrap drives. They saved food.

They sacrificed in a million ways.
That was the home front. They didn't have to lose a family member. Nobody had to die for them to be all in.

So I would say veer away from this concept of sacrifice for the half-dollar. Let that represent the home front. And then we just have to figure out how to do that. Thank you, Dr. Brown.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Dean?
DR. KOTLOWSKI: This is Dean Kotlowski.
I really wanted to depict the home front on the coins, especially on the clad. And $I$ just don't think that the designs are there to make that possible.

So what I am going to propose here is modification of the three themes. So on the gold we have the theme of sacrifice and the highest form of sacrifice.

And then what we have on the silver, which I've revised my views on -- the number one to look at is the theme of service.

And then on the clad the theme is victory. And so there you have the World War II service and the liberty; right? Which you're taking -- you're moving it over there.

And then you have No. 7 for the reverse as the monument. So you have liberty with that broken sword. And there you have your three themes.

Dr. Brown, I love what you said about the little boy and also being very evocative of the Purple Heart. Now that medal was so -- that coin was
so great. You know, the some gave -- all gave some, and some gave all. It's so evocative.

It's not being replicated or anywhere reached here $I$ think in the artistic design. So I think we should just, you know, not try to redo that theme here.

I think if you have a gold and you have a clad that are both focused on, you know, death and loss then $I$ do think it becomes too somber.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Turn now to Peter.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. I'm not overly worried about trying to find themes with each one of these -- the metal types -- simply because I don't think that the designs within each group are cohesive enough to try to identify things for each and every one.

The one thing I do want to point out was the image of the victory. On the floors of each one of these towers is that victory with the broken sword. There's a big bronze medallion essentially embedded in the floors with that design.

So that broken sword victory also is essentially a representation of an element of the monument as well. So that's just a point of clarification more than anything.

DR. BROWN: Understood. Any further comments, questions? Holly, do you have anything additional that you would like to offer us before we score?

MS. ROTONDI: You know, I will say I agree. We did not prefer the one showing the housing for the very reasons that several of you mentioned.

They did not feel representative, especially out of the Depression. You know, I think that's the 50s ideal was -- you know, idealistic view of America. But I don't think that was representative of -- so but no; I appreciate all of your feedback. I've learned a lot.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. On that basis then we shall then score. Please --

MR. HOARD: Is there a way to score other designs and incorporate them into this?

DR. BROWN: I think --

MR. SCARINCI: Could we not make the Silver Obverse 3 as a clad obverse? There's no way to do that?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Maybe just vote first and then see where we are and then --

MR. WEINMAN: And no; people shouldn't -- I think the idea -- and keeping in mind this is meant to be an interest tool. Why don't you score the designs as we are and then you can make motions based on that?

DR. BROWN: So again turn in your scoresheets. We'll take a five-minute break -- recess -- for scoring the tally.

MS. WARREN: It is 3:21. We're going to stop recording.
(Off the record.)
MS. WARREN: 3:27 and we have started.

DR. BROWN: We are back. I recognize Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC, to present the results from the scoring sheet.

MR. WEINMAN: For the gold obverse, Design No. 1 was the high vote-getter with 19 points.

1A received a score of 14 . Design 2 received a score of 4. Design 2A received 6. Design 4 received 7. Design 5 received 8. And Design 6 received 2.

Moving on to the reverses. Reverse 1 received 1. Reverse 2 received 8. Reverse 3 received 9. Reverse 4 received 6. Reverse 5 received 4. And Reverse 7 was the high vote-getter with 28 points out of a possible 33.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Greg, I didn't hear.
Reverse 2 was how many?
MR. WEINMAN: Reverse 2 was 8 points.
DR. KOTLOWSKI: Eight? Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Dean?
DR. KOTLOWSKI: I'm going to make a motion. I'm going to move -- and I don't have my laptop up so if somebody could open theirs. I want to do the silver victory. I think this is Obverse 3.

MR. MORAN: It's 03.
DR. KOTLOWSKI: Obverse 3, making that the clad obverse. That would become the clad obverse. And so there it is. And that would be paired with the Clad Reverse 7. And I'm just asking for a motion on
this if you like it, if you want to second it, if you want to vote for this. Then we can talk about them all together. But we can at least have an idea --

MR. SCARINCI: I second --
DR. KOTLOWSKI: -- of what --
MR. SAUNDERS: I third it.

MR. MORAN: Mike Moran will second it.
DR. BROWN: Okay. So the maker of the motion in this case, just for the record, would be Dean. The second in this case -- and please forgive me, Mike, but you're not in the room -- would be John.

MR. MORAN: There's a disadvantage to me sitting here and pushing the microphone.

DR. BROWN: That tells the story, no question. Dennis?

MR. MORAN: But remember $I$ was the one that brought this up in the discussion.

DR. BROWN: We'll make sure the record demonstrates that.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 11: We'll dedicate it to Mike.

DR. BROWN: Dennis? You have a comment
on the motion?

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Dennis Tucker. So just to clarify here -you're talking about using Silver Obverse 3, okay, and Clad Reverse 7?

Dean, I understand what you're saying about having the half-dollar focused on victory. I don't think the World War II Memorial is a victory monument. It's a memorial.

So for that reason $I$ don't know if that's quite appropriate. I would rather keep the half-dollar focused on the home front. Thank you. DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Any further comments on the motion? Kellen?

MR. HOARD: Just in general I'm less -I'm also kind of less tethered to specifically sectioning out themes for each. I kind of like an emblem pivot on this one as well.

But if we limit ourselves to themes -as I think someone said earlier -- I'm not sure there's anything cohesive enough across or within portfolios to get exactly what we're looking for. So

I wouldn't base my vote solely on that.
DR. BROWN: Thank you. Dean, you have additional comments on your motion?

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Well, I mean, I think, Dennis, point well taken. I think people who visit the monument -- I think they have different experiences and they interpret it differently.

Some are definitely going to think about the sacrifice and the loss. And the others are going to meditate that this was a war that was really a righteous war in terms of what the US was fighting against. And it ultimately prevailed.

And the architecture of it -- I wouldn't call it triumphant. And I don't want to get too much into this.

I think that, you know, we can have these themes and they can be there and we know them. We don't have to publicize it; you know? We don't have to issue a press release and say point one, point two, point three. But we know what's going on here.

And then thanks to Peter, who shared some photographs with me, I think I was -- I think I
prodded you a little bit with your trip. And I'm a little embarrassed that $I$ didn't go to the monument.

But you know, there is a representation at that monument of, you know, the World War II medal likeness in the ground. So I do think it fits together for, you know, the agency that would benefit from the commemorative coin.

DR. BROWN: May I suggest that we get some feedback from our engraving leadership? Because we're talking about again the planchets. Mike?

MR. COSTELLO: I have absolutely no issue with the obverse -- that silver obverse -pairing with the clad -- with the reverse.

MR. MENNA: And one thing I would like -- this is Joe Menna. The thing that $I$ think is special about the one on the right is it's an interpretation of the piece of medal.

So isn't the figure enough of a victory? A victory medal; right? I have one. It's my grandfather's.

So it's not very a detailed sculpture.
You can see in the drawing it's a very detailed
drawing. So I think at this scale it would be really, really nice to see.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. So John and then Kellen.

MR. SAUNDERS: I'd like to ask Dean to consider an amendment to his motion even though I seconded it. The Obverse 03 -- I was just looking at it right now. It says, "They answered the call".

If we substituted for that "National Unity", which is what Dennis liked but in the one with the houses I think it would create our theme better.

And we don't -- if this was the silver dollar, yes, the issue -- they have to call it inappropriate. But since this is the half-dollar I think "National unity" instead would be an improvement.

DR. BROWN: Dean, do you accept that friendly amendment?

DR. KOTLOWSKI: You know, I'm hearing the "mm-hmm" over here. I don't know. I guess I have no problem with it. It would lead us a little bit into the home front; wouldn't it?

MR. SAUNDERS: Yeah. But that's the point.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: I'd like to hear maybe a little bit of discussion about it.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Then let's then go to Kellen and then to Donald.

MR. HOARD: I was hoping to hear thoughts on both the design and this recent change from our liaison.

DR. BROWN: Okay.
MR. HOARD: If possible.
DR. BROWN: Holly?

MS. ROTONDI: Actually as you guys were -- I actually just asked Megan, "I wonder if we could change that to "We answered the call".

And it would be a reference to the entire nation. That was sort of my thought. And I thought that would tie it all together to the home front, to the -- you know, to the entire country.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: I would regard that as a very friendly amendment.

MR. SAUNDERS: That's why you're the
liaison.

MS. ROTONDI: And the person who's holding the coin can feel that pride. They are part of that answering the call -- their history.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: And just to Joe's point, I have my grandfather's World War II medal too. And there is nothing on there that says, "We answered the call". So here it is a reinterpretation and a moving beyond, you know, that --

DR. BROWN: Yes, sir.
DR. KOTLOWSKI: -- classic design.
MR. SCARINCI: I was going to suggest we do this in two steps. Step one, let's take a vote on the general, like, do we agree to do this; right?

And then step two, let's talk about the -- unless we think we could do it all in one step that's fine. But you know, if we have an agreement to do this then we can discuss and debate changing the legend.

DR. BROWN: Well, actually we have the benefit of the maker of the motion accepted --

MR. SCARINCI: Who accepted the --
right.
DR. BROWN: -- with respect to that.
MR. SCARINCI: Saved us the discussion.
DR. BROWN: Comment?

DR. FULLER: Who would the "we" be if we changed "they" to "we"? Who is the "we"?

MS. ROTONDI: In my interpretation it would be -- because one of the things about -- that $I$ think is beautiful about the World War II Memorial -it is to the entire generation. It's not to just those who served in uniform. It is the entire generation.

And if $I$ was holding that coin to me that would reflect that. It would reflect the entire country. Every man, woman, and child had to be invested because they were sacrificing here at home for the purpose of supporting the troops abroad.

DR. FULLER: Just allow me to follow up though. I just want to make sure I understand. But the we -- if someone who, let's say, you know, someone who does not have a direct connection the second World War through a family member and they're holding it and
they see the "we" -- how does that apply?
MS. ROTONDI: Well, if you're -- as a
United States citizen or an allied nation it would be -- anyone can look at it. And anyone who was part of the Allied Forces or the support here at home -- I feel like that would be included in the "we". It's interpretative.

DR. FULLER: Okay.
MS. WARREN: Dennis was his hand raised.

MR. MENNA: Out of my lane -- but if I'm really -- kind of like a time machine. Is it that generation is speaking to us saying we answered the call?

MS. SULLIVAN: Oh, I like that.
DR. BROWN: Ladies and gentlemen, we need to move this along.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: And Dr. Brown, just I think you've just helped to internationalize this by bringing in allies too. Excuse me.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Very good.
MS. WARREN: Dennis has his
hand -- sorry.
DR. BROWN: Dennis, if it can be --
MR. TUCKER: Oh, thank you, Dr. Brown.
I had a different motion. But I'm going to table that because $I$ think the solution that we hit on here would work.

I like this idea of having Silver Obverse 3 with the legend changed to "We answered the call". I think that does satisfy the home front concern that $I$ had.

John, I don't know if we then need to add "National unity" to the reverse. I think that -I think this might be sufficient with -- just let that view of the memorial tell the tale. So if this motion with its amendments has not been seconded I would second it now.

DR. BROWN: Well, just for clarity, the maker of the motion has accepted the amendment as a friendly amendment. If there's no further conversation on the motion as it is on the table we will now vote. All those in favor of the motion signify by saying "aye".

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: All those opposed, signify by saying "nay". Hearing none, the motion passes unanimously.

MR. MORAN: This is Mike. You're brilliant.

MR. SCARINCI: And Dean had his first motion approved unanimously.

DR. BROWN: Moving forward, ladies and gentlemen. Moving forward. We now need to go to the gold and silver.

DR. VAN ALFEN: I would like to move that the -- sorry -- that we go with the high scorers for both gold and silver -- to adopt those designs. And this would be Gold Obverse 3, Gold Reverse 1A, Silver Obverse 2B, and Silver Reverse 1A.

DR. BROWN: Is there a second for this motion?

MR. TUCKER: I second that. Dennis Tucker.

DR. BROWN: Dennis Tucker, second.
Further discussion on the motion? Hearing none, all
those in favor, aye.
MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Those nay? Hearing none, the motion passes unanimously.

MR. SCARINCI: Wow. Whoa. Amazing.
Yes.

DR. BROWN: Excellent. Very good. At this point if there is no further discussion on this program again we'd like to thank Holly for your leadership. And we'd like to thank our Mint staff for yours as well.

Moving on to the next item. And the last item on the agenda is our review of the candidate design for the Congressional Gold Medal commemorating the servicemembers who perished in Afghanistan on August 26, 2021, during the evacuation of citizens of the United States and Afghan allies at the Karzai International Airport, as authorized by Public Law 117-72. Once again Megan will lead us through this discussion.

MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. At 9:44 a.m. on August 26, 2021, an explosion occurred
at the airport at Hamid Karzai International Airport, later confirmed to be a suicide bombing by the ISIS-K terrorist group.

Estimates as high as 200 deaths were reported, including 13 United States servicemembers; hundreds more were wounded.

August 26 th was the single -- deadliest single day of the war in Afghanistan for the United States in more than a decade.

These brave men and women served in the United States Marine Corps, the Army, and the Navy and were posthumously awarded the Purple Heart.

These American servicemembers went above and beyond the call of duty to protect US citizens and our allies during the extremely dangerous situation as the Taliban regained control over Afghanistan.

They exemplified extreme bravery and valor against armed enemy combatants. They dedicated their lives. And their heroism deserves great honor. In developing the designs, the United States Mint worked with congressionally-designated
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representatives from the American Gold Star Mothers, the Gold Star Wives, and the Special Operations Association of America. And their design preferences will be indicated.

None of those members are able to attend today due to some scheduling conflicts. They did want me to express that one of their purposes here was not only to highlight the sacrifice but to really highlight the service, the importance of what they were doing, and the cause for which they lost their lives.

So moving into the designs, Obverse Design 1 depicts US servicemembers aiding in the humanitarian efforts during the evacuation at Hamid Karzai International Airport. The additional inscriptions are August 26, 2021, "Kabul", and "Afghanistan".

Obverse Design 2 depicts a servicemember carrying a young child with an incused C-17 in flight. The pair walk into an incused field, representing the transition from danger to safety. The inscriptions are "Kabul" and August 26, 2021.

Obverse Designs 3 and 3A depict servicemembers in silhouette standing on a wall. The central inscription "Kabul" reveals an airborne C-130. Design 3 features the additional inscriptions Act of Congress and 2021. Design 3A features 13 incused stars, representing the 13 servicemembers lost.

Obverses 4 and 4A depict a servicemember carrying a young child draped in an American flag against a backdrop of the inscription "Kabul", a depiction of the mountains of Kabul, and a silhouetted C-17.

Design 4 additionally features 13
stars. Design 4A additionally features a second C-17 on a runway and the added inscription Act of Congress 2021 .

Obverse Design 5 depicts servicemembers assisting local citizens during the humanitarian mission in Afghanistan. An open C-17 can be seen in the background against the mountains of Kabul. The additional inscriptions are Kabul, Act of Congress, and 2021.

Obverse Design 6 depicts five

servicemembers in silhouette standing over a crowd of people waiting to board a $C-17$ with the mountains of Kabul in the background.

There are 13 stars incused over their heads, representing 13 fallen. This is the preferred obverse of all three liaisons.

Moving into the reverse designs. All of the reverse designs feature the names of the fallen service members.

In terms of the order of the names, if they are not in alphabetical order the names were just placed in an order so as to best fit the design. There is no other ranking indicated here by these names.

Reverse Design 1 features the names of the fallen servicemembers with the letters spelling "heroes" highlighted. Reverse Design 2 depicts the name of the fallen servicemembers next to the Purple Heart. The additional inscription is Act of Congress 2021 .

Reverse Design 3 features the name of the fallen service members alongside a young girl
placing an American flag.
Reverse Design 4 features the names of the fallen servicemembers surrounded by 13 columns, eagles, and flags.

Reverse Design 5 features the names of the fallen servicemembers above an American flag with a helmet, boots, and dog tags. The Purple Heart and a mountain range representing the mountains of Kabul are depicted above.

And Reverse Design 6 features the names of the fallen servicemembers and an American flag surrounded by a wreath. Reverse Design 6 is the preferred design of all three liaisons. And that completes the portfolio.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Are there any technical -- please, Peter?

DR. VAN ALFEN: I have a question for Joe about Obverse 6. How could the silhouetted figures on Obverse 6 -- they couldn't necessarily be incused; would they? Or how would they -- how are they looking?

MR. MENNA: This is Joseph Menna. The
figures would be in a graduated way incused more fully at the top. And that incuse would be on an include that would eventually become flush with the field. So they would fade into the field.

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Donald?

MR. SCARINCI: Megan, was this -- so
Obverse 6 and Reverse 6 was unanimous among the --
MS. SULLIVAN: It was --

MR. SCARINCI: -- families?
MS. SULLIVAN: -- unanimous among -not among the families. We didn't work with the families. We were directed by Congress to work with a representative from the Gold Star Mothers, the Gold Star Wives, and the Special Operations of America.

So people who are touched by this particular issue but not specifically related to these 13 servicemembers.

MR. SCARINCI: Mr. Chairman, do you think it's appropriate -- I would like to make a motion. I don't think we need to discuss this. I would like to make a motion accepting Obverse 6 and

Reverse 6 since it was unanimous.
DR. BROWN: Is there a second for that motion?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Second. Peter van
Alfen.
DR. BROWN: Any discussion about the motion?

MR. HOARD: I would speak just against that motion just for the -- just for our prediscussion because $I$ have some other designs that I think would be important to discuss as well.

DR. BROWN: Okay. Any further discussion for the motion? Hearing none, all those in favor of the motion please signify by saying "aye".

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Those opposed?
MR. HOARD: Nay.
DR. BROWN: Okay. The motion carries. I must share with you all that the only reservation $I$ have is the fact that we haven't seen the Purple Heart commemorative coin. I just wish this one -- since it's one -- can be colorized. That's it.

MS. SULLIVAN: Excuse me, sir. It is a Congressional Gold Medal.

DR. BROWN: I understand.
MS. SULLIVAN: They can't colorize --
DR. BROWN: Can't put purple on gold?
MS. SULLIVAN: Not on the gold medals.
DR. BROWN: Not like the Lakers. I'm only kidding. Okay. Thank you. Stand corrected. So that is done. Very good. Okay. And based on where we are, are there any additional comments or motions from the members at this time?

MR. SCARINCI: Can I just applaud the service and the first meeting of our three new members? I think all three -- I think we all observed all three members making a very valuable contribution today.

And they're really hitting the ground running and making some really, really terrific observations, great comments, and a great motion.

And you know, and a great, you know, and I understand. And you know, I think I'm speaking for all of us. You know, we appreciate the three
people.
DR. BROWN: Well, that sounds like a motion. Is there a second?

MR. HOARD: Second.

DR. BROWN: All those in favor, aye?
MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Opposed, nay? We just want it for the record to put it unanimous. Thank you so much. John?

MR. SAUNDERS: Is there any way that we should score this last one? We made a decision, yeah. But maybe the artist would like to know whether we -the merit and maybe points that we -- you got a lot of points on this one even though you didn't win it.

DR. BROWN: So that's been our procedure in the past so we'll do that.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Dr. Brown?

DR. BROWN: Dean?
DR. KOTLOWSKI: I just want to commend the people who were not here in person and shared with us and helped us especially through that last one remotely. Mike, I do feel a little guilty. You did
raise that idea originally to go with the clad. The problem is you weren't here and you didn't see the sidebar conversations -- this was a good idea. So sorry about that. We'll catch you next time.

MR. SCARINCI: Hey, Mike and Dennis?
Don't be absent next time. We miss you. I miss you tremendously.

MR. TUCKER: Yes.
MR. SCARINCI: We'll see you next time.
MR. MORAN: We'll be in touch.
DR. BROWN: Okay. Ladies and
gentlemen, if all discussion has been concluded then that was the last order of business for today. I'd like to thank my colleagues, the CCAC members, and the Mint staff for their dedication and attendance today. Once again $I$ would also like to thank those members of the public and from the numismatic community who have sent in questions and recommendations to the CCAC. And again, they've done so through the email address of info@ccac.gov.

Your communications reflect the continued interest in America's coinage and numismatic
projects.
The next meeting -- the next CCAC meeting, public meeting, is set for September 2023. All upcoming meetings will be announced in the federal registry several weeks prior to the public meeting. I will now entertain a motion to adjourn.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Art Bernstein so moves.
DR. KOTLOWSKI: Dean second.
DR. BROWN: Okay. All those in favor signify by saying "aye".

MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Anyone saying nay? While my vision and hearing is not what it used to be, it seems to me that the ayes have it. We are adjourned.

MS. WARREN: The meeting is ending at 3:50 p.m.
(Whereupon, the meeting concluded at
3:50 p.m.)
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| advantages | 92:2 343:13 | 161:20 193:11 | 350:4,5 |
| 101:22 | agent 200:12 | 198:8 249:3 | align 238:18 |
| advisory 6:3 | ages 201:16 | 275:21 | 279:7 |
| 18:2 21:17 | 208:11 261:1 | aided 45:8 | aligned 100:5 |
| 25:12 34:3 | agile 208:15 | aiding 345:13 | 144:8 294:1 |
| advocate 3:6 | aging 69:5 94:6 | air 202:1 256:8 | alignment 29:4 |
| 12:16 199:10 | ago 24:6 59:3 | 256:14 257:12 | allay $228: 22$ |
| aerial 259:6 | 60:10 105:16 | 258:4,17 | allegiance 17:7 |
| aesthetically | 180:22 202:6 | 313:21 | 17:9 20:20,22 |
| 145:15 265:3 | 271:7 | airborne 346:3 | allegorical |
| affairs 2:12,19 | agree 82:11 | airplane | 120:22 256:12 |
| 10:17 11:18,19 | 85:21 92:4 | 315:17 | 294:7 |
| 18:21 | 106:22 122:4 | airplanes | allied 197:3 |
| affected 59:18 | 126:16 135:15 | 304:18 | 340:3,5 |
| afghan 9:3 | 141:8 146:5 | airport 9:3 | allies 9:3 |
| 343:17 | 149:1 165:5,6 | 205:17 206:3 | 340:20 343:17 |
|  | 166:8 178:13 | 343:18 344:1,1 | 344:15 |


| allocate 170:19 | 84:20 120:13 | amount 62:11 | answers 84:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| allocation | 120:15 234:15 | 210:19 213:7 | 168:18 |
| 170:22 | 255:17 304:15 | 292:8 | anybody 62:2 |
| allow 18:16,17 | 310:2 321:1 | amplified | 93:5 157:1 |
| 23:4 162:9 | 329:15 345:3 | 220:14 | anybody's |
| 213:15 339:18 | 349:15 | analogous | 115:8 311:14 |
| allowed 301:22 | america's | 14:16 | 311:19 |
| allows 67:3 | 261:15 353:22 | analogy 14:14 | anymore 130:8 |
| alluding 37:14 | american 3:15 | 233:1 | 181:9 |
| alongside | 7:2 18:9 19:15 | analysis 73:17 | anytime 306:17 |
| 347:22 | 24:16 27:19 | 73:20 75:5 | anyway 77:2 |
| alphabetical | 28:16 32:17 | anchor 257:16 | 90:5 107:22 |
| 347:11 | 37:8 39:5,9,9 | andrew 69:9 | 140:5,19 |
| alternative | 108:20 119:22 | angle 129:6 | 194:17 270:22 |
| 179:13 | 187:14 197:14 | angles 62:10 | 292:16 298:21 |
| amazing 18:9 | 200:14,15 | annette 32:6 | 300:14 306:4 |
| 29:20 30:14 | 202:21 203:1 | anniversary | 319:7 |
| 205:14 237:21 | 207:19 209:7 | 25:11 252:15 | apart 36:4 |
| 297:7,12 298:2 | 218:16 221:15 | announce 6:11 | 128:21 |
| 343:5 | 221:17,19 | announced | apartment |
| ambiguity | 222:12,20 | 136:16 354:4 | 310:6,7 |
| 152:17 154:11 | 234:16 249:16 | anonymous | apex 129:6,6 |
| 154:18 | 250:8,17 | 229:4 | apo 217:18 |
| ambivalent | 251:15 284:8 | anonymously | apologies 194:6 |
| 131:16 | 294:19 299:14 | 229:12 | 296:8 |
| amend 167:1 | 323:7 344:13 | answer 168:16 | apologize |
| 171:18 176:8 | 345:1 346:9 | 212:3,10 213:5 | 98:11 217:7 |
| amendment | 348:1,6,11 | 219:21 245:1 | 244:18 245:4 |
| 158:3,8 171:9 | american's | 264:6,8 311:17 | appealed 61:22 |
| 171:14 178:19 | 260:6 | 316:20 319:9 | appealing |
| 336:6,18 | americans | answered | 106:20 146:10 |
| 337:21 341:18 | 28:12 73:9 | 206:17 254:3 | appear 49:8 |
| 341:19 | 129:18 187:15 | 257:5 267:3 | 139:2 275:8 |
| amendments | 233:20 250:13 | 336:8 337:15 | appearance |
| 341:15 | 253:12 269:18 | 338:7 340:13 | 321:3 |
| america 30:13 | 314:11 | 341:8 | appeared 68:3 |
| 32:2 33:21 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { a m o s }} 4: 79: 11$ | answering | appears 40:22 |
| 52:17 60:10 |  | 338:4 | 159:17 195:4 |


| 244:1 | appreciative | 309:10 326:3 | 226:12 228:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| appellations | 4: | architect | 240:21 256:15 |
| 268:17 | approach 64:1 | 298:3 309 | 258:18 344:11 |
| app | approachable | 334:13 | army's 198:8 |
| 351:12 | 40:22 | areas | arrived 223:12 |
| apples 42:6 | approach | 207:10 222:10 | art 15:12 22:21 |
| applicability | 275:10 | argumen | 23:16,19 44:4 |
| 278:9 | appropr | 166:14 | 44:5 58:18 |
| applicable | 29:15 62:1 | arlington | 63:18,19 67:3 |
| 208:3,4 209: | 100:21 134:1 | 203:20 | 71:3,4 78:15 |
| 209:10,22 | 158:8 185:5 | arm 37:10,10 | 81:5 104:13,14 |
| 210:20 212:16 | 191:15 306:8 | 129:1,3 216:8 | 137:20,21 |
| 212:2 | 318:4 322:8 | 263:7 | 141:8 161:7 |
| application | 323:19 333:11 | arm's 37:13 | 165:8 168:21 |
| 22:19 | 349:20 | armed 27:20 | 170:1 172:22 |
| apply 3 | appropr | 38:11 249:19 | 182:22 219:12 |
| appointed | 88:12 90:12 | 256:13 257:3 | 219:13 229:3 |
| 13:13 14:3 | 252:12 | 258:12 300:13 | 236:22 237:4,5 |
| 15:3,5 | approval | 344:19 | 263:18 274:22 |
| appointm | 8:10 23:11,17 | arms | 275:1 288:3,4 |
| 311:3 | approve 23:15 | 63:3 129:3 | 312:20,21 |
| appreciate | 162:2 171:6 | 140:18 293 | 324:3 354:7 |
| 31:19 43:12 | 173:22 176:21 | armstead | arthur 3:12 |
| 56:9 63:14 | app | 239: | 6:18 |
| 64:10 73:13 | 51:11 207:16 | army 3:9 5: | articles 19:2 |
| 85:3,5 96:13 | 342:8 | 8:16 11:10 | 203:8,8 |
| 104:13 117:17 | approximately | 12:14,19 27:12 | artifacts 24:13 |
| 181:10 199:21 | 27:3 198:3,5 | 27:13,15 38:16 | artist 48:16,21 |
| 205:7 214:11 | 253:14 | 38:22 39:17 | 49:12 53:12,13 |
| 235:21 240:11 | april 1:6 6:4 | 40:3 117:11 | 53:17 121:14 |
| 245:2 329:16 | 15:5 25:10 | 196:6,16 197:1 | 147:6 208:16 |
| 351:22 | 250:4 | 197:6,9 199:2 | 212:19 225:7 |
| appreciated | apryl 2:17 11:8 | 199:14 200:2,3 | 226:7 247:17 |
| 206:3 242:10 | araminta 26:16 | 200:6,9,11 | 286:18 292:4 |
| appreciation | arc 106:17,21 | 217:2,17 220:2 | 352:12 |
| 64:15 93:22 | 107 | 220:6,8,12,16 | artist's 226:18 |
| 195:9 250:13 | archite | 221:15 222:12 | artistic 67:22 |
|  | 129:10 306:7 | 223:7 226:11 | 159:15 213:17 |


| $287: 11298: 3$ | assigned $5: 11$ | $75: 6,886: 10$ | authorized |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $328: 4$ | $8: 1711: 11$ | $87: 688: 11$ | $25: 2029: 6$ |  |
| artistically | $12: 14196: 7,16$ | $114: 15,22$ | $196: 8249: 8$ |  |
| $78: 382: 4$ | $208: 17$ | $128: 1$ | $250: 22343: 18$ |  |
| $121: 7122: 18$ | assignment | attentive $68: 22$ | autobiograph... |  |
| $125: 7184: 20$ | $197: 15$ | attorney | $45: 10$ |  |
| $285: 16296: 2$ | assignments | $355: 14356: 10$ | automated |  |
| artistry $62: 1$ | $226: 13$ | attracted | $154: 4247: 9$ |  |
| artists $62: 5$ | assistance | $137: 22275: 9$ | automobile |  |
| $66: 1394: 4$ | $255: 22$ | $282: 15287: 10$ | $59: 15$ |  |
| $210: 6214: 6$ | assisting | $288: 11$ | auxiliary |  |
| $264: 18$ | $346: 17$ | attraction | $226: 12$ |  |
| arts $4: 47: 20$ | association | $237: 7$ | available $54: 3$ |  |
| $13: 1415: 4,14$ | $19: 7,15317: 14$ | attractive | $119: 22199: 15$ |  |
| $16: 1719: 22$ | $345: 3$ | $102: 12106: 20$ | average $137: 6$ |  |
| $44: 9$ | assume $16: 15$ | $107: 2138: 7$ | $187: 11317: 17$ |  |
| artwork | $19: 2044: 10$ | $179: 17232: 3$ | avoid $111: 20$ |  |
| $212: 14,15$ | $46: 3$ | $236: 9238: 14$ | award $204: 5$ |  |
| $229: 8$ | assure $25: 6$ | auburn $28: 4$ | awarded $208: 5$ |  |
| asantewaa $53: 5$ | athena $220: 1,1$ | $29: 842: 7$ | $257: 3344: 12$ |  |
| asian $299: 15$ | $220: 6,12$ | $55: 1458: 21$ | awards $196: 14$ |  |
| asked $56: 1$ | $222: 13228: 12$ | $59: 373: 793: 2$ | aware $9: 6$ |  |
| $150: 19247: 13$ | atlantic $256: 6$ | $127: 9128: 2$ | $300: 20$ |  |
| $337: 14$ | $256: 10257: 12$ | audio $355: 8$ | awesome $93: 14$ |  |
| asking $168: 5$ | $259: 20289: 12$ | $356: 3$ | $128: 12250: 18$ |  |
| $211: 12,15$ | $289: 15291: 3$ | august $5: 199: 1$ | awfully $22: 13$ |  |
| $331: 22$ | attached | $11: 5343: 16,22$ | awkward |  |
| aspect $28: 16$ | $134: 14$ | $344: 7345: 16$ | $292: 11$ |  |
| $106: 5112: 2$ | attempted $46: 3$ | $345: 22$ | aye $23: 2224: 1$ |  |
| $113: 12116: 17$ | $228: 10$ | austere $197: 22$ | $47: 2248: 1$ |  |
| $238: 5273: 3$ | attend $345: 6$ | authentic $32: 8$ | $167: 12,13$ |  |
| $291: 19$ | attendance | authentication | $169: 15,17,19$ |  |
| aspects $112: 17$ | $9: 15353: 15$ | $92: 22$ | $172: 16,17$ |  |
| $213: 9,10298: 3$ | attendees $2: 2$ | authored $19: 2$ | $173: 5,7175: 10$ |  |
| aspirational | attending | authority | $175: 11176: 14$ |  |
| $124: 5$ | $195: 10$ | $220: 11$ | $176: 15182: 17$ |  |
| assign $65: 10$ | attention $49: 8$ | authorization | $182: 18184: 2,4$ |  |
|  | $61: 573: 13$ | $25: 11$ | $194: 21,22$ |  |


| 243:20,21 | 47:5 58:1 | barriers | battalions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 341:22 342:1 | 60:16 65:22 | 157:18,22 | 229:14 |
| 343:1,2 350:14 | 107:15 109:20 | 239:13 | battery 292:14 |
| 350:15 352:5,6 | 117:4 180:18 | barring 70:6 | battle 251:15 |
| 354:10,11 | 210:2 216:18 | 153:7 | beacon 28:13 |
| ayes 354:14 | 251:12 257:8 | base 204:9 | bear 17:6,8 |
| b | 259:11 263:9 | 242:13 334:1 | 20:19,21 |
| b 263:8 317:3 | 266:6 287:18 | baseball $24: 8,9$ | beat 81:5 |
| back 13:18 | 321:9 346:19 | 24:15,15 233:2 | beautiful 64 |
| 22:3 35:3 43:9 | 347:3 | 233:2 | 82:4,4 83:14 |
| 65:4 76:14 | backgrounds | based 48:19 | 114:16 115:2 |
| 97:6,7 98:6 | 200:17 | 51:11 86:6 | 159:19 187:21 |
| 131:2 132:19 | backlog 198:8 | 90:14 92:17 | 273:2 293:5 |
| 137:16 142:8 | backlogs | 150:16 193:22 | 339:9 |
| 146:17 148:9 | 198:12 | 194:4 198:5 | beautifully |
| 152:5,7 153:15 | backstory | 202:16 207:22 | 314:14 |
| 153:16 154:15 | 240:15 | 230:3 325:21 | beauty 85:3 |
| 161:3 180:21 | bad 49:10 | 330:9 351:9 | 86:13 157:4 |
| 191:8 192:19 | 01:9 188:13 | basement | beckon 82:18 |
| 193:8 195:21 | 84:10 | 76:12 | beckoning 81:2 |
| 198:13 211:14 | bags 246:21,22 | bases 95:7 | becoming |
| 226:3 230:2 | balance 100:22 | basic 112:19 | 128:1 |
| 241:4 248:17 | 287:19 | basically 79:10 | bedroom 310:5 |
| 248:17 249:5 | baldacchino | 80:7 95:12 | beg 55:13 |
| 268:19 276:14 | 256:3 257:21 | 122:11 124:6 | began 97:11 |
| 281:12,14 | bandanna | 168:14 190:10 | 118:4 120:2 |
| 290:21 292:17 | 168:9 | basis 207:11 | begging 55:8 |
| 293:13 294:9 | bang 188:15 | 248:15 302:8 | beginning |
| 294:18 300:9 | bank 52:18 | 329:19 | 237:2 253:6 |
| 302:16,18 | 53:3,4,5,8 | basketball | 303:1 |
| 305:2,17 319:8 | bankruptcy | 14:17 | beginnings |
| 330:18 | 55:13 | battalion 5:12 | 83:22 |
| backdrop | banner 260:4 | 8:18 196:8,17 | behalf 13:3 |
| 346:9 | banners 326:5 | 197:12,17,19 | 18:18 23:5 |
| background | barely 97:17 | 198:22 204:4 | 31:6,19 244:16 |
| 35:6,13 36:14 | barns 311:20 | 204:15 216:20 | 301:17 |
| 38:8 41:20 | barrels 308:6 | 217:5 218:17 | belabor 130:7 |
|  |  | 219:7 225:6,10 |  |


| believe 8:6 | 220:17 237:5,5 | 161:19 188:15 | 301:9 305:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11:14 13:3 | 263:19 275:1,1 | 246:22 269:2 | 315:2 319:15 |
| 25:14 47:9 | 288:4,4 312:21 | 306:19 328:21 | 335:1 336:21 |
| 50:12 56:8 | 312:21 354:7,7 | bigger 139:17 | 337:4 |
| 85:8 90:12 | best 34:7 72:16 | bike 229:6,7 | black 31:8 |
| 100:8 122:21 | 87:16 134:3 | biography $45: 4$ | 38:18 52:16 |
| 147:5 148:5 | 135:19 136:5 | 45:5 58:20 | 117:4 200:19 |
| 156:8 164:11 | 137:5 140:11 | 60:11 310:22 | blacks 54:8 |
| 203:12 205:6 | 146:2 240:12 | birmingham | blair 53:3 |
| 290:20 325:5 | 256:1 271:15 | 196:19 197:21 | blank 124:16 |
| believed | 292:8 347:12 | 198:10 223:13 | blocks 145:11 |
| 120:14 | 355:10 356:6 | birth 26:12 | blown 144:9 |
| beneath 257:21 | better 44:20 | 201:4 | blows 149:4 |
| benefit 99:14 | 58:19 70:10,12 | bisected 115:6 | blueprint |
| 213:17,19 | 91:17 116:10 | bit 32:5,15 | 326:3 |
| 234:3 305:4 | 117:1 120:13 | 58:20 60:4 | board 15:16 |
| 335:6 338:21 | 125:9 151:8 | 61:1 68:4 | 19:6 31:19 |
| benefits 202:4 | 164:13 165:7 | 77:22 78:21 | 211:15 235:10 |
| benjamin | 166:10 184:10 | 80:10,21 82:11 | 241:3 347:2 |
| 194:14 | 212:1 247:12 | 82:15,22 85:7 | boarded |
| bequeathed | 278:6 284:11 | 100:10,13 | 198:19 |
| 31:2 | 295:12 308:19 | 101:12 107:7,9 | boat 35:13 |
| berkeley | 322:16,18 | 108:18 114:18 | 48:13 109:17 |
| 286:12 | 336:11 | 116:9 117:3 | 116:15 123:2 |
| bernstein 3:12 | beyond 78:12 | 120:9 131:6 | boats 38:8 |
| 6:18,19 23:16 | 93:11,11,22 | 136:2,22 137:3 | 166:20 |
| 23:16 44:5,5 | 125:16 126:21 | 141:18,21 | bodied 192:9 |
| 44:12,15 45:12 | 135:7 145:19 | 142:19 144:6 | body 248:2 |
| 63:19,19 | 179:16 203:4 | 147:13,17,17 | bold 63:1 |
| 104:14,14 | 338:9 344:14 | 156:18 157:14 | 108:17 141:5 |
| 105:10 137:21 | biases 117:21 | 157:20 179:19 | boldest 142:18 |
| 137:22 161:8 | bicentennial | 180:19 183:3 | bolt 283:21 |
| 168:21,22 | 2:15 3:4 5:7 | 214:22 244:22 | bolts 283:21 |
| 170:1,2 171:16 | 8:13 11:2 | 264:13 267:17 | bomber 263:8 |
| 171:20 172:1,4 | 12:11 25:20 | 274:5 286:10 | bombing 344:2 |
| 172:6 182:22 | 26:10,12 | 287:11 288:1 | bonded 250:20 |
| 183:1 219:13 | big 35:22 36:4 | 288:20 290:19 | boneza 2:8 |
| 219:13 220:13 | 83:7 145:6 | 293:2,8 300:15 | 10:7 |


| book 44:22 | brainer 104:1 | brilliance | 268:19 311:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 224:10 230:21 | 271:20 273:1 | 61:10,10 | 332:17 |
| 268:14,15,15 | 275:3 276:4 | brilliant 103:3 | brown 1:5 2:3 |
| bookend 111:1 | 313:1 | 184:18 342:6 | 6:2,7,20 7:1,4 |
| books 190:17 | branch 254:6 | bring 49:7 | 7:7,10,13,16 |
| 268:12 | 254:10 255:14 | 319:13 322:8 | 7:19,22 8:3,3 |
| boots 348: | 256:13 258:11 | bringing 81:11 | 9:13,18,21 |
| border 263:9 | 284:7 | 148:3 340:20 | 10:2,4,7,10,13 |
| borer 2:7 10:2 | branches | brings $84: 5$ | 10:16,20 11:1 |
| 10:3 33:15 | 258:21 277: | 144:12 165:4 | 11:8,14,17,21 |
| boring 77:22 | brat 200:2 | 190:14 306:21 | 12:2 16:8 |
| 272:5 277:16 | brave 108:17 | broad 188:10 | 18:16 19:10 |
| born 26:15 | 233:20 344:10 | 301:4 | 20:1 23:4,19 |
| 73:5 | braved 26:21 | broader 157:20 | 24:2 26:6 |
| other 318: | bravery 344:18 | 250:10 | 29:15,17 30:3 |
| bothered 66:17 | breadth 73:11 | brokaw 268: | 43:10,11 44:4 |
| bothers 156:18 | break 79:10,16 | broke 94:16 | 44:11,13 45:13 |
| bottom 111:5 | 80:13 97:2 | 137:14 246:12 | 46:18 47:16,19 |
| 150:9 | 195:21,21 | broken 39:21 | 47:21 48:2 |
| bought 189:9 | 281:9 330:12 | 79:18 102:3 | 49:17 51:3,9 |
| box 139:18 | breaker 246:12 | 157:7 179:22 | 53:21 56:19 |
| 271:12 | breakfast | 283:22 284:1,4 | 57:4,7,9 58:4 |
| boxes 216:8 | 64:20 105:14 | 284:7 327:18 | 63:13 64:17,19 |
| 218:4 227:16 | 105:15 | 328:20 329:1 | 66:20 67:8,10 |
| boy $260: 22$ | breaking 36:4 | bronze 210:11 | 68:14 70:14 |
| 313:10 314:2 | 66:22 102:4 | 234:12,13 | 72:18,20 75:17 |
| 315:15 319:22 | 157:18,22 | 254:22 255: | 79:4 83:2 85:2 |
| 321:20 324:6 | brendan 2:19 | 256:18 258:9 | 87:5,8 88:16 |
| 324:11,12 | 11:18 | 259:3 260:13 | 89:1,4,5,7,8,14 |
| 327:21 | brevity 85:4 | 262:1,2,12 | 90:6 91:5 92:1 |
| bradford 3:9 | 86:13 157:4 | 274:13 283:2 | 92:11,13 96:12 |
| 12:19 45:4 | bridge 35:21 | 296:13 297:8 | 97:6,7 98:13 |
| 154:4 199:13 | brief 133:19 | 310:19 328:21 | 98:15,16,21 |
| 224:5,6,9,15 | $1: 8312: 18$ | brother 307:21 | 99:2,6,12,16 |
| bradford's | briefly 149:16 | brothers | 102:18 104:12 |
| 45 | 310:18 | 304:10 | 105:12,17,18 |
| braford 247:9 | brighter 58:1 | brought 110:12 | 106:10,12 |
|  |  | 144:17 184:11 | 108:10 109:10 |


| $112: 7114: 5,6$ | $169: 11,14,16$ | $219: 9220: 18$ | $300: 2,3,6,22$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $115: 19117: 8$ | $169: 18,20$ | $224: 4,8,14,16$ | $301: 13302: 7$ |
| $118: 17,20$ | $170: 6,10$ | $225: 21226: 2$ | $302: 18303: 16$ |
| $120: 16,17,18$ | $171: 12,19,22$ | $227: 3,7228: 16$ | $303: 22304: 4$ |
| $121: 1,6,9,10$ | $172: 3,5,7,10$ | $228: 21229: 21$ | $307: 9,10$ |
| $122: 2,4,5$ | $172: 14,18,21$ | $232: 10233: 22$ | $309: 12310: 14$ |
| $123: 10124: 18$ | $173: 2,4,6,8,10$ | $235: 20236: 1,5$ | $312: 12,19$ |
| $125: 13,20$ | $173: 12,14,16$ | $236: 20237: 4,9$ | $313: 4314: 6$ |
| $127: 5128: 5,14$ | $173: 20174: 3,5$ | $237: 10,19$ | $315: 3316: 17$ |
| $128: 15129: 13$ | $174: 8,10,13,17$ | $238: 10,16$ | $316: 19,22$ |
| $129: 15,16$ | $174: 19175: 8$ | $240: 2241: 8,11$ | $317: 1319: 1,9$ |
| $130: 9131: 2$ | $175: 12,15,19$ | $241: 13242: 3,6$ | $321: 17322: 5$ |
| $132: 1,5,9,14$ | $176: 1,4,7,12$ | $243: 5,7,16,18$ | $322: 19324: 20$ |
| $133: 7,12$ | $176: 16,20$ | $243: 19,22$ | $324: 22326: 22$ |
| $135: 11136: 6$ | $177: 5,8,12,18$ | $244: 12,15,19$ | $327: 1,20$ |
| $136: 12,15$ | $177: 22178: 11$ | $245: 6,12,17$ | $328: 10,12$ |
| $137: 19138: 16$ | $178: 18179: 1,5$ | $246: 3,4248: 8$ | $329: 5,18,22$ |
| $140: 7,9141: 1$ | $180: 6181: 10$ | $248: 13,21$ | $330: 11,18$ |
| $141: 13142: 14$ | $181: 14,15,17$ | $249: 3,5,11$ | $331: 13332: 8$ |
| $142: 16143: 21$ | $182: 5,15,19,22$ | $252: 21263: 15$ | $332: 14,18,22$ |
| $143: 22146: 4$ | $183: 2,6,11,13$ | $264: 4,8265: 8$ | $333: 2,13334: 2$ |
| $148: 6,16149: 9$ | $183: 15,17,19$ | $265: 19266: 22$ | $335: 8336: 3,17$ |
| $149: 12150: 13$ | $183: 21184: 1,3$ | $268: 7269: 21$ | $337: 5,10,12$ |
| $151: 10,15,22$ | $184: 5,8,22$ | $270: 5,8,10,13$ | $338: 10,20$ |
| $152: 3,7153: 5$ | $185: 4,12,15,19$ | $270: 15,19$ | $339: 2,4340: 16$ |
| $153: 6,9154: 22$ | $186: 3,15,20,21$ | $271: 18272: 7$ | $340: 18,21$ |
| $155: 2,12,17,20$ | $187: 4,7,19$ | $273: 17,19$ | $341: 2,3,17$ |
| $155: 22156: 3$ | $189: 11,15$ | $274: 22275: 14$ | $342: 2,9,17,21$ |
| $158: 2,6,10$ | $191: 2193: 13$ | $276: 1,3,18$ | $343: 3,7,21$ |
| $159: 4160: 13$ | $193: 18194: 1,6$ | $277: 12279: 4$ | $348: 15349: 6$ |
| $160: 14161: 6$ | $194: 18195: 1,4$ | $281: 2,14282: 9$ | $350: 2,6,12,16$ |
| $162: 6,12,16$ | $195: 19196: 3$ | $282: 14283: 16$ | $350: 18351: 3,5$ |
| $163: 22165: 2$ | $196: 13205: 18$ | $284: 3,20$ | $351: 7352: 2,5$ |
| $165: 19166: 1$ | $206: 6,8,9$ | $285: 19288: 2$ | $352: 7,15,17,18$ |
| $166: 21167: 4$ | $211: 9213: 6,14$ | $289: 5290: 17$ | $353: 11354: 9$ |
| $167: 14,17,20$ | $213: 22214: 3$ | $290: 18292: 18$ | $354: 12$ |
| $168: 16,19$ | $214: 11,13$ | $293: 21296: 4,8$ | buck $188: 15$ |
| $169: 1,3,5,7,9$ | $215: 22216: 2$ | $298: 7299: 1,20$ |  |


| bucktown | 167:18 172:21 | captivating | case 46:6 57:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59:16 | 182:21,22 | 141:6 | 72:10,12 108:5 |
| budget 110:1 | 254:3 257:5 | capture 147:7 | 115:8 132:18 |
| 180:2 | 285:6 315:10 | 147:8 225:3,15 | 133:14 193:5 |
| buffalo 59:9 | 334:14 336:8 | 227:1 | 193:16 195:20 |
| 210:4,7,9,12 | 336:13 337:15 | captured 63:1 | 213:14 234:8 |
| build 163:8 | 338:4,8 340:14 | 143:4 | 234:21 265:2 |
| building 76:8 | 341:9 344:14 | captures | 295:10 297:17 |
| built 76:13 | called 9:16 | 223:20 305:20 | 303:22 332:9 |
| 251:14 | 128:6,7 168:4 | care 22:8 28:7 | 332:10 |
| bullion 298:20 | 228:8 268:16 | 28:11 41:9,21 | cases 122:22 |
| bunch 307:19 | calling 191:9 | 54:7 280:7 | cast 192:3 |
| burdock 38:18 | 322:22 | 286:6 | catalyst 22:21 |
| buried 198:16 | camp 22:8 | cared 42:7 | catch 353:4 |
| 203:17,19,22 | campus 60:13 | 55:13 120:8 | catches 157:15 |
| 230:17 | canada 53:4 | careful 89:22 | category 276:5 |
| busby 286:12 | cancellation | carefully | catherine 53:3 |
| business 15:1 | 217:13 | 288:19 | 60:11 |
| 23:10 353:13 | candidate 5:6,9 | caribbean | cause 55:21,22 |
| bust 80:11,14 | 5:13,16 8:13 | 52:17 | 250:20 345:10 |
| busts 77:21 | 8:15,19,21 | carlton 3:7 | causes 28:6 |
| 80:20 | 25:19 26:2 | 12:17 199:11 | 250:10 |
| busy $82: 15$ | 28:17 29:3 | 200:4 205:15 | cca 13:4 24:21 |
| 148:21,22 | 196:5,12 249:7 | 205:15 | ccac 1:1 2:3,12 |
| 289:18 | 249:10 281:4 | carolina 27:22 | 2:13 5:4 8:5,9 |
| buy 180:2 | 343:13 | 38:13 39:14 | 8:12 9:5 10:18 |
| 280:3,4 | candidates | 127:15 | 10:21 12:22 |
| c | 50:18 113:3 | carried 54:19 | 13:12,15,16,22 |
| c $2: 13: 14: 1$ | 214:14 | carrier 119:7 | 14:2,2,10,13 |
| 5:16:137 | candidly | 216:17 | 16:12,16 18:18 |
| 37:21 38:14 | 211:16 | carries 48:3 | 19:17,21 22:12 |
| 39:13 261:8,9 | canopy 256:3 | 175:13 176:17 | 22:20 23:5,11 |
| 345:20 346:3 | 257:21 326:4 | 350:18 | 24:6,19 25:3,9 |
| 346:11,13,18 | canvas 143:1 | carry 225:5 | 25:13 52:6 |
| 347:2 | capable 268:4 | carrying 38:3 | 86:2 97:8 |
| $\text { call } 5: 3,36: 2$ | 268:6 | 115:22 236:13 | 131:3 152:9 |
| $6: 17 \text { 98:11 }$ | cape 59:22 | 345:19 346:8 | 214:16 242:12 |
|  |  |  | 244:16 281:15 |

[ccac - choice]

| 302:19 330:19 | 282:17 346:3 | 92:11 100:3 | charge $65: 2,4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 353:14,19 | cents 90:5 | 108:12 118:11 | 200:11 |
| 354:2 | 188:14 | 133:18 156:5 | charging |
| ccac's 14:18 | century 60:6 | 180:8 199:12 | 136:15 |
| ccac.gov. 25:5 | 250:7 265:21 | 214:19 230:14 | charity 197:18 |
| 353:20 | ceo 2:22 12:8 | 236:7 245:10 | 204:4,11 |
| ccac.org 25:4 | certain 31:13 | 268:9 272:10 | 208:17 210:21 |
| ceiling 218:20 | certainly 30:18 | 275:16 285:22 | 211:7 216:8,22 |
| 246:22 297:16 | 67:6 71:3 87:3 | 289:8 310:17 | 217:12,17 |
| celebrate 81:16 | 93:12 126:19 | 312:11,15 | 221:2 223:4 |
| 104:15 | 156:10 184:8 | 349:19 | 224:9 226:10 |
| celebration | 187:19 242:20 | chairperson | 233:7,11,14 |
| 93:19 | certificate | 2:3 43:10 | check 226:19 |
| cemetery | 355:1 356:1 | challenge | 307:16 |
| 203:20,22 | certify 355:4 | 50:15,16,19 | checking |
| censoring | 356:2 | challenges | 109:17 |
| 247:11 | chain 37:12 | 34:12 | cherry 38:18 |
| cent 188:8 | 39:21 117:6 | challenging | chest 247:20 |
| 189:9 190:22 | chains 36:4 | 49:5,6 272:1 | chicago 321:3 |
| centennial | 102:3,4,9 | 275:20 | chief 2:9 10:10 |
| 118:15 | 119:4 157:7 | championship | 25:21 34:6 |
| center 3:3 | 179:19,22 | 14:17,19 | 87:15 94:3 |
| 12:11 29:7,12 | chair 8:5 13:10 | change 78:20 | 121:19 303:20 |
| 30:2 31:21 | 14:14 24:19 | 79:21 110:12 | child 324:1 |
| 35:17 36:20 | 47:12 52:2 | 170:22 337:8 | 339:15 345:19 |
| 40:11 41:2 | 75:16 83:5 | 337:15 | 346:8 |
| 42:14 43:4,6 | 85:3 112:9 | changed 266:7 | children |
| 43:19 59:14 | 127:3 130:8 | 266:7 339:6 | 240:16 |
| 60:3 | 133:17 135:10 | 341:8 | chin 317:7 |
| center's 43:22 | 141:3 169:20 | changes 221:1 | chip 308:9 |
| centered 96:3 | 236:4 238:17 | changing 79:20 | choice 78:9 |
| 256:6 257:11 | 243:18 273:16 | 160:2 197:4 | 91:21 116:20 |
| 258:2 | 283:15 288:1 | 261:16 338:18 | 126:4 140:11 |
| central 5:12 | 296:5 | chapter 86:16 | 156:21 165:11 |
| 8:17 88:14 | chairman 3:7 | characterize | 166:11,11 |
| 196:7,16 | 12:17 52:12 | 119:11 | 201:4 274:9 |
| 197:17 216:20 | 57:1 58:3,7 | characterized | 275:13 |
| 217:5 219:6 | 60:16 63:12 | 28:14 54:17 |  |


| choices 91:20 | citizens 6:3 9:2 | 105:21 106:18 | clasped 70:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 91:20 135:18 | 18:1 21:16 | 122:1 136:16 | 84:17 |
| 148:19 161:14 | 25:11 55:14 | 137:8 149:2,19 | clasping 35:21 |
| 170:4 270:18 | 261:17 343:16 | 150:3 154:6,7 | 135:22 |
| 273:16 307:8 | 344:15 346:17 | 154:7 155:5 | class 226:11 |
| choose 49:18 | city 24:11 | 156:7 162:18 | 228:8,14 |
| 85:20 86:17 | 55:14 294:21 | 177:1,2,11,16 | classic 285:3,4 |
| 171:1 241:16 | 310:6 321:3 | 177:17,18,20 | 338:11 |
| 274:6 275:20 | civil 27:12,20 | 178:3 181:21 | classrooms |
| 284:16 324:16 | 28:2,11,22 | 185:10 193:21 | 267:2,9,10 |
| chooses 49:21 | 29:2 38:1,8,11 | 249:14 260:8,8 | clean 82:18 |
| chose 69:12 | 38:22 39:1,2,6 | 260:21 261:7 | 103:10,10,11 |
| 115:2 239:19 | 40:3,4,6,16,20 | 261:13,22 | 104:11 166:8 |
| 290:22 291:1 | 60:7 100:20 | 262:7,8,15,20 | 293:18 |
| 299:9 | 101:16 102:6 | 263:2,7,10 | clear 30:21 |
| chosen 197:19 | 104:16,20,21 | 269:5,18 | 56:6 82:22 |
| 301:7 | 106:4,18 107:6 | 272:12,18 | 134:13 138:4 |
| christa 194 | 109:9 110:4,9 | 274:7 303:17 | 152:12,18 |
| chronology | 110:14,17 | 303:19 318:8 | 154:1,7,16 |
| 29:4 269:7 | 111:6,13 112 | 325:1,7,10,12 | 165:17 172:8 |
| church 31:4,8 | 112:6,14,16 | 326:11,11 | 204:19 302:22 |
| 76:6 | 116:13,16 | 327:4,13 328:8 | cleared 198:7 |
| chute 76:17 | 117:17 120:7 | 330:2 331:20 | 198:11 |
| cincinnati 29:7 | 122:10,11,11 | 331:20,22 | clearer 111:4 |
| 60:3 | 124:12 125:18 | 333:5 335:13 | clearing 60:16 |
| ircle 128:10 | 132:12 157:9 | 353 | clearly 64:2 |
| circles 228:13 | 166:7,15,17 | clarificatio | 68:19 77:12 |
| circularity | 186:6,8, | 89:2 90:7 | 81:10 127:1 |
| 62:9 | 188:19 190: | 108:4 177:15 | 128:21 221:1,2 |
| circulating | 193: | 229:20 270:6 | 240:4 |
| 24:22 | ci | 329: | clinton's 60:11 |
| circumscribed | civilia | clarify 43:18 | clipart 179:19 |
| 260:12 262:1 | 249: | 160:5 286:2 | close 34:20 |
| circumstances | clad 37:16,18 | 333:3 | 66:18 136:20 |
| 268:4 | 39:12 50:21,22 | clarifying | 144:4 146:19 |
| citizen 124:7 | 82:7 86:7 90:3 | 155:18 | 153:22 156:22 |
| 340:3 | 98:20 99:21 | clarity 341:17 | 158:17 165:9 |
|  | 103:7 104:5 |  | 165:10,16,17 |


| 200:3 219:5 | 71:6,17 72:11 | 314:15 315:15 | colin 210:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 230:22 241:5 | 75:11 77:15 | 316:14 317:17 | collaborate |
| 303:13 321:12 | 80:3 87:15 | 323:20 324:9 | 208:7 |
| closed 54:22 | 101:1 104:2,15 | 325:6 327:22 | collaboration |
| closely 34:5 | 112:15 113:7 | 335:7 338:3 | 23:6 72:5 |
| 77:11,13,17 | 115:3 125:6 | 339:13 350:21 | collaborative |
| 87:14 97:22 | 129:8,9,11 | coin's 282:18 | 125:21 |
| 154:12 251:20 | 134:2,4 138:2 | coinable 265:1 | colleagues 6:16 |
| clothing 123:8 | 138:3,19,22 | coinage 6:3 | 13:20 14:8 |
| 305:4 | 139:8 140:5,12 | 14:5 18:2 | 57:18 85:4 |
| clubmobile | 141:7 142:1 | 21:16 25:12 | 102:16,19 |
| 230:20 | 145:14 147:8 | 52:18 53:1,8 | 118:9 134:9 |
| clue 45:20 | 148:21 151:1 | 58:9 64:8 | 146:6 166:3 |
| clutching 263:8 | 157:10,15 | 353:22 | 298:8 353:14 |
| 313:10 | 159:11,13,17 | coinages 47:14 | collecting 22:4 |
| clutter 166:18 | 161:2,10,15 | coins 20:12 | 22:5 234:12 |
| 292:9 | 162:22 178:7 | 22:6,10 24:22 | 304:16 |
| cluttered 71:19 | 180:5 184:15 | 30:12,20 31:10 | collection |
| coal 76:17 | 184:20 187:21 | 31:13 32:10 | 24:13 |
| coalesce 45:21 | 191:10,11,20 | 37:18 45:18 | collective |
| coast 258:17 | 192:8 194:14 | 48:10 67:5 | 202:17 231:22 |
| coffin 271:8 | 195:15 202:12 | 71:9,16 73:15 | 232:19 |
| coherent 67:1 | 202:13,14 | 77:21 85:9 | collectively |
| cohesive | 203:10,14 | 93:17 102:1 | 204:6 |
| 306:21 328:16 | 204:1,13 205:6 | 110:1 123:6 | collectivity |
| 333:21 | 207:5,7 214:10 | 124:21 145:7 | 135:3 |
| coin 2:15 3:4 | 229:2,6 233:5 | 151:8 156:13 | collector 19:11 |
| 4:7,8 5:8,14 | 249:8 252:2 | 157:3 159:11 | 180:5 231:13 |
| 8:14,20 9:10 | 253:1 $256: 1$ | 163:5 180:3,9 | collectors |
| 9:12 11:3 | 265:22 269:7 | 182:9 209:11 | 93:11 |
| 12:12,22 16:19 | 270:17 274:2 | 210:10 233:16 | college 14:17 |
| 18:1 $24: 7$ | 280:4,5,10,13 | 249:13,14,14 | 201:14 |
| 25:20 26:11 | 281:1,5 286:21 | 264:19 269:1 | colonel 204:17 |
| 29:1 31:9 37:8 | 289:20,22 | 284:8 285:4 | 215:14 219:20 |
| 45:19 52:14 | 290:2 291:11 | 295:14 306:9 | 236:12 240:4 |
| 56:4 58:15 | 291:21 292:5 | 316:5 318:19 | color 32:20 |
| 61:19 68:2 | 300:14 309:9 | 320:8 327:3 | colorize 351:4 |
| 69:2,2 70:1 | 309:16,21 |  |  |


| colorized | $143: 20171: 11$ | $205: 6210: 10$ | $92: 1694: 22$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $350: 22$ | $174: 22181: 21$ | $249: 8251: 3,18$ | $96: 10102: 19$ |
| columbia | comfortable | $252: 2253: 1$ | $118: 10122: 5$ |
| $355: 19$ | $123: 13130: 4$ | $265: 22279: 17$ | $122: 20142: 14$ |
| columns 297:8 | $191: 7,9,11,20$ | $281: 5285: 4$ | $146: 6,9,12$ |
| $348: 3$ | $236: 3$ | $309: 15,16$ | $148: 18150: 15$ |
| combahee | comforts | $319: 15320: 5$ | $151: 11,13$ |
| $27: 2138: 9,12$ | $194: 12$ | $322: 21335: 7$ | $162: 7167: 6$ |
| $39: 3,14,15$ | coming 97:6 | $350: 21$ | $178: 11181: 12$ |
| $40: 5,11107: 10$ | $190: 17201: 17$ | commemorat... | $189: 13190: 1$ |
| $190: 8,18$ | $230: 2266: 15$ | $50: 21194: 15$ | $193: 22194: 19$ |
| combat $296: 15$ | $280: 19,21$ | $194: 16$ | $205: 16,20$ |
| combatants | $303: 20314: 21$ | commend | $207: 22225: 21$ |
| $344: 19$ | comm $222: 17$ | $352: 19$ | $230: 3,8233: 12$ |
| combination | command | commendation | $237: 1238: 15$ |
| $75: 1186: 12$ | $228: 3$ | $199: 1226: 8$ | $240: 7269: 22$ |
| $116: 7298: 6$ | commander | comment $75: 21$ | $270: 2273: 20$ |
| $307: 7$ | $197: 20204: 5$ | $94: 10,22$ | $279: 9,10281: 2$ |
| combined | $204: 11,15$ | $123: 20158: 12$ | $298: 8,15$ |
| $218: 10307: 6$ | $223: 19225: 6$ | $160: 5,16161: 9$ | $299: 21301: 2$ |
| combining | $225: 11$ | $161: 16187: 4$ | $301: 14302: 7$ |
| $139: 7$ | commanding | $189: 18191: 8$ | $303: 18307: 11$ |
| come 16:1 37:6 | $228: 1$ | $191: 15194: 9$ | $307: 20321: 15$ |
| $39: 1862: 6$ | commemorate | $235: 4,5238: 7$ | $329: 6333: 14$ |
| $63: 1565: 4$ | $31: 22233: 19$ | $241: 6,9299: 6$ | $334: 3351: 10$ |
| $66: 1972: 16$ | commemorat... | $299: 19332: 22$ | $351: 19$ |
| $76: 2280: 22$ | $5: 178: 2211: 4$ | $339: 4$ | commission |
| $85: 3,598: 6$ | $318: 13343: 14$ | commentary | $44: 9190: 20$ |
| $125: 12162: 20$ | commemorat... | $158: 13300: 9$ | $208: 8210: 15$ |
| $195: 12,21$ | $253: 22$ | $300: 21$ | $251: 15$ |
| $207: 4214: 7$ | commemorat... | comments | commissioned |
| $228: 19235: 8$ | $2: 153: 45: 7,14$ | $23: 1451: 16,19$ | $210: 22$ |
| $239: 5248: 17$ | $8: 14,2011: 3$ | $56: 2058: 7,13$ | commitment |
| $266: 21268: 12$ | $12: 12,2224: 8$ | $63: 1467: 16$ | $250: 8254: 1$ |
| $286: 10$ | $24: 2225: 20$ | $72: 1573: 20$ | committed |
| comes 49:3 | $26: 1072: 11$ | $78: 2285: 4$ | $210: 14$ |
| $61: 1673: 8$ | $75: 2181: 7$ | $87: 1388: 17,19$ | committee $6: 4$ |
| $106: 8129: 19$ | $194: 13195: 15$ | $89: 292: 1,13$ | $6: 8,1515: 15$ |


| $18: 221: 17$ | $139: 21$ | completed | $119: 12235: 16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $22: 2023: 11$ | common 79:22 | $14: 16$ | $235: 17306: 20$ |
| $25: 1243: 12,13$ | $79: 22250: 9,20$ | completely | $322: 16326: 9$ |
| $48: 5,749: 1,16$ | $267: 16$ | $135: 16158: 18$ | $326: 20$ |
| $49: 17,2151: 10$ | communed | $161: 22178: 13$ | conceptually |
| $51: 1553: 16$ | $72: 2$ | $280: 8$ | $293: 15$ |
| 66:10 67:4 | communicate | completes | concern $70: 7$ |
| $72: 373: 183: 7$ | $129: 22151: 8$ | $84: 15230: 17$ | $74: 175: 14$ |
| $88: 2089: 15$ | $163: 12$ | $255: 18257: 17$ | $89: 14,16107: 8$ |
| $90: 10,13,15,20$ | communicati... | $260: 7263: 14$ | $108: 1,19$ |
| $90: 2192: 19$ | $2: 2011: 22$ | $348: 14$ | $115: 16178: 1$ |
| $96: 14101: 3$ | $222: 14223: 19$ | completing | $194: 17208: 11$ |
| $117: 9130: 10$ | communicati... | $230: 22$ | $300: 21341: 10$ |
| $132: 15,18$ | $353: 21$ | complex $71: 14$ | concerned |
| $133: 12135: 11$ | communities | compliment | $67: 2069: 21$ |
| $139: 14146: 12$ | $262: 16$ | $62: 7$ | $70: 4139: 6$ |
| $146: 14,17$ | community | component | $164: 10299: 10$ |
| $148: 9150: 15$ | $19: 1324: 21$ | $107: 6$ | $300: 19$ |
| $155: 3167: 9$ | $41: 1542: 10$ | components | concerning |
| $190: 20191: 16$ | $43: 1353: 18$ | $239: 18$ | $210: 21$ |
| $191: 18199: 21$ | comparatively | composition | concerns |
| $206: 10,11$ | $82: 12$ | $68: 2128: 17$ | $202: 17229: 1,1$ |
| $210: 15219: 10$ | compare $70: 5$ | $137: 13293: 12$ | $293: 11294: 14$ |
| $219: 11225: 22$ | compared $87: 3$ | compositional | $301: 6$ |
| $228: 17230: 1,5$ | $188: 22$ | $128: 17$ | concerted |
| $230: 7243: 10$ | comparison | comprehensive | $34: 12$ |
| $243: 14245: 6$ | $115: 11$ | $24: 14$ | concluded |
| $263: 16265: 9$ | compelling | compressed | $99: 18195: 8$ |
| $265: 20281: 3,3$ | $39: 781: 3$ | $265: 3$ | $353: 12354: 17$ |
| $286: 1298: 15$ | $82: 18,22$ | compromise | concludes $43: 8$ |
| $303: 18315: 5$ | $106: 15112: 3$ | $91: 2116: 7$ | $219: 8$ |
| $316: 9$ | $144: 21163: 14$ | $139: 3,22$ | conclusion |
| committee's | $180: 1232: 4$ | computer | $192: 18214: 7$ |
| $49: 773: 16$ | $238: 14294: 3$ | $136: 15$ | conclusive |
| $90: 17208: 8$ | $295: 15296: 21$ | conceived | $281: 18282: 1,7$ |
| $255: 22265: 10$ | $325: 9$ | $58: 17261: 3$ | $282: 7$ |
| committees | complete $32: 11$ | concept $94: 10$ | conditions |
| $34: 387: 11$ | $189: 20,20$ | $96: 3,7104: 9$ | $197: 22$ |
|  |  |  |  |


| conductor 27:8 | $231: 3234: 5,7$ | consider $47: 14$ | constituency |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $28: 2135: 4,11$ | $234: 12,18$ | $51: 12,1953: 16$ | $280: 22$ |
| $36: 17190: 3$ | $235: 18283: 2$ | $88: 20145: 6$ | constituent |
| conference | $343: 14351: 2$ | $148: 9159: 11$ | $139: 3234: 7$ |
| $1: 12$ | congressiona... | $160: 1162: 8$ | constituent's |
| confess $59: 2$ | $344: 22$ | $168: 11170: 2$ | $265: 11$ |
| $279: 5296: 5$ | congruity | $195: 16213: 7$ | constitution |
| confidence | $164: 21179: 16$ | $235: 11,21$ | $16: 2217: 3$ |
| $41: 3$ | conjunction | $240: 8242: 4$ | $20: 14,17$ |
| confidently | $145: 1$ | $247: 17263: 16$ | $119: 15,18$ |
| $35: 3$ | connect $79: 9$ | $272: 5336: 6$ | construct |
| confirm 9:14 | $79: 1695: 18$ | consideration | $60: 12$ |
| confirmed | connected | $51: 1456: 10,16$ | contd $3: 14: 1$ |
| $344: 2$ | $91: 19,20,21$ | $56: 1857: 20$ | contact $34: 12$ |
| conflicts $345: 6$ | connecting | $135: 9162: 9$ | $235: 10$ |
| conformed | $254: 22255: 6$ | $189: 13228: 18$ | contained |
| $70: 12$ | $259: 3$ | $230: 6270: 1$ | $200: 16$ |
| confused $136: 1$ | connection $9: 9$ | $274: 7287: 7$ | contains |
| $183: 3$ | $27: 1035: 8$ | considerations | $253: 12$ |
| congratulatio... | $40: 1168: 11$ | $50: 6230: 1$ | contemporary |
| $18: 1,1721: 16$ | $74: 6,1786: 19$ | $245: 16$ | $69: 1995: 21$ |
| $23: 5$ | $140: 16149: 6$ | considered | $96: 2101: 21$ |
| congress | $239: 6280: 3$ | $45: 5188: 7$ | $107: 12159: 15$ |
| $207: 15217: 3$ | $339: 21$ | $247: 6,17$ | $309: 15$ |
| $218: 7,12$ | connections | considering | content $128: 19$ |
| $222: 13346: 5$ | $59: 1160: 8$ | $113: 9$ | context $46: 12$ |
| $346: 14,20$ | connectivity | consisted | $87: 4101: 17$ |
| $347: 19349: 13$ | $135: 4$ | $200: 14$ | $110: 19127: 7$ |
| congress's | connects $37: 3$ | consistent | $128: 9153: 12$ |
| $209: 2$ | $260: 13262: 12$ | $54: 1277: 5$ | $285: 10$ |
| congressional | consensus $46: 4$ | $205: 9284: 8$ | continue $58: 7$ |
| $2: 16,175: 10$ | $142: 17144: 1$ | consoles | $98: 20133: 13$ |
| $5: 178: 15,22$ | $148: 7221: 22$ | $194: 16$ | $144: 18162: 15$ |
| $11: 3,912: 13$ | $223: 1$ | constellation | $213: 15303: 17$ |
| $196: 5,15$ | consequences | $35: 2236: 5$ | continued $28: 6$ |
| $201: 21203: 4$ | $111: 9,9$ | constituencies | $81: 1684: 17$ |
| $207: 16208: 19$ | conservative | $280: 5$ | $353: 22$ |
| $229: 2,8,17$ | $161: 1$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| continues 35:9 | corps 5:11 8:16 | counting | cover 11:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111:7 117:12 | 11:10 12:14 | 240:13 | 287:14 |
| continuing | 196:6,16 200:6 | countries 53:1 | covered 88:3 |
| 109:14 156:5 | 217:2 220:2,6 | 289:13 | 95:11 253:2 |
| 195:14 304:1 | 220:8,12,16 | country 32:13 | 325:2 |
| continuity | 221:15 222:12 | 32:21 58:10 | covering 88:7 |
| 179:8 297:22 | 223:7 226:11 | 84:22 119:3,13 | 94:5 110:4 |
| contributed | 226:12 256:15 | 119:17 126:5 | cows 147:21 |
| 124:12 | 258:18 344:11 | 126:11,13 | crash 198:16 |
| contribution | correct 44:10 | 127:2,11 | 216:18 |
| 13:22 74:6 | 44:11 47:2,7 | 150:20 190:15 | create 280:2,5 |
| 108:16 190:15 | 100:16 174:4 | 208:21,22 | 336:11 |
| 351:15 | 217:10 220:4,5 | 239:3,20 | created 35:21 |
| contributions | 221:3,4 245:21 | 257:15 337:19 | 148:13 210:10 |
| 14:9 32:12 | 269:15 270:8 | 339:15 | 217:1 258:10 |
| 190:3 199:5 | 300:6 313:19 | couple 65: | creates 280:22 |
| 208:6 314:10 | corrected | 143:15 148:18 | creating 15:11 |
| control 344:16 | 219:18 351:8 | 191:5 228:20 | creative 159:15 |
| convention | correction 46:7 | 238:18 289:8 | creatively |
| 27:7 | 48:21 | 290:9 291:13 | 295:19 |
| conversation | correctly 47:1 | 322:20 | crew 13:16 |
| 68:17 118:9 | 268:10 313:18 | courage 101:9 | critical 126:18 |
| 124:22 130:14 | correspond | 259:12,15,22 | 199:4 256:16 |
| 133:13 150:16 | 270:22 | 261:2,11 | crooning |
| 193:14 341:20 | cost 256:14 | courageous | 264:11 |
| conversations | costello 2:10 | 94:17 | cross 230:20 |
| 125:21 353:3 | 10:13,15 88:21 | course 30:8 | 241:2,3 295:1 |
| coo 3:5 12:10 | 151:14 335:11 | 45:3 57:22 | 312:8 |
| cool 298:20,21 | cottages 55:16 | 87:17 102:5 | crossed 38:4,5 |
| 325:13 | counsel 2:13,14 | 109:17 113:15 | 63:4 |
| copy 22:9 | 2:17 10:21,21 | 121:18 122:17 | crosses 225:4 |
| 96:18 | 11:2,9 97:8 | 123:22 134:7 | crowd 347:1 |
| core 41:14 42:4 | 131:3 152:8 | 176:10 203:13 | crowned 260:4 |
| 42:15,21 | 281:15 302:19 | 205:4 304:12 | crucial 239:1 |
| 150:22 151:1,6 | 330:19 355:11 | 304:16,20 | cultural 200:17 |
| corporate 2:20 | 355:14 356:7 | court 130:21 | culture 207:19 |
| 11:22 | 356:10 | 152:1 | 209:8 |

[cultured - defend]

| cultured 201:5 | d.c. $250: 5$ | 344:8 | dean's 304:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cummings 3:6 | danger 345:21 | days 246:14 | death 328:8 |
| 12:15 199:10 | dangerous 27:6 | dayton 241:5 | deaths 344:4 |
| 199:18,20 | 135:15 165:5 | dc 1:13 | debate 126:19 |
| 205:19 206:1,4 | 276:5 344:15 | de 210:7 | 338:18 |
| 206:7 215:3,14 | dangers 68:10 | 211:19 | decade 27:1 |
| 215:16 219:20 | dark 200:20 | dead 253:9 | 344:9 |
| 219:22 220:14 | darla 4:4 7:20 | 316:1 | decide 71:13 |
| 220:21 221:4,7 | 8:9 15:2,9 16:7 | deadliest 344:7 | 91:9 214:18 |
| 221:9 222:3,6 | 72:22 83:3,4 | deaf 127:20 | decided 90:4 |
| 224:3,19 225:2 | 90:8 114:7,8 | deal 66:13 | 273:8 295:18 |
| 225:20 226:1,6 | 115:22 126:16 | 297:6 304:8 | decision 91:12 |
| 227:6 228:2 | 141:14,15 | dealing 193:3 | 92:8,9 102:16 |
| 230:16 236:12 | 143:3 169:16 | 319:16 | 352:11 |
| 239:22 240:10 | 173:14 184:1 | dean 3:15 7:2 | decisions 91:12 |
| curator 3:9,22 | 237:19,20 | 43:15,16 56:20 | 94:7 207:3 |
| 7:17 12:19 | 238:11 276:19 | 58:5 64:14 | deconstructed |
| 199:13 | 276:20 292:19 | 92:2,3 100:1,3 | 268:18 |
| curiosity | 292:20 314:7,9 | 103:1 118:12 | decorated |
| 203:15 | 324:17 | 123:12,18 | 299:14 |
| curious 123:16 | darla's 280:8 | 124:18,19 | decorative |
| 202:15 227:8 | 280:19 | 133:15,17 | 256:18 |
| 227:18 266:2,4 | dash 39:18,18 | 135:15 138:9 | dedicate |
| currency 53:3 | data 98:19 | 156:3,4 158:12 | 332:21 |
| 109:2 | date 13:17 14:7 | 169:3 173:2 | dedicated |
| current 205:2 | 276:13 | 175:4 178:15 | 59:14 211:6 |
| 208:2 247:1 | dates 217:14 | 180:6,8 181:15 | 250:4 251:16 |
| currently | 283:8 | 183:11 184:11 | 344:19 |
| 162:18 179:20 | dating 198:12 | 230:12,14 | dedication |
| 214:15 312:17 | daughter 18:12 | 235:15 268:7,9 | 353:15 |
| 319:18 | daughters | 272:8 274:4 | deepening |
| curvature | 206:22 | 285:20,22 | 250:13 |
| 275:6 295:21 | davis 120:7 | 310:15,17 | deeper 202:14 |
| curve 190:21 | day 53:9 93:20 | 324:21 327:1,2 | default 174:17 |
| d | 111:7,10 | 331:13 332:10 | 174:20 |
| d 6:1 | 144:18 198:6 | 333:6 334:2 | defend 16:22 |
|  | 233:18 237:8 | 336:5,17 342:7 | 17:3 20:14,17 |
|  | 279:19 319:17 | 352:18 354:8 | 126:5 |


| defenders | 112:8 129:13 | departure 85:8 | 38:20 39:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 256:22 283:9 | 129:15 142:15 | depend 306:7 | 41:18 42:3 |
| defense 250:9 | 144:8 153:3,5 | depended | 216:13,21 |
| defer 123:21 | 153:9 154:22 | 201:3 | 217:12,19 |
| deference | 158:5,6 160:6 | dependent 90:3 | 218:9,14 219:4 |
| 139:21 | 160:12,15 | depending 71:8 | 253:7,21 254:4 |
| defiance 41:3 | 162:7 166:4,21 | 287:10 | 254:8,20 |
| 63:1,5 | 168:6 169:11 | depict 40:1 | 255:12,15 |
| define 213:16 | 173:10 177:3,5 | 41:7 53:12 | 257:6 259:1,6 |
| defining 250:6 | 181:16,17,18 | 66:15 124:3 | 261:7,13,22 |
| definitely | 181:20 183:19 | 156:19 221:6 | 262:8,15,20 |
| 159:7 334:8 | 189:17 194:6 | 232:18 248:4 | 263:2,10 |
| definitive | 194:18 235:22 | 254:14 255:5 | 310:11 345:13 |
| 90:16 131:6 | 241:8,13 243:4 | 256:2 257:10 | 345:18 346:16 |
| 303:9 | 243:5,6 264:2 | 257:20 258:8 | 346:22 347:17 |
| definitively | 264:4,16 | 259:8,18 260:9 | deposition |
| 317:8 | 270:14 282:12 | 260:21 296:15 | 355:1 |
| degree 45:18 | 287:3 290:19 | 327:3 346:1,7 | depressed |
| 239:12 298:4 | 291:4,6 299:22 | depicted 52:22 | 315:15 |
| degrees 201:14 | 300:2 304:3 | 69:9,11 107:14 | depression |
| delay 210:18 | 307:20 308:13 | 233:7 296:19 | 201:10 329: |
| deliberately | 308:19 324:20 | 299:15 301:9 | depth 73:11 |
| 69:12 | 332:15,22 | 348: | deputy $2: 4$ |
| deliberation | 333:3 334:5 | depicting 69:15 | 9:16 15:21 |
| 7:7 | 336:10 340:9 | 123:3 187:22 | 9:8 |
| deliberations | 340:22 341:2 | 299:9,11 301:3 | descent 52:16 |
| 44:7 | 342:19,21 | 324:14 | described |
| delivery 197:5 | 353 | depiction 74:20 | 22:11 45:7 |
| 197:10 | dennis's 91:18 | 75:2 88:7 | describes |
| democracy | 164:22 | 121:14 227:14 | 247:1 |
| 250:15 | denomina | 236:12 287:5 | descriptions |
| demonstrates | 33:22 | 288:11,15 | 33:15 43:9 |
| 64:2 104:20 | denom | 298:10 346:10 | 133:5,9 |
| 332:19 | 1:8 | depictions | descriptive |
| dennis 3:20 | departed 97:12 | 38:17 88:2 | 112:12,21 |
| 7:14 72:19 | department | 294:7 | deserves |
| 89:5,7 91:1 | 225:8 | depicts 34:9,15 | 344:20 |
| 92:6 98:14,15 |  | 35:2 37:9 38:7 |  |


| design $2: 5,6,7$ | $115: 17116: 3$ | $256: 20257: 11$ | $347: 12,15,17$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2: 8,109: 19,22$ | $116: 17,21,21$ | $257: 14,16,17$ | $347: 21348: 2,5$ |
| $10: 2,4,7,14$ | $117: 20121: 12$ | $258: 5,6,7,15$ | 348:10,12,13 |
| 15:11 24:21 | $125: 11126: 15$ | $258: 19,21$ | designated |
| $25: 2226: 13$ | $126: 18128: 18$ | $259: 1,10,13,15$ | $197: 16344: 22$ |
| $29: 1330: 5$ | $128: 20,21$ | $259: 16260: 14$ | designation |
| $33: 1534: 9,14$ | $130: 5,7,10$ | $260: 16,18,19$ | $215: 19$ |
| $34: 15,19,20$ | $135: 19136: 1,3$ | $260: 22261: 4$ | designed $50: 8$ |
| $35: 10,1536: 2$ | $138: 1,5,15$ | $262: 5,6263: 1$ | $63: 1220: 3$ |
| $36: 3,7,12,18$ | $139: 7,14$ | $263: 13,13$ | designer $34: 6$ |
| $36: 20,2237: 7$ | $141: 11,17$ | $264: 18265: 1$ | $87: 15121: 19$ |
| $38: 1,1,3,7,15$ | $142: 22145: 1$ | $265: 16270: 22$ | designing |
| $38: 2039: 4,10$ | $145: 21146: 2$ | $271: 4,9,15,16$ | $210: 8$ |
| $39: 16,20,22$ | $148: 18149: 2$ | $271: 17272: 22$ | designs $5: 6,9$ |
| $40: 6,7,9,13,19$ | $155: 7159: 7$ | $273: 2274: 7,11$ | $5: 13,168: 13$ |
| $40: 1941: 1,4$ | $160: 20,21$ | $275: 9276: 15$ | $8: 15,19,21$ |
| $41: 10,16,18$ | $161: 2,19162: 2$ | $277: 2,4278: 15$ | $24: 725: 19$ |
| $42: 3,12,18,21$ | $162: 4163: 2,10$ | $281: 21282: 17$ | $26: 2,528: 17$ |
| $43: 3,6,944: 17$ | $168: 11171: 6$ | $284: 6,12,13$ | $28: 20,2229: 3$ |
| $46: 5,11,17$ | $171: 21179: 17$ | $288: 19290: 11$ | $33: 16,1834: 2$ |
| $48: 8,12,18,22$ | $181: 1184: 17$ | $293: 19294: 4$ | $34: 935: 20$ |
| $49: 2252: 11$ | $184: 18186: 5$ | $295: 6,17$ | $37: 17,2239: 12$ |
| $58: 1561: 3,6$ | $196: 5211: 13$ | $300: 14303: 5$ | $40: 1,1941: 6$ |
| $61: 1362: 3$ | $213: 9214: 1,14$ | $306: 21308: 16$ | $42: 1643: 8,14$ |
| $63: 964: 7,10$ | $216: 7,13,21,21$ | $308: 18309: 6$ | $45: 1946: 13,15$ |
| $66: 1968: 6,9$ | $217: 8,8,12,19$ | $314: 14316: 7$ | $48: 8,1349: 18$ |
| $68: 1269: 2,3$ | $218: 3,13,14$ | $317: 11,21$ | $52: 555: 5$ |
| $70: 5,2271: 14$ | $219: 1,4220: 3$ | $319: 14322: 10$ | $56: 1257: 2$ |
| $73: 2177: 4,16$ | $222: 1,8224: 21$ | $323: 5,17$ | $58: 1860: 17$ |
| $77: 1878: 1,7$ | $224: 21,22$ | $325: 13326: 4$ | $61: 873: 19$ |
| $78: 1179: 2$ | $226: 2234: 9$ | $328: 4,22$ | $75: 8,1477: 2,4$ |
| $82: 5,1286: 11$ | $235: 6,7,15$ | $330: 22331: 1,2$ | $78: 10,1882: 18$ |
| $87: 19,2088: 8$ | $237: 16248: 5$ | $331: 2,3,3$ | $86: 10,16,17$ |
| $88: 1190: 13$ | $251: 8252: 4$ | $337: 8338: 11$ | $87: 1191: 14$ |
| $92: 5100: 15$ | $253: 7,18,20,20$ | $343: 14345: 3$ | $95: 21100: 4$ |
| $104: 5105: 2$ | $254: 4,7,16,17$ | $345: 13,18$ | $101: 21102: 15$ |
| $108: 2112: 3,19$ | $255: 3,8,10$ | $346: 4,5,12,13$ | $106: 15,19$ |
| $113: 7,13,13,20$ | $256: 1,9,10,17$ | $346: 16,22$ | $107: 1112: 10$ |
|  |  |  |  |


| 113:5,11 116:8 | 347:7,8 350:10 | diametrically | dimensional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 118:22 130:2 | desirable | 158:18 | 290:14 291:19 |
| 133:18 134:8 | 121:15 | die 326:17 | 291:20 294:16 |
| 134:11 136:16 | desire 71:8 | died 249:20 | diplomas 201:9 |
| 141:16 143:6,6 | desmond 53:4 | 271:6 273:14 | 201:12 |
| 143:9 144:10 | despite 27:5 | difference 69:1 | dipper 35:22 |
| 144:16 157:11 | 50:5 | differences | 36:5 |
| 159:10,12,13 | detail 87:20 | 232:17 | direct 339:21 |
| 162:5 164:22 | 101:2 112:13 | different 45:18 | directed |
| 170:3,21 | 147:13 272:2 | 49:18 53:1 | 349:13 |
| 174:16 179:9 | detailed 273:9 | 66:19 79:13 | direction 98:22 |
| 196:12 199:8 | 306:5 335:21 | 101:10 138:14 | 115:8 167:3 |
| 202:8 203:11 | 335:22 | 143:15 156:16 | 298:9 |
| 211:14 213:16 | details 32:7 | 158:1 159:9 | directly 96:22 |
| 215:1 216:7 | 278:19,21 | 161:14 162:4 | 295:19 297:15 |
| 218:2 236:9 | determination | 167:10 181:8 | director 2:4,11 |
| 241:15 242:11 | 28:15 41:3,16 | 193:14 213:11 | 3:7,11 8:17 |
| 243:2 249:7,10 | 42:11 43:2 | 239:20 287:14 | 9:16 10:16 |
| 252:5,20 253:7 | 60:20 61:1 | 296:3 297:12 | 12:18 13:1 |
| 254:13 255:18 | 77:19 143:16 | 302:6 320:12 | 15:21 19:8 |
| 255:20,21 | determined | 334:6 341:4 | 44:7 196:7 |
| 257:18,20 | 13:17 56:14 | differently | 199:12 251:6 |
| 259:8 260:7 | determining | 23:8 114:18 | directors 31:20 |
| 262:7 274:3 | 255:22 | 334:7 | directory 5:12 |
| 275:11,11,18 | developed | difficult 50:8 | 196:17 197:17 |
| 277:16 280:7 | 28:18 | 65:19 106:14 | 216:20 217:5 |
| 281:4 288:5,5 | developing | 293:8 295:3 | 219:7 |
| 288:9 289:17 | 29:13 199:8 | 313:7 | directs 225:12 |
| 293:10 298:1,6 | 251:7 344:21 | difficulty 156:7 | dirty 222:16 |
| 303:19 304:7 | development | dig 202:13 | disadvantage |
| 306:7 308:12 | 32:20 | digital 355:8 | 332:12 |
| 309:1 315:6,11 | devised 198:1 | 356:3 | disagree |
| 316:10,15 | devoid 143:7 | dignified 65:6 | 125:17 207:10 |
| 325:10,22 | diagonal | 65:8 67:19 | 273:5 |
| 327:5 328:15 | 128:22 129:1,2 | dignity 55:18 | disappointed |
| 329:21 330:9 | 129:3 | dimension | 236:11 |
| 342:14 344:21 | diameter 66:12 | 69:20 291:22 | disbanded |
| 345:12 346:1 | 75:15 |  | 220:8 |


| dise 228:11 | 170:11 172:15 | district 355:19 | dollar 28:20,22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| discarded | 175:5,9 176:4 | disturbing | 29:1 37:8 |
| 268:19 | 176:5,13 | 126:17 | 61:18 63:20 |
| discharge | 177:13 179:7 | dive 290:13 | 65:5,20 66:2,5 |
| 17:17,20 21:10 | 185:6 191:16 | diverse 94:14 | 66:12,18 69:8 |
| 21:13 | 195:7 196:4 | 200:16 201:5 | 70:1,3 71:5 |
| disclose 238:17 | 202:11 222:1 | diversity | 75:12,16 81:7 |
| disclosure | 229:1 230:4,11 | 141:11 201:18 | 89:10 90:3,4 |
| 220:7 | 231:17 236:3 | division 311:1 | 91:9,10,15,15 |
| discounted | 241:19 243:2 | divorced | 91:21 98:20 |
| 287:2 288:9 | 243:19 244:21 | 186:13 | 100:10 101:1 |
| discourage | 246:1 249:7 | dizzy 289:2 | 105:3 112:20 |
| 49:20 | 304:1 332:17 | doctor 89:3 | 113:17 116:1,2 |
| discretion | 337:4 339:3 | documentary | 116:6,6 134:4 |
| 155:8 168:11 | 342:22 343:8 | 12:17 199:11 | 137:16 139:8 |
| 176:11 | 343:20 350:6 | 203:5 | 143:1 149:3 |
| discuss 90:7 | 350:10,13 | documentation | 150:3,6 155:4 |
| 133:7 156:2 | 353:12 | 95:12 | 155:5,6 157:18 |
| 199:22 210:1 | discussions | documents | 157:19 161:18 |
| 235:13 338:18 | 89:12 185:20 | 23:14 | 162:3 170:3 |
| 349:21 350:11 | 193:10 252:17 | dod's 204:9 | 171:21 174:1,2 |
| discussed | dismissed 84:3 | dodge 216:16 | 174:6 181:21 |
| 154:16 163:4 | displays 24:13 | dog 348:7 | 249:13,14,14 |
| 163:20 194:20 | disrespect | doing 6:16 | 282:15 304:5 |
| 227:4 | 225:7 | 16:17 20:10 | 309:7,16 312:9 |
| discussing | disrespectful | 57:2 59:20 | 318:9 325:1,3 |
| 118:12 191:4 | 125:1 | 74:14 80:15 | 326:11,20 |
| discussion 5:6 | distinction | 91:7 92:9 | 333:7,12 |
| 5:9,13,16 8:12 | 182:2 | 104:3 107:9 | 336:13,14 |
| 8:14,19,21 | distinctive 66:2 | 149:11 150:19 | dollars 294:19 |
| 25:8 46:16 | distinguished | 157:11,22 | 326:11 |
| 47:21 48:3 | 38:10 | 165:16 187:17 | domestic 17:1 |
| 51:20 73:18 | distinguishing | 192:17 227:21 | 17:5 20:15,18 |
| 74:10 87:7 | 27:19 | 227:22 232:8 | dominates |
| 89:11 92:16 | distracted | 235:11 267:12 | 138:20 |
| 96:14 99:18,21 | 132:22 | 270:6 280:2 | don 272:22 |
| 137:11 153:7 | distraction | 306:9 308:10 | 273:5 287:12 |
| 156:1 158:9,11 | 65:21 | 318:8 345:10 |  |


| donald 3:18 | $23: 19$ 24:2 | $117: 8118: 11$ | $167: 14,17,20$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $7: 1145: 13$ | $26: 629: 15,17$ | $118: 17,20$ | $168: 16,19$ |
| $68: 15102: 22$ | $30: 343: 11,16$ | $120: 16,17,18$ | $169: 1,2,3,4,5,7$ |
| $104: 18109: 19$ | $44: 2,4,11,13$ | $121: 1,6,9,10$ | $169: 9,11,14,15$ |
| $123: 10125: 3$ | $45: 1346: 18,19$ | $122: 2,3,3,5$ | $169: 16,18,20$ |
| $138: 17153: 20$ | $47: 4,11,16,18$ | $123: 10,18,20$ | $170: 6,10$ |
| $159: 4165: 3,5$ | $47: 19,20,21$ | $124: 18,19$ | $171: 12,19,22$ |
| $166: 3169: 9$ | $48: 249: 17$ | $125: 13,20$ | $172: 3,5,7,10$ |
| $173: 8181: 18$ | $51: 3,952: 1,2,2$ | $127: 5128: 5,14$ | $172: 14,18,21$ |
| $182: 5183: 17$ | $52: 1253: 21$ | $128: 15129: 13$ | $173: 1,2,3,4,6,8$ |
| $211: 9220: 18$ | $55: 3,1056: 8$ | $129: 15,16$ | $173: 10,12,13$ |
| $234: 1271: 18$ | $56: 19,20,22$ | $130: 9131: 2$ | $173: 14,16,20$ |
| $284: 21309: 13$ | $57: 4,6,7,8,9,10$ | $132: 1,5,9,14$ | $174: 3,5,8,10$ |
| $311: 9313: 7,18$ | $58: 4,661: 2,14$ | $133: 7,12,16$ | $174: 12,13,17$ |
| $320: 13322: 19$ | $63: 13,1464: 17$ | $135: 11136: 6$ | $174: 19175: 4,8$ |
| $337: 6349: 6$ | $64: 1966: 20$ | $136: 12,15$ | $175: 12,15,18$ |
| donating | $67: 8,9,10,10$ | $137: 19138: 16$ | $175: 19176: 1,4$ |
| $262: 18$ | $68: 1470: 14$ | $140: 7,9,9$ | $176: 7,12,16,20$ |
| door $231: 3$ | $72: 18,2073: 19$ | $141: 1,3,3,13$ | $177: 5,8,12,18$ |
| dot $25: 4$ | $75: 1779: 4$ | $142: 14,16$ | $177: 21,22$ |
| double $191: 7,9$ | $83: 2,585: 2$ | $143: 20,22$ | $178: 1,6,10,11$ |
| $191: 10,11$ | $87: 5,888: 16$ | $146: 4,15147: 2$ | $178: 15,18,21$ |
| $218: 11290: 10$ | $89: 1,4,7,8,14$ | $147: 4148: 5,16$ | $179: 1,5180: 6$ |
| dozen $19: 2$ | $89: 1690: 6$ | $149: 9,12,13$ | $180: 7181: 10$ |
| $222: 16$ | $91: 592: 1,3,11$ | $150: 13151: 10$ | $181: 14,15,17$ |
| dr $1: 52: 33: 13$ | $92: 1396: 12$ | $151: 15,22$ | $182: 5,15,19,22$ |
| $3: 15,216: 2,7$ | $97: 6,798: 13$ | $152: 3,7153: 5$ | $183: 2,3,6,10$ |
| $6: 20,21,227: 1$ | $98: 15,16,21$ | $153: 6,9154: 22$ | $183: 11,12,13$ |
| $7: 2,3,4,7,10,13$ | $99: 2,3,6,7,11$ | $155: 2,12,17,20$ | $183: 15,17,19$ |
| $7: 16,17,18,19$ | $99: 12,14,16$ | $155: 22156: 2,3$ | $183: 21,22$ |
| $7: 228: 3,39: 13$ | $100: 2102: 18$ | $156: 4158: 2,4$ | $184: 1,3,5,8,11$ |
| $9: 18,2110: 2,4$ | $104: 12105: 8$ | $158: 6,10159: 4$ | $184: 22185: 4,9$ |
| $10: 7,10,13,16$ | $105: 12,17,18$ | $160: 13,14$ | $185: 12,13,15$ |
| $10: 2011: 1,8$ | $106: 10,12,12$ | $161: 6162: 6,12$ | $185: 17,19$ |
| $11: 14,17,21$ | $107: 20108: 10$ | $162: 16163: 22$ | $186: 3,15,18,20$ |
| $12: 216: 7$ | $108: 12,12$ | $164: 6,18165: 2$ | $186: 21,21$ |
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| house 3:14 4:2 | i | 257:2,5,9,16 | 223:5 246:9 |
| 6:21 7:4 59:4 | iconic | 257:22 258:9 | 297:1,2 306:14 |
| housed 223:13 | 223:15 297:1,2 | 258:12 259:2,7 | 313:19 323:19 |
| houses 306:16 | idea $62 \cdot 18,19$ | 259:9,19,21 | 328:19 |
| 308:15 310:4 |  | 260:3,11,14,17 | imagery 37:17 |
| 320:21 336:11 | $115: 10 \quad 142: 8$ | 261:16 262:1 | 156:14 166:7 |
| housing 320:15 |  | 262:10,13,21 | 166:15,17 |
| 320:16 329:10 | 292:9 293:13 | 263:3,11 | images 49:12 |
|  |  | 264:12,16 | 67:2 92:20 |


| $120: 21147: 7$ | $81: 15,1884: 22$ | inappropriately | incorporate |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $188: 22192: 9$ | $85: 1586: 1,18$ | $94: 6$ | $274: 17329: 21$ |
| $202: 14208: 4$ | $87: 1,1792: 15$ | inarticulate | incorporated |
| $208: 22209: 9$ | $93: 1294: 2$ | $127: 20$ | $12: 929: 8$ |
| $209: 12,21$ | $104: 19110: 8$ | inch $75: 14$ | $204: 1$ |
| $212: 11241: 22$ | $117: 13118: 2,5$ | $283: 2$ | incorporates |
| $246: 17,17$ | $122: 2127: 10$ | incident $59: 17$ | $274: 11$ |
| $247: 15248: 5$ | $127: 10,11$ | inclination | incorrect $20: 7$ |
| imagination | $134: 20139: 11$ | $278: 18$ | increase $199: 5$ |
| 63:10 76:20 | $142: 2,6144: 14$ | include $33: 18$ | increasing |
| imagine 76:15 | $144: 22145: 2$ | $39: 2,1840: 4$ | $249: 22$ |
| imagined | $146: 1148: 8,11$ | $46: 1651: 20$ | increasingly |
| $217: 13$ | $157: 9165: 8$ | $120: 4176: 9,11$ | $27: 6$ |
| immediately | $182: 2189: 19$ | $190: 21305: 14$ | incremental |
| $84: 3233: 13$ | $189: 22190: 7,9$ | $349: 2$ | $14: 18$ |
| immense $207: 1$ | $190: 12198: 22$ | included $22: 9$ | incuse $349: 2$ |
| imminently | $202: 12,22$ | $42: 2246: 17$ | incused $47: 1,9$ |
| $264: 19$ | $208: 10210: 13$ | $248: 5,7340: 6$ | $137: 2345: 19$ |
| immortalized | $220: 15231: 8$ | includes $8: 7$ | $345: 20346: 5$ |
| $52: 18$ | $238: 5245: 5$ | $34: 2140: 6$ | $347: 4348: 20$ |
| impact $207: 3$ | $250: 17279: 20$ | $41: 11,1342: 18$ | $349: 1$ |
| $207: 19209: 7$ | $315: 10350: 11$ | $42: 21256: 9$ | independent |
| $238: 6$ | impose $269: 8$ | $257: 16$ | $31: 5134: 22$ |
| impactful | imposters | including | $156: 6285: 9$ |
| $104: 5159: 16$ | $240: 16$ | $15: 1227: 14$ | independently |
| impacting | impressed $33: 8$ | $28: 835: 12$ | $161: 11,15$ |
| $204: 19,22$ | $207: 6252: 4$ | $59: 16179: 18$ | indiana $60: 5$ |
| implore $309: 19$ | impression | $266: 3314: 4$ | indicate $9: 15$ |
| importance | $315: 16$ | $344: 5$ | $185: 9186: 9$ |
| $74: 5134: 19$ | improve $34: 3$ | inclusion $42: 15$ | indicated $44: 8$ |
| $143: 4144: 16$ | $87: 12242: 19$ | inclusive $301: 9$ | $205: 21241: 18$ |
| $345: 9$ | improvement | inclusivity | $345: 4347: 13$ |
| important | $336: 16$ | $299: 11$ | indicates |
| $14: 1130: 16$ | improvements | incongruity | $221: 13323: 5$ |
| $52: 1354: 21$ | $121: 18$ | $164: 12$ | indicating $58: 1$ |
| $56: 659: 8,19$ | inappropriate | incongruous | indigent $41: 20$ |
| $60: 1,1467: 14$ | $301: 15336: 14$ | $180: 12$ | $55: 17$ |
| $68: 17,2169: 16$ |  |  |  |

[individual - international]

| individual | innovative | 244:21 247:6 | instructs 26:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101:9 135:3 | 113:6 114:4 | 247:12 255:8 | tegral 229:7 |
| 229:11,18 | 160:21 163:10 | 256:7,21 257:4 | integrity 265:1 |
| 231:21,22 | 231:14 | 258:3,17 | intended |
| 232:19 316:15 | innovator | 259:11,14,21 | 196:21 |
| individually | 294:19 | 260:16 261:1,5 | intent 207:15 |
| 75:9 207:17 | input 212:7,19 | 261:10 262:4 | 209:2 219:22 |
| 210:1 | 236:2 | 262:22 263:4 | intention 266:9 |
| individua | inscribe 49:14 | 283:6 345:16 | interaction |
| 16:19 20:11 | inscribed 217:2 | 345:22 346:4 | 74:12 143:5,8 |
| 48:12 | 255:17 258:20 | 346:20 | interest 15:7 |
| indulgen | inscription | inside 256:3,5 | 184:15 203:9 |
| 64:21 | 34:21 36:15 | 257:21 258:1 | 204:8 243:8 |
| industrial | 37:5,15 38:4 | insider 233:2 | 330:8 353:22 |
| 260:10 | 39:15,21 42:1 | insight 245:3 | interested |
| infinitely 295:3 | 42:18 137:2 | insignia 221:12 | 22:13,16 79:9 |
| infirmary 54:6 | 216:19 217:4 | 228:11,13 | 101:2 184:19 |
| infirmed 55:19 | 241:17 246:7 | 258:15 | 355:15 356:12 |
| influence 89:12 | 253:16,17 | inspecting | interesting |
| info 25:4,5 | 254:3,6,11,15 | 223:16 | 115:12,13 |
| 353:20 | 254:16,18 | inspection | 159:2 201:7 |
| information | 255:1 256:9 | 223:14,1 | 234:4,6,14,15 |
| 85:13 90:20 | 258:5 259:4 | 228:3,5 | 235:7,17 266:6 |
| 98:19 132:15 | 260:14 261:20 | inspiration | 267:7,15,21 |
| 158:14 163:13 | 262:13,19 | 268:2 | 272:2 278:15 |
| 168:17 190:13 | 266:4 305:18 | inspire 250:12 | 285:15,16 |
| 192:6 213:7 | 346:3,9, | 268:5 | 293:12 295:15 |
| 230:15 237:21 | 347: | inspired 60:12 | 299:8 |
| 242:8 | inscriptions | 203:3 255:7 | interests 14:4 |
| infused 157:16 | 33:19 34:22 | 256:18 258:9 | intergovernm... |
| initial 22:19 | 36:10 39:2,17 | 260:2 268:11 | 2:12 10:17 |
| 92:9 266:9 | 40:4,16 42:9 | inspiring 61:7 | intern 19:5 |
| 269:19 278:17 | 42:22 43:7 | 271:1 273:3 | internal 239:8 |
| initially 269:12 | 202:8,15 208:4 | instances | international |
| innovation | 208:22 209:3,9 | 229:13 | 9:3 18:21 |
| 37:8 66:18 | 217:16,21 | instantly 292:4 | 289:11 343:18 |
| 181:5 231:15 | 218:6,13,21 | instructions | 344:1 345:15 |
|  | 219:6 241:19 | $27: 2$ |  |


| internationali... | involved 76:5,7 | j | 130:5 149:7,12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 340:19 | 76:9 92:22 | jacket 37:19 | 149:14 151:10 |
| internet 59:21 | 107:16 206:20 | $228: 8$ | 264:12 265:6 |
| interpret 53:16 | 293:20 304:14 | jackson 4:4 | 279:9 294:10 |
| 182:8 192:5 | 304:16 305:9 | - 7:20,21 8:9 | 301:13 335:15 |
| 334:7 | involvement | 15:2,9,9,13,16 | 348:18 |
| interpretation | 112:16 305:21 | 16:3,5,7,8,12 | joe's 338:5 |
| 67:20 226:18 | ipad 68:19 | 17:2,8,13,19 | john 4:2 7:5 |
| 335:17 339:7 | ironclad | 17:22 18:5,16 | 75:18,18,19 |
| interpretative | 104:20 107:15 | 23:20 69:9,11 | 91:5,6 92:4 |
| 340:7 | 116:12,12,16 | 72:22 83:4,5 | 115:20,21 |
| interpreted | 123:1 | 114:8,9 141:15 | 135:13 148:16 |
| 95:10 | ironworker | 141:15 169:17 | 149:15 165:3 |
| interrogated | 294:20 | 184:2 237:20 | 169:5 173:4 |
| 240:22 | isis 344:2 | 237:20 276:20 | 183:13 187:4 |
| interrupted | isolated 96:4 | 276:20 292:20 | 223:18 236:20 |
| 64:20 | issue 24:5 | 292:21 314:8,9 | 270:19 273:13 |
| intimately | 172:4 249:13 | jamaica 53:2 | 279:13 283:17 |
| 15:10 | 273:15 334:19 | $109: 3$ | 285:8 286:20 |
| introduce 6:14 | 335:12 336:13 | japanese | 307:12,13,19 |
| 26:1 29:16,20 | 349:17 | 299:14 309:21 | 322:5 332:11 |
| 196:11 251:8 | issued 102:9 | jennifer 2:11 | 336:3 341:11 |
| introduced | 118:16 233:19 | 9:5,10 10:16 | 352:9 |
| 33:7 | issues 13:6 | 133:4 215:18 | john's 122:20 |
| introduction | 208:1,2 | 216:4 307:15 | join 16:2,18 |
| 5:4 15:2 26:9 | it'd 111:3 | jersey 59:22 | 20:10 39:7,9 |
| 29:21 | 270:20 | jima 210:8 | 52:15 140:10 |
| invented | it'll 220:14 | 297.1 | joined 12:7,15 |
| 207:16 | 291:11 | job 1:21 45:14 | 12:22 27:13 |
| invested 16:19 | item 24:4,17 | 48:22 67:6 | 120:1 226:12 |
| 20:12 339:16 | 25:18 196:4 | 72:6 95:13 | joining 154:5 |
| invite 13:18 | 239:5 249:6 | $110: 18 \text { 232:15 }$ | 227:1 249:22 |
| 18:3 | 343:12,13 | $308: 4,5$ | joint 235:11 |
| invited 14:6 | iterations | joe 46:20 48:6 | jointly 75:9 |
| invites 290:15 | 282:16 | $51: 362: 2,4$ | joseph 2:9 |
| inviting 80:21 | iwo 210:8 | $88: 18 \text { 120:18 }$ | 10:10 47:8 |
| 80:21 | 297:1 | 120:19 129:17 | 48:20 50:4 |
|  |  | 120.19129 .17 | 191:13 264:15 |


| 4:17 348:22 | 132:12 133:19 | 20 | 340:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| journey 26:21 | 160:22 166:15 | 190:4 278:12 | kindly 53:20 |
| 27:6 31:9 | 181:3 189:22 | kid 304:17 | kinds 59:11 |
| 5:15 36:13 | 190:12 224:20 | kidding 351:8 | 60:8 |
| 37:2 | 230:8 270:2 | killed 198:16 | king 62:20 |
| joy 33:6 | 282:11 312:18 | 216:18 | 223:13 |
| jr 3:2 12:9 | 333:11 | kind 14:14 | knew 120:3,13 |
| 62:20 240:18 | keeping 103:19 | 62:20 66:12 | 230:19 251:22 |
| juice 292:17 | 122:9 221:10 | 74:2 78:7 | 308:4 |
| jump 73:18 | 245:3 330:7 | 79:21 80:10 | knocked 76:13 |
| 317:16,16 | kellen 4:6 8: | 81:1,5,11,18 | know 22:15 |
| jumped 138:2 | 15:5 18:19 | 82:6,17 83:12 | 30:17,18 44:15 |
| justice 41:16 | 19:10 23:4 | 91:15 95:4 | 45:6,20 46:2 |
| 42:11 43:2 | 72:21 79:5 | 101:5,20 | 53:12 54:18 |
| 261:3 | 90:8 109:11 | 103:13 108:22 | 55:4 56:2,4 |
| k | 117:16 125:13 | 109:12 110:21 | 58:15 59:10,16 |
|  | 132:11 143:22 | 111:1 116:13 | 62:2 69:4,5,17 |
| kabul 345: | 155:22 161:7 | 116:18 117:5 | 70:2,6,6,7,8,12 |
| 345:22 346:3 | 162:16 169:18 | 119:5 124:21 | 70:19,21 71:7 |
|  | 173:16,20 | 125:16 135:14 | 71:8,17,18,21 |
|  | 175:15 176:2 | 137:13 144:4,5 | 72:1,5,6,6,8 |
|  | 184:3 186:3 | 144:13 145:8 | 76:19 77:4 |
| sas | 193:19 238:10 | 145:11,11,14 | 78:6 83:8 |
| 3:8 | 277:13,14 | 159:15 163:10 | 89:17,22 90 |
| arat 210 | 93:22 315:3 | 180:17 181:5 | 90:22 92:4 |
| karen 2:21 | 319:10 333:14 | 190:7 191:22 | 93:5,7,11 94:7 |
| 12:7 29:21 | 336:4 337:6 | 192:1 220:11 | 95:9 96:5 |
| 30:6 31:18 | kellen's 122:5 | 226:20 228:10 | 97:19 100:19 |
| 45:2 | 132:11 | 247:20 277:16 | 101:2,7,11 |
| 53:21,22 | keown 3:2 12:9 | 278:14 279:22 | 102:3,7,11 |
| arzai 9 | 0:1 31:18 | 284:8 285:3 | 103:2,10,13,16 |
| :17 | 67:16 73:10 | 287:21 290:11 | 107:16 108:2,3 |
| 345:15 | 94:8,8 119:10 | 291:9 295:16 | 108:14,15,16 |
| keep | 189:18 | 295:22 308:18 | 108:17 109:5,7 |
| 92:19 109:20 | keown's 113:8 | 311:11 312:2 | 110:11,19 |
| 111:14 113:10 | kept 271:11 | 315:4,16 | 111:16 113:21 |
| 117:18 132:8 | key 42:5 136: | 317:21 326:2 | 114:18 117:9 |
|  | 138:14,15 | 333:16,17 | 119:10 122:14 |


| $122: 16123: 2$ | $231: 19232: 17$ | $329: 14333: 10$ | $230: 14243: 18$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $124: 8,11,13,20$ | $233: 6,6234: 2$ | $334: 16,17,18$ | $268: 8,9272: 9$ |
| $125: 1,3,17$ | $234: 3,10,13,13$ | $334: 20335: 3,4$ | $272: 14,17,21$ |
| $127: 17,17$ | $234: 14,15,17$ | $335: 6336: 19$ | $285: 21,22$ |
| $128: 9,10130: 3$ | $234: 17,21,22$ | $336: 20337: 19$ | $310: 16,17$ |
| $132: 12134: 10$ | $235: 1,3,5,6,12$ | $338: 9,17$ | $311: 18327: 2,2$ |
| $134: 12135: 21$ | $235: 15238: 22$ | $339: 20341: 11$ | $331: 9,12,14,19$ |
| $136: 3138: 19$ | $239: 18240: 18$ | $351: 20,20,21$ | $332: 5334: 4$ |
| $138: 20139: 3,4$ | $240: 19242: 1$ | $351: 22352: 12$ | $336: 19337: 3$ |
| $139: 6,9,11,13$ | $245: 3267: 13$ | knowing $15: 20$ | $337: 20338: 5$ |
| $139: 21,22,22$ | $268: 3269: 10$ | knowledge | $338: 11340: 18$ |
| $140: 3141: 5,10$ | $269: 17271: 11$ | $32: 438: 17$ | $352: 17,19$ |
| $142: 11143: 18$ | $272: 1,2,4$ | $355: 10356: 6$ | $354: 8$ |
| $145: 8,12$ | $275: 3278: 7$ | known $8: 18$ | kristie $2: 49: 16$ |
| $146: 18147: 18$ | $279: 14,16,17$ | $54: 14,1893: 17$ | kudos $73: 4$ |
| $147: 20,20$ | $279: 21280: 1,9$ | $163: 6196: 8,17$ |  |
| $148: 5,12$ | $280: 11,11,17$ | $246: 10$ | l |
| $150: 17151: 6,7$ | $283: 6284: 18$ | knows $317: 10$ | labeled $269: 1$ |
| $152: 4156: 9$ | $285: 1286: 9,9$ | $317: 10$ | labels $268: 11$ |
| $157: 2,17,21$ | $286: 11287: 3,4$ | kotlowski $3: 15$ | labor $261: 16$ |
| $159: 7,8,8,9,18$ | $287: 13289: 12$ | $7: 2,343: 16,17$ | lack $143: 7$ |
| $159: 18,20,21$ | $289: 13290: 6,9$ | $44: 247: 20$ | $197: 2$ |
| $163: 2,16$ | $290: 13292: 2$ | $56: 21,2257: 6$ | lacking $224: 22$ |
| $165: 10,12,17$ | $298: 16,16$ | $57: 858: 6$ | ladies $130: 13$ |
| $166: 11172: 22$ | $299: 19301: 6$ | $73: 2092: 3,3$ | $235: 21244: 2$ |
| $179: 14,21$ | $301: 10304: 17$ | $100: 2,3105: 8$ | $246: 14,18$ |
| $180: 8181: 3$ | $305: 2,8306: 4$ | $118: 11,12$ | $247: 1,1,2$ |
| $182: 6188: 3$ | $309: 21310: 10$ | $123: 18,18$ | $305: 2307: 11$ |
| $189: 6191: 3,10$ | $311: 6,13$ | $124: 19,20$ | $340: 16342: 9$ |
| $192: 20194: 12$ | $313: 20,21,22$ | $133: 16,17$ | $353: 11$ |
| $200: 2,8,17$ | $313: 22315: 16$ | $149: 13156: 2,4$ | laid $75: 6$ |
| $205: 9,10$ | $318: 21322: 2,4$ | $156: 4158: 4$ | lake $119: 15$ |
| $207: 13208: 11$ | $322: 7,16$ | $169: 4173: 3$ | lakers $351: 7$ |
| $210: 17212: 10$ | $323: 15,18,22$ | $175: 4,4178: 15$ | land $256: 8$ |
| $214: 20215: 19$ | $324: 2325: 9,15$ | $178: 15,21$ | $257: 13258: 4$ |
| $221: 16223: 2,7$ | $325: 17326: 8$ | $180: 7,8183: 12$ | $295: 10$ |
| $223: 17,22$ | $326: 14328: 1,5$ | $185: 17186: 21$ | landed $190: 10$ |
| $225: 9228: 7$ | $328: 8329: 9,13$ | $194: 3230: 13$ |  |


| landscape 35:7 | 129:10 226:16 | 329:17 | legislative 2:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lane 301:16 | 336:21 343:19 | learning | 10:17 11:19 |
| 340:11 | leader 3:17,19 | 203:15 231:4 | lemond 229:5 |
| lapel 219:15 | 4:3 7:5,8,11 | 299:6 | length 100:10 |
| 220:3 | 27:17 28:13 | leave 117:14,15 | lengthened |
| lappers 160:17 | 32:1 39:3 40:6 | 168:10 246:5 | 88:14 |
| laptop 331:16 | 72:6 80:6 | 305:6 | lesson 85:11 |
| large 45:18 | 81:18 94:11 | leavenworth | lessons 110:10 |
| 47:13 48:9 | 111:5 120:3 | 203:7 | letter 44:7 |
| 62:14,16 141:7 | 181:7 204:6,7 | leaves 63:10 | 174:21 216:14 |
| 144:4 163:18 | 210:22 221:11 | 108:19 187:10 | 242:22 |
| 163:19 213:7 | 221:11 232:1 | led 151:7 | letters 5:58:10 |
| 216:15 238:6 | 239:19 | 204:18 260:6 | 23:12,15 |
| 261:18 | leaders 27:15 | lee 204:10 | 196:20 216:15 |
| larger 279:2 | leadership | 222:16 223:18 | 347:16 |
| 280:17,18 | 36:21 64:3 | leeway 61:20 | level 108:15 |
| largest 61:19 | 95:5,5 190:4 | left 63:11 | 179:10,15 |
| 133:21 | 190:14 225:16 | 103:10 202 | 201:11 295:21 |
| larry 323:22 | 236:14 335:9 | 208:13 321:11 | liaison 2:12 9:5 |
| lasted 316:2 | 343:10 | leftwards | 10:18 61:2 |
| lasting 213:1 | leading 27:11 | 264:17 | 85:21 162:21 |
| latched 207:20 | 35:8 36:8 | legacy 26:13 | 199:9 205:1,15 |
| late 317:6,7 | 40:14 56:13 | 31:3,5 | 206:16 213:19 |
| laurel 256:5 | 263:12 | legal 2:13 | 213:20 214:17 |
| 258:1 | leads 35:3 | 10:20 43:13 | 214:17 215:13 |
| laurels 254:2 | 129:7 | 48:4 51:10 | 215:15 237:16 |
| law 25:21 26:9 | leaf 284:6 | 219:10 263:17 | 238:15 253:19 |
| 94:16 196:9,14 | league 24:15 | 265:8 | 275:12,22 |
| 215:20 249:9 | leagues 24:7,8 | legend 308:14 | 278:7 279:6 |
| 249:12 300:11 | lean 118:6 | 313:11 338:19 | 303:20 337:9 |
| 343:18 | 266:18 276:9 | 341:8 | 338:1 |
| lawrence 1:5 | 298:9 | legends 308:13 | liaison's 254:7 |
| 2:3 8:3 | leaning 115:8 | 308:22 | 255:3,10 258:6 |
| laws 27:5 | 141:19 276:21 | legibility 277:7 | 259:16,17 |
| lawyer 182:7 | leann 356:2,15 | legible 50:13 | 260:18,19 |
| layer 83:16 | learn 180:3 | legislation | 262:6 263:1,13 |
| lead 27:20 | learned 32:3 | 211:5 266:8 | 270:16 275:6 |
| 34:16 38:11 | 76:20 95:2 | 323:4 | 283:10 305:15 |


| 307:2 313:2 | 264:11 307:8 | lightning | linguist 240:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| liaisons 12:4 | 347:6 348:13 | 283:20,21 | lining 40:7 |
| 13:5 29:16,20 | liberation | liked 65:18 | list 2:2 119:21 |
| 34:6,14 35:1 | 257:2 | 96:2 100:17 | 145:8 246:5 |
| 36:2,18 38:14 | liberty 28:13 | 101:20 105:1,2 | listen 78:19 |
| 39:11 40:10 | 33:20 35:7 | 125:15 158:21 | 134:7 181:20 |
| 41:17 44:21 | 64:7,14 80:22 | 159:1 192:15 | 244:17 247:7 |
| 45:17 46:4,8,8 | 81:7,7,12 94:5 | 236:22 237:2 | listened 202:11 |
| 46:9,22 51:21 | 261:3,20 263:8 | 284:12,17 | 207:6 234:22 |
| 52:8,9 53:11 | 294:7 327:15 | 291:13 294:3 | listening 6:13 |
| 58:11 63:22 | 327:18 | 336:10 | 18:14 78:21 |
| 66:22 67:16 | lieutenant | likely 34:1 47:9 | 102:15 160:19 |
| 72:15 73:4 | 204:12,16 | 50:10 85:9 | 237:15 299:7 |
| 75:4,6 83:6 | 223:18 240:4 | 86:5 88:3 | listing 296:1 |
| 85:18 87:16 | life 27:5 28:3 | 207:19 | lists 145:7 |
| 92:14 99:15 | 28:14,16,18,19 | likeness 121:17 | 295:13 |
| 100:5,17 107:4 | 29:1 30:22 | 146:22 335:5 | literacy 201:11 |
| 112:10 118:8 | 32:5,15 41:9 | likenesses 34:3 | literal 122:8,9 |
| 118:19 121:20 | 54:10 58:16 | 87:12 | literally 114:3 |
| 123:21 125:1 | 59:18 67:1 | likes 289:10 | 121:3 127:18 |
| 129:17,20 | 73:9,10,14 | liking 103:13 | 140:17 |
| 130:11 134:7,8 | 74:8 80:8,16 | limit 102:19 | little 45:16 |
| 138:7 143:10 | 95:6,7 109:14 | 111:12 333:19 | 58:20 60:4,22 |
| 143:13 146:13 | 126:21 129:19 | limited 55:4 | 64:21 68:3 |
| 150:16 156:10 | 129:20 135:6 | 59:4 110:1 | 70:4 77:21 |
| 160:20 161:3 | 137:13 142:10 | 170:4,19 180:2 | 78:20 80:10,21 |
| 181:20 182:3,8 | 145:19 148:1 | 211:20 240:20 | 82:11,15,21 |
| 192:19 193:7 | 150:18 156:15 | 245:11,12 | 84:11 85:7 |
| 193:11,22 | 181:1 190:6 | limiting 172:1 | 88:13 100:10 |
| 194:5 195:10 | 200:2 315:12 | line 38:4,5 39:1 | 100:13 101:12 |
| 199:9 214:21 | 320:14 | 232:2 237:12 | 106:14 107:7,9 |
| 214:22 215:3 | life's 41:10 | 238:12 315:4 | 107:13 108:18 |
| 217:9 219:2 | lifting 145:17 | lineage 186:14 | 114:13,17,21 |
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| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $25: 16$ | $283: 11288: 13$ | $9: 15,17,20$ | $226: 17$ |
| predicted | $302: 22305: 16$ | $10: 1,3,6,9,12$ | pretending |
| 197:9 | $306: 5,12307: 3$ | $10: 15,19,22$ | $127: 20$ |
| predominately | $312: 16,17$ | $11: 6,7,12,15$ | pretty $78: 3$ |
| 200:15 | preferences | $11: 2012: 1$ | $81: 20135: 16$ |
| preface $67: 11$ | $44: 1646: 11,17$ | $25: 1526: 1$ | $235: 22294: 6$ |
| $74: 10273: 20$ | $72: 2,13,15$ | $52: 995: 18$ | $311: 2316: 20$ |
| prefer $43: 20$ | $78: 1889: 10$ | $97: 1,8131: 3$ | prevailed |
| $70: 2271: 3$ | $103: 21107: 4$ | $152: 9196: 11$ | $334: 12$ |
| $111: 3125: 17$ | $112: 10134: 14$ | $264: 18277: 10$ | prevailing |
| $162: 4171: 17$ | $236: 19238: 19$ | $281: 15302: 19$ | $174: 16$ |
| $193: 7225: 4$ | $252: 6,15$ | $308: 17330: 19$ | prevalent |
| $274: 9305: 16$ | $270: 16274: 21$ | presentation | $246: 8$ |
| $305: 17306: 2$ | $279: 6313: 2$ | $202: 19214: 20$ | previous $32: 15$ |
| $329: 10$ | $345: 3$ | $215: 1219: 8$ | $191: 8$ |
| preference | preferred | presented $29: 3$ | previously |
| $34: 1435: 1,16$ | $46: 2175: 8$ | $51: 1352: 5$ | $109: 13193: 15$ |
| $36: 2,1838: 14$ | $112: 20113: 5$ | $192: 16207: 10$ | $194: 20253: 1$ |
| $39: 1040: 9,21$ | $130: 2143: 10$ | $209: 17242: 12$ | $255: 20282: 10$ |
| $41: 1,1742: 13$ | $217: 8219: 1$ | $271: 10$ | $295: 13$ |
| $43: 3,5,18,22$ | $226: 3254: 7$ | presenting | price $163: 2$ |
| $44: 145: 22$ | $255: 3258: 6$ | $26: 445: 15$ | $253: 17254: 17$ |
| $46: 161: 3$ | $262: 6263: 13$ | presents $33: 15$ | $261: 5313: 15$ |
| $63: 2268: 5$ | $265: 15,16$ | $74: 2$ | pride $338: 3$ |
| $69: 1071: 22$ | $277: 2,4347: 5$ | president $2: 21$ | primarily |
| $74: 9,1175: 4$ | $348: 13$ | $3: 412: 8,969: 8$ | $83: 18$ |
| $79: 388: 1$ | premature | $69: 10,12$ | primary $23: 19$ |
| $100: 16114: 4$ | $98: 17$ | $255: 16$ | $199: 9205: 1$ |
| $134: 6,17$ | prepare $41: 12$ | presidential | $213: 20214: 17$ |
| $156: 10182: 1,4$ | $135: 2$ | $69: 8$ | $215: 12,15,21$ |
| $234: 9253: 19$ | prepared $18: 4$ | presidents $60: 7$ | print $68: 18$ |
| $255: 11,11,21$ | $64: 20130: 12$ | press $9: 6$ | $288: 20$ |
| $259: 16,17$ | $133: 13182: 15$ | $334: 19$ | prints $211: 20$ |
| $260: 19,20$ | $356: 3$ | pressure $83: 8$ | prior $6: 11$ |
| $263: 1264: 11$ | presence $67: 17$ | prestigious | $51: 17227: 1$ |
| $265: 11,12,17$ | present $6: 17,19$ | $16: 1820: 11$ | $354: 5355: 5$ |
| $265: 18274: 10$ | $6: 227: 3,6,9,12$ |  |  |


| prism 86:5 | proceeding | 12:12,22 24:8 | properly 13:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 117:10 298:8 | 356:4 | 25:20 26:1,3 | 14:7 245:1 |
| privilege 6:16 | proceedings | 28:17 29:5 | property 54:6 |
| 8:5 13:9 24:19 | 9:4 13:5 355:3 | 33:10 43:14 | 55:17 127:8 |
| 52:4 | 355:5,6,9 | 51:19 60:10 | proponent 94:3 |
| probably 14:13 | 356:6 | 72:12 73:16 | propose 327:6 |
| 58:12 76:17 | process 15:11 | 89:13 90:21 | proposed |
| 78:5,9 79:2 | 32:4,6 33:5 | 102:1 195:15 | 164:21 |
| 100:15 107:13 | 202:6 207:6 | 196:11 219:11 | prospects |
| 110:19 112:4 | 213:16 251:12 | 238:19 245:5 | 311:4 |
| 118:6 137:17 | 252:4 | 248:15 249:8 | protect 344:14 |
| 140:4 153:12 | processing | 250:21 253:1 | proud 15:20 |
| 162:8 163:4 | 198:2,5 | 265:22 266:7 | 18:13 33:9 |
| 165:5 168:4 | proclamation | 267:9 274:15 | 119:7 120:12 |
| 171:9 186:12 | 102:9 113:15 | 279:17 281:5 | 224:10,12 |
| 200:1 228:9 | 118:15 157:7 | 286:3 299:2 | 228:7 251:11 |
| 276:10 278:19 | prodded 335:1 | 319:16 320:5 | 252:17 |
| 278:22 279:5 | produce | 322:22 343:9 | proudly 247:22 |
| 280:14 285:7 | 261: | programs | prove 124:15 |
| 285:14,18 | produce | 14:20 251:4 | proved 27:17 |
| 297:4 309:5 | 277:6 | project 2:14,17 | provide 24:14 |
| problem 66:14 | producer 3:6 | 3:7 11:1,9 | 26:9 28:6 |
| 106:16 128:11 | 12:16 199:10 | 12:18 83:7 | 35:15 46:12 |
| 139:1 187:9 | product 235:12 | 199:12 208:12 | 242:7 251:17 |
| 200:7 204:19 | 264:18 | projects 3:8 | 281:8 |
| 204:22 336:21 | productive | 12:19 199:13 | provided 27:2 |
| 353:2 | 171:3 | 211:18 320:15 | 41:21 54:7 |
| problematic | professional | 320:17 354:1 | 195:13 230:16 |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 144:21 162:22 | 17:15 21:6,8 | 16:16 19:21 | 194:19 205:8 |
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| 202:13 203:9 | 282:18 339:17 | 355:7 | 224:4 225:21 |
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|  | 295:20 333:1 | realistic 120: | 145:13 146 |
|  | rationing 250:2 | reality 310:4,5 | 146:10 148:11 |
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| $181: 6188: 5$ | $271: 5284: 17$ | $154: 19,20$ | recollection |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 190:7,14,19 | $296: 11297: 5$ | $197: 1202: 4$ | $153: 16$ |
| 195:9,12 | $309: 10333: 10$ | recent $22: 11$ | recommend |
| $210: 13220: 15$ | reasonable | $24: 11337: 8$ | $24: 1249: 19$ |
| $224: 12227: 13$ | $50: 251: 13$ | recently $14: 16$ | $50: 3,557: 4$ |
| $231: 7,7,8,8,14$ | reasons $105: 2$ | receptive | $89: 9,2198: 17$ |
| $236: 8244: 16$ | $238: 18329: 11$ | $213: 15$ | $117: 14155: 3$ |
| $245: 2,5252: 11$ | recall $104: 3$ | receptivity | $168: 2,14$ |
| $271: 13273: 15$ | receive $201: 20$ | $193: 15$ | $243: 11,14$ |
| $273: 22274: 1$ | $202: 2230: 2$ | recess $130: 15$ | $282: 11315: 21$ |
| $276: 21277: 17$ | received $96: 19$ | $151: 18244: 2,4$ | $315: 22$ |
| $277: 20278: 3$ | $97: 15,17,18,18$ | $244: 14,17$ | recommenda... |
| $279: 2282: 17$ | $97: 19,2198: 3$ | $245: 7248: 16$ | $90: 1798: 18$ |
| $282: 21283: 3$ | $98: 4,4,5,599: 5$ | $249: 6330: 12$ | $153: 11155: 9$ |
| $284: 12,22$ | $131: 8,8,9,10$ | recharge $65: 3$ | $174: 22212: 2$ |
| $286: 4287: 2,13$ | $131: 13,13,16$ | recipient $24: 9$ | $215: 5,6243: 10$ |
| $289: 20290: 7$ | $131: 17,17,18$ | $234: 8235: 1$ | $275: 6316: 6$ |
| $290: 12292: 12$ | $131: 19136: 18$ | recipient's | recommenda... |
| $293: 4,12$ | $136: 20152: 12$ | $207: 20$ | $14: 2072: 9$ |
| $296: 18,21$ | $152: 13,14,14$ | recipients | $85: 17132: 17$ |
| $298: 20,21$ | $152: 15,16,19$ | $234: 20235: 19$ | $153: 8207: 11$ |
| $304: 18305: 12$ | $152: 20,22$ | recognition | $207: 12232: 16$ |
| $306: 8,10,16$ | $153: 1,1,2$ | $58: 9198: 21$ | $234: 20275: 22$ |
| $308: 12310: 18$ | $154: 17163: 1$ | recognize $32: 1$ | $312: 22353: 19$ |
| $315: 6,17,19$ | $199: 1226: 7$ | $32: 1948: 11$ | recommended |
| $317: 13318: 3$ | $281: 19,19,20$ | $93: 597: 7$ | $3: 13,17,184: 2$ |
| $318: 19319: 10$ | $281: 21282: 1,2$ | $131: 2152: 8$ | $6: 207: 4,7,10$ |
| $319: 13320: 1$ | $282: 4,5,5,5,6$ | $208: 6243: 1$ | $34: 249: 13$ |
| $322: 17326: 9$ | $303: 2,2,2,3,4,6$ | $267: 5281: 14$ | $87: 11121: 12$ |
| $327: 3334: 10$ | $303: 6,6,7,9,10$ | $302: 18317: 19$ | $168: 7,8215: 1$ |
| $336: 1,2340: 12$ | $303: 12,12,13$ | $330: 18$ | recommending |
| $345: 8351: 17$ | $303: 13,14,14$ | recognized | $183: 9222: 1$ |
| $351: 18,18$ | $303: 14,15,15$ | $27: 1532: 16$ | $311: 12$ |
| reason $25: 2$ | $331: 1,1,2,2,3,3$ | $60: 15$ | reconcile $46: 3$ |
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| $84: 1385: 14$ | receives $208: 19$ | $26: 11318: 9$ | $113: 20$ |
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| spelling 347:16 | square 129:5,6 | stands 137:10 | starts 293:7 |
| spells 114:3 | squeaked | 250:16 | state 59:12,20 |
| 282:18 283:5 | 161:20 | stanley 239:10 | 94:4 295:1 |
| spent $28: 3$ | st 212 | 240:14,17 | stated 27:7 |
| 191:4 273:20 | stack 246:19 | star 36:1,5 | statement |
| 273:22 296:11 | stacked 246:21 | 70:19 84:20 | 313:16 |
| 297:5 | 246:22 | 192:1 253:9,14 | states 14:5 17:1 |
| spirit 221:10 | stacks 218:4 | 263:5 310:19 | 17:4 20:15,18 |
| 225:16 250:8 | staff 9:14 31:20 | 318:13 321:10 | 25:1 31:8 32:2 |
| spite 322:21 | 146:14 206:11 | 324:5 325:10 | 33:21 84:20 |
| splitting | 208:8 242:4 | 326:10 345:1,2 | 93:18,22 |
| 292:12 | 248:14 295:6 | 349:14,15 | 117:15 119:3 |
| spoke 80:18 | 298:19 343:10 | stars 34:17 | 198:20 199:6 |
| 130:5 277:17 | 353:15 | 35:14 39:5 | 201:11,17 |
| 277:20 315:8 | stage 63:1 | 47:670:17 | 239:14 249:20 |
| 323:16 | 192:17 | 71:1,2 84:4,7 | 251:5 260:11 |
| spoken 19:3 | stages 150:18 | 216:11 225:3 | 262:10 300:13 |
| 269:12 | 190:6 | 253:8,11,12 | 304:10 308:8 |
| sponsors | stairs | 254:5,8,9 | 340:3 343:17 |
| 215:19 | stakehol | 255:12,13 | 344:5,9,11,22 |
| sport 24:15 | 121:4 | 257:8 258:20 | static 54:12 |
| sports 14:15 | stamp 102:8 | 260:5 263:2,3 | 74:13 |
| 233:1 | 118:15 184:11 | 275:8 277:19 | stationed |
| spot 123:13 | 10:7 217:13 | 277:22 278:3 | 197:21 199:6 |
| spouse 192:11 | 231:13 235:16 | 309:4 346:6,13 | statue 60:13 |
| 192:13 | 235:16 238:17 | 347:4 | 81:6 |
| spreads 145:14 | stand 172:22 | start 13: | status 79:11,16 |
| spy $27: 15$ | 262:5 351:8 | 36:13 80:19 | 79:18 80:13 |
| 38:21 39:3,19 | standards | 83:9 133:14 | 202:3 |
| 40:3,5,17 | 192:7 | 136:15 185:7 | stay 63:17 |
| 101:16 106:6 | standing 41:19 | 219:11 286:5 | ste 209:13 |
| 110:15,17 | 63:2 70:20 | started 22:5 | 212:16,16 |
| 111:4,8 122:12 | 216:14 294:21 | 180:22 202:6 | steamers |
| 127:7,19 | 297:14 323:17 | 208:12 209:4 | 107:13 |
| 188:20 | 346:2 347:1 | 267:9,12 317:7 |  |


| step 31:10 | 205:14 214:9 | strongest 74:20 | 32:7,8,12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 338:13,15,16 | 214:10 230:17 | 135:18 143:9 | 66:9,13,15,19 |
| stepped 127:2 | 230:22 233:13 | 283:5 | 311:21 |
| steps 338:13 | 239:17 240:15 | strongh | stumble 217:6 |
| ster 212:18 | 241:21 332:14 | 28:4 | style 143:14 |
| stick 125:8 | storybook 84:5 | strongly 49:4 | stylistic 164:12 |
| :16 | straight 145:8 | 148:19 159:20 | 264:22 |
| sticking 7 | straightforwa... | struck 64:6 | subject 163:17 |
| stiff 141:18 | 293:17 | 75:21 76:20 | 205:8 |
| stimulat | st | 299:18 315 | submit 153:20 |
| 92:6 | 58:13 | structurally | ubmitted |
| sto 242: | strands | 128:19 | 14:20 |
| stone 76:12, | strangely | structure 129 | subscription |
| stood 61:9 | 306:13 | 129:11 297:7 | 22:6,10 |
| 315:20 | street | 297 | ubstituted |
| stop 130:18 | strength 37:11 | structure | 336:9 |
| 330:15 | 130:5,6 147:12 | 170:18 | subtheme |
| stopped 80:7 | 174:22 250:18 | structures | 269:4,4,5 |
| 151:19 | 260:15,17 | 318:18 | subtle 223: |
| stopping | 305:18,22 | struggle | suburbani |
| 302:13 | strengths 113:2 | struggled | 310:2 |
| store 59:16 | stressed 231:21 | 101:5 286:4 | success 36:17 |
| 225:8 246:21 | strike 312:10 | struggling 67:2 | 198:10 |
| stories 268:1 | 15:17 | 5:16 310:17 | successfull |
| story 30:15,16 | stripes 257:7 | stubbo | 84:12 |
| :14 32:11 | strive 122:21 | 9:11 | succession 69: |
| 66:7 67:4,14 | 124:7 | stuck | sudden 187:13 |
| 84:15,17,22 | striving 266:13 | student 18:20 | suffered |
| 85:15,21 86:10 | 266:19 | 109:22 151:5 | 198:15 |
| 86:16,18,21 | strong | 180:2 | suffering |
| 87:1 105:22 | 74:11 75:12 | students 73:9 | 237:11 |
| 106:3 113:22 | 78:17 102:3 | 123:5 129:19 | sufficient |
| 114:11 117:13 | 112:21 115:17 | 232:5 267:19 | 341:13 |
| 124:17 127:17 | 147:12 167:9 | studio 93:2 | sufficiently |
| 129:8,22 161:2 | 221:21 279:1 | studs 268:15 | 187:22 321:6 |
| 161:4 189:21 | 283:9,11 | stuff 50:14 | suffrage 27:7 |
| 192:3,14 203:2 | stronger | 103:8,9,9 | 28:11 120:2,3 |
| 203:3,10 205:3 | 156:20 | 104:10,19 | 188:4 |


| suggest $51: 11$ | $249: 11252: 18$ | $237: 22238: 12$ | surface $103: 11$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $85: 16$ | $99: 20$ | $253: 5265: 13$ | $251: 1258: 13$ |
| $117: 18130: 13$ | $265: 15269: 12$ | $270: 18297: 11$ | surgery $324: 19$ |
| $153: 9167: 4$ | $272: 13,16,20$ | $309: 20310: 8$ | surprise $194: 4$ |
| $171: 17185: 4$ | $283: 22284: 2$ | $313: 1340: 5$ | surprised |
| 195:20 $208: 3$ | $323: 4,10,13$ | supported | $267: 17$ |
| $213: 8214: 13$ | $340: 15343: 21$ | $160: 6,8249: 21$ | surprisingly |
| $242: 3248: 15$ | $349: 9,11351: 1$ | supporting | $301: 4$ |
| $248: 19263: 16$ | $351: 4,6356: 2$ | $192: 3236: 3$ | surround |
| $302: 8335: 8$ | $356: 15$ | $237: 17256: 12$ | $151: 1$ |
| $338: 12$ | sullivan's | $293: 4320: 3$ | surrounded |
| suggested $91: 1$ | $212: 7$ | $339: 17$ | $254: 21259: 2$ |
| $161: 12246: 9$ | summer $22: 8$ | supports | $262: 1348: 3,12$ |
| $324: 17$ | summertime | $322: 22$ | surrounding |
| suggesting | $200: 21$ | supposed $108: 5$ | $145: 21217: 14$ |
| $161: 14211: 12$ | sums $137: 13$ | $147: 8205: 9$ | surveys $267: 12$ |
| suggestion | sun $35: 736: 14$ | surcharge | sustain $42: 7$ |
| $161: 9,9,15$ | $57: 22$ | $279: 20$ | swath $188: 11$ |
| $162: 7245: 9$ | super $144: 9$ | surcharges | sway $121: 8$ |
| suggestions | $171: 3246: 20$ | $29: 5250: 21$ | swear $16: 21$ |
| $25: 388: 19$ | supervises | sure $13: 19$ | $17: 220: 13,16$ |
| $92: 17202: 8$ | $225: 12$ | $31: 1848: 14$ | swearing $5: 4$ |
| $213: 11241: 16$ | supervisor | $51: 555: 17$ | $8: 815: 2,8$ |
| $242: 2301: 14$ | $25: 22$ | $77: 1185: 19$ | $18: 19$ |
| suicide $344: 2$ | supplies $261: 19$ | $86: 493: 16$ | swimming |
| suit $228: 8$ | support $16: 22$ | $105: 13107: 14$ | $286: 13$ |
| suite $306: 9$ | $17: 318: 11$ | $108: 2117: 1$ | switzerland |
| sullivan $2: 5$ | $20: 14,1728: 10$ | $121: 1,6134: 13$ | $239: 15$ |
| $9: 18,2025: 21$ | $37: 1168: 12$ | $135: 20170: 20$ | sword $260: 9$ |
| $196: 11,13$ | $98: 8108: 6$ | $171: 2199: 18$ | $283: 20,22$ |
| $199: 19205: 21$ | $127: 1140: 10$ | $206: 7224: 3,19$ | $284: 1,3,4,7$ |
| $206: 2,13,14,15$ | $162: 14,21$ | $225: 20242: 13$ | $327: 19328: 21$ |
| $215: 2,8,10,14$ | $164: 22171: 10$ | $242: 22244: 6$ | $329: 1$ |
| $215: 17216: 1,6$ | $182: 10186: 12$ | $248: 7252: 12$ | swords $311: 11$ |
| $219: 20244: 6,9$ | $195: 15205: 12$ | $265: 15294: 1$ | sworn $355: 5$ |
| $244: 19245: 15$ | $234: 19235: 3,4$ | $313: 19322: 10$ | symbol $123: 22$ |
| $245: 20248: 10$ | $235: 18236: 7$ | $332: 18333: 20$ | $124: 1250: 17$ |
| $248: 12249: 10$ | $236: 19237: 7$ | $339: 19$ | $252: 11253: 21$ |
|  |  |  |  |


| symbolic 35:4 | tags 348:7 | 116:5 129:11 | technically |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35:7 38:21 | take 13:8 17:10 | 161:18 177:15 | 191:10 282:2 |
| 40:2 75:1 | 17:13 21:1,3 | 177:19 180:22 | 294:15 |
| 78:17 130:6 | 30:10 49:13 | 185:22 189:20 | tell 31:14 67:5 |
| 224:12 226:14 | 50:8,22 52:12 | 192:20 240:17 | 85:15,20 86:10 |
| 228:12 250:6 | 59:15 70:14 | 240:19 311:8 | 86:18 87:1 |
| 261:15 274:19 | 77:7 91:18 | 321:2 333:4 | 112:14 113:22 |
| symbolically | 97:2 99:21 | 335:10 | 114:11,11 |
| 82:4 121:4 | 113:7 122:15 | talks 142: | 117:13 126:12 |
| 229:18 | 151:17 160:21 | 190:10 | 131:5 161:2 |
| symbolism | 170:3 175:6 | tally 97:3 | 195:3 203:2,10 |
| 66:8 69:19 | 189:12 195:8 | 302:12 330:13 | 205:14 209:12 |
| 113:6 117:14 | 195:20 197:10 | tallying 281:10 | 213:3 214:10 |
| 295:18,22 | 209:3,10,12 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a n }}$ 201:2 | 214:21 215:2 |
| 319:20 | 212:9 249:10 | task 70:15 | 232:5 239:17 |
| symbolize | 281:9 302:12 | tate 2:19 11:18 | 239:18 241:21 |
| 226:5 | 305:17 306:3 | 11:20 | 317:12 319:14 |
| symboli | 312:7 330:12 | taught | 341:14 |
| 41:8 | 338:13 | teach 58:22 | telling 86:16,21 |
| synergizes 37:7 | taken 66:7 | 73:14 129:22 | 118:3 189:20 |
| syracuse 73:6 | 148:12 242:21 | 266:20 | 192:14 268:1 |
| system 170:18 | 279:2 334:5 | teachers | tells 66:7,11 |
| 198:2 | 355:3,12 356:9 | 129:20 161:4 | 105:22 130:3 |
| t | tale 341:14 | teaching 16:9 | 142:20 191:21 |
|  | an 344 | 60:10 150:21 | 192:3 205 |
| table | talk 61:679:7 | team 33:2,8 | 214:9 332:14 |
| 65:3,22 166:2 | 119:12 127:21 | 205:12 212:7,7 | templates |
| 167:6,12 1 | 136:22 190:2 | 229:15 252:4 | 50:14 |
| 175:9 176:18 | 266:12 299:2 | te | temporarily |
| 178:12 181:11 | 332:2 338:15 | teamwork | 89:20 97:12 |
| 181:12 182:17 | talked 71:17 | technical 43: | 99:18 |
| 187:8 | 05:19 148:6 | 48:4 51:10 | ten 22:15 31:3 |
| 189:16 278:16 | 203:16 210:18 | 102:12 184:10 | 97:2,13 120:2 |
| 41:4,20 | 211:2,19 | 219:10 263:17 | 171:1 203:12 |
| leau 256 | 212:13 318:8 | 263:19 264:10 | 222:9 245:19 |
| tabletop | talking 6:11 | 348:1 | tena |
| 157:14 | 56:5 90:8 | technicality | tend 69:17 79:9 |
| 157.14 | 113:14,18 | 211:4,7 |  |


| tentative 24:7 | text 50:14 | 112:9 114:5,6 | 237:4,8,9,10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tents 39:1 40:7 | 62:11 113:22 | 115:19 117:8 | 237:18,21 |
| 109:8 166:6,16 | 134:18 136:3,4 | 120:16 122:3 | 238:10,16 |
| 188:20 | 145:11 150:9 | 123:8,10 | 240:10 241:6 |
| tenure 13:15 | 156:14 157:3 | 125:13 129:16 | 243:2,7,8 |
| terkel 268:15 | 180:10 229:3,7 | 129:17 130:8,9 | 244:16 245:14 |
| term 266:1 | 293:19 306:14 | 133:16 135:10 | 246:4 248:8,9 |
| terms 33:3 | 306:18 307:3 | 135:11 136:6 | 249:5,11 |
| 61:16,20,21 | thank 15:20 | 136:22 137:18 | 251:10 252:17 |
| 77:2 78:15 | 16:3,5 18:5,10 | 137:19 138:16 | 252:18 263:15 |
| 81:20 82:9 | 18:15 19:9 | 140:7,9,22 | 264:5,9 265:6 |
| 84:14 94:10 | 20:9 21:19,22 | 141:1,3,12,13 | 265:7 266:22 |
| 96:5 102:13 | 23:2,9 25:2 | 142:16 143:20 | 268:8 270:15 |
| 109:13 116:8 | 26:6,7,8 31:22 | 143:22 146:4 | 270:18,19 |
| 116:18 119:16 | 33:1,10,12 | 146:15 151:10 | 271:18 272:7,9 |
| 119:17 123:8 | 43:11 44:2 | 153:6 154:22 | 272:10 273:16 |
| 124:4 125:11 | 45:12 49:15 | 155:2 156:5 | 273:17,19 |
| 133:22 135:18 | 51:8 52:2,3 | 158:12 160:11 | 274:22 275:14 |
| 141:11 142:3 | 55:3 56:7,18 | 161:6 162:6 | 275:16 276:1,3 |
| 202:3 222:8 | 56:19,22 58:2 | 168:19 172:6,7 | 276:18,21 |
| 224:20 231:12 | 58:4,4,6 63:12 | 180:7 181:19 | 277:12,14 |
| 240:15 241:2 | 63:13 64:16,17 | 189:15 194:18 | 279:3,4 282:14 |
| 272:18 277:6 | 64:19 66:22 | 195:13,16 | 283:15,16 |
| 285:16 291:12 | 67:7,8,10,15 | 196:3,13 | 284:20 285:19 |
| 292:21 293:9 | 68:13,14 72:17 | 199:19,20 | 285:21 288:1,2 |
| 308:21 314:16 | 72:18,20 73:19 | 202:7 205:13 | 289:4,5,7 |
| 334:11 347:10 | 75:16,17,19,20 | 206:4,6 211:8 | 290:15,17,18 |
| terribly 148:8 | 79:4,6 83:2,5,5 | 211:9 213:6 | 292:18 293:21 |
| terrific 60:21 | 85:2 88:15,16 | 215:9,16 216:4 | 296:4 298:6,7 |
| 351:18 | 89:8 96:12 | 219:9 220:13 | 299:20 300:3,8 |
| terrorist 344:3 | 98:16 99:8,11 | 220:17 224:2 | 300:21,22 |
| terry 2:14 11:1 | 99:16 100:2 | 224:14,15,16 | 301:12 304:4 |
| test 157:14 | 102:16,18 | 225:19 228:16 | 307:9,10 |
| testament | 103:1 104:12 | 229:21 230:13 | 309:12 310:14 |
| 36:16 | 105:12,18 | 230:14,22 | 310:16 312:10 |
| testifying 355:5 | 106:9,10,12 | 232:9,10,12 | 312:12,14,19 |
| tethered | 108:9,10,12 | 233:22 235:20 | 313:4,6 314:5 |
| 333:16 | 109:9,10 112:7 | 236:1,2,4,5,6 | 314:6,9 315:2 |


| 315:3,4 316:17 | thick 258:8 | 32:15,18,21 | 109:6,20 110:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 316:18 317:1 | thing 9:9 22:4 | 46:10,14,15 | 110:18 111:3,6 |
| 323:3,15 | 33:17 55:5 | 48:22 49:4 | 111:11,18 |
| 324:22 326:22 | 66:21 76:4 | 53:6 55:9,11 | 112:11,20 |
| 327:1 328:10 | 84:10 91:7 | 58:17 60:19,20 | 113:1,12,13,19 |
| 328:12 329:18 | 101:9 110:12 | 61:8,12,13,14 | 114:1,10,16,17 |
| 331:12 333:2 | 126:6 134:21 | 61:15,15 62:1 | 114:20 115:13 |
| 333:12,13 | 137:2,7 165:13 | 63:9 65:7,9,11 | 115:17 116:5,9 |
| 334:2 336:3 | 170:13 188:13 | 65:14,18 66:4 | 116:11 117:2,7 |
| 341:3 343:9,10 | 210:13 234:4,6 | 66:7,11,19 | 119:13,18 |
| 343:21 348:15 | 244:15 280:21 | 67:5 68:11 | 122:7,13,14,16 |
| 349:5 351:8 | 290:3 295:15 | 69:1,14,18,19 | 124:2,8,14 |
| 352:8 353:14 | 297:12 301:16 | 69:22 70:2,21 | 125:5,7,15 |
| 353:16 | 308:21 309:4 | 71:9,21,21,22 | 126:18,20 |
| thankful 25:15 | 312:3 321:9 | 72:2,4,4,8,9,12 | 127:1,19 128:8 |
| thanks 18:14 | 322:13 323:16 | 72:22 74:5 | 129:21,21 |
| 31:18 129:17 | 325:19 328:18 | 75:10,15 76:11 | 130:2,6,7,11 |
| 195:9 232:14 | 335:14,15 | 76:16,21 77:8 | 132:10,11 |
| 307:8 334:21 | things 55:22 | 77:16,19 78:5 | 133:20 134:3,3 |
| theater 199:7 | 79:7,20 82:16 | 79:12,15,17 | 134:13,16 |
| 200:10 218:10 | 83:15 87:6 | 80:3,12,12 | 135:17 136:2 |
| 222:18 225:18 | 88:9 94:9,15 | 81:9,15,15 | 137:1,12 |
| 298:10 | 96:2 114:12 | 82:1,5,20 83:1 | 138:18 139:10 |
| theaters 259:20 | 122:13 124:3 | 83:14,21 84:5 | 139:11,20 |
| theirs 331:16 | 126:21 128:4 | 84:14 86:4,20 | 140:11,19 |
| theme 75:22 | 135:22 146:9 | 88:3,19 90:22 | 141:4,7,9,10 |
| 78:7 112:15 | 147:22 161:17 | 91:3,7,13 92:4 | 141:20 142:1,4 |
| 269:2 272:18 | 182:9 206:19 | 92:7,8,15,19 | 142:7,18,21 |
| 283:3 294:9 | 233:17 234:19 | 93:9 94:19,20 | 143:1,8,20 |
| 304:8 308:9 | 242:19 247:2 | 94:21 96:8 | 144:4,6,12,14 |
| 310:3 314:4 | 248:3 273:10 | 100:6,21 101:1 | 144:19 145:10 |
| 317:20 327:8 | 289:8 290:9 | 101:6,7,16,21 | 145:13,20,20 |
| 327:12,13 | 299:3 319:11 | 102:2,11,12 | 146:1 147:13 |
| 328:6 336:11 | 319:12 322:20 | 103:3,22 104:2 | 148:2,8,14,20 |
| themes 78:13 | 328:16 339:8 | 104:4,19,19 | 149:2,4,7 |
| 327:7,19 | think 14:11 | 105:4 106:1,2 | 151:7 155:12 |
| 328:13 333:17 | 18:12,14 22:19 | 107:2,5,6,18 | 156:7 157:2,6 |
| 333:19 334:17 | 31:7 32:3,10 | 108:8,14,14,21 | 157:9,12 158:7 |


| $159: 8,17$ | $246: 1,1,7,16$ | $316: 4,7,20$ | thought $62: 5$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $160: 19161: 4$ | $247: 7,13$ | $317: 11,16,20$ | $64: 976: 378: 2$ |
| $163: 1,5,9,13$ | $252: 21,22$ | $318: 4319: 22$ | $80: 1881: 5,5$ |
| $163: 15164: 12$ | $253: 3266: 10$ | $321: 19324: 7,8$ | $82: 1583: 19$ |
| $164: 18,20$ | $266: 17267: 13$ | $324: 14325: 1,9$ | $112: 1115: 1,9$ |
| $165: 7,13,15,16$ | $270: 16271: 19$ | $325: 11,12,15$ | $127: 21,22$ |
| $168: 8169: 21$ | $272: 4273: 9$ | $325: 16,17,20$ | $134: 12137: 5$ |
| $171: 4,9,16$ | $274: 4,14,17$ | $326: 9,9327: 4$ | $138: 3,7143: 19$ |
| $175: 5179: 7,12$ | $275: 19276: 12$ | $328: 4,5,7,9,15$ | $161: 3186: 22$ |
| $179: 13,14,17$ | $276: 16278: 6$ | $329: 13,15,22$ | $188: 2223: 10$ |
| $179: 18180: 8$ | $278: 14,18,20$ | $330: 7331: 17$ | $231: 13235: 20$ |
| $180: 11,13,13$ | $279: 16280: 8$ | $333: 8,20334: 4$ | $271: 15273: 13$ |
| $180: 18181: 4,7$ | $280: 15,22$ | $334: 5,6,8,16$ | $275: 13277: 15$ |
| $182: 2,15$ | $282: 17,22$ | $334: 22,22$ | $284: 10294: 2$ |
| $186: 13187: 10$ | $283: 4,10,12$ | $335: 5,15336: 1$ | $306: 15307: 4$ |
| $187: 12188: 5,6$ | $284: 14285: 1,5$ | $336: 11,15$ | $308: 9312: 10$ |
| $188: 8,13189: 3$ | $285: 6,7,9$ | $338: 16339: 9$ | $325: 21337: 17$ |
| $189: 6,19,21$ | $286: 17,20$ | $340: 19341: 5,9$ | $337: 18$ |
| $190: 1,7,9,12$ | $289: 16290: 1$ | $341: 12,13$ | thoughtful |
| $190: 13,19$ | $291: 10,12$ | $349: 20,21$ | $234: 1307: 11$ |
| $191: 21193: 16$ | $292: 2,5293: 2$ | $350: 11351: 14$ | thoughts $85: 1$ |
| $193: 19195: 17$ | $293: 4,6,7,12$ | $351: 14,21$ | $89: 1390: 2$ |
| $205: 10209: 22$ | $293: 14,17$ | thinking $72: 1$ | $114: 5142: 13$ |
| $211: 4212: 4$ | $294: 5295: 12$ | $72: 14115: 3$ | $143: 4307: 8$ |
| $213: 4,17214: 2$ | $298: 2,9,17,17$ | $134: 22141: 22$ | $337: 8$ |
| $214: 7216: 2$ | $298: 20299: 6$ | $156: 6266: 1,3$ | thread $83: 15$ |
| $222: 16231: 11$ | $299: 15,17$ | $279: 13,22$ | three $24: 7$ |
| $231: 16,20$ | $300: 4,16302: 5$ | $286: 2287: 3$ | $28: 1831: 13$ |
| $232: 4,8,22$ | $305: 20306: 7$ | $313: 6323: 15$ | $35: 1245: 16$ |
| $233: 1,10,15$ | $306: 18,21$ | third $89: 18$ | $46: 3,558: 16$ |
| $234: 4,10,11,17$ | $307: 3308: 4,11$ | $239: 5249: 6$ | $67: 1,569: 15$ |
| $234: 21235: 1,6$ | $308: 18,20$ | $255: 11259: 17$ | $93: 1794: 9$ |
| $235: 12,16$ | $309: 2,2,8,14$ | $260: 18265: 17$ | $102: 1,19$ |
| $238: 13,13$ | $310: 7,9311: 19$ | $291: 22305: 15$ | $103: 19107: 16$ |
| $240: 12242: 8,9$ | $311: 20313: 13$ | $320: 19332: 6$ | $109: 7135: 12$ |
| $243: 9244: 20$ | $313: 15314: 3$ | thoroughly | $140: 6156: 20$ |
| $244: 22245: 2,4$ | $314: 20315: 1$ | $100: 21$ | $158: 21,22$ |
| $245: 20,21$ | $315: 10,11,14$ |  | $160: 18,21,22$ |


| 164:17 165:12 | 297:19 337:18 | timeless 250:18 | tom 268:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 180:9,22 182:9 | ties 64:13 | ely 53:6 | tomorrow |
| 185:7 198:8,13 | 108:22 296:18 | times 26:22 | 254:19 278:8 |
| 198:15 199:9 | tight 264:12 | 53:12 54:17,18 | 278:12 |
| 199:15 203:16 | tilted 63:4 | 55:12 122:7,8 | tone 96:8 |
| 203:18 206:16 | time 6:4 12:6,6 | 192:16 | 200:18 |
| 206:21 216:11 | 16:7 19:9 | title 44:22 | took 84:7 94:17 |
| 216:12,17 | 22:12 37:20 | today 9:15 12:4 | 118:12 213:3 |
| 217:9 219:2 | 45:1 55:4 59:3 | 12:7 26:5 | 271:7 |
| 222:6 225:3,4 | 62:8 70:14 | 33:11 44:9 | tool 90:12 |
| 230:8 231:6 | 72:22 75:2 | 46:16 52:13 | 203:15 330:8 |
| 233:18 235:22 | 76:22,22 83:6 | 67:17 79:18 | top 74:3 96:4 |
| 258:22 261:14 | 88:12,18 91:13 | 80:5 81:17 | 97:13 100:9 |
| 264:14 269:13 | 96:15 99:22 | 82:7 87:13 | 110:14 170:14 |
| 270:2,13 | 105:16 106:20 | 95:17 119:12 | 171:6,14 174:7 |
| 282:12 283:1,4 | 116:16 117:10 | 134:22 144:16 | 211:1246:19 |
| 290:14 291:19 | 118:12 119:2 | 145:6 175:6 | 247:2 286:13 |
| 291:20 294:16 | 120:22 123:4 | 178:17 196:4 | 297:10 349:2 |
| 296:14 307:12 | 124:5,14 | 199:16 207:3,9 | topic 235:8 |
| 308:2 309:12 | 129:21 133:5 | 207:11 214:6 | total 167:17 |
| 310:6 327:7,19 | 133:15 143:20 | 227:17 249:6 | totally 184:15 |
| 334:20 347:6 | 146:20 165:6 | 278:8 345:6 | touch 242:13 |
| 348:13 351:13 | 185:5 191:5 | 351:16 353:13 | 353:10 |
| 351:14,15,22 | 195:17 204:16 | 353:15 | touched 146:7 |
| threes 170:21 | 205:13 206:5 | today's 8:7 | 349:16 |
| 276:10 | 210:20 211:8 | 44:6 204:16 | tough 50:7 |
| throat 6 | 211:13 217:6 | 267:2 | 285:6 |
| throw 140:20 | 227:12 235:14 | together 37:9 | tour 59:15 |
| 161:21 318:4 | 238:7 239:13 | 42:5 58:17 | toward 81:11 |
| throws 125:6,7 | 240:11 243:9 | 61:16 83:15 | 101:6 145:18 |
| thursday 44:14 | 244:8 248:22 | 232:9 250:20 | 238:9 276:21 |
| tide 81:11 | 273:22 280:12 | 278:7 286:17 | 314:13 |
| tie 64:11 83:15 | 286:20 297:6 | 293:4 306:21 | towards 90:16 |
| 112:1 276:14 | 299:3 304:15 | 308:8 309:5 | 104:17 118:7 |
| 278:6,13 | 340:12 351:11 | 322:3 332:3 | 141:19 152:18 |
| 287:16,21 | 353:4,6,9 | 335:6 337:18 | 260:4 292:21 |
| 288:12 292:7 | timeframe | told 129:9 | tower 259:9 |
| 294:9 296:20 | 246:15 | 211:5 | 297:16 |


| towers 259:7 | transmitting | $216: 10,14,20$ | $124: 6178: 16$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $263: 12297: 7$ | $44: 8$ | $217: 1,5,17,20$ | $186: 14190: 2$ |
| $326: 6328: 20$ | transport | $217: 22218: 15$ | $268: 5274: 17$ |
| track $164: 20$ | $198: 19261: 9$ | $219: 5,6221: 18$ | $278: 10291: 7$ |
| tracy $3: 912: 19$ | travel $37: 1$ | $222: 15225: 16$ | $292: 4294: 8$ |
| $154: 4199: 13$ | traveled $32: 14$ | $226: 13,15$ | $328: 13$ |
| $200: 4205: 16$ | traveling $35: 20$ | $227: 1$ | tubman $2: 14$ |
| $205: 18,19$ | treasury $14: 21$ | triumph $63: 6$ | $2: 213: 35: 7$ |
| $206: 12215: 4$ | $155: 4168: 15$ | triumphant | $8: 1311: 212: 8$ |
| $224: 5,6228: 6$ | $243: 15249: 13$ | $334: 14$ | $12: 1125: 19$ |
| $247: 9$ | treat $128: 2$ | troops $197: 11$ | $26: 10,15,17$ |
| tradition | tree $38: 19$ | $218: 18261: 19$ | $27: 7,10,17$ |
| $134: 22156: 6$ | trees $35: 14$ | $339: 17$ | $28: 2,5,1229: 8$ |
| $157: 21$ | $65: 21259: 10$ | trouble $275: 2$ | $29: 13,2230: 21$ |
| traditional | $287: 18$ | $286: 16314: 9$ | $31: 2,12,14$ |
| $64: 180: 11,14$ | tremendous | troubled $65: 9$ | $32: 933: 19$ |
| $80: 2093: 11$ | $206: 22$ | troubling | $34: 4,10,16,20$ |
| $108: 22109: 3$ | tremendously | $162: 2$ | $35: 2,1036: 7$ |
| $117: 21118: 1$ | $353: 7$ | true $146: 22$ | $36: 1237: 4,19$ |
| $138: 10157: 12$ | tribute $206: 12$ | $240: 2,3355: 9$ | $37: 2238: 2,3,7$ |
| $161: 2275: 10$ | tricky $302: 4$ | $356: 5$ | $38: 10,15,20$ |
| $287: 17$ | tried $46: 4$ | truly $105: 22$ | $39: 4,8,16,22$ |
| tragic $198: 15$ | $304: 6$ | truman $255: 16$ | $40: 1,8,13,20$ |
| trailblazers | tries $65: 18$ | trust $33: 20$ | $40: 2141: 4,5$ |
| $246: 11$ | trip $335: 1$ | truth $17: 6,8$ | $41: 18,19,22$ |
| trained $239: 7$ | triple $3: 6,8$ | $20: 19,2155: 12$ | $42: 1343: 5$ |
| $239: 11,15$ | $8: 1811: 11$ | $76: 17$ | $44: 145: 4,6,11$ |
| transcriber | $12: 15,16,16,18$ | try $65: 1480: 1$ | $52: 14,1553: 7$ |
| $356: 1$ | $196: 8,17$ | $103: 5130: 15$ | $53: 2054: 1,16$ |
| transcript | $197: 17,18$ | $133: 19230: 8$ | $58: 859: 4,7,13$ |
| $356: 3,5$ | $198: 2,6,11,14$ | $242: 12274: 1,3$ | $59: 2260: 13$ |
| transcriptionist | $198: 17,19$ | $328: 5,16$ | $64: 267: 20$ |
| $355: 8$ | $199: 3,10,11,12$ | trying $53: 14,17$ | $68: 773: 874: 2$ |
| transition | $199: 22200: 5$ | $67: 572: 16$ | $74: 1476: 1$ |
| $345: 21$ | $201: 6,8,13,19$ | $75: 1481: 16$ | $79: 1280: 3$ |
| translates | $202: 5203: 3,15$ | $95: 3,16,17$ | $87: 13,1888: 2$ |
| $314: 12$ | $203: 19,21$ | $106: 19120: 20$ | $88: 292: 21$ |
|  | $204: 7,11$ | $121: 8124: 2,3$ | $93: 3,14,21$ |
|  |  |  |  |


| 94:1 95:1 | 177:6 181:14 | 314:7 316:19 | 221:22 222:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100:13,18 | 181:16,16,19 | 319:6 328:10 | 223:1 238:22 |
| 101:19 113:9 | 181:20 183:20 | 330:11 | 249:3 258:22 |
| 123:22 126:6 | 194:10 236:1 | turned 137:4 | 273:21 276:8 |
| 126:17 128:13 | 243:7 264:2,5 | 187:13 320:14 | 284:11 291:6 |
| 129:10 133:22 | 264:9 265:6 | turning 19:8 | 296:11 309:16 |
| 138:8 144:17 | 270:14,15 | 66:9 114:19 | 311:8 315:11 |
| 151:5 156:15 | 282:13,14 | 283:16 284:20 | 334:20 338:13 |
| 157:21 163:5 | 300:3,8 304:3 | 310:14 | 338:15 |
| 180:16 181:8 | 304:4 324:22 | turrets 317:7 | type 107:21 |
| 188:3 189:21 | 333:2,3 341:3 | twenty 252:10 | 311:11 |
| 202:12 207:7 | 342:19,20,21 | twice 237:12 | types 206:18 |
| 241:18 269:6 | 353:8 | two 8:8 14:12 | 328:14 |
| tubman's | tuesday 1:6 6:4 | 24:6 30:4 36:3 | typewriting |
| 26:12 28:18 | tunnel 76:16 | 37:9 38:8 41:7 | 355:7 |
| 30:15 32:5 | 295:2 | 44:6 46:8,8,9 | typically 118:1 |
| 36:16 39:13 | turn 18:18 | 46:19 58:11 | 192:2 285:2 |
| 41:8,11,13 | 21:20 24:3 | 61:8 63:20 | 319:11 |
| 42:4,6,17 | 43:9 56:20 | 78:17 82:15 | tyranny 250:10 |
| 104:16 123:14 | 63:17 64:18 | 86:15 90:5 | u |
| 129:19 134:20 | 67:9 68:14 | 98:8 106:9 | U.s. 1:10 2:4 |
| 148:12 155:10 | 72:19 79:5 | 112:18 123:15 | 3:9 |
| 156:12 161:4 | 83:2 92:14 | 124:2 134:15 | ugly 317: |
| 168:9 | 102:21 104:13 | 135:21 139:7 | ultimately |
| tucked 248:1,1 | 105:13 106:11 | 141:16 142:9 | 46:17 100:8 |
| tucker 3:20 | 108:11 109:11 | 148:19 149:9 | 273:7 315:14 |
| 7:14,15 72:20 | 112:8 114:7 | 157:19 158:16 | 334:12 |
| 89:5,8,21 | 115:19 132:19 | 158:20,22 | unable 46:5 |
| 98:14,16 112:9 | 136:7,10 | 160:6,9 162:5 | 59:5 |
| 129:13,16 | 137:20 140:7 | 165:8,9,17 | unanimous |
| 142:16 153:4,6 | 141:2 142:15 | 170:4 174:15 | 175:3 222:5 |
| 155:2,15,19 | 155:1 205:14 | 178:7 180:15 | $349: 8,11350$ |
| 158:7,11 160:7 | 272:8 273:18 | 188:17,18 | $352: 8$ |
| 160:11,13,15 | 276:19 277:13 | 189:10 190:9 | unanimously |
| 160:15 162:11 | 282:12 285:20 | 192:8 206:22 | 175:13 176:17 |
| 162:13 166:22 | 288:3 292:19 | 214:21,22 | 195:5 244:1 |
| 168:6,7 169:13 | 293:22 312:13 | 215:3 216:10 | 342:4,8 343:4 |
| 173:11,15 | 312:20 313:5 | 217:9 219:1 | 342.4,8 343.4 |


| uncluttered | $313: 18315: 12$ | $301: 20$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $276: 12287: 17$ | $320: 3333: 6$ | union $27: 12,13$ | units $200: 14$ |
| uncomfortable | $339: 19351: 3$ | $38: 16,2239: 17$ | $246: 13$ |
| $123: 17125: 5$ | $351: 21$ | $40: 3119: 2$ | unity $250: 17$ |
| undelivered | understanding | $120: 8126: 9$ | $255: 9257: 15$ |
| 197:8 198:12 | $32: 473: 12$ | $310: 6$ | $259: 14260: 6$ |
| undeniable | $107: 11126: 8$ | unique $200: 5$ | $260: 15262: 14$ |
| $24: 19$ | $227: 8$ | $209: 22221: 14$ | $262: 19,22$ |
| under $216: 8$ | understands | $222: 15,19$ | $305: 19306: 19$ |
| underground | $53: 13$ | $247: 7290: 2$ | $307: 4308: 8,14$ |
| $3: 212: 10$ | understood | uniquely $208: 3$ | $308: 17,22$ |
| $26: 1827: 9$ | $95: 1152: 7$ | $208: 4209: 1,10$ | $316: 1322: 14$ |
| $28: 2129: 6,12$ | $155: 20162: 16$ | $212: 21$ | $322: 15,15$ |
| $30: 1,1731: 21$ | $194: 10266: 22$ | unit $197: 16$ | $336: 10,15$ |
| $34: 2135: 5,11$ | $329: 5$ | $199: 1,5200: 6$ | $341: 12$ |
| $35: 1636: 17,19$ | unfortunately | $200: 14201: 3$ | universally |
| $36: 2137: 1,11$ | $115: 10$ | $201: 20202: 2,4$ | $32: 16$ |
| $37: 1540: 10$ | unfriendly | $202: 5,22204: 5$ | university |
| $41: 242: 14$ | $178: 21$ | $204: 5213: 4$ | $18: 2259: 1$ |
| $43: 4,6,19,22$ | unidentified | $221: 10,11$ | $60: 9,12$ |
| $45: 159: 14$ | $99: 1119: 8$ | $226: 8,16238: 4$ | unpopular |
| $60: 364: 3$ | $132: 20149: 18$ | $239: 2299: 14$ | $126: 3$ |
| $68: 1074: 15$ | $149: 21164: 16$ | unit's $197: 19$ | unquote $74: 3$ |
| $75: 3,2276: 3,9$ | $170: 8174: 1$ | unite $254: 18$ | unrelated |
| $76: 16,1994: 13$ | $177: 1311: 16$ | united $14: 5$ | $305: 12$ |
| $96: 6,6102: 5$ | $319: 3332: 20$ | $16: 2217: 4$ | unremarkable |
| $110: 5113: 18$ | unified $94: 14$ | $20: 14,1724: 22$ | $115: 11$ |
| $163: 7190: 4$ | uniform | $31: 732: 2$ | unser $4: 89: 11$ |
| underscores | $112: 17217: 10$ | $33: 2184: 20$ | unsorted |
| $127: 1314: 2$ | $220: 4,10$ | $93: 18,22119: 3$ | $218: 20$ |
| understand | $221: 13227: 9$ | $198: 20199: 6$ | unum $33: 21$ |
| $44: 2175: 3,13$ | $227: 10,10$ | $201: 11249: 20$ | $260: 5306: 20$ |
| $95: 20118: 2$ | $228: 3,4,14,15$ | $250: 20251: 5$ | unusual $45: 16$ |
| $134: 19140: 1$ | $228: 15269: 17$ | $260: 10262: 5,9$ | $229: 16,18$ |
| $168: 1191: 17$ | $269: 19339: 11$ | $262: 16300: 13$ | $290: 11,15$ |
| $214: 21233: 18$ | uniforms | $304: 10306: 1$ | unusually $61: 5$ |
| $242: 11265: 2$ | $227: 19247: 19$ | $308: 8340: 3$ | unwavering |
| $274: 2293: 11$ | $247: 20,21$ | $343: 17344: 5,8$ | $28: 15$ |
|  |  |  |  |



| viewpoint | 154:20 155:10 | waiting 347:2 | 203:14 208:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 314:20 | 162:13,14,19 | waivers 201:16 | 210:19,19 |
| views 33:4 | 164:2 165:9 | walk 81:7 | 212:3,4 231:7 |
| 306:8 327:11 | 167:2 168:2,15 | 345:20 | 233:19 235:4,5 |
| viola 53:4 | 168:20 170:14 | walking 64:7 | 238:2,17 |
| virginia 295:6 | 170:15 171:5,7 | 64:14 263:12 | 247:21 268:10 |
| virtual 54:13 | 171:11,14,14 | 314:22 | 269:10 273:20 |
| 54:14 | 174:7 175:6 | walkways | 274:6 279:19 |
| virtually 6:8 | 179:1,3 182:16 | 326:6 | 279:20 287:11 |
| 92:20 96:19 | 184:5 185:14 | wall 253:8,11 | 290:8,19,22 |
| 206:11 249:4 | 245:22 282:2 | 253:18 254:4,9 | 298:7 301:17 |
| 281:8 302:11 | 303:5,10 | 255:13 263:3 | 307:19 315:15 |
| vision 354:13 | 309:18 322:8 | 275:7 277:22 | 316:14 321:7 |
| visionary 28:13 | 330:4,22 331:7 | 346:2 | 324:18 328:18 |
| visit 24:11 | 332:2 334:1 | walls 76:12 | 331:16 332:1,2 |
| 334:5 | 338:13 341:21 | walmart | 334:14 339:19 |
| visitors 59:13 | voted 98:11 | 246:20 | 345:7 352:7,19 |
| visual 112:12 | 161:10 178:2 | want 6:14 | wanted 57:10 |
| 129:12 | 325:5 | 13:19 18:6 | 83:9 88:8 89:9 |
| visually 129:9 | voters 188:10 | 25:2 30:4,20 | 94:9 96:10 |
| 129:11 180:1 | votes 89:19 | 45:2 48:3,14 | 111:20 121:21 |
| 238:14 | 137:17 143:11 | 53:10 56:11 | 194:7 274:1 |
| voice 124:20 | 153:10 158:17 | 61:4,6,20 | 281:1 288:16 |
| volunteered | 169:20 243:12 | 66:21,21 67:11 | 299:5,19 327:3 |
| 300:12 | 279:7 292:12 | 71:12 73:3,19 | wanting |
| volunteers | 309:5 324:15 | 81:14 84:2,13 | 227:13 273:10 |
| 31:20 | voting 89:9 | 85:19 86:9 | 308:9 |
| vote 23:22 | 90:9 91:15,16 | 93:5 108:4 | wants 31:11 |
| 90:17 92:9 | 162:5 170:18 | 114:15,22 | 53:12 180:2 |
| 98:2 100:9 | 183:4,6,8 | 115:5,7 118:13 | 210:15 298:19 |
| 106:9 120:5 | 243:12 325:22 | 122:4,8,9 | war 3:10 13:2 |
| 121:8 130:12 | vs 218:11 | 123:19 132:5 | 27:12,21 28:2 |
| 131:11,20 | w | 141:16 146:18 | 29:1,2 38:1,8 |
| 137:18 139:15 |  | 149:10 153:12 | 38:12,22 39:1 |
| 139:17 149:11 | wait $89: 11,17$ | 156:19 164:6 | 39:2,6 40:3,5,6 |
| 151:16 152:20 | 132.5.16 | 174:20 178:18 | 40:16,21 |
| 153:13,13,16 | 132.5,16 | 191:8 192:4 | 100:20 101:16 |
| 153:21 154:20 |  | 195:13 202:13 | 102:6 104:16 |


| 104:20,21 | 262:10,13,17 | 136:8,13 147:3 | 81:12 89:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 106:4,18 107:6 | 262:21 263:3 | 151:19,22 | 94:18 100:20 |
| 109:9 110:4,9 | 263:11 264:12 | 152:4 153:3 | 101:3 109:15 |
| 110:14,17,20 | 264:16 268:10 | 168:3 177:3 | 125:1 141:22 |
| 110:20 111:6 | 268:16 271:5,6 | 178:5 195:18 | 145:2,15 150:9 |
| 111:13 112:2,6 | 276:13 277:21 | 196:1 215:18 | 156:16 157:1 |
| 112:14,16 | 283:2,6 289:14 | 243:4,6 244:3 | 160:4 161:17 |
| 113:16 116:13 | 297:3 304:10 | 244:7,10,13 | 163:14,18,18 |
| 116:15,16 | 305:9,22 306:2 | 248:18,22 | 165:11 166:10 |
| 117:17,18 | 307:21,22 | 249:2 264:2 | 170:17 181:2,8 |
| 120:7 122:10 | 308:20 310:19 | 281:12 296:6 | 190:21 204:14 |
| 122:11,12,15 | 311:1,2 315:10 | 299:22 300:5 | 220:4 223:21 |
| 124:12 125:18 | 317:13 318:11 | 302:13,16 | 239:20 252:16 |
| 126:3 132:12 | 320:4,4,17,20 | 307:14 319:2 | 264:19,22 |
| 157:9 166:7,15 | 321:12 323:6,8 | 330:14,17 | 269:7 274:14 |
| 166:17 186:6,8 | 325:16,17,18 | 340:9,22 | 276:8,9 277:11 |
| 186:10 188:19 | 326:13,13 | 354:15 | 290:1 291:9 |
| 190:5 193:4 | 327:14 333:8 | wars 268:11 | 294:9 295:17 |
| 199:7 200:6,14 | 334:10,11 | warship 104:21 | 296:2,3 301:22 |
| 201:10 204:20 | 335:4 338:6 | wartime | 314:11 315:1 |
| 204:20 220:2 | 339:9,22 344:8 | 197:22 225:11 | 322:16 324:18 |
| 221:20 222:22 | warehouse | washington | 329:20 330:2 |
| 239:4 246:7 | 218:19 | 1:13 18:21 | 349:1 352:10 |
| 249:15,17,18 | warehouses | 250:5 | ways $60: 14$ |
| 249:21 250:14 | 196:19 197:8 | watched | 79:13,14 82:21 |
| 251:1,2,7,13 | warm 52:7 | 292:14 | 110:3 143:15 |
| 251:14 252:13 | warranted | watching 70:14 | 145:18 326:15 |
| 253:8,13 254:1 | 91:4 | 261:8,9 304:18 | we've 23:7 32:6 |
| 254:5,6,9,12 | warren 2:11 | waved 120:12 | 33:7 89:11 |
| 254:15,21 | 6:6 9:5,8,10 | waver 120:9 | 90:22 104:2 |
| 255:6,9 256:4 | 10:6,16,19 | waving 119:5 | 130:9,10,11 |
| 256:14,19,21 | 11:7,12,16 | 119:11 127:16 | 161:7 163:4,20 |
| 257:2,4,5,9,15 | 20:4,6 29:18 | 313:21 | 166:13,14 |
| 257:22 258:9 | 89:3,5 97:5 | way 27:3 32:21 | 175:5 185:7 |
| 258:12 259:2,7 | 98:13 99:9 | 55:1 62:3 63:1 | 187:7,13 191:4 |
| 259:9,19,21 | 129:13 130:17 | 65:7 71:19 | 191:4 192:20 |
| 260:3,11,14,17 | 130:20 131:1 | 73:4 75:5 77:5 | 211:18,19 |
| 261:9,16 262:1 | 133:1,4,8 | 78:8,16 81:2 | 213:19 237:12 |


| 269:2 304:2 | 72:21 248:12 | 241:15,22 | 200:15,19,21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 325:4 | 255:22 305:5 | win 233:16,16 | 201:6,8,15 |
| weapons | welcoming | 239:4 242:17 | 202:21 203:16 |
| 216:17 | 21:17 | 242:17 352:14 | 203:18,21 |
| wearing 188:22 | weldon 210:8 | wings 291:10 | 204:18,21 |
| 227:20,21 | 211:19 | wins 233:1,8 | 210:17 214:8,8 |
| website 207:12 | went $22: 7,7$ | wintertime | 221:15,17,19 |
| 212:22 | 76:6,8 103:7 | 201:1 | 222:12,20 |
| weeks 354:5 | 133:1 200:20 | wise 157:4 | 223:11,16 |
| weigh 86:3 | 200:21 201:1 | wish 133:11 | 224:1,11 225:3 |
| 143:13 | 204:21 276:6 | 242:14 350:21 | 225:14 228:7 |
| weight 140:21 | 277:8 286:1 | wishing 233:17 | 230:17 247:14 |
| 182:3 | 291:4 308:5 | 313:22 | 268:1 344:10 |
| weinman 2:13 | 344:13 | withdraw | women's 3:9 |
| 10:20,22 90:19 | whatnot 163:7 | 159:22 162:9 | 5:11 8:16 |
| 96:22 97:8,10 | whatsoever | 186:17,22 | 11:10 12:14,20 |
| 98:9,12 131:3 | 78:4 | 189:14 193:6 | 27:7 28:10 |
| 131:5 136:18 | wheat 262:8 | withdrawing | 120:1,3 196:6 |
| 152:8,10 | whitaker 2:17 | 187:1 | 196:15 199:14 |
| 153:14 154:6 | 11:9 | withhold | 200:6 201:20 |
| 158:14,15 | white 2:20 | 137:17 | 202:1,22 217:2 |
| 160:4,8 164:1 | 11:21 12:1 | witness 355:4 | 220:2,6,8,12 |
| 164:5 165:21 | 117:5 201:3 | witnessed | 220:16 221:15 |
| 168:1 171:8 | whites 54:8 | 128:2 | 222:12 223:7 |
| 172:8 173:18 | whoa 343:5 | wives 345:2 | 226:11,11 |
| 175:2,14 | wholehearted... | 349:15 | won 310:19 |
| 182:21 183:8 | 24:12 | woman 27:20 | wonder 337:14 |
| 192:6 193:17 | wide 19:3 35:6 | 30:19,22,22 | wondered 51:5 |
| 281:8,15,17 | 296:19 | 38:11 80:8 | wonderful 30:5 |
| 302:19,21 | widely 93:17 | 92:21 93:6 | 52:5 53:9 |
| 330:6,19,21 | wife 206:21 | 94:1 109:1 | 58:18 67:13 |
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