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CALL TO ORDER
MS. WARREN: Good morning,
everybody. This is a reminder that this is being recorded. This is the second day of the CCAC, and I'm going to hand it off to Dr. Brown for opening.

THE CHAIR: Good morning.
PARTICIPANTS: Good morning.
THE CHAIR: I call back to order this meeting of the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee for Wednesday, March 1, 2023. The time is 9:01.

This is the second and the final day of this two-day public meeting that began yesterday.

I would like to remind the public that this is a listening only and to mute your microphones, as well as any members of the CCAC that are participating remotely.

ROLL CALL
THE CHAIR: Before we begin, I want to reintroduce members of the Committee. Please respond "present" when I call your name.

Arthur Bernstein, representing the general public.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Art Bernstein, present.

THE CHAIR: Dr. Harcourt Fuller,
recommended by the Speaker of the House.
DR. FULLER: Present.
THE CHAIR: Sam Gill,
representing the general public.
MR. GILL: Present.
THE CHAIR: Dr. Dean Kotlowski, specifically qualified in American history.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Present.
THE CHAIR: Mike Moran, recommended by the Senate Majority Leader.

MR. MORAN: Present.

THE CHAIR: Robin Salmon, specifically qualified in medallic arts or sculpture.

MS. SALMON: Present.
THE CHAIR: Dennis Tucker,
specifically qualified in numismatics?
MR. TUCKER: Present.
THE CHAIR: Dr. Peter van Alfen, specifically qualified as a Numismatic Curator?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Present.
THE CHAIR: And I am Dr. Lawrence

Brown, representing the general public, and happen to have the honor and privilege of serving as Chair of the CCAC.

MS. WARREN: Excuse me, Dr.

Brown. This is Jennifer Warren.
For those that just added on, just a reminder to mute your mic with -just click the microphone so there's a line through it. And then, when you want to
speak, just click it back on so we don't get feedback. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you, Jennifer.

I think we have a quorum.
The agenda for today's session
includes the following:
A review and discussion of the reverse candidate designs for the 2024 American Women Quarters Program, honoring the following prominent American women: Pauli Murray, Celia Cruz, Patsy Takemoto, Zitkala-Sa, and Dr. Mary Edwards Walker.

Before we resume our proceedings, I ask Ms. Jennifer Warren, who is the liaison to CCAC, if there are members of the press who have signed in remotely.

MS. WARREN: This is Jennifer Warren.

Brandon Hall, Senior Editor of Social Media, Whitman Publishing, LLC, and Mike Unser, Founder and Editor of Coin News

Media Group, LLC.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much.
For the record, $I$ would like to confirm the following Mint staff are attending the session today. Please indicate "present" after I have called your name.

April Stafford, Chief, Office of Design Management.

MS. STAFFORD: Present.
THE CHAIR: Megan Sullivan, Senior Design Specialist.

MS. SULLIVAN: Present.
THE CHAIR: Roger Vasquez, Senior Design Manager.

MR. VASQUEZ: Present.

THE CHAIR: Pam Borer, Design Manager.

MS. BORER: Present.

THE CHAIR: Russell Evans, Design Manager.

FEMALE SPEAKER: Russ just stepped away.

THE CHAIR: Boneza Hanchock --
MS. HANCHOCK: Present.
THE CHAIR: -- Design Manager.
Very good.
Joe Menna --
MR. MENNA: Present.
THE CHAIR: -- Engraver.
Michael Costello, Manager of
Design and Engraving.
MR. COSTELLO: Present.

THE CHAIR: Jennifer Warren,
Director of Legislative and
Intergovernmental Affairs and Liaison to the CCAC.

MS. WARREN: Present.
THE CHAIR: Greg Weinman, Senior Legal Counsel and Counsel to the CCAC.

MR. WEINMAN: Present.
THE CHAIR: Brendan Tate, Senior

Government Affairs Specialist of the Office of Legislative and Intergovernmental

Affairs.
MR. TATE: Present.
THE CHAIR: Mike White, Office of Corporate Communication.

MR. WHITE: Present.
THE CHAIR: Have I missed anyone of the staff?
(No Response.)
THE CHAIR: Very good. And finally, $I$ just want to notefor the record that we will be joined later on the call by the liaisons for these famous American women that include:

For Pauli Murray, we will be joined by Karen Ross.

For Celia Cruz, we will be joined by Omer Pardillo.

For Patsy Takemoto Mink, we will be joined by her daughter, Gwendolyn "Wendy"

Mink.
For Zitkala-Sa, her
great-granddaughter Holly Brown Ogle and her great-great-grandson Mark Bonnin will be joining us.

For Dr. Mary Edwards Walker,
excuse me, we will be joined by her
great-great-great-nephew Thomas Edwards and George DeMass, who is a historian from the Town of Oswego, New York.

I'd like to begin to ask the Mint: Are there any other issues, or any issues, that need to be clarified?
(No Response.)
2024 AMERICAN WOMEN QUARTER: PAULI MURRAY

THE CHAIR: Hearing none, the first item today for our review is the reverse candidate designs for the 2024 American Women Quarter honoring Pauli Murray.
April Stafford, Chief of the

Mint's Office of Design Management, will introduce the program and present the obverse and reverse candidate designs for the 2024 American Quarter honoring Pauli Murray.

REPORT

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. This
is April Stafford.
Some background information on
Reverend Dr. Pauli Murray:
Reverend Dr. Pauli Murray broke barriers as a stauch advocate for the rights of women and people of color who fought tirelessly for civil rights.

As a poet, activist, lawyer, and priest, Murray was directly involved in and helped articulate the intellectual foundations of two of the most important social justice movements of the $20 t h$ Century, specifically women's rights and civil rights.

In 1948, Murray wrote a 746-page book, "States' Laws on Race and Color," which exposed the extent and absurdity of segregation. The ACLU began to distribute copies to law libraries, HBCUs, and human rights organizations. Thurgood Marshall even kept stacks of the book around his NAACP Office and referred to Murray's work as, quote, "the bible" of the landmark case Brown v. Board of Education.

When Ruth Bader Ginsberg argued against gender discrimination in front of the Supreme Court in 1971 , plaintiff in Reed v. Reed, she used an article cowritten by Murray to argue the Equal Protection Clause applied to women. Murray was so influential in Ginsberg's work that Ginsberg listed her as coauthor on her brief to the supreme Court.

Her spirituality was also
integral to her work for civil rights, and
in 1977, Murray became the first Black woman in the United States to become an Episcopal priest and was sainted by the Episcopal Church in 2012 .

She fought and advocated tirelessly her entire life and is regarded as one of the most important social justice advocates of the $20 t h$ Century.

Ms. Ross, thank you so much for being here with us today. We really appreciate it. Would you like to say a few words to the Committee?

MS. ROSS: Yes. You pretty much gave an overview of everything that $I$ was going to say, so I'm going to just give you a blurb of what was left.

I am the great-niece of the Reverend Dr. Pauli Murray, the executor of her will and estate, and the founder of the Pauli Murray Foundation, which is a nonprofit organization that gives academic
scholarships to financially-challenged college students.

Reverend Murray lived with my
family in Baltimore, Maryland for three years before she moved to Pittsburgh and eventually passed.

Reverend Murray was a human
rights, human justice warrior. She fought every day of her life for women, minorities, the poor, and the, quote, unquote, "unacceptable" in our society.

Personally, she wanted to be seen, accepted, and valued, and equal. She wanted this for everyone in our country.

Reverend Murray knew that she and her work have been undervalued. She never received the recognition for her contributions to the equal rights struggle and she knew that her lifelong endeavors were important.

She saved all of her paperwork,
writings, documents, videos with the hope of the future progress of the human rights -with the hopes that it would have some impact on the future progress of human rights. Thus, she wrote the book called "Song in a Weary Throat."

At the end of her life, she found personal acceptance through God and his grace. Through God, she fortified her belief that, through God's eyes, all humans were entitled to equal human rights.

The Pauli Murray Foundation and her family selected Design Draft Number 2 because we like the symbolism that represented the important aspects of her life, also because it was the strongest design that showed who she was and what she believed. We love the slogan "America, Be Who You Proclaim Yourself to Be."

Over the last 35-plus years, the Reverend Murray's family, the Pauli Murray

Foundation, and a host of many others have been obsessed with spreading her legacy of accomplishments. All of us thank the Mint and the federal government for this opportunity to educate the world on how amazing she was and exactly what she accomplished. You have cemented her place in history for years to come.

I would like to take this
opportunity to personally thank the Mint's liaisons who walked our family through this process. You have been extremely, extremely supportive. Thank you.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much. Thank you very much.

All right. We'll move through the candidate designs. We'll start first by highlighting the preferences that have been identified up to this point.

So, in that regard, we will show you Reverse 2. This is the family liaison's
preferred design as well as the recommendation by the CFA. There is a request to add the cross necklace that you can see in Design 2A. I'll point that out when we move through the portfolio. And that is something that was not only recommended by the CFA but also our family liaison requested as well.

All right. Starting with the candidate designs, Reverse 1 and $1 A$ are portraits of Reverend Dr. Pauli Murray's eyeglass-framed face within the shape of the word "Hope," which is symbolic of Murray's belief that significant societal reforms were possible when rooted in hope.

This is a sentiment anchored in her poem "Dark Testament." A line in that poem, "Hope is a song in a weary throat," featured in additional inscription in Reverse 1 A , is also the title of her autobiography.

Reverses 2 and $2 A$ depict Reverend Dr. Pauli Murray with her characteristic smile as her hands reverently cradle the Scales of Justice, a symbol emblematic of her life's work fighting for justice and equal rights. These designs are also a nod to her friendship and collaboration with Eleanor Roosevelt, whose 2023 quarter design similarly features scales.

Reverse 2 features the quote:
"America, Be What You Proclaim Yourself to Be." And again, Reverse 2, seen here, is the preference of our family liaison as well as the recommendation by the CFA, although there is a request to add the cross necklace which can be seen in Design $2 A$, seen here.

And 2A features the inscription:
"Hope is a Song in a Weary Throat."
Moving on to Reverses 3 and $3 A$, these designs depict Reverend Dr. Pauli Murray in her element, sitting
contemplatively at her desk as she prepares to write. Behind her are books and the Scales of Justice on a bookshelf. Murray is wearing her clerical collar, a cross necklace, and a seahorse pin, a symbol representing how she viewed herself.

Reverse 3 features Murray's name in handwritten script.

And that concludes the candidate designs, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Are there any additional comments or motions from any of the members -- my apologies. At this point, we're going to then vote. We're going -- okay.

Any additional comments from the members at this time?

MR. BERNSTEIN: I had a technical question. This is Art Bernstein. On Reverse 3, the signature, is that meant to be her actual -- is that a facsimile of her
signature or is that just a stylized representation?

MS. STAFFORD: The former. It is
in fact her signature. This is April
Stafford. It is her signature.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you.
DR. VAN ALFEN: This is Peter van

Alfen.
I've got a question about the seahorse symbolism. Was this a broach that she actually would wear regularly?

MS. STAFFORD: Yes.
DR. VAN ALFEN: And could you say a little bit more about the symbolism and how this represented how she saw herself?

MS. STAFFORD: Sure. I'd ask Boneza Hanchock, who is the Design Manager for this program and worked predominantly with our liaison to speak to that, and perhaps invite Ms. Ross as well, if you'd like.

MS. HANCHOCK: Absolutely.
Boneza Hanchock. And please, Ms. Ross, interject if I'm incorrect.

But she wore that broach because, to her, it symbolized how she viewed herself because seahorses -- and I'm not a Marine Biologist, but they're able to change themselves, change their gender in that way. So, they were, in a way, genderless, ineloquently speaking, so.

MS. STAFFORD: Ms. Ross, is there anything you care to add on that point?

MS. ROSS: She was obsessed with seahorses.
(Laughter.)
DR. VAN ALFEN: Fair enough.

MS. ROSS: I took my two-year-old son to the aquarium in Baltimore, and she spent all of her time observing and taking pictures of seahorses. She wore that pin all the time. Because it reflected
uniqueness of who she was sexually.
So, I felt to honor the fact that she didn't declare herself as being gay or queer that maybe that should be a symbol that embraces that part of her life.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much.
DR. VAN ALFEN: This is Peter van
Alfen.
Joe, I've got a technical
question about Reverse 1. So, if I'm reading this right, the letters of "Hope" would be raised off of the field; is that correct? The rest of that would be incuse? MR. MENNA: Yes. Everything black would be field, essentially. And so, "Hope" would be raised one level above that, and then the portrait one level above that. DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. MS. STAFFORD: This is April Stafford.
If I could add, since we're
talking about Designs 1 and $1 A$, the Mint was just so thrilled to be able to bring those designs to you, and our Chief Engraver worked closely with the artist in order to ensure that their vision could be represented in this portfolio.

But I think it's important to share that several of our stakeholders, while it certainly caught their eye, they were slightly disquieted by the fact that her face seemed to be partially hidden. So, some of our stakeholders at the Smithsonian as well as the Women's History Museum remarked as such, and $I$ believe our family liaison felt similarly, so.

THE CHAIR: Are there any further questions or comments from Committee? MR. TUCKER: Yes. This is Dennis Tucker, and this is a question for Joe and Mike.

I suspect that we'll be moving
towards the liaison's preference of Design 2 or 2A, but I'm very curious about 1 and 1A because these look like art that we've never seen before. Can you talk a little bit about it? To see this face kind of in the background of a cartouche, it's remarkable. Is there anything that you can tell us about this?

> MR. MENNA: I would just say
whenever an artist presents a design such as this that's different, my job is just to facilitate their being able to realize that, and Mike's as well, and our Coinability team and ODM, to make it doable.

So, it's the -- this is all the artist. This is -- you know, there's no -the only guidance $I$ gave on this was how to stack the relief, frankly. Full
transparency. That's it. This is 100 percent the artist. You know, I wish I came up with this one.

MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis
Tucker again.
I'm just curious; do you see this as a challenging sculpt or would this be a walk in the park? It's so different.

MR. MENNA: As a sculpt, no.

MR. TUCKER: Yeah.
MR. MENNA: The means -- as a sculpt, $I$ wouldn't think it's challenging. I went over it very -- I did go over it with Mike to make sure we can make it, you know. But as I've said, and $I$ don't mean this in any kind of patronizing way, if you see it on the screen, we can make it, you know.

MR. TUCKER: Yes. I understand that, yeah.

MR. MENNA: So, yeah. No, I
know. Every -- they all have -- this is Joe Menna, by the way. I apologize.

They all have their own unique challenges. Every coin is different. Every
coin is a challenge in its own way; that's what $I$ would say.

MS. STAFFORD: But if I may, Mr.
Tucker, and this is April Stafford, $I$ think what you were remarking on is that this is -- it's unusual to see something like this, which is why $I$ note that our Chief Engraver really worked very closely with the artist in order to ensure that that artist's vision could be seen in a form that could be coinable.

There was a time when perhaps, simply because of difficulties in executing this, the original would have had to have been set aside. But he really engaged with the artist to bring something forward that could represent that.

MR. MENNA: This is Joe again. At the risk of editorializing, I think what you may be commenting on is you're starting to see -- I know for this
particular artist, without naming he/she/they, huge artistic leap forward, in my opinion. I'm not saying it's the best candidate or anything like that, but along with other designs, $I$ think you're seeing the program grow as a team.

THE CHAIR: I recognize Greg.
MR. WEINMAN: One more comment.
This is in no way commenting on the quality or the appropriateness of any of the family's preferences or otherwise.

But it is worth noting that this legislation has a prohibition on busts or head-and-shoulders portraits. And so, it was clever of the artist to create a design that is essentially a portrait but it doesn't violate the statute because it is part of another fundamental primary device in the coin.

So, it was a bit of a clever way to get around the prohibition that's in the
statute that way. The other depictions all have to be fuller torso or showing an arm or hand or something so as not to violate the provision of the law that prohibits busts or head-and-shoulders portraits.

DR. VAN ALFEN: This is Peter van

Alfen.
Can I just ask why the prohibition exists? Was there any reason given?

MR. WEINMAN: It's the same prohibition that was in other quarter programs before this. The idea was to avoid a two-headed coin, essentially.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Ah. Right.
MR. WEINMAN: I think at the time that this provision was built into the legislation it was -- the legislation evolved from what was essentially a sports quarter program initially and then evolved into the larger program. And the
prohibition on busts or head-and-shoulders portraits, unfortunately, stayed in the legislation even as we were going to have a program that was going to honor individuals, prominent American women.

So, the Mint has both carefully but also very creatively found ways to honor the honorees and portray their images without violating the statute.

MR. MENNA: At the risk of
overtalking, which I'm good at, no, $I$ think the Mint's -- $I$ know other world mints, but our Mint in particular, you know, I always say the obverse is the main character and the reverse is the supporting cast, when you look at older coins particularly.

But in our case, these types of programs, the main show is -- not to denigrate President Washington, but the main show are the reverses. And American innovators, the same prohibition exists, but
there's no portrait on the obverse.
So, we're kind of ahead of the -in my opinion -- I'm not a lawyer -- I think legislation will eventually catch up to where we are. Does that make sense?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Mm-hmm.
THE CHAIR: Are there any final comments before we proceed with --

DR. FULLER: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. This is Harcourt Fuller.
This is a question for Joe. I think $I$ know the answer, but I'll ask anyway.

MR. MENNA: Yes, sir.
DR. FULLER: The coin as shown has a black background. I think you know where I'm going with this. It's not going to be black, of course, right? I just want to be clear about that, right?

MR. MENNA: Correct.
DR. FULLER: And I guess, as a
follow-up question, $I$ was wondering why was it not shown in the color in which it would eventually be minted?

MR. MENNA: There were some
policies enacted and codified in 2007 about inducing and stuff like that using the color black. And April can stop me at any point. Some artists interpret that more broadly like this artist, meaning -- black means polished field. Other artists, you'll see maybe perhaps the same design and the background just be white.

And $I$ think we're in the process of working out a way that the artist can express their intent without muting their voices. So, we're still -- black typically means polished field right now. DR. FULLER: Okay. Thank you. MR. MENNA: Incused. Or incused. MS. STAFFORD: Yes. MR. MENNA: Which is still
polished field, though.
MS. STAFFORD: I was going to say black symbolizes what is incused, but we are aware that some artists apply it slightly more liberally. So, we are -- have all agreed internally as the designs move through review to ensure that there's an equity of application in that regard.

MR. MENNA: Yeah. Any confusion
is my negligence, frankly, for not uniformly paying -- taking it for granted all these years, you know.

THE CHAIR: If I may, for the record, the last comment came from Joe Menna, the comment before that April Stafford, so the record can make sure that we fully document --

MR. MENNA: Sorry.
THE CHAIR: No problem. This indicates, in fact, how interested this Committee is about this design.

## CONSIDERATION

THE CHAIR: So, let us begin our consideration, if you will.

I would like to remind members to please try to keep your comments to five minutes or less. Additionally, if there are any members who have questions or comments, please hold those, and $I$ promise you that we will get back to them at the end.

For the benefit of the Court Reporter and those calling in, $I$ ask that you state your name when you begin speaking. And let's try as much as possible to keep our comments to five minutes or less.

Let us begin with Dr. Harcourt Fuller.

DR. FULLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

We welcome you to the Committee, Ms. Ross. It's always -- you know, one of the joys of serving on this Committee is
learning about great Americans that you might have heard of but you don't have the details. So, I'm so honored to be able to opine on this coin.

And I'm going to ask Ms. Ross's forgiveness because I'm going to do something that $I$ don't think I've done before, which is -- well, let me first say I congratulate the artists. These are all great designs.

But can we go back to 1? I've never seen anything like this on a coin. What is the purpose of a coin? Dean and others have spoken about the tabletop test, right? Is that right? If I'm seeing 10 coins on a table, which one am I going to pick up? In a sea of coins, right, what am $I$ going to look at, right?
And if we are trying to educate the American public and the world about these outstanding Americans, we want coins
that will make someone say, "What is this?" When I see the word "Hope," that means so much to so many people. But just, again, how her image comes through makes me want to look at that coin and Google, or Bing --
(Laughter.)
DR. FULLER: -- Dr. Pauli Murray. I think, in my humble opinion, more people would do that than if they saw some of the other designs because we're going to have other designs of people on coins.
I've never seen anything like
this. With all due respect to the family, to the stakeholders, to the artists, this is my pick.

Thank you.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. We'll turn now to Dr. Dean Kotlowski.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. This is Dean Kotlowski.

I have to agree with much of what my colleague Dr. Fuller has said. Ms. Ross, I wrote down something that you said in your remarks, that your great-aunt wanted to be seen. And when you said that, that did pull me toward Choice Number 2 because you can see her very clearly and very obviously, and that is the choice of the family and the CFA.

But as $I$ think about this more and more, the tabletop test. What catches your eye? And it is 1 and it is 1A. And actually, $I$ think $I$ prefer $1 A$ a ittte bit more.

In terms of your great-aunt being seen, $I$ think she is seen in both 1 and 1 A. And if I'm stating what Joe said correctly here, the background is incused, "Hope" is then raised next, and then her
portrait is the highest. So, maybe it's a little hard for us to see how she's going to be seen here on a coin and that the image of her portrait will be more prominent than maybe we're getting an impression of here. Number 1 is excellent. What $I$ like about $1 A--\quad$ if we can go to $1 A$, please? -- is that the way the "O" is done, you see a little more of her face. And I think it is genius by the artist -- $\quad$ can use that word -- the way you have the complete quote here figured in: "Hope - A Song In A Weary Throat."

I think what you're getting there
is something more than the generic message of hope, which is important. But you're getting an actual quotation, and you're getting it in a stylistically sophisticated and balanced way, the portrait and then the quotation. And then it's all pulled together by the word "Hope" really
brilliantly.
The nice thing about Number 2 , which is the family's preference, is that you do have a very specific message there, right? "America, Be What You Proclaim Yourself To Be." And then, if you go back again to $1 A$, you've got a specific quotation and a larger message.

And I like all of the designs
that have the Reverend Dr. Pauli Murray because $I$ think the general public, when they see that, if they have some awareness of the Civil Rights Movement, that's going to conjure up memories and thoughts of Martin Luther King, Jr., the Reverend Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.

And people are going to think, "Well, this is probably somebody who is like that and maybe did some things that were similar to the Reverend Martin Luther King, Jr." So, in that sense, 1, 1A, 2, and $2 A$
are all very strong candidates.
But I love -- this is a great person. And it is not in my capacity to label things great art, but to the extent to which $I$ can, $I$ think $1 A$ and 1 are great art for a great American.

Thank you.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. I'm going to take the privilege of the Chair to give my comments at this point because $I$ think that my colleagues have raised facts and information that certainly warrant and balance the discussion.
Over time, we've had a lot of beautiful designs; there's no question about it. And sometimes we in fact have to balance between the beauty and the message. Sometimes designs can be so subtle that it may miss the general public. And for me, it's really important that we balance them
well.
As much as I do appreciate that
Design Number 1 as a fantastic design that we have not seen before, one has to ask the question about why the family chose 2. When I look at the message that's in 2 , the question then became to me, somehow or another, the message here was more powerful than the message they felt for Number 1 .

As much as Number 1 is a
fantastic and a beautiful design, the question becomes: How is that going to resonate with the public? Because this is going to be a circulating coin. That being the case, you want to have the opportunity for it to provide dividends. To what extent will the dividends be realized if the design is too subtle?

So, in that respect, I'm going to throw my vote along with that of the CFA and the family.

Thank you so much.
Let me now turn to Robin Salmon. MS. SALMON: This is Robin

Salmon. Thank you, excuse me, Dr. Brown. I'm really torn on this
particular coin. As a person who thinks first of art and more of the unusual ways that a subject can be portrayed, I absolutely am crazy about Design 1 and 1A. It is so different, as everyone has said. It reaches out and grabs you.

And despite the fact that
Reverend Dr. Murray's portrait may be somewhat obscured in these designs, $I$ think because the word "Hope" really reaches out that she would like that. And if $I$ could alone choose a design for this, $I$ would say 1.

However, 2 and 3 are also very fine designs. And $I$ understand all of the reasons why the family and the CFA might
prefer this with the addition of the cross necklace. It is straightforward. It does include a lovely portrait of her. It has her quote, which is so powerful. And it looks like a presidential portrait, if you will.

3 is also a very strong portrait.
I like the fact that she's sitting in her Own surroundings, that all of the important imagery is there that's been referenced with the scales, her work, her religion, the symbolism that goes along with her life, as well as the inclusion of the inscription of her handwriting.

So, this is a very tough choice, very tough choice. And I'm still not exactly sure where I'm going with it. I'm going to have to make up my mind soon, but $I$ appreciate everything that the family wants with this, as well as any other stakeholder who may be involved.

So, thank you.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Art.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Speaking of art,
this is Art Bernstein. And I'm going to take these in reverse order, please.

3 and 3A, I appreciated the same things that Robin appreciated. Seeing Reverend Dr. Murray in her surroundings with all those books scattered around I think demonstrated the breadth of her role in our society.

Design 2 has the quotation that $I$ find to be the strongest, and $I$ prefer that design over $2 A$ because $I$ think it's just a little more simple in the design.

But $I$ want to say from the bottom of my heart with regard to Designs 1 and 2, when $I$ first saw the designs, $I$ had rejected those two. I just pushed them off to the side. And the beauty of these meetings and
hearing from my colleagues today has completely flipped my thinking, and $I$ appreciate what everyone has shared. And I see real value and real beauty in Designs 1 and $1 A$, and I'm leaning towards supporting those designs.

Thank you.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Mike Moran. MR. MORAN: I'm there. I couldn't get the cursor on the microphone. I'm sorry.

Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Mike Moran.

First of all, I'm proud that the Reverend Pauli Murray chose the Episcopal Church as her vehicle to promote and advance her goals and aspirations. As a lifelong Episcopalian as well as a Senior Warden to the Bishop of the Diocese of Lexington, I understand it was not always an easy journey
through the Episcopal Church, although it was easier than it would have been through some of the other mainstream Protestant churches.

I have listened to the comments made by the Committee, and $I$ too am a bit torn over this one. And I'll tell you where I finally come down.

First of all, $I$ don't like 1
because $I$ feel the ovals in the "O" and the "P" distract from the portrait and they will distract from the portrait in the coin. I like 1A a lot. But I also like 2. But when $I$ look at what -- these quarters are more than just 25 cents to put in a gum machine. What are we trying to convey? What have we conveyed in the past about the accomplishments of the women that have been merited and recognized on the backs of these coins?

And you can see instantly from

1A, it's Pauli Murray and it's hope. And if there's ever anything that this country could use right now, it's hope that tomorrow will be a better day than today. And that will come across on that quarter.

And remember, we're dealing with a quarter. As good as the portrait looks on 2, and it's a good portrait, what's it going to look like on a quarter? And I just -yesterday, when $I$ was with you all, $I$ bought a set of the 2022 quarters frosted. And I can tell you that's going to be a frosted mess. You're not going to see the quality and the care and humanity that you see in this sketch.

And I think that while we have a duty to portray these images accurately, we also have a duty to the American public as to the message that we want these quarters to convey. And therefore, $I^{\prime} m$ going to put a lot of emphasis on 1A.

Thank you, Dr. Brown.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much for your thoughtful comments.

Let's turn now to Sam Gill. MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman.
I'm going to -- well, first of
all, Dr. Pauli Murray is just so accomplished and a true intellectual. And it's a privilege to comment on her coin.
I'm going to concur with Dr.

Brown. I believe that the -- not only because the family is interested in Number 2 and the CFA is interested in Number 2, but $I$ think it is the correct choice with the cross added because I like -- I think this woman deserves a portrait. She deserves to be seen.

And she's been a part of recent history, and she worked so hard and contributed so much to the intellectual
property of her work. And so many others embraced her, like Ruth Bader Ginsberg, for instance. I mean, she was just a huge force. And $I$ think she deserves an elegant portrait.

And that's -- I agree with the family, and that's where I'm siding: Number 2 with cross.

Thank you.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Peter.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Dr. Brown.

I have to say, sitting here for the last several minutes listening to all of my colleagues express their views on all this far more eloquently than $I^{\prime} m$ sure $I$ can, I have to say $I$ sit here conflicted over which way to go with this.

I find the opportunity to portray Pauli Murray here and to represent her on
this coin, you know, to be a tremendous moment. Hopefully, this representation will bring more recognition to her and her work and all the rest of that.

The question is, you know, how do we convey that in the most powerful way possible? And, you know, I do find Number 2 to be a perfectly adequate, accessible, nice design. And $I$ have to say that if Number 1A, particularly, were not an option, that certainly would be a very easy choice. But $I$ am just drawn into the power of $1 A$, particularly with that portrait. I cannot take my eyes off of it. And it really is a powerful image. I mean, that is absolutely a fantastic, innovative, brilliant design.

And the question is: Does that convey the message in the same way or in a much more powerful way than Number 2? And I certainly, you know, respect the family
liaison, Ms. Ross's preferences as well as the CFA. I can see, you know, where they've come from, you know, with this.

But again, $I$ find myself just drawn into $1 A$ particularly as just an amazing design. And that, $I$ think, would convey that message perhaps a bit more powerfully than 2 , you know, would.

So, you know, again, $I$ feel very conflicted about this because, you know, I do understand, you know, Dr. Brown and, you know, his eloquent expression about that, particularly with accessibility and the rest, and honoring, you know, the family's choices. So, $I$ will make my decision in the next few minutes, and we'll see.

So, thank you.
THE CHAIR: We appreciate those sentiments very much. Thank you for sharing.

Let's turn now to Dennis, Dennis

Tucker.
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr.
Brown. This is Dennis Tucker.
And this is a challenge. This is a real challenge because we -- I'll echo the sentiments of my colleagues here: We have two very strong -- three very strong designs, two that start to reach perfection, I would say.

1A is wonderful. It's unique. It's innovative and artistic in ways that we don't always see in circulating coinage. It has art, symbolism, and messaging. As a standalone piece of art and work of coinage, it nears perfection.

2 also has everything that a coin needs. It has the art, it has the symbolism, and the messaging. Very different from 1A, and that's what makes it a challenge.

I don't know if it's premature,
but $I$ would like to yield my time to Ms. Ross and hear her analysis and her thoughts on 1A versus 2, and see if maybe her comments can guide us a bit.

THE CHAIR: Dennis, $I$ think you were anticipating what $I$ was going to do.

So, may I suggest that, before we do that, let's hear from Mr. Saunders first. Ms. Ross may want to hear his comments before she offers hers.

MR. SAUNDERS: Dr. Brown, thank you. John Saunders here, as I've been told to say.
I'm going to be different than everybody else. I like the experimentalness of 1 and $1 A, \quad$ particularly $1 A$ being the better of the two. But $I$ would like to see something -- a coin that was actually struck kind of along those lines before $I$ jump into the field of fray and recommend something that $I^{\prime} m$ not sure would come out as well as

I think it -- as the picture comes out.
So, that kind of leaves me between the 2 and 3 design. And no one else said anything nice about 3 , but it was my favorite.

I like both 2 and 3. I like the fact that 3 shows her at work, shows her working -- looking at perhaps a law that she was supporting or something of that nature with books in the background.

The other thing that $I$ didn't like about 2 is she's holding a balanced scale. I've used a balanced scale many times to weigh coins and other metals. And you can't hold a balanced scale and hold it even like that. I mean, that's -- either it's a fake or it's -- it's impossible. And I just didn't like her holding the balanced scale.

I liked it much better being on the bookshelf. I mean, it shows what she's
talking about, her thought that, you know, equality, balancing things is the right way to go. But I liked it much better on the bookshelf than $I$ did someone holding it.

So, I'm going to go, I think, unless, you know, all of us are reserving our final judgment until we hear all the comments, but $I$ think $I$ like 3 best. So, I'll be the outlier here.

I think all of them were good, by
the way. I mean, don't get me wrong, but 3 was my favorite.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much.
Ms. Ross, you've heard the comments from members of the Committee. We think it would be invaluable for us to -- my apologies.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: I wanted to add something before we -- but also so did Harcourt. This is Dr. Dean Kotlowski. Go ahead.

DR. FULLER: May I?
THE CHAIR: So, let me -- if we do that, may $I$ suggest the following? Let's hear from Ms. Ross, and then we'll come back to members of the committee because $I$ suspect other members of the Committee may want to comment as well.

So, let's first hear from Ms. Ross and then we can take it back to any further conversation.

Ms. Ross, again, you happen to be the witness to one of the occasions when we have a real robust conversation about a design. And we're interested in your thoughts, having heard what we have shared today.
MS. ROSS: Well, the amazing
thing is that our family went through this same back and forth. We fell in love with 1, but we felt like her face was obscured, and plus the fact, as laypeople, we don't
have that artisan vision that you all have. It was hard for us to conceptualize the black being raised, you know. We don't have the information to be able to visualize what the coin would look like minus the black. And $I$ understand the black had to be there for design purposes, guideline purposes, but that was one of our big concerns.

And we just felt like, as a group, we felt like Number 2 spoke to her journey more than the hope of the nation. I think the family will be comfortable with either one of those designs. As I said, it spoke to us initially, but then we wanted to become all-inclusive of the aspects of her life. So, if you all go with 1, I would hope that you would go with the one where you can see more of her face than her peeking through letters.

So, if that makes it any easier for you, we won't be devastated if you pick 1. We did not like 3 at all.
(Laughter.)
MS. ROSS: There's a picture that that was drawn from, and if -- you know, we wanted something more unique than a copy of a picture.

So, thank you.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. We have a comment from our Chief Engraver.

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna. Ms. Ross, pleasure to be able to talk to you.

Something everyone in the room is very familiar with but might -- just to give a little more background, your -- everyone's opinion about art counts, from my perspective.

When it comes to coins, we have
to think about scale and real estate. So, as important as the symbolism on a coin, or even the portrait -- I'm not editorializing as to which one's better in any way, shape, or form. But Design -- what is it? -- that one. 2?

MALE SPEAKER: 1A.
FEMALE SPEAKER: 1A.
MR. MENNA: No, 2. 2. 2. With the scales, with the scales. With coins, everything is about real estate in terms of visibility. On a 3-inch Congressional Gold Medal, everything in this design would be evidently visible. But at the scale of a quarter, even sculpted by the best sculptor, it would be very challenging to get a likeness which is only millimeters in length to be readable. Additionally, the seahorse and the scales will be difficult to read at this scale.

So, the symbolism that helps share the story and the portrait itself, while acceptable by our coining standards -and we never try to stifle any particular artist's vision -- would not be as readable as the portrait on the first two designs, despite the intersection with the lettering. That's just a technical observation about scale.

So, thank you for your time.
THE CHAIR: This is Lawrence Brown. And unless any member of the Committee is going to offer -MS. WARREN: Sorry, Dr. Brown. This is Jennifer Warren. Mike Moran has his hand raised. You can't see that because of the screen.

THE CHAIR: Apology.
So, I'm going to ask the Committee members that unless you're going to offer something that's going to be
different than what one has already articulated, and given the time that we have in front of us, $I$ just want to make sure that we balance that.

So that I'm going to recognize Mike since he's there, then I'm going to recognize anyone else, but remember that we are beyond our time, and deservedly so.

So, let me make sure that we are clear; I'm not saying that we should minimize the importance of what we're doing, but $I$ do also recognize we want to maintain quorum.

Mike?
MR. MORAN: Dr. Brown, thank you. This is Mike Moran.

In view of our sponsor's comments about 1 versus $1 A$, let's not split our votes between 1 and $1 A . \quad I f$ you're going to vote for the image of "Hope," vote for 1A-- it's clearly the better one -- so we don't have
to make any motions at the end if we have a split between the two. It's a wasted vote on 1 .

THE CHAIR: Dean?
MR. MORAN: Does everybody
understand what I'm trying to say?

PARTICIPANTS: Yes.
MR. MORAN: Thank you.
THE CHAIR: Dean?

DR. KOTLOWSKI: This is Dean Kotlowski.

And Ms. Ross, thank you so much for your open-mindedness and your encouragement and your humility. Yes, everybody, we all have opinions on art, but it's good to hear, you know, what you said.

I want to add something to the comments about $1 A$ that $I$ think also make it very powerful. If you look at the horizon line, you do see "Hope" and then, below that, you see "The Reverend Dr. Pauli

Murray," and then, below that, you see "E Pluribus Unum." So, there is yet another -there's a parallel message here that $I$ think is also very powerful that makes this a particularly powerful coin.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much.

Any other members that feel the need to?
(No Response.)
THE CHAIR: Not hearing or seeing any, I'm going to ask, Mike and Joe, do you have anything else you want to share with the Committee regarding the design?

MR. MENNA: I have nothing.
MR. COSTELLO: No, sir.
THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Hearing none, the Committee will now score the reverse candidate designs for the 2024 American Woman Quarter honoring the Reverend Dr. Pauli Murray. Each of you should have in front of you the score
sheets.
Mike, you will send yours in electronically.

When we have done, please give them to Greg, who will tally them.

Let's take 10 minutes' recess.
MS. WARREN: Also, Robin, please send them in. This is Jennifer.

We're going to go on recess.
MR. WEINMAN: Thank you, sir.
We're in recess.
(Brief Recess.)
MS. WARREN: Okay. We are back. SCORING RESULTS

THE CHAIR: We are back, and I recognize Greg Weinman, Counsel to the CCAC, to present the results of the scoring.

MR. WEINMAN: Once again, as with yesterday, these are out of a possible score of 30 points.

Design Number 1 received 3 votes.

Design Number 1A received 25,
making it the high-scoring design.
Design Number 2 received 18 .
Design $2 A$ receiving 8 .
Design 3 receiving 6 .
And 3A receiving 6.
So, once again, out of a possible
30 points, Design 1A is the Committee's high-scoring design at 25 . MOTIONS AND VOTE

THE CHAIR: I ask the Committee: Are there any motions? Dennis?

MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis
Tucker.
I don't think this requires a motion, but $I$ would just, for the record, like to recommend that we recommend to the Secretary of the Treasury Design $1 A$.

THE CHAIR: Is there a second for the motion?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Peter van Alfen,
second.

THE CHAIR: Peter van Alfen, second.

MS. SALMON: Robin Salmon,
second.

MS. WARREN: I'm sorry. This is Jennifer, Dr. Brown. Mike Moran has his hand raised.

MR. MORAN: Well, I did, but I wasn't quick enough.

I would like for the person that voted for Number 1, because they didn't vote for Number $1 A$, to consider shifting their vote and for the simple reality that we have to buck the CFA choice. And if we want to have a good chance of getting our 1A choice in there, we need to get as many points on it as we can. It's just the reality of what it is.

MR. WEINMAN: Mr. Chairman, can I comment?

In fact, that is not one person.
That is -- the 3 represents other people's votes. The people who voted for -- gave votes to 1 also voted for 1 A, both. MR. MORAN: Okay. So be it.

THE CHAIR: Counsel? This is a question for Counsel. Yes, this is Lawrence Brown. A question for Counsel.

To do what Mike is suggesting, would we not have to take a revote?

MR. WEINMAN: Yeah, and it isn't the way -- in other words, it wasn't -- 1A did not receive the maximum votes not because people voted for 1 but because there were other people who simply didn't vote for 1A. So - MR. MORAN: I'm with it. I'm not going to make a motion we make this unanimous.

MR. WEINMAN: There is already a motion on the table to make the
recommendation, so that -- keeping in mind -- this is Greg Weinman, Counsel. The scoring is a tool. The scoring is not itself a recommendation.

So, the tool that you used to gauge interest has given you this score. The motion on the table actually is the recommendation of the Committee.

THE CHAIR: So, we have a motion on the table, and we have a second. Is there any further conversation or discussion on the motion?

Mr. Saunders?
MR. SAUNDERS: I will amend to make it a unanimous motion.

THE CHAIR: Dennis will agree with that.

THE CHAIR: This is a friendly amendment to make it unanimous.

MR. TUCKER: Oh, well, I think our vote would do so, yes.

THE CHAIR: So, is it accepted? MR. TUCKER: Yeah.

THE CHAIR: He's accepted the friendly amendment.

All those in favor, "aye"?
(Chorus of "aye".)

THE CHAIR: Any opposition?
(No Response.)
THE CHAIR: Hearing none, the motion carries. Unanimously. For the record.
(Motion Carried Unanimously.)
THE CHAIR: Are there any other motions with respect to this program?
(No Response.)
THE CHAIR: Seeing none, since all discussion on this program has concluded, $I$ would like to thank, and really thank from the depth of our hearts, Ms. Ross, for your continued efforts to make this known to all the American public about
the phenomenal achievements of this fantastic African American woman.

So, thank you so much. And thank you for attending today. And you may just continue to join with us if you desire, but if you have other things to do, that's your option as well.

2024 AMERICAN WOMEN QUARTER: CELIA CRUZ
THE CHAIR: The second item today
for our review is the reverse candidate designs for the 2024 Women Quarter honoring Celia Cruz.

April Stafford, again the Chief of the Mint's Office of Design Management, will introduce the program and present the reverse candidate designs for this quarter. REPORT

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. This is April Stafford.

Some background on this program. Celiz Cruz was a distinguished Cuban

American artist who became an international superstar and cultural icon. By celebrating her Cuban culture, she also helped Afro-Latino Americans embrace their own heritage.

Cruz was a dazzling performer who had a powerful voice, energetic stage presence, and a unique style that endeared her to fans worldwide and across generations. One of few women to succeed in the male-dominated world of salsa music, the Queen of Salsa performed all over the world for more than five decades and recorded more than 50 albums.

Her musical skills, combined with her elaborate costumes and her signature catchphrase "Azucar," "Sugar" in Spanish, electrified audiences worldwide. What began as a seemingly simple request for sugar in her Cuban coffee while dining at a restaurant, "Azucar" became so much more.

Some attribute it to a rallying cry in remembrance of all enslaved Afro-Latinos in Cuba and the Caribbean forced to work the sugarcane fields.

I believe we are honored to have Omer Pardillo, our liaison for this program, with us.

Thank you so much for being here, Mr. Pardillo. Would you care to say a few words to the Committee?

You're on mute, sir.
MR. PARDILLO: Sorry, sorry. Hi.
Good morning. My name is Omer Pardillo, former manager of Celia Cruz and executor of her estate.

With great honor in this meeting honoring the late Celia Cruz with a coin $I$ think is one of the highest achievements that Celia has had since her passing. And there have been many, but this is a very, very important achievement for her legacy.

I think you have made a summary of Celia's career and on what she's getting honored. If you have any questions for me, I'll be happy to answer.

The family and the estate have chosen the Option Number 1 to be the primary option for this design, and also option Number 3 would be our second choice. It's CCRO3.

I'm happy here to answer any questions in reference to the designs for Celia's legacy.

MS. STAFFORD: This is April.
Thank you so much, Mr. Pardillo. And we'll move on to looking at the preferences.

So, as was noted, Design 1 was identified as the primary preference of our liaison, as well as the recommendation by the CFA.

All right. So, this design is a
dynamic portrait of Celia Cruz flashing her dazzling smile while performing in a rumba-style dress. Her signature catchphrase "Azucar!" is inscribed on the right.

Again, this is the primary
preference of the estate and the recommendation of the CFA.

Reverse 2 depicts Celia Cruz singing while gesturing boldly with her left hand and holding a microphone in her right, with the additional inscription "Queen of Salsa!" overlaid on the portrait. The background swirl represents her exhilarating energy and rhythm of the music.

And Reverse 3 features a portrait of the glamorous, vivacious Celia Cruz singing and flashing her infectious and exuberant smile. The additional inscription is "Azucar!" Again, as Mr. Pardillo noted, this is the estate's secondary preference.

Reverse 4 depicts Celia Cruz in
motion as if captured in the midst of an elaborate salsa dance move on stage. And the additional inscription includes the "Queen of Salsa."

Finally, Reverses 5 and 5A depict Celia Cruz mid-performance surrounded by sculpted waves that symbolize the energy of her powerful voice. Below her, the straight lines reminiscent of piano keys move the viewer's eyes from the bottom left towards her name, with musical notes radiating in between the distinctive lines.

That concludes the candidate designs.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much.
Are there any technical or legal questions from the Committee about this program or designs?

DR. VAN ALFEN: This is Peter van Alfen, and this is a question for Joe, Joe

Menna.
On Reverse 1, if I'm reading this
correctly, "Celia" would be incuse and "Cruz" would be relief; is that correct?

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna.

Yes.
DR. VAN ALFEN: Is there any
reason why there's one relief and the other incuse?

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna again. Yes, because of the nature of relief, everything that goes to the edge needs to taper, but the body is fuller -- is more full.

I believe it's been -- when I see portfolios visually, number one, I'm assuming it's the artist's intent for "Celia" to pop out, but number two, we wouldn't be able to raise it as -- the relief on the edge of the coin, the body is necessarily going to be higher than the
sleeve, so it would be more difficult.
Also, just technically, to have
the raised text above her body, the relief height would not accommodate it. The relief height available at that part of the coin would not accommodate it.

DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Are there any other questions or comments from the members of the Committee regarding the legal or technical matters?
(No Response.)
CONSIDERATION
THE CHAIR: Hearing none, let us begin our consideration.
I'd like to, again, remind our members to please try to keep your comments to five minutes or less. Additionally, if members have additional questions, please know that $I$ will be -- we will have an
opportunity to share those -- for you to pose those later on.

For the benefit of the Court Reporter and those calling in, $I$ ask that you state your name before you begin speaking.

Let us begin our considerations with Sam Gill.

MR. GILL: Well, thank you, Mr.
Chairman. This is Sam Gill.
I too like Number 1. This woman was just a powerhouse. If you can remember ever seeing her perform on Youtube or anything that you can find her on, $I$ mean, she's just fun, fun, fun to watch. And I think Number 1 does capture her as well as it's possible to capture her on a coin.

I liked 5 and 5A from the standpoint that $I$ thought -- found them very interesting, but the detail in them would just get washed up in a quarter, from my
taste.
So, with that said, my choice would be Number 1. Thank you, sir.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.
Let's turn now to Peter.
DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Mr.
Chair.
I have to say I really love
Number 1 .

THE CHAIR: I'm sorry, Peter.
You want to repeat your name for the record?
DR. VAN ALFEN: Peter van Alfen, yeah.

I have to say $I$ really love
Number 1. I think that this is a really dynamic, joyful portrait. And one of the things $I$ like about it also is the extended left hand, which seems to engage the audience and invite them, you know, into the moment portrayed here.

So, I'm more than happy to
support that design. I think it certainly is the best of the lot here.
So, thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Harcourt.

DR. FULLER: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman.
Mr. Pardillo, bienvenido al
Comite. Welcome to the Committee.
MR. PARDILLO: Muchas gracias.
DR. FULLER: This is an absolute honor, and it just makes me, once again -it reaffirms my love for this country, and it makes me so proud to see someone who was born in another country who became a United States citizen, who did so much for this country and who received so much for this country, be honored in this way. When you make it onto a coin, you know, you've made it.
And it just -- I'm emotional. I
love this woman. She brought so many of us into salsa and other forms of music. And this is just so great. I don't have the right words to express.

This reaffirms that this country
is moving in the right direction when we recognize all Americans regardless of national origin or anything like that. I'm just so proud today to be an American citizen.

Thank you.
MR. WEINMAN: Design?

DR. FULLER: 1 A.
(Laughter.)
DR. FULLER: 1 A. I'm sorry. I
meant 1 .

THE CHAIR: Let's turn now to Dennis Tucker.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown.

And thank you, Dr. Fuller. I
want to echo what you just said. I have personal connections to Puerto Rico, not to Cuba, but $I$ have listened to a lot of Latin music and a lot of salsa and a lot of Celia Cruz. And $I$ love Celia Cruz, Mr. Pardillo, I'll tell you that.

And $I$ look at this coin and c can
hear her shouting, "Azucar!" And if you've ever heard her music, you can hear that in your head when you look at this coin. I also -- love its vibrancy, its action. It's a wonderful portrait.

I want to talk a little bit about how her name is depicted too, with "Celia" being incuse. And Peter, you mentioned this. I do see that as a design choice because, for salsa music, for Latin music, if you say "Celia," people know who you're talking about, right? It's like saying "Elvis" or "Dolly" or "Aretha" or "Elton." You know, you don't have to say the last
name. People know "Celia."
And so, I like having "Celia" set apart like that. I think there's an elegant touch. I don't know if it was intended by the artist, but $I$ suspect it was.

Number 1 is a beautiful design. I'm very excited to see this in the nation's pocket change.

So, thank you, Mr. Pardillo.
Thank you to the Mint's art staff and for the artists for bringing a wonderful portfolio.

Thank you, Dr. Brown.
THE CHAIR: Thank you.
Let's turn now to John Saunders. MR. SAUNDERS: I like all three. The last one $I$ thought was very -- Number 5 was very artistic but not something $I$ think would go on a coin well. But the first four I thought, wow, this is going to be a hard decision.

And Number 1, $I$ worry about just a touch what it's going to look like after it's been in circulation for 10 or 20 years. But it is going to be so magnificent as a proof with frosted highlights, and wait to see what our Mint personnel do with that, that $I$ have to be in favor of Number 1. It just -- it's a dynamic design and, okay, if it wears a little bit, it wears a little bit, but $I$ want my proof.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Dean.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. This is Dean Kotlowski.
I too am in favor of Number 1 for
all of the comments that were stated earlier, and those are my comments. I think it's a terrific coin.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Beauty and brevity, we appreciate that.

Let's turn now to Robin.

MS. SALMON: Thank you, Mr.
Chair. This is Robin Salmon.
I never saw Celia Cruz perform live, but $I$ did see her on television. And what a dynamo, as well as a wonderful singer. She could just reach out to the audience and grab you individually, even if you were watching on television.

And I feel that Number 1 portrays
that dynamism. It's a good likeness. And it's energetic, which is so much what she was about.

So, my support goes with Number

1. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.
Let's turn now to Art.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art
Bernstein.

Number 1 .
(Laughter.)
THE CHAIR: Mike Moran?

MR. MORAN: This is Mike Moran. Number 1 .

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much.
This is Lawrence Brown, and $I$
want to articulate -- actually, to support the comments by my colleagues about the significance of the design and the beauty of all the art here but especially Number 1 .

Are there any additional comments or motions from members at this time?
(No Response.)
THE CHAIR: Mike and Joe, do you have additional comments?

MR. COSTELLO: No, sir.
MR. MENNA: I have no comment.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you.

Now the Committee will score.
Again, we will be in recess for 10 minutes.
(Brief Recess.)
MS. WARREN: Okay. We're back
from recess. Dr. Brown?

THE CHAIR: We are back. I
recognize Greg Weinman, Counsel to the CCAC, to present the results from the scoring.

SCORING RESULTS
MR. WEINMAN: Once again, out of a possible score of 30 , Design Number 1 received a total of 30 out of 30 .

Design Number 2 received 3 .
Design Number 3 received 7 .
Design Number 4 received a score of 4 .

Design Number 5 received a score of 2 .

And Design 5A also received a score of 2.

Once again, the high-scoring design is Design Number 1, with a perfect score of 30.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much, Greg.
MOTIONS AND VOTE

THE CHAIR: Are there any
motions? Recognizing Art.
MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art
Bernstein. I move that we unanimously recommend Design 1 .

DR. VAN ALFEN: Peter van Alfen.

Second.
THE CHAIR: Thank you.
Any discussion on the motion?
(No Response.)
THE CHAIR: Hearing none, all those in favor, "aye"?
(Chorus of "aye.")
THE CHAIR: Mike, you're an "aye"?
(Brief Pause.)

MR. MORAN: Yes, I'm an "aye."
(Laughter.)
THE CHAIR: Thank you.
MR. MORAN: I've got some other things I'm doing here.

THE CHAIR: That's quite all
right. We understand.
It sounds like the motion
carries.
(Motion Carried Unanimously.)
THE CHAIR: Very good. Since all
discussion on this program has concluded, then $I$ would like to take this moment again to thank Mr. Pardillo for joining us and helping us to really appreciate and recognize the fantastic contributions of Celia Cruz.

So, thank you so much for your support of her as well as thank you for attending with us today and sharing with us the comments that you have.

MR. PARDILLO: I want to thank you and thank the U.S. Mint Office and the U.S. Government to recognize this amazing lady who was -- the persona behind the great singer that we all know, it was better than
the singer. Her persona behind, the humanitarian, that's the Celia Cruz that everybody who knew her had the great opportunity of knowing those qualities.

So, I want to thank you all for your time and for honoring Celia this way. THE CHAIR: Thank you.

2024 AMERICAN WOMEN QUARTER: PATSY TAKEMOTO MINK

THE CHAIR: The third item today for our review is the reverse candidate design for the 2024 American Women Quarter honoring Patsy Takemoto Mink.

Ms. Stafford, again, the Chief of the Mint's Office of Design and Development, will introduce the program and present the reverse candidate designs. REPORT

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. This is April Stafford.

Some background on this quarter.

Patsy Takemoto Mink was a third-generation Japanese American born and raised on the island of Maui in Hawaii. She endured discrimination throughout her life, which affected and subsequently shaped her education, career opportunities, and convictions.

Ms. Mink continued to persevere and succeed, eventually winning seats in the Hawaii Territorial Legislature, the Hawaii State Senate, and ultimately the U.S. House of Representatives, becoming the first woman of color to serve in the United States Congress. She served six consecutive terms in Congress, from 1965 to 1977 , and another seven consecutive terms, from 1989 to 2002 . As a member of Congress, she fought for gender and racial equality, affordable childcare, support for low-income women and families, environmental protection, peace, and most notably, the
landmark Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 . Posthumously renamed the Patsy T. Mink Equal Opportunity in Education Act, Title IX states in part, quote: "No person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance."

Title IX not only opened up new avenues of study for women but also opened up real opportunity in sports at both the collegiate and high school levels.

Patsy Takemoto Mink was a dedicated civil servant whose lifelong pursuit of racial and gender equality cemented her legacy and is an inspiration to many to continue the fight for equity.

We have family representative

Wendy Mink with us today.
Ms. Mink, would you like to say a few words to the Committee?

MS. MINK: I don't actually have a prepared statement, but $I$ would like to express my gratitude and my family's gratitude for the inclusion of my mother in this quarter series. It's quite an honor, and we're very excited about having the coins actually in hand and being able to celebrate this recognition.

I'd also like to thank folks for including our voices along the way in this process. And look forward to hearing you all discuss where we are in a few moments. I'll just leave it by saying that the family would be very happy with Image Number 4 or Number 5. Liking both for different reasons but commonly embracing both because they contain the principal signifiers of her work and her origins.

And I'll just leave it at that. MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much.

This is April Stafford.

We'll move through the preferences.

So, first, we go to -- this is Design 5. Design 5 is the first preference of the family liaison as well as the recommendation by the CFA.

And we also have -- oh, we'll go on to -- there's a secondary preference of the family. I'll note that as we move through the portfolio.

All right. So --
MS. MINK: Number 4 is the secondary preference.

MS. STAFFORD: Yes, ma'am.
So, starting with Reverse 1, this reverse depicts Mink with her landmark legislation, commonly known as Title IX. She's encircled by a ring of symbols
representing women, with the interior of the symbols featuring a variety of sports and educational opportunities now available to women.

Reverses 2 and $2 A$ feature an enlarged image of Mink surrounded by symbols representing women, filled with the icons of opportunities women can pursue.

Design 4 depicts Mink with her Title IX legislation in front of the Capitol Building. The additional inscription is "Equal Opportunity In Education." This design is the secondary preference of the family liaison.

And finally, Reverse 5 portrays a seated portrait of Patsy Mink next to an image of the Capitol Building. The additional inscription is "Equity In Education." This design is the first preference of the family liaison as well as the formal recommendation by the U.S.

Commission of Fine Arts.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much.
From the Committee, are there any
technical or legal questions that you would like to pose now?

Recognizing Art.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art
Bernstein with a technical question.
I noticed on Design 2 there are dots separating the wording around the edge of the coin. And when we look at Reverse 5, where there are even more words around the edge of the coin, there are no dots, which, to me, made it a little hard to distinguish between the different phrases.

Is there a reason or philosophy behind when dots are used and not used?

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna.
The use of delimiters is artistic discretion or the artist's choice. We can suggest them sometimes. But, you know, I
won't talk about what the Committee can or can't do.

We're always open to suggestions for stuff like that. There's no easier fix than putting a dot in there.

DR. VAN ALFEN: This is Peter van

Alfen. I also have a technical question about Number 5 .

The inclusion of the watch on her wrist, at quarter-size scale, this is essentially just going to be a line with very little detail; is that correct? Will it be possible to see that this is actually a watch or more of a bracelet, or?

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna.

You know, basically, you would have to -- the wristband and the rectangle of the watch itself, it's going to read -it can't read any more -- it'll read, but it's going to read like -- you're not going to see dials, you know. I don't mean that
sarcastically.
MS. STAFFORD: This is April
Stafford.
The watch was a specific request by the family to add into the portrait.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Okay. Thank you.
MR. MENNA: This is Joe again.
Like, you see her wedding ring.
You know, you'll see it a little bit.
MS. STAFFORD: The wedding ring as well.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Right.
THE CHAIR: Harcourt?
DR. FULLER: This is Harcourt
Fuller.

Can we go back to, let's say, 1 and 2? I have a question. I think it's for Greg and possibly Joe.

I remember we had a -- let's see.
I want to -- I remember we had a discussion about the portrayal of religious symbols on
coins. And let me preface this: I am not making a comment about religion. However, I do see what might be interpreted as crosses there.

MR. WEINMAN: I think this is meant to not be a cross but rather female. This is the symbol for female. But we didn't evaluate it as a religious symbol because, you're correct, we have -- when it comes to religious symbols, we tend to be careful about how they're portrayed unless it is integral to the wearer, for example the device itself.

So, if these were meant to be crosses, that's something -- we would have done a different evaluation of this. But we didn't -- from a legal standpoint, we didn't look at these as crosses. We looked at them as the symbol for female.

DR. FULLER: And if $I$ may, $I$ know that those are symbols for female, but I
wanted to be --
MR. WEINMAN: But if you're concerned about that, that's certainly something to raise.

DR. FULLER: Yes. All right.
So, I just wanted to clarify. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Are there any further questions from the Committee regarding legal or technical matters?
(No Response.)
CONSIDERATION
THE CHAIR: Not hearing any
further, then let us begin our consideration.

Again, as a friendly reminder, let's keep our comments as much as we can to five minutes or less. Additionally, if you have any further questions, please understand that we will be able to come back to those questions a little later.

For the benefit of the Court
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Reporter and for those calling in, $I$ ask that you state your name when you begin speaking.

So, let us begin with our considerations this time with Mike Moran starting off.

MR. MORAN: Lawrence, I'm going to get you.
(Laughter.)
MR. MORAN: Putting out fires right now.

I really concur with the Number 5. I think it's excellent and a good choice, and I'd be quite happy with it.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much.
Sam Gill?

MR. GILL: Thank you, Dr. Brown.
This is Sam Gill.
I remember Patsy Mink here in Washington years ago, and she was just a lovely woman. And $I^{\prime} m$ sure all of us know
families with girls, young girls, who are coming along, going to college, and get to play sports just like the boys do, which is just a really fine thing.

I like Number 5 very much. I think that 1 and 2 and maybe 3 and 4 might be a little too busy for a quarter size. And $I$ think Number 5 captures her just perfectly.

Thank you, sir.
THE CHAIR: Thank you. Let's turn now to Peter. DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Peter van Alfen.

I am very happy to support Number 5. I think that this is a strong, simple, elegant portrayal and design. I do like the negative space. It just adds, I think, to the elegance of it.

So, I'll go with Number 5. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Let's turn back to Harcourt. DR. FULLER: This is Harcourt Fuller.

Number 5 .
THE CHAIR: Next, Mr. Tucker?
MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr.
Brown. This is Dennis Tucker.
And hello to Ms. Mink. It's nice
to see you. My daughter is Asian American, and like your mother, she's a beautiful soul.

I always like to see Asian
American women on U.S. coins. Dr. Fuller said something yesterday: Representation can help lead to inclusion. And that was in the back of my mind as looked at these designs.

I actually like Number 4 better than Number 5 for a couple reasons. I think they're both very -- they're excellent
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designs and both of them would make nice coins.

Number 4 is a little more active, whereas Number 5 is a little more static. In Number 4, she's -- it looks like she's about to sign that Title IX. And also, Number 4 uses the specific language of the Title IX Act, "Equal Opportunity In Education." I felt that that was a small advantage over Number 5 .

So, my preference is for Number 4, but $I$ like all of the portraits, and $I$ like both 4 and 5 as designs.

So, thank you.
THE CHAIR: Thank you. Let's turn now to John Saunders. MR. SAUNDERS: I'm going to just conclude kind of the same things as everybody else has said. I like Number 5 best. Number 4 is not bad. I think it's a little busy. Number 5 is more simple, so I
like that in terms of design.
I too have Asian American children, or half Asian American, so it's a particular honor to have Ms. Mink on the coin here.

And the other thing $I$ would comment on is what was brought up about the dots between the factors of the legend. Particularly on a lot of European coins, sometimes the dots are very important. They often indicate the mint that it was made at when the coin was made at multiple mints or other things of significance.

And I do like -- I'd like to add that $I$ think adding dots to Design 5 would make it a bit better. I mean, it's just fine the way it is, but $I$ 'm going to take the priority -- the prerogative of medding with the design, and so I'm going to suggest that we add dots between the legends to kind of separate them.

THE CHAIR: This is Lawrence Brown. We have a clarification for the terminology.

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna.
For clarification for the record,
internally -- again, not trying to sound pedantic or patronizing -- we call them delimiters.

So, formally, just -- we know
they're dots, but delimiters, just, you know.

MALE SPEAKER: They could be dashes. Or stars.

MR. MENNA: -- term is often used among coin collectors, but this is -- it is a different one than what you guys use -MR. WEINMAN: That's a point of clarification. Specifically, dots are requested?

MR. SAUNDERS: Any marks to
indicate the change would be fine. I mean,
if you want to use a small diamond or something else, a dot is -- I'll defer to Joe's terminology here. That way, it leaves it open.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Dean.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: This is Dean Kotlowski. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Oh dear, oh my. I thought all
five of these were fine. The one that $\quad$ was least inspired by was Number 5. I think Number 5 is fine. I think it's acceptable. I would be perfectly happy of it.

It reminds me of a couple of images of Lyndon Johnson. One is his portrait in the National Portrait Gallery, and the other is his image on the stamp that was issued after his death that showed the Capitol Building in the background and him in the foreground. He was very much a man of Congress. And $I$ think this messaging is
that Patsy Takemoto Mink was very much a woman of Congress, and $I$ think that's a very, very good message.

But I liked the other designs
better. Like Dennis, I like Number 4
better. I don't think that this is too busy. I think that this gives a lot of the essential story: that she was a person of Congress, a member of Congress, an important member of Congress, but it gives you an idea of what she did specifically.

And of course, she played a major role in Congress in passing Title IX. Richard Nixon signed it in 1972 , it's very interesting to note. I like the "25 Cents." But $I$ want to focus on 1, 2 , and 2A. I bet I'm going to hear that these are all busy and that they're too busy for a quarter. And I'm not persuaded. Well, I am, but $I$ want to be contrarian here.

All of these designs are fun and
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engaging in the best sense of those words. I think what you've got here is the sense of opportunities opening to women done in a very, very creative and very artistic way, all of them. I mean, she's smiling. The portrait is larger in 2 and $2 A$, and $I$ think that that's very striking.

And then $I$ was thinking as we were going through, you know, what is it $I$ particularly like about this? This is the period of the Space Age, and there's something Space Age about this. It's almost as if these are planets in some sort of solar system, all of these images and this iconography, and it's moving round and round. And it's almost like women in the 1970 s were ready to blast off into a new future.

And so, 1, 2, and 2A I was just very, very impressed by.

Thank you very much, Mr.

Chairman.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much for your thoughtful comments.

Let's turn now to Robin.
MS. SALMON: This is Robin

Salmon. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
I also was torn a lot with this particular coin design. The first two were the ones that grabbed me instantly for all of the reasons that others have said: the symbolism, the fact that all of these areas were something that Patsy Takemoto Mink was involved in, believed in and supported.

And $I$ also don't necessarily think that it's too busy either. I agree with Dean on that. It's unique. And if a teacher were using these coins in the classroom, the symbolism on the coins is what tells the story, not so much the portrait of the individual, although the portrait is very important.

So, with Number 4 and Number 5, those are also very good designs. Number 4 I think $I$ might lean a little more toward than Number 5, although 5 is a very beautiful, elegant depiction of the woman, who she was, and what she stood for. The "Equity In Education" lettering beneath her is -- says it all, along with the Capitol. I have to admit $I^{\prime} m$ confused again this time, and I'll have to think about it a bit more. But all of you present viable arguments for each of these.

Thank you.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Art. MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein.

And $I$ want to use the word that Robin used a moment ago. "Elegant" to me describes both Designs 4 and 5 . With Design 4, I appreciated the
specific mention of Title IX. I thought that was an important element to that design.

I also like Design 5 because of
its elegance. And if we end up going with Design 5, John and $I$ both agree that delimiters should be used around the wording on the edge of the coin.

Thank you.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much.
This is Lawrence Brown, and $I$ too are torn by the beauty of the spectrum of options that we have in front of us. And I would like also to tell Joe and Mike we thank you for giving us this opportunity but also this challenge.

I must confess that, initially,
Designs 2 and $2 A$, $I$ too thought they were a bit busy. But hearing comments from our colleagues, $I$ must say I've now decided that that should not be a basis for me to not
consider them because of the imagery that's associated with that.

Design 4, I love the inscription.
I think that, to me, that was most valuable, particularly the design element that has the title of the law that's in her hand. That makes it very positive.

But I must also say that $I$ was also persuaded by the negative spaces in Design 5. That makes it, at least from the standpoint of being able to see that, but although $I$ would also agree with the delimiters because there needs to be something for which there can be pauses between reading the items that are on that design.

So, I am going to then pause for a couple seconds before $I$ have to vote. But like all of us, $I$ want to make sure that we give an opportunity for Ms. Mink to be able to comment based on what she has heard
because this is extremely valuable to us as we make important decisions going forward.

MS. MINK: Was that an invitation for me to say something?

THE CHAIR: Yes, ma'am. If you choose to.

MS. MINK: Yeah, well, no, I
mean, as I, $I$ think, hinted that we're sort of torn between 4 and 5. We like them both.

But I agree with everybody who said Number 4 has energy, which was part of her persona and so it's nice to see represented in that design. Number 4 has the elegance and the simplicity that conveys -- well, focuses the mind on the nature of her work and the nature of her accomplishment in the educational equity arena.

The reasons we didn't lean towards the first two designs have to do with clutter in part but also because
neither of them really inform the person with the coin in their hand who she is or what she did or why she's being honored.

You know, we sort of thought it was important to have the Capitol in the background as a hint to the viewer, the holder of the coin, that she had something to do with legislation and public policy and decision-making, whereas in the designs with the sort of multiple balls in the air, it wasn't clear whether it was supposed to imply she was an athlete or was she -- you know, did she go to school, was she a coach. You know, there's nothing self-explanatory or nothing directive about the coin in that regard, so.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much.
Let me turn to our Engraving Office so they can give us any further guidance that they may have that may very well be valuable to our consideration.

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna. Greg, stop me if this is out of line. I'm not advocating for one design or another, but the two designs that everyone is in favor of are very much the same design told two different ways, as you obviously see, one more dynamic, one more stoic.

So, I think, just symbolically speaking, both artistically, the representation of the Capitol is there. This first one, from just an artistic, symbolic perspective, is giving you the dynamic story of legislating. And the other one seems to me to -- because of the rectilinear, the way she's planted on the table, her statesperson-like -- not like. Her statesperson presence.

They're just two different versions of the same story, active and feet on the -- like, this -- yeah, that would be
like the portrait you might see in her office, right? Like the painting of her in the White House if she was president, that kind of thing. So, just artistic speaking, that's what it looks like to me. Not one better.

> THE CHAIR: I recognize Dennis. MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr.

Brown.

> I will keep this brief, but I would just like to make as strong a case as I can for Number 4. And $I$ would just repeat that it is more active, more dynamic, as Ms. Mink said.

To me, it's important that it uses the specific language of the Title IX act, which was renamed in her honor the Equal Opportunity in Education Act.

So, we sometimes talk about someone picking up a coin, being intrigued by it, but then having to look elsewhere,
online or somewhere else, to find more information. They can Google the words "Equal Opportunity in Education" and this will come up. Title IX as well, which we see on the paperwork there.

Also, the use of the words " 25 Cents" rather than "Quarter Dollar" affords a better balance in that lettering. It's not as cramped, and it avoids having to put in delimiters to make the -- to allow the inscriptions to breathe.

I just think Number 4 is a much stronger design than Number 5, which is itself a strong design, so.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. This is Dr. Brown again. And $I$ want to remind us that as much as we want to make sure that this is a sufficient amount telling of the story about how we came to this decision, $I$ also want to remind us about making sure that we are efficient and
with a rhythm.
Dean.
DR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. This is Dean Kotlowski.
We've had the debate before
between "Quarter Dollar" and "25 Cents." I think $I$ made the point with one of the American Women Quarters that in this case it's okay to break rules. I think it's almost required to do something a little different, and $I$ like the " 25 Cents."

Thank you.
THE CHAIR: Thank you.
April, did you want to offer anything?

MS. STAFFORD: No, sir.
THE CHAIR: Thank you.
Then, based on that, let us then -- hearing no further, let's make sure that we start the voting. You all should have your scoresheets in front of you. Mike
and Robin, you should have yours that you can send electronically.

Let's take a 10 -minute recess to vote.
(Brief Recess.)
SCORING RESULTS
THE CHAIR: We're back. I
recognize Greg Weinman, Counsel to the CCAC, to present the results of the scoring.

MR. WEINMAN: Once again, out of a possible score of 30 , Design Number 1 received 5 .

Design Number 2 received 6 .
Design 2 A received 6 .
Design Number 4 received 19 .
Design Number 5 received 19 .
PARTICIPANTS: OOh.
THE CHAIR: Are there any motions from any of the Committee members?

MALE SPEAKER: Wow.
MALE SPEAKER: Hmm.
(Laughter.)
THE CHAIR: Dennis?

MR. WEINMAN: As a point of order, you can recommend two. You don't have to -- so, if you want to have a discussion about this, of course. But you can always say that you recommend them equally. It is your prerogative.

With that in mind, please go ahead, Dr. Brown.

MR. TUCKER: Dr. Brown, this is Dennis Tucker.

I would like to hear more discussion. I would love to hear from those who voted for 5 why they prefer 5. 5 needs more work. Either the lettering has to be moved around and/or delimiters added. It's not as vibrant. It does not include the specific language of Title IX.

I'm curious to hear why people voted for 5. Because $I$ really feel that 4
is much stronger on many points.
THE CHAIR: Okay. Harcourt?
DR. FULLER: This is Harcourt

Fuller.
Greg, can $I$ move my votes to 4?
Is that --

MR. WEINMAN: Could you -- I
mean --

DR. FULLER: So that --
MR. WEINMAN: My recommendation
would be to have a discussion and revote if there's a motion to revote, $I$ think.

But, $I$ mean, there were,
obviously -- one, two, three, four -- five members who gave 5 their highest score, so they probably should speak to that.

THE CHAIR: Point of clarification, though, Greg. I want to make sure.

So that we can either have a revote -- or a motion to revote and then
revote - -
MR. WEINMAN: Or just somebody
make -- have a discussion and make a motion for one of the designs.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you. Let's go to Peter.

DR. VAN ALFEN: As one of the people who did give 5 more points than Number 4, I'm happy to address that. I do find 5 to be a much more elegant and more simple design that $I$ think on quarter size will work better than Reverse 4 .

I do find 4 to be a little more cluttered. Even though there is some dynamism and energy in that design, $I$ do prefer 5 just simply because $I$ do think it would work better on a quarter scale. I do like the $I$ guess you could say quietness and elegance and simplicity of 5 .

Also, I'm not a fan of the way that, in Number 4, the way the name "Patsy

Takemoto Mink," the typography in that design. I don't particularly like that typeface, if that is the proper word for it. So, again, I'm much more in favor of 5, which I believe also is the family's preference, as well as the CFA's preference, if I'm not mistaken, and so would, you know, again advocate for 5 over 4 .

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Let's go to Mike Moran.

MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown.
I think we've discussed this enough. I think we all have our opinions. Let's poll the membership and whichever one comes up how the 11 or 10 of us that are there, so be it.

THE CHAIR: Dean?
DR. KOTLOWSKI: Mr. Chairman, I think John had his hand up first. And you may be one of the people who voted for 5. I didn't, but $I$ wanted to make a comment after
all of the people who voted -- a brief comment after John.

MR. SAUNDERS: John Saunders here.

I was just going to say that Peter said everything that $I$ was thinking probably better than $I$ could say it, so $I^{\prime} m$ seconding his discussion points. But I did like 5 best.

THE CHAIR: Dean?
DR. KOTLOWSKI: Mr. Chairman, this is Dean Kotlowski speaking to everyone.

I have in my hand the Red Book. And what Number 4 reminds me of is the George Washington Crossing the Delaware quarter. And that is very active. Maybe it's a little busy for a quarter. I don't know when we did this design.

But he's crossing the Delaware and leading America into a better future, and $I$ see Patsy Mink and Title IX as leading

America into a much better future. And $I$ think Number 4 dynamically captures that sentiment very much parallel to the George Washington quarter.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Joe, if you and Mike, you guys may want to offer some information, your perspective about the extent to which 4 and 5 will be reflected in the quarter.

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna. If $I$ may?

THE CHAIR: Please.

MR. MENNA: Much like the Pauli Murray design, while the succeeding design with Ms. Mink -- Congresswoman Mink's elbows on the table would present something that would look really good perhaps on a Congressional Gold Medal.

If the interest of the Committee, if the interest of the family, is to engage a dynamic image on a coin that will be
readable, eminently readable at scale but yet more dynamic and more likely to capture people's attention when they see it at arm's length, when they see it in their change that they get at the counter, I think -- I'm not saying it's a better design. Number 4 is a more dynamic and eye-catching design. What the intent is of the family and of the program, I'm not editorializing. But just visually, this is all -- diagonals tend to be more dynamic. It's not because she's -- I'm not talking about literals. I'm talking about just the formal understructure of this coin. There's a lot Of diagonals, there's a lot of motion, there's a lot of activity visually. The other one, very static, a lot of gravitas, and like $I$ said, like a Congressional Gold Medal or presidential portrait.

So, depending on what the intent
is for the family in terms of what's going to visually attract the most attention and still be of the utmost artistic quality and up to absolute United States Mint standards, it's more a question of in what way does the family want to draw visual attention to -does the daughter of Ms. Mink want to -does Ms. Mink want to draw attention to her mother, if that makes sense.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.
Dennis?
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MR. TUCKER: Dr. Brown, having heard the dialog of the Committee and with that feedback from Joe Menna, I would like to move that the Committee recommend to the Secretary of the Treasury Design 4 .

THE CHAIR: Is there a second for this motion? I see Dean.

Is there discussion on the motion on the table?
(No Response.)
THE CHAIR: Hearing none, all
those in favor of the motion, signify by saying "aye."
(Chorus of "aye.")
THE CHAIR: All those opposed?

Please forgive me. Robin and Mike, please forgive me. Can you share with us how --

MR. WEINMAN: You might want to do a roll-call vote.

THE CHAIR: So, I think that in order to make sure we get this correct, we're going to do a roll-call vote.

MR. WEINMAN: Say "4" or "5."
THE CHAIR: So, we'd like you to -- is to vote for 4. That's the motion on the table.

MR. WEINMAN: Yes or no on 4 . THE CHAIR: Yes or no that you're in favor --

MR. WEINMAN: "Yay" or "nay."
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| 1 | THE CHAIR: Okay. Peter? |
| 2 | DR. VAN ALFEN: No. |
| 3 | THE CHAIR: Art? |
| 4 | MR. BERNSTEIN: No. |
| 5 | THE CHAIR: Robin? |
| 6 | MS. SALMON: Yes. |
| 7 | THE CHAIR: Mike? |
| 8 | MR. MORAN: No. |
| 9 | THE CHAIR: The Chair is going to |
| 10 | abstain on this one. |
| 11 | (Laughter.) |
| 12 | THE CHAIR: Because $I$ sense that |
| 13 | this motion is going to be in difficulty. |
| 14 | So, this motion fails. |
| 15 | (Motion Failed.) |
| 16 | DR. VAN ALFEN: Dr. Brown, this |
| 17 | is Peter van Alfen. |
| 18 | Would it be appropriate at this |
| 19 | point to ask Ms. Mink again if she has any |
| 20 | comments at this stage? |
| 21 | THE CHAIR: I think that is |

indeed appropriate.
Ms. Mink, we're turning to you
again based on you having witnessed what you have. Do you have anything you want to offer to the Committee that might be helpful?

MS. MINK: Well, I sort of hoped that $I$ had passed the buck to you all. (Laughter.)

MS. MINK: You know, truth be told, in the whole sequence of reviewing the designs, et cetera, our initial choice was Number 4. And then revisions were done to Number 5 that brought it to its current state and we found it so striking both because it's a better representation of her face and because it's a pose or a physical position that is very familiar to people who worked with her like in an office or to me as a daughter and whatever.

So, it was that that kind of
spoke to us all of a sudden when that particular design was revised. But it did not diminish our love for Number 4 .

Number 4, we love the energy. We like that she's smiling, which she's not doing in Number 5 .

So, I don't know if that helps anybody. I'm not casting a vote, so I'm not going to take a position. But, you know, we'd be happy with either one. I understand the view that Number 5 is a little too static. I also understand the view that the simplicity is part of its elegance.

So, there you go.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much for your comments.

And as the Chair, I just would
like to offer for consideration by the Committee members the following:

The stronger the recommendation that we have, it would seem to me the more
likely to be embraced. So, it's important that we, as much as we possibly can, have a clear and unequivocal recommendation.

So, you will notice that $I$ did not take sides 4 or 5. But $I$ am suggesting that we really need to be, as much as we can -- if we end up saying to the Secretary, "You can choose 4 or 5," so be it. At the same time, $I$ would probably not recommend that we take that stance. But we do need to make sure this is a realistic recommendation -- reflection, rather, of the CCAC.

Let's go Harcourt and then back to Art.

DR. FULLER: This is Harcourt Fuller.

In light of the fact that we are split right down the middle on this, and $I$ take it that Ms. Mink would be happy with either choice, $I$ would like to suggest that
in this case it would appear to be appropriate if the Chair would exercise his right to be a tiebreaker. And then whatever --
(Laughter.)
DR. FULLER: And then whatever he decides, we understand that, $I$ believe I'm not speaking out of turn, that the liaison would be happy with that choice, and that we should all throw our support behind the tiebreaker's vote.

Thank you.
MR. WEINMAN: Was that a motion?
THE CHAIR: Not quite. It could be. I didn't want to have that represent a motion. That's the reason why I didn't recognize it as one. We're still in discussion prior to making a motion. So, I was going to do Art and then Dean.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, Mr.

Chairman, $I$ was going to make a motion -(Laughter.)

MR. BERNSTEIN: -- moving this
along. But $I$ defer to your request.
THE CHAIR: Thank you.
Dean?
DR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. Dean Kotlowski.

After listening to Ms. Mink,
rather than putting it, you know, to the Chair, to be very kind to the Chair, just simply ask the other members of the Committee, before we do any kind of revote or any additional motion, has any member of the Committee who maybe voted for Number 5 been moved in any way to change their vote? Because we have done that in the past. People have just said, "Oh, I want to change my vote."

And again, $I$ know that seems to be putting pressure on Number 5s. I can
also say for Number $4 s$ as well if anybody felt they wanted to switch their vote at this point.

THE CHAIR: To avoid confusion, let's do one at a time, if $I$ may suggest.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Okay. Yeah.

THE CHAIR: So, I would like to suggest, for those who voted for Number 5, do you want to reconsider or do you maintain your position?

Okay. Mr. Saunders?
MR. SAUNDERS: I have a comment rather than a change of vote.

THE CHAIR: Please.
MR. SAUNDERS: I don't think
there's anything -- well, we have a preference -- I like making it unanimous. In fact, $I$ made a motion to make it unanimous for an earlier choice.

But if we really are fairly divided -- and, again, I'm happy with either
design. I like 5 better, but I'm happy with either one -- $I$ don't think there's anything wrong with us reporting that we like both of these designs and it's equally well. Even though maybe that diminishes our role in here, but then we let those people decide which, 4 or 5, it is.

But $I$ don't think there's anything wrong with us saying, "We're divided on this one. We like both, these two designs best."

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much for your comment.

On the basis of that comment, unless anyone has anything else to offer that has not been already articulated, I think we should consider a motion.

John?
MR. SAUNDERS: I will make a motion that we recommend 4 and 5, that we like both of these very well, and we leave
it at that.
THE CHAIR: Is there a second on that motion?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Peter van Alfen.
Second.

THE CHAIR: Okay. Any further discussion on the motion on the table?
(No Response.)
THE CHAIR: Hearing none, all
those in favor of the motion on the table, signify by saying "aye."
(Chorus of "aye.")

THE CHAIR: Anyone opposed, signify by saying "nay."

PARTICIPANTS: Nay.

MR. WEINMAN: Three nays.

THE CHAIR: The motion carries.
(Motion Carried.)

THE CHAIR: Ms. Mink, we're going to really thank you. Ms. Mink, feel free that the next time you come before the

Committee, feel free to be able to be willing to offer your opinion decisively. (Laughter.)

THE CHAIR: We'd be happy to.
MS. MINK: You all are the role models, right, for decisive.
(Laughter.)
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much for all that you and your relative have done for this country. Thank you for continuing your efforts at being able to allow us to tell the story about your relative. This is so important. Have a great remainder of the day.

MS. MINK: Thank you very much. MR. WEINMAN: Thank you. MR. BERNSTEIN: Mr. Chairman? THE CHAIR: Please. MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art Bernstein.

Since we didn't make a specific
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recommendation, I'm wondering what happened to our idea with regard to delimiters on Number 5. If the Secretary were going to do Number 5, I wanted to --

MR. WEINMAN: That would be a separate motion.

THE CHAIR: Please. Chair
recognizes --
MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art
Bernstein.
I wish to move that, should Design 5 be the choice of the Secretary, we recommend that delimiters be used on the reverse.

THE CHAIR: Is there a second?
MR. SAUNDERS: Me.
THE CHAIR: John Saunders second.
Is there any discussion on the
motion?
(No Response.)
THE CHAIR: Hearing none, all
those in favor, "aye"?
(Chorus of "aye.")
THE CHAIR: Opposed, "nay"?
MALE SPEAKER: Abstain.
THE CHAIR: Any abstentions? Got one abstention. Okay.

MR. MENNA: Just an off-the-record thing to John's point --

THE CHAIR: Speak up.
MR. MENNA: Oh, this is Joe Menna.

Kind of an -- circle would be the logical delimiter. $\quad$ was just making a semantic -- bringing up a semantic thing for future reference.

MALE SPEAKER: I love using the word "delimiter."

MR. MENNA: That's what we use in the inside.

THE CHAIR: So, we'll leave that to the discretion of the Mint.

So, the motion carries.
(Motion Carried.)
MR. WEINMAN: And point of clarification for the esteemed Chief Engraver, when we are literally being transcribed, everything here is on the record.

MR. MENNA: No, I know.
(Laughter.)
MALE SPEAKER: Does that mean if
I - never mind.
(Laughter.)

THE CHAIR: Moving right along.

Okay.
2024 AMERICAN WOMEN QUARTER: ZITKALA-SA

THE CHAIR: The fourth item today for review is the reverse candidate designs for the 2024 American Women Quarter honoring Zitkala-Sa. And we're thankful that we have the support of the Office of Design Management. April Stafford will in fact
take us through this process.

REPORT

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. This
is April Stafford.
Some background on this quarter. Zitkala-Sa, meaning Red Bird, also known as Gertrude Simmons, left her home on the Yankton Sioux Reservation in South Dakota at age 8 to attend a boarding school run by White missionaries where her Native way of life was prohibited.

As an adult, Zitkala-Sa
appreciated her education but felt torn between her two worlds. She opposed the annihilation of Indigenous culture and questioned why it was necessary to give up one's Native culture and traditions in order to receive western education.

She began writing about her personal experiences, along with the values learned from her mother. She also collected
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Native stories, translated them into English and Latin, and eventually published them. Her writing helped in reconnecting to her Native heritage as well as preserve her traditions for posterity.

Zitkala-Sa was also a gifted musician and violinist who collaborated on what is considered the first known American Indian opera. Premiering in Utah in 1913, the "Sun Dance" opera was centered on the Sun Dance, a sacred ceremonial dance that was outlawed by the U.S. Government at the time.

Throughout her life, Zitkala-Sa remained an outspoken advocate, activist, and author for American Indian rights. She founded the National Council of American Indians with her husband, Raymond T. Bonnin, to advocate on issues including education, economics, employment, health, religion, and the right to vote.

Her work directly led to the passage of the Indian Citizenship Act of 1924, which granted U.S. citizenship to all non-citizen Indians born within the territorial limits of the United States. Notably, the act did not require American Indians to give up their tribal citizenship in order to become U.S. citizens, which allowed them to preserve their tribal identity and rights to communal tribal property.

Her writing and advocacy continued to have an impact long after her death in 1938.

As noted, we have family
representatives with us. So, if I could call on Ms. Ogle or Mr. Bonnin, would either of you like to say a few words to the Committee?

MS. OGLE: Yes. Hi. My name is Holly, and Zitkala-Sa was my
great-grandmother.
And first, $I$ want to say we're
really honored that a quarter would be fashioned after her. Very proud, the whole family is. And $I$ just wanted to thank you. MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much. Mr. Bonnin?

MR. BONNIN: Yeah. Hi. My name is Mark Bonnin. Holly is my aunt. Zitkala-Sa was my great-great-grandmother, So.

Yeah, just a great honor. We're very excited about the entire process and really happy to see this come to fruition.

MS. STAFFORD: This is April
Stafford.
Thank you so much for being with
us today.
We'll move to the candidate designs, starting with identifying the preferences of the family as well as the
recommendations by the CFA.
So, we are looking at Design 3B.
This design is the preference of the family liaisons as well as the recommendation by the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts. And we will give the description as we move through the portfolio.

But first, we'll start with
Candidate Design 1. This design depicts a juxtaposition of Zitkala-Sa's two worlds, her Native culture and her missionary education. Her profile is completed by the shape of a violin. Behind the eagle feather, triangles form a sun symbol. Reverse 2 features an interpretation of Zitkala-Sa's two cultures, a sun symbol in the background as she plays the violin.

Reverses 3, $3 A$, and $3 B$ depict Zitkala-Sa with a determined expression holding a book, which represents her
literary interests. A diamond pattern and a stylized sun are in the background. Design 3 includes the additional inscription "Red Bird," the translation of Zitkala-Sa's name.

3A includes the additional
inscription "Red Bird" as well as a cardinal.

And 3B includes the additional
inscriptions "Author," "Activist," and "Composer" along with a cardinal.

Again, this design is the preference of the family liaisons as well as the recommendation of the CFA.

Design 4 portrays Zitkala-Sa with a hopeful expression in front of a stylized sun with additional inscriptions "Author," "Composer," "Activist."

Designs 5A and 5B feature Zitkala-Sa with a book and violin, representing writing and music, two of her
passions and forms of advocacy.
Designs 6 and 6 A depict
Zitkala-Sa in front of a stylized sun. The additional inscriptions are "Red Bird," "Author," "Composer," and "Activist." Design 6A includes a violin.

And that concludes the candidate designs.

THE CHAIR: Outstanding. Thank you so much.

Are there any technical or legal questions from members of the Committee about this program or the designs that you've just heard?

Peter?

DR. VAN ALFEN: Peter van Alfen.
I do have a question about 3B.
The diamond-shaped line that appears across the coin, is that -- does the diamond shape there have any sort of symbolic significance or is this just --

MS. STAFFORD: So, our Design Manager, Pam Borer, confirmed by working with the artist as well as checking the source materials for this design that the diamond pattern is tribally inspired.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Okay. And as a technical question, how would this be represented: in relief or incuse?

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna. With any coin, we cannot incuse into the field, so it would be raised. Given that the artist has added some tone to it -- from this point $I$ can't really see -that would likely be represented as a sculpted texture, not a frosted texture, to make it look darker because that's the artist's intent there, it seems.

And so, in relief and possibly
with texture added. Or possibly done through frosting. But definitely raised, but not too -- like, about letter height.

About letter height.
DR. VAN ALFEN: All right. Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Any other questions or comments from members of the Committee regarding legal or technical matters?

MS. STAFFORD: Mr. Chair, if I may, this is April Stafford.

I should have added that $3 B$ is
the family's preference specifically for the quality of the likeness as well as the inclusion of the inscriptions that describe her work.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. CONSIDERATION

THE CHAIR: Let us begin our consideration.

I'd like to remind members to please try to keep your comments to five minutes or less. And again, to remind you that if there are additional questions, we
will certainly take them a little later.
For the benefit of the Court

Reporter and those calling in, I ask that you state your name when you begin speaking.

Let us begin with Peter.
DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Dr.

Brown. This is Peter van Alfen.
I'm happy to support the family's choice of $3 B$ as a preferred design. I would agree that the inclusion of the inscriptions "Author," "Activist," "Composer" is important in order to portray the significance of her contributions.

I also find this just to be a very engaging and attractive portrait, so I'm very happy to support the family's choice in this reverse design.

So, thank you.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Next, turning to Harcourt.

DR. FULLER: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman.

These are all wonderful designs. I'd be happy to support the family's choice of 3 B.

Thank you.
THE CHAIR: Dennis?

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr.
Brown. This is Dennis Tucker.

My eye was drawn to Number 1. I
think that's a remarkable design. It doesn't include the wording that the family prefers, but $I$ just wanted to mention that $I$ think it's a very innovative use of the design elements.

I was also drawn to 5A and 5B. I think they're beautiful, and love the sense of motion with her hair moving a bit and, in 5A, the flight of the bird.

But an activist has to engage, and for me, the eye contact that we see in 3, 3A, and 3B directly engages the viewer of
this coin, so I'm very happy with $3 B$.
Also, I'm a writer by nature and by profession, so I like to see a book. I also like to see things spelled out. When we have designs that are very similar, I think sometimes $I$ lean towards the one that kind of gives the viewer a bit more and spells it out, kind of similar to the Patsy Mink design we looked at a few minutes ago, where 4 and 5 were very similar in artistic motif.

And so, with that equality, you can kind of let the wording pull a bit more weight, and $I$ thought that Number 4 did that with the inclusion of -- okay. I'll stop.
(Laughter.)
MR. TUCKER: But anyway, 3B is lovely, and it does everything that a coin needs to do, and so that has my endorsement.

Thank you, Dr. Brown.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much.

Turn now to Dean.
DR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. This is Dean Kotlowski.
And I won't stop. I think 3B
combines the best elements of the Patsy Mink 4 and 5, actually. And this one brings us all together.

So, I really liked Number 3B because, again, it's like Dennis said, and the liaisons and the family said, it has all three aspects of her: the author, the activist, and the composer. It's a very engaging and very dignified design.

I have to say there were a lot of designs in here that were truly excellent. I actually liked, in a way, Number 6 and Number 6A. I thought that there's something very compelling about this portrait and profile. And then you can go either direction; you can have the violin or the more Native American symbolism of the sun.

I also want to point out sort of secondarily, I'm giving almost all my support to 3B, but I liked 1 and 2. I thought 2 had a lot of dynamism and it reminded me a little bit of, you know, the Maria Tallchief coins that we had done and the Native Americans in Ballet, American Indians in Ballet. But it's only one aspect of her life.

For a lot of the reasons stated by Dennis, I liked Number 1. I thought this was very innovative. It's very thoughtful and contemplative. It was visually stimulating. But it also made me somewhat uneasy because the artist did such a good job of bringing the violin and the portrait together that $I$ felt the portrait had to compete against a physical or inanimate object that, to be sure, she's going to animate when she plays it.

But it just -- $I$ think that a
straight-up portrait, you know, honors the person in this particular representation much better. And that would be $3 B$.

Where $I$ would like to see 1 is as
a painting in a set of paintings of different representations of Zitkala-Sa. And $I$ think where you would go through an art gallery and you would say, "Oh, well, you know, that's interesting. You know, that gives me something to think about." But in many ways, this is going to be, for a lot of people, the first representation they're going to see of this author, activist, and composer, and that makes $3 B$ the choice for me.

Thank you very much, Mr.
Chairman.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Robin. MS. SALMON: This is Robin Salmon. Thank you, Dr. Brown.

All of these designs are
wonderful in their own right for a variety of reasons. I'll make it short.

I really was compelled by 6 and 6A. I don't know whether the unbound hair is not traditional or how -- quite why the artist would have portrayed her that way as opposed to the braided hair in the other designs. But to me, this is just an absolutely beautiful design, and it has the "Author, Activist, Composer" phrase as well. I will not go against 3B being the family's preference. And there's something about that particular design that seems as if there's too much in it, but that may be just me.

I like her looking directly at the person holding the coin. I think that eye engagement or appearance of eye engagement is important in who she was. So, I will still support 3B, but

I love the beauty of 6 and 6A. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Let's turn now to Art Bernstein. MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art

Bernstein.

I find $3 B$ to be a striking design, and $I$ think $I$ heard Robin just say -- use the words "eye engagement." To me, in a less technical sense, $I$ felt like the honoree is looking right at us, and $I$ found that that's what made it striking for me.

I prefer $3 B$ to the other members of the 3 family because the other two in the 3 grouping use the word "Red Bird." We give up the "Red Bird" in B and get her accomplishments, and we still get the red bird, who is in the rays of the sun. So, I think we're getting the best of both worlds, and I support $3 B$.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.

Let's turn now to Mike Moran.
(Brief Pause.)

THE CHAIR: Mike, you're on mute.
MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown.
This is Mike Moran.

I thought Number 1 was an outstanding design, really beautiful, but it belongs on a three-inch medal, not a quarter, and that's the problem that we're all having with it.

I like 5A and 6A. They're excellent designs. But $I^{\prime} m$ going to defer to the family because 3 B is just as good, and that's where $I$ put my votes.

Thank you.
THE CHAIR: Thank you.
Let's turn now to sam Gill.
MR. GILL: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Sam Gill.

Well, first of all, $I$ think the whole portfolio is exquisite. I compliment
the artists tremendously. These are beautiful, beautiful designs, each and every one of them. Just, they all shine.

I think our quarter, $I$ think it's
very important to tell a story about each person that is fortunate enough to be recognized. And $3 B$ does tell the whole story about this lady, and I like that very much.

But $I$ want to recognize Number 1 because it shows her in both worlds. It shows her Native world and it shows her western world with the violin. And I liked it very much, and maybe it would look good on a medal or something else. It doesn't tell the whole story; $3 B$ does. But $I$ just want to make mention that that is a very, very striking coin.

Thank you, sir.
THE CHAIR: Thank you.
Let's turn to John Saunders.

MR. SAUNDERS: I'd like to
mention the second part of our voting, which is the vote for artistic merit. I probably could give an artistic merit to every design we've seen today. I didn't for all the times because you've got to have a contrast, so we're expressing a preference. But I can't say any designs we've seen for any of the coins here lack artistic merit.

But this particular design, I'd
like to give double artistic merit to all of the designs here. I mean, this was -- I probably like the 5 s the least, but even that $I$ would give one and three-quarters for artistic merit and double for everything else.

But $I$ kind of agree with what a couple people thought before $I$ heard the preferences. I like 6 best in terms of just the art. I don't know whether -- someone asked about whether it was appropriate to
have straight hair as opposed to braided hair. I mean, often, we see Native American depictions with braided hair, but $I$ don't know that that's necessarily something other than a convention.

But I like this the best, so I'm going to give this one three and a quarter. And I liked the preference of $3 B$ just fine too, so I'm going to give that three. But I'm messing with the thing here, just saying that $I$ like the 6 a ittle bit better just on artistic basis. But again, double artistic merit for all designs in this group.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. I love your innovative thinking.

I too, like my colleagues, was
really amazed at Design 1. I was really amazed about that. And that to me was stunning.

For the reasons that my
colleagues have articulated and for the reasons that was advanced by the family, my vote is going to go with 3 B as well because it does tell not only the design elements but it also tells the story, the message, and to me, that's extremely valuable for a circulating coin.

Are there any additional comments or motions from the members at this time?
(No Response.)
THE CHAIR: Not seeing any, Mike and Joe, do you have any comments you would like to offer?

MR. COSTELLO: No, sir.
MR. MENNA: No comments.

THE CHAIR: Then, on the basis of
that, let us then begin our scoring. We will recess for 10 minutes to do the score.
(Brief Recess.)

SCORING RESULTS
THE CHAIR: We back. This is

Lawrence Brown, and $I$ recognize Greg Weinman, Counsel to the CCAC, to present the results from the scoring.

MR. WEINMAN: Once again, out of a possible score of 30 , Design Number 1 received 9 .

Design Number 2 received 8 points.

Design Number 3 received 7 .
Design Number 3 A received 8 .
Design Number 3 B received 30 , making it the high vote-getter.

Design Number 4 received 5 .
5A received 7 .
5B received 8 .
Design 6 received 11 .
And Design 6A received 9.
So, the clear high vote-getter was 3 B with 30 votes.

THE CHAIR: Outstanding. Thank you, Greg.

MOTIONS AND VOTE
THE CHAIR: Are there any motions that the Committee would love us to consider?
(No Response.)

THE CHAIR: None necessary, then the motion -- correction. The votes stand and tell us the direction of the CCAC.

Are there any further motions that the Committee would like to consider?
(No Response.)
THE CHAIR: Seeing that there is no further need for any further discussion on this program, I would like to thank Holly Brown Ogle and Mr. Mark Bonnin for their attendance of this meeting and for their roles in bringing this fantastic program to our attention and allow us to participate with them in the telling of the story about this famous American.

MR. BONNIN: Thanks for letting
us be part of the process.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much.
In light of the fact that a
number of members have in fact a tight schedule, so that we maintain quorum, I'm going to suggest that we go through the next item. And for those in fact who desire to step away for a second to be able to get something to eat that you can do so, but we're going to make sure that we can go forward in rhythm.

2024 AMERICAN WOMEN QUARTER:
DR. MARY EDWARDS WALKER
THE CHAIR: Thus, the fifth item
today for our review is the reverse candidate design for the 2024 American Women Quarter honoring Dr. Mary Edwards.

MR. WEINMAN: Mary Edwards Walker.

THE CHAIR: Mary Edwards Walker.
April Stafford, Chief of the
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Mint's Office of Design Management, will introduce the program and present the reverse candidate designs.

REPORT

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. This is April Stafford.

Some background information on this quarter. Dr. Mary Edwards Walker was born in 1832 in New York to abolitionist parents. She was raised to be a freethinker and question everything, including conventional norms.

She was a Civil War-era surgeon and a women's rights advocate who broke gender customs of the time by not wearing traditional women's clothing. Growing up on a working farm, she found women's clothing of the era, including corsets, to be too restrictive and unhealthy. Likewise, as a doctor, she found traditional men's clothing or her bloomerized clothing to be practical,
more comfortable, and more sanitary. She continued to advocate for dress reform throughout her life.

Dr. Walker was relentless in her efforts to serve as a surgeon in the Civil War at a time when women were not permitted to serve in the military. But finally, in 1863, her request to practice as a surgeon was finally accepted. She became the first woman U.S. Army surgeon as a contract acting assistant surgeon, or civilian, with the 52 nd Regiment, Ohio Volunteer Infantry.

Walker often crossed battlelines to care for wounded soldiers. Captured by Confederate troops as a suspected spy, she was held as a prisoner of war for four months at Castle Thunder Prison near Richmond, Virginia, before being released as part of a prisoner exchange. Once released, Dr. Walker returned to medical service. On November 11 th, 1865 , she was
awarded the Medal of Honor by President Andrew Johnson. The medal was rescinded in 1917 when the government determined that only soldiers who served in combat were eligible to receive it. She refused to return her medal and continued to wear it for the remainder of her life.

The medal was officially restored by President Jimmy Carter in 1977 , and Dr. Walker remains the only woman to be awarded the Medal of Honor.

We have with us a liaison with whom we worked on this program, George DeMass, who is Historian with the Town of Oswego, New York.

Mr. DeMass, would you like to say any words to the Committee?

MR. DeMASS: Yes, I would. Am I coming through all right?

MS. STAFFORD: Yes, sir.

MR. DeMASS: Okay. Thank you.

I'm George DeMass, the Town of Oswego Historian, and $I$ speak today for the people of the Town of Oswego as well as the City of Oswego.

We're very honored to have Dr. Mary Walker named for a quarter in 2024 . I just want to thank you for making myself and Tom Worden, who is a great-great-great-nephew, part of this process. We really appreciate that.

I'm in my boyhood home, living there, and I'm there today. And it's two miles from where Dr. Mary lived on Bunker Hill Road, on a hill called Bunker Hill.

Her father and the Walkers came from near Boston in the early $1800 s$, came over here to central New York, and settled near Lake Ontario. He named the hill and the road Bunker Hill because he hoped that it would be a place of battle for great social justice and social reforms.

I knew people that knew Dr. Mary, so I've grown up with her story and her life in our midst.

And this is not the only honor that Dr. Mary Walker is receiving in this year or next year. The former Form A. P. Hill in Virginia is going to be named Fort Mary Walker.

A week ago, Monday, February 21st, marked the $114 t h$ anniversary of her death. And Mary Walker had a great friend, Dr. Bertha Van Hoosen, in Chicago. Dr. Van Hoosen was younger than Dr. Mary, but they became strong friends. And Dr. Mary liked to visit Chicago so she could ride in Dr. Van Hoosen's electric car at the time. But at the time of her death, Dr. Van Hoosen wrote these words, and $I$ would like to share them with you today: "Dr. Mary's life should stand out to remind us that when people do not think as we do, do
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not dress as we do, and do not live as we do, that they are more than likely to be a half-century ahead of their time and that we should have for them not ridicule but reverence."

So, thank you very much for this honor.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much.
This is April Stafford. We really appreciate that background.

And for the Committee, $I$ will share also that these designs were seen by the Smithsonian American History Women's Institute as well as the National Women's History Museum historians with whom we work closely as well.

They do very much like the family preference that we will share with you, but they also noted it might be important for your consideration that her clothing style was such an important part of who she was,
and so some of these reviewers felt that other designs in the portfolio that present her in full clothing might be more appropriate and representative of Dr.

Walker. So, please have that in the back of your mind.

We'll share that the family
preference was identified as Design 2. And this design was also part of a combination recommendation by the CFA. They very much appreciated this portrait but preferred the composition of 3 A for reasons that I will share with you now.

They felt that this design really centered appropriately in large scale the Medal of Honor, whereas if you go back to the previous design, the Medal of $H o n o r ~ i s$ seen multiple times, including once in text, but is not perhaps taking center stage as 3A, if we could go back there.

Also, 3A has the inscription
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"Civil War Surgeon," which they felt also was critically important, whereas Design 2, again, uses the "Medal of Honor" as an inscription as opposed -- in addition to the visual references.

So, they felt -- the CFA made, actually, a combination that if there was a way to do some kind of combination where you use the portrait from 2 with the composition of 3A. Nevertheless, we will share with you the whole portfolio for your deliberations. We'll start with Design 1 and 1 A. These designs feature a full-length depiction of Dr. Mary Edwards Walker in the surgeon's uniform she created. The detail of her Medal of Honor is on the right. She stands with her hand on an inscription for emphasis.

Design 1 includes the additional inscription "Equality," representing one of her lifelong causes.

1A features the inscription "Dr. Mary Edwards Walker, Civil War Surgeon."

Design 2 depicts a close-up portrait of Dr. Walker holding her surgical toolkit. On the left is the Medal of Honor. The additional inscription "Medal of Honor 1865" resides in a banner at the bottom of the design. Again, this is the identified preference of the family and part of a combination recommended by the CFA.

Moving on, Designs 3 and $3 A$ feature Dr. Walker in her, quote, unquote, "bloomerized" clothing alongside the Medal of Honor.

Design 3 includes the additional inscriptions "Civil War" and "U.S. Army Surgeon," while 3A features an enlarged depiction of Dr. Walker and the additional inscription "Civil War Surgeon."

Designs 4 and $4 A$ depict close-up versions of Dr. Walker alongside her Medal
of Honor. Design 4 includes Civil War-era tents in the background.

Designs 5 and 5A portray a
full-length figure of Dr. Walker in her surgeon's uniform with the Medal of Honor on the right. Design 5A includes the shape of a crescent moon, a symbol for women's empowerment.

Designs 6 and 6 A depict a
full-length figure of Dr. Walker dressed in her typical style of clothing. Design 6 includes the inscription "Dr. Mary Edwards Walker" in her handwriting, along with the additional inscriptions "Civil War Surgeon" and "Medal of Honor," while 6A includes incused text around the border and the additional inscription "Civil War Surgeon." And finally, Designs 6B and 6C feature Dr. Walker alongside her Medal of Honor with the additional inscription "Medal of Honor."

That concludes the candidate designs.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much.
This is Lawrence Brown again.
Let me ask the Committee members:

Are there any technical or legal questions that you would like to offer?
(Brief Pause.)
THE CHAIR: I have a question --
MR. WEINMAN: Dr. Harcourt --
THE CHAIR: I'm sorry? Harcourt?
DR. FULLER: Yes, this is

Harcourt Fuller.
I just wanted to clarify. When you said that $3 A$, which is the family's preference, April, so, are you saying that that is already reflective of the final version of what they've asked to combine or $\mathrm{n} \circ$ ?

MS. STAFFORD: So, the family's identified preference thus far is actually

Design 2. If we could see that? Because of the portrait. And it was -- so, that's 2.

And then it was the CFA who made this design part of a combination recommendation. They suggested taking this portrait but somehow merging the composition of $3 A$ because they very much appreciated the enlarged Medal of $H$ onor and, in particular, the inscription "Civil War Surgeon."

They felt that her role as a Civil War surgeon for which she was honored was really important, as well as, if you can go back to 2, they noted that the Medal of Honor is repeated three times in this design, in the composition.

DR. FULLER: And if $I$ may
conclude my remarks, quite frankly, $I$ think it's difficult not seeing the final version of what they're asking for. It's just going to be, at least for me, difficult to make a decision.

If I -- I don't know if Joe
wanted to -- is that --
MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna.
I'm not making any judgment about
the recommendation. I don't see how -- my feeling is if April came to me and said, "Joe, how can we adjust the design? How can you work with the artist to make this design work since it's the family's favorite?" blah, blah, blah. I don't mean that to -I'm just saying.

I would say take away "Medal of Honor" if that's a concern, put a different inscription there, and perhaps even "E Pluribus Unum" or "Dr. Mary Edwards Walker." Give more space for the Medal of Honor. Make the Medal of Honor bigger on the left side.

Without revealing who did what, I'd be very much against cutting off one artist's head and putting it on another
artist's body. We don't do that kind of thing.
(Laughter.)
MR. MENNA: And so, since this --
the best way to honor it is to, $I$ think, solve -- this could be revisited in a way that fully honors the family, the artist's intent, and meets the CFA's concerns, and whatever vote. I'm not trying to influence you. That's how we would solve it. I'm saying that's how we would take care of it.

DR. FULLER: And I'm saying, just finally, $I$ think $I$ would have to see it first before $I$ can vote on it because -MR. MENNA: That's fully understandable.

DR. FULLER: -- $\quad$ can't do it in my head. I'm sorry.

MS. STAFFORD: Absolutely. And we share the CFA's recommendation just for your awareness. But $I$ - this is April

Stafford, by the way.
And $I$ agree with our Chief Engraver. It's really the spirit of the why behind their recommendation. So, they respected the preference of the family because of the likeness, but they had a concern with the number of times the Medal of Honor appeared here and desired a representation in some way of her work as a Civil War surgeon.

So, the example Joe gave is one of what might be a couple of approaches that the Mint could take.

Really, it's just for this Committee's awareness, and we welcome whatever observations or recommendations you have on the portfolio as it's presented to you.

DR. FULLER: Thank you.
MS. STAFFORD: Thank you.
THE CHAIR: This is Lawrence
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Brown. I have somewhat of a comment more than a question.

And my comment is actually
reflecting of the fact that this is the only woman to have received the Medal of Honor. And at the same time, $I$ want to share that $I$ actually had an opportunity to be at a meeting with a lot of Medal of Honor winners, all male. And the way the medal is portrayed is actually with a ribbon that's around the neck with the medal hanging.

And $I$ just wanted to make sure
that in the communications that we send this out that we make that known about the distinction.

And perhaps, had there been other female Medal of Honor winners, it would have been portrayed differently. I'm not sure if it would, but $I$ think it's important so when people see that they will see that Medal of Honor. And those particularly who have
received it or that have family members who have, will recognize the difference in that. MS. STAFFORD: Yes. And this is April Stafford again. Thank you, Dr. Brown. Just for the record, the Design Manager has ensured that we have photographic references that show Dr. Walker wearing her medal this way is an accurate representation historically. So, we have that assurance.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.
Are there any other technical or legal questions from the Committee members?
(No Response.)
CONSIDERATION
THE CHAIR: Hearing none or seeing none, let us begin our consideration.

I'd like to remind us again to try to keep our comments to five minutes or less. And if there are additional questions, please understand we will in fact
undertake those questions after we go through this process.

For the benefit of the Court Reporter and for those calling in, $I$ ask that you state your name before you begin speaking.

So, let us begin with Mr. John
Saunders.
MR. SAUNDERS: John Saunders. I remembered to say my name this time.

I am going through these. I like 3A best. I think, besides the fact that Joe has mentioned cutting off the head of one artist and putting it on the other is kind Of a bad thing, if you made the portrait different on 3A, it's going to be so small anyway that it's going to be fairly meaningless.

I found 2 to be my second choice. Excuse me. Anyway, $I$ agree with 2 that, if we went with 2 , I hink putting "Civil War

Surgeon" where they say "Medal of Honor" would be an improvement.

I think it was a horrible thing to do, taking away the medal from this woman. I mean, besides it being completely sexist, I mean, she went over there. It was a pretty horrible job being a surgeon in the Civil War. I mean, you were cutting limbs off. You were -- it was pretty rough. And from everything I've heard about it, those prisoner-of-war camps were no fun, either. I mean, that was a pretty horrid thing for her to have to go through.

And someone that would go through all that and then be awarded something and then having it pulled back I think is very bad form, to say the least.

So, my preference is 3A with a strong second for Number 2 .

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Peter.

DR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Dr.
Brown.

I really am drawn to $1 A$, in part because of the really forceful, strong pose of Dr. Mary Walker here. But $I$ also really like the fact that she seems to be breaking the fourth wall, you know, as it were by placing her hand on the "Dr." I think that that's a really clever artistic move in that pose.

One of the other things that $I$ do like about 1, 1 A, and 2 is that they also depict her clearly as a young woman. And I think that it is important to recognize the fact that when she was doing this horrible job as a Civil War surgeon she was doing this at an age, you know, in her mid 30 s essentially, when she still was quite young. So, these portraits, these depictions, really do underscore that, whereas many of the others, she appears much
later in life, it seems, and much more matronly. And $I$ think it is important to underscore the fact that she was quite young when she was doing this and was awarded the medal.

I also think it's important that there be some note of or the inscription "Medal of Honor" somewhere on the reverse simply because $I$ don't think many people are going to understand what that medal is without that. And many of these reverses do not have any indication of what that medal is, so I do think it is important to have "Medal of Honor" as an inscription somewhere on the reverse.

Some of the concerns that $I$ have with 3A especially is that $I$ think at quarter size Dr. Walker standing in front of the Medal of Honor there is going to look like an amorphous blob and really is not going to be particularly eye-catching. And

I think that being able to draw a distinction between her and the medal and having some negative space in between is important.

So, all said, I am happy to support Number 2 as the selection just because it does depict her as a young woman, does include "Medal of Honor" as an inscription, and $I$ think that it is an attractive design, although, again, I really do like Number 1A quite a bit.

So, thank you.

THE CHAIR: Let's turn now to Harcourt.

DR. FULLER: Thank you. This is Harcourt Fuller.

I second Peter. I really like 1.
Is it 1? Yes. I'm sorry. 1A, please. I just like the fact that she has her hand on the "Dr.", right? I think that it just reinforces her confidence in terms of what
she has done.
I'm not a big fan personally of showing the whole body because $I$ think that, on that size quarter, $I$ think you'll lose some of the detail.

And so, that brings me to -let's go to 2, please.

What $I$ really love about 2 is "Medal of Honor" and the date because I think that the date is very significant because everybody knows, or should know, what that date represents. And for a woman to be getting a Medal of Honor during that time under those circumstances, I like how it pops. And so, I will give consideration for this, keeping mind my previous comments. Thank you. THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Dennis Tucker. MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Dennis Tucker.

And I'd like to greet Mr. DeMass.
You and $I$ have met, and it's good to hear your voice today.

For the benefit of my colleagues here, $I$ would mention that $I$ was born in Syracuse, New York, and I grew up in Phoenix, New York, which is about 20 miles south of Oswego. So, this is a nice opportunity for me to work on this particular coin.

I did not know much about Dr. Mary Edwards Walker when $I$ was growing up. She's not someone that we learned about in school, even though she should have been a local hero. I find that interesting.

I like Design 2. I endorse it fully. I would address the CFA's concerns about the repetition of the concept of the Medal of Honor. The Medal of Honor is a very visually interesting piece of work. And so, we have the architectural blueprint
view of it, if you will, which satisfies that.

We have a view of the medal in life as Dr. Walker wore it. And as Dr. Brown has mentioned, that's different from the way that you see it worn today, so that's a unique aspect of her Medal of Honor.

And then, people learn and absorb information differently. Some people are visual; some people need to read things. For the people who read things and absorb information that way, we have the words "Medal of Honor" and the date, as Dr. Fuller pointed out. "1865" is helpful.

So, I don't see that repetition as being onerous in any way. I think it's actually a strength of this design.

I would point out one other thing. Sometimes we look at the size of the planchet and we get worried about what can
be done on a coin the size of a quarter. And $I$ would remind the Committee and anyone reading these comments in the future of what the United States Mint has done on a planchet the size of the $\$ 5$ gold commemorative coin. That's 21.6
millimeters. It's smaller than a quarter dollar, which is 24.3 millimeters.

And if you look at the 2019
American Legion 100 th Anniversary $\$ 5$ gold piece, look at the amount of detail that's shown in the American Legion emblem on that coin, which is shown in its entirety on a tiny scale on the small canvas.

It is a small coin, but -- and you will not be able to see microscopic detail in the Medal of Honor, but $I$ think that you'll be able to get a feel for it.

So, I think 2 is a very strong design. I like seeing her in a more youthful look, as Peter pointed out. She
was in her early 30 s during the Civil War.
So, I'm not bothered by the lack of the term "Surgeon." I think "Dr." captures that, and anyone interested can look up more information.

And again, kind of addressing the size of the coin, the fact that it is a larger bust portrait of her is an advantage. So, I think 2 is very strong, and I like it. It's going to get my strongest endorsement.

Thank you, Dr. Brown. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Let's turn to Robin Salmon. MS. SALMON: This is Robin Salmon. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

1 and 1A also attracted me. The hand on the lettering is powerful, and it's also something different, something unique in the designs, among the designs that we've seen so far. I think, though, that the full
figure might be lost in the size of the coin.

Number 2 is a lovely portrait.
And initially, $I$ was leaning toward removing the lettering "Medal of Honor 1865" and replacing that with "Civil War Surgeon." But $I$ think the argument that most people aren't going to recognize the Medal of Honor swayed me that we do need to keep that particular lettering and that perhaps "Civil War Surgeon" isn't as significant in this overall design. It's -- the word -- or the significance of "Dr." indicates she was a physician. And as someone said previously, her name can be looked up and the rest of the story can be revealed.

I'm putting my vote with Number
2. I think it's a lovely rendition as well as a significant symbol of who she was and what she did.

Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.
Turn to Art Bernstein.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Art
Bernstein.
I wanted to point out Design 4, which hasn't been talked about. I was attracted to the background of the Civil War soldiers' tents. I thought that lent -showed her role in the war and gave some historical context to the world in which she was serving. I wanted to just point that out.

There are a lot of different preferences in this discussion. My preference would be with Design 3, not 3 A. And my reasoning is $I$ heard all of the discussion regarding the outfit that she designed for herself, and $I$ thought there was value in showing her full length. $3 A$ doesn't do that. It looks like she's just wearing a dress. And so, that led me to go
with 3.
And $I$ thought that it was also a good depiction of the Medal of Honor.

Thank you.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much.

Let's turn now to Mike Moran.

MR. MORAN: I did not go to sleep, Dr. Brown. I am here.

I'll make it really short. I want to speak to one thing on 3A. The problem with that design is the fact that, and it's already been noted, the figure runs into the medal. And by the time you get that on a quarter, the average person is going to think that's a deputy sheriff's badge, to be a bit cute about that. It's a mistake for a coin that size.

Turning to 2A, it will get my vote. I don't see a thing wrong with the way the signatures -- the inscriptions are as is because, very simply, again, it's
obviously a medal there, a military medal, on that coin and easily seen as that. Your average person in the United States is not going to get that it's the Congressional Medal of Honor.

The fact that you've got the "Dr." in front of her name, the date "1865," and the "Medal of Honor," that tells the story. And if anybody's interested in more details than that, they'll look up the history. But it will show her heroism in the closing days of the war.

So, that's it. Number 2 A for me. THE CHAIR: Thank you so much, Mike.
Let's turn to Sam Gill.
MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. This is Sam Gill.
My votes are going to go to

Number 2. It tells the whole story. I too am not troubled by the "Medal of Honor"
being inscribed there with "1865." That clearly implies the Civil War.

And even though three
representations of the medal are here, that's okay. It shows she wore it and it shows what it actually looked like and then it tells the story that she won it.

And so, with that, I'm satisfied with Number 2, although I did think 3A was a very pretty coin.

Thank you.
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. This is Lawrence Brown.

And I must confess that, like many of you, $I$ had some leaning towards - -

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Dr. Brown, I didn't go yet.

THE CHAIR: Okay.
DR. KOTLOWSKI: I'm sorry. No, did you want to make your comments and have me go at the end?

THE CHAIR: No. Then, let's have you go.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: Okay. Sorry, Dr.

Brown. This is Dean Kotlowski. Apologies for interrupting like that.

THE CHAIR: No, apologies, my apologies.

DR. KOTLOWSKI: And $I^{\prime} m$ actually going to -- Dr. Brown, maybe you should have skipped me because I'm going to maybe do something I'm not supposed to do here. But I'm going to use the iPad for something.

And I'm not sure if I'm allowed to do that, but let's go to Number 2. That is the design that $I$ favor for all of the reasons everyone simply stated.

I also think there's very good balance here. The medal is not too big, and she's wearing the medal. And it is the largest of the portraits, so your eyes are really drawn to her.

I'm going to give some points to 1A, and here is the reason why. I'm going to show you this. This is the stamp that was issued.

MALE SPEAKER: It went away.
FEMALE SPEAKER: Oh, there it is.
DR. KOTLOWSKI: Okay. This is going to happen. So, there's -- I don't know if people can see that. You see "Medal of Honor." You see she is youthful, which I think is very, very important.

So, if you wanted to kind of move the conversation along and put a -- you know, this is from 40 years ago. It's a very different representation of her.

MR. TUCKER: Could you identify
that for the record?
DR. KOTLOWSKI: Yeah. It is the 1982 U.S. Postal Service Dr. Mary Walker, Army Surgeon, 20 Cent Medal of Honor Commemorative Stamp.

And $I$ was just going to say if you wanted a slightly different representation of her that moves the conversation along to how she engaged in dress reform, $I$ think $1 A$ would be very appropriate and very inspiring for the reasons Peter said.

But $I$ still - you know, this is a stamp that, you know, stamp collectors are going to be familiar with. And they can engage in it and they can find it and they can discover it and make comparisons.

I still think Design 2 is the strongest design but giving some points to 1 A.

Thank you, Dr. Brown.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much. And we really appreciate you making the connection for us.

Now, as $I$ was going to say, that I am leaning between 1A as well as 2. And
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on the one hand, let me -- they both, in fact, to me are striking. I prefer perhaps 2 because $I$ can see more of herself there, so that one is attractive.

But the downside of that is that,
for the reader and the message "Civil War Surgeon," you have to look that up. I don't know that most of the public would appreciate that that "Dr." is associated with a physician, number one, and number two, as a Civil War surgeon.

With respect to Design $3 A$, if we can show that quickly? I do appreciate the fact that this one has the "Civil War Surgeon," but I'm not so sure that, again, John Q. Public or Mary Q. Public is going to realize what that medal is. So, saying "Medal of Honor" sort of reinforces that.

So, for that reason, my vote is between those two, honestly, because the message is not so much to me the art,
although I appreciate the comments that many of you have shared about the artistic rendition. It's the message here to me that is really important, particularly as we're talking about a famous American.

At this point, I'll entertain any comments or motions from the members of this Committee.

Harcourt?
DR. FULLER: Very quickly, if I
may say so, this is Harcourt Fuller. Her right arm appears to be, you know --

MALE SPEAKER: In which design?
DR. FULLER: This design here -cut off, to me, when $I$ look at this.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Menna, do you want to respond to this or give guidance?

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna.
DR. FULLER: Sorry, Joe. I know - -

MR. MENNA: No, Dr. Fuller, it's
fine.
You know, $I$ have the honor of being the art director and working closely with every artist on each design. It was something that we -- not cut off. The positioning of the arm is something $I$ worked with the artist on.

I try not -- I don't like to
change their vision. I do my best to help them realize that. Artist felt strongly -the artist felt that this is what the artist wanted probably because he/she/they did not want to obscure the medal.

And so, I think -- I see it as going behind the back. I mean, what -- you know, and $I$-- perhaps the artist did that to not obscure the medal. That would be my -- sir.

MR. TUCKER: Dr. Brown, this is Dennis Tucker.

Just for the record, which is
text only -- this is what $I$ was trying to tell you -- and will not have the benefit of visuals, $I$ would mention that that discussion was about Design 3A. I think that would be -- that might be confusing if that's not specified in the written record, so I just wanted to say that verbally -THE CHAIR: Thank you. MR. TUCKER: -- so people can read along in the future. THE CHAIR: Thank you. Joe, you have additional comments?

MR. MENNA: Could I make a technical comment about Number 2?

THE CHAIR: Please.
MR. MENNA: So, for Design 2,
yes, it's a very, very beautiful portrait. If we could go back to Design 2? But it's not the portrait alone that gives the grace and elegance to this design. I'm not
advocating for it.
Every single element -- I worked very, very closely with this artist on this design. Every element of text's position, orientation, relationship to the figure, the negative space, all contributes the story of Medal of Honor recipient.

But the elegance of her portrait isn't just the beauty of the depiction of the portrait. Every element of this composition is a keystone. And if you were -- in my opinion, any changes to it would be like pulling a keystone away from a building and could, $I^{\prime} m$ not saying would, but could lessen the impact of the portrait that you're all feeling. I'm just saying that's just my observation.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much, Joe. At this point, unless there's any additional comments or question, $I$ think
that we are at the point that it's time to score.

Each of you, obviously, will have the scoresheet in front of you. Mike and Robin, you have received that electronically.

When you are done, please provide those to Greg.

MS. WARREN: Oh, there's -- I'm sorry. This is Jennifer Warren. Dr. Brown, George has his hand up. Mr. DeMass.

THE CHAIR: Okay.
MR. DeMASS: Yes. I'd just like to say for that Number 2 the family was just so satisfied with her face and the determination, the determined look that they saw in the artist's rendition of her face. As well, of course, the way she wore the Medal of Honor as well.

One of our favorite pictures of her was taken by the Metropolitan Magazine
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in 1892 . And she wore her medal all the time. And in that picture, she's in her house. She's pulling a neighbor's tooth, and she has her arm around the neighbor's neck and hanging on Mary Walker is that medal, hanging right down as she pulls the tooth.
(Laughter.)
MR. DemASS: But they were --
they just liked the determination in her face. And she has, quote, unquote, what they call the Walker ears. They're quite large size.

THE CHAIR: Thank you so much, Mr. DeMass. We really appreciate that.

At this time, we'll continue the process of the scoring. We're going to take a 10 -minute recess for the scoring.
(Brief Recess.)
SCORING RESULTS
THE CHAIR: Thank you so much.

We are back.
I recognize Greg Weinman, Counsel
to the CCAC, to provide us the results of
the scoring.
MR. WEINMAN: Once again, out of a possible score of 30 , Design Number 1 scored a 5.

Design Number 1A scored 14 .
Design Number 2 scored 30 , making it the high-scoring design.

Design Number 3 received 8 .
3A received 9 .
4 received 9 .
4A received 4.
5 received 3 .
5A received 3.
6 received 3 .
6A received 4.
6B received 5 .
6C received 4.
Once again, Design Number 2 was
the high vote-getter with 30 .
MS. WARREN: Thank you, Greg. MOTIONS AND VOTE

THE CHAIR: Are there any
motions? Yes, Dennis?
MR. TUCKER: Dr. Brown, this is not necessary, $I$ think, given the vote of 30, but $I$ would be honored to make a motion, since I'm from the area, that the Committee recommends to the Secretary of the Treasury Design 2 for this coin.

THE CHAIR: Is there a second on the motion?

MS. SALMON: Robin Salmon, second.

THE CHAIR: Let the record show that Robin Salmon seconded the motion.

Any discussion on the motion?
(No Response.)
THE CHAIR: Hearing none, all
those in favor, "aye"?
(Chorus of "aye.")
THE CHAIR: Any opposed, "nay"?
(No Response.)

THE CHAIR: Motion carries.
(Motion Carried Unanimously.)
THE CHAIR: If all discussion has concluded, then $I$ would like to thank both the liaisons, Mr. Worden as well as Mr. DeMass, for participating in this process in front of us, in front of the CCAC.

As that was the last --
MR. DemASS: Thank you very much.
THE CHAIR: Thank you, Mr.
DeMass. And thank you so much for your additional comments because they did add a flavor that we would not have had without them.

As that was the last order of business for today's session of this public meeting, $I$ would like to really, from the bottom of my heart, thank the members of the

CCAC, those in person and those participating remotely.

I certainly want to thank the Mint staff for their attendance yesterday and today.

The next meeting is expected to be in April, and there will be a Federal Register notice that will announce the meeting at that time.

MOTION TO ADJOURN
THE CHAIR: At this point, $I$ will entertain a motion for adjournment.

DR. VAN ALFEN: So, moved.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Art Bernstein, second.

THE CHAIR: Okay. The record will show that Peter made the motion and Art made the second.

All those in favor, "aye"?
(Chorus of "aye.")
THE CHAIR: Opposed, "nay"?
(No Response.)
(Motion Carried Unanimously.)

THE CHAIR: We stand adjourned.

Have a pleasant remainder of the afternoon and a safe time between now and the next time we meet.
(Applause.)

MS. WARREN: This is Jennifer

Warren. It's 12:45. Meeting is adjourned.
(Meeting adjourned at 12:45 p.m.)
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| possibly 101:18 | 177:18 178:8 | 149:9 | 219:10 |
| 137:2 154:18 | 180:9 182:16 | president | proceedings |
| 154:19 | 182:21 186:5 | 33:19 120:3 | 10:13 219:3 |
| postal 205:19 | 190:18 200:15 | 174:1,9 | process 20:12 |
| posterity 148:5 | preferences | presidentia | 35:13 96:14 |
| posthumously | 20:18 31:11 | 46:5 130:19 | 147:1 150:13 |
| 95:3 | 54:1 76:16 | press 4:13 | 171:1 175:10 |
|  | 97:5 150:21 | 10:16 | 189:2 213:17 |


| 216:9 | protestant 49:3 | putting 100:5 | queen 74:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| proclaim 19:19 | proud 48:15 | 104:10 139:10 | 77:12 78:5 |
| 22:11 42:5 | 83:14 84:9 | 139:21 184:21 | queer 26:4 |
| profession | 150:4 | 189:14,21 | question 23:19 |
| 158:3 | provide 44:16 | 199:17 | 24:9 26:10 |
| profile 151:12 | 212:7 214:3 | q | 27:19 34:11 |
| 159:19 | provision 32:4 | qualified 2: | 35:1 43:16 |
| $15: 224: 18$ | $32: 17$ public 2: | 2:14,16,17 | 5.18 70.7.8 |
| 31:6 32:20,21 | pubic $7: 16,18$ 8:7,14 | 8:17 9:2,6,9 | 53:18 70:7,8 $78: 2199: 8$ |
| 33:4 72:14,17 | 9:13 38:20 | qu | 100:7 101:17 |
| 73:15,20 75:6 | 42:11 43:20 | $: 13$ | 131:5 153:17 |
| 78:19 92:7 | 44:13 50:18 | $1$ | 154:7 172:11 |
| 93:16 95:9 | 72:21 118:8 | quarter | 182:9 187:2 |
| 130:9 153:13 | 207:8,16,16 | 5 5:18 6:6,12 | 211:21 |
| 170:14,17 | 216:19 | 14:15,19 15:4 | questioned |
| 172:2 174:13 | published | 22:8 32:12,20 | 147:16 |
| programs | 8: | 50:5,7,9 62:15 | questions |
| 32:13 33:18 | publishing | 66:19 73:8,11 | 27:17 37:7 |
| progress 19:2,4 | 4:14 10:20 | 73:16 81:21 | 76:3,11 78:18 |
| prohibited | puerto 85:2 | 73.1681 .21 | 80:10,20 99:4 |
| 147:11 | pull 40:7 | 96:8 | 103:8,18,20 |
| prohibition | 158:13 | 105.7111 .19 | 153:12 155:4 |
| 31:13,21 32:9 | pulled 41:20 | 121.7122 .6 | 155:21 182:6 |
| 32:12 33:1,21 | 190:16 | $126: 11,17$ | 188:13,21 |
| prohibits 32:4 | pulling 211:13 | $128: 16,17$ | 189:1 |
| prominent | 213:3 | 129:4,9 146:15 | quick 69:10 |
| 10:10 33:5 | pulls 213:6 | 146:18 147:5 | quickly 207:13 |
| 41:4 | purpose 38:13 | $146: 1814164: 9$ 150:3 | 208:10 |
| promise 37:8 | purposes 60:7 | 165:4 167:7 | quietness |
| promote 48:17 | 60:8 | 171:12,17 | 126:18 |
| proof 87:5,10 | pursue 98:8 | 172:8 175:6 | quite 92:1 96:8 |
| proper 127:3 | pursuit 95:18 | $192: 18 \text { 194:4 }$ | 104:14 138:14 |
| property 52:1 | pushed 47:20 | 197:1,7 201:14 | 162:6 183:17 |
| 149:11 | put 49:15 |  | 191:18 192:3 |
| protection | 50:20 121:9 | $49: 15 \text { 50:11,19 }$ | 193:11 213:12 |
| 16:15 94:21 | 164:14 184:13 | 122:8 166:14 | quorum 10:4 |
|  | 205:13 | 122.8166 .14 | 64:13 171:5 |


| quotation | readable 62:19 | 201:9 204:21 | 89:19,21 123:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41:17,20 42:7 | 63:5 130:1,1 | 206:18 208:4 | 123:5 168:18 |
| 47:13 | reader 207:6 | 213:15 216:20 | 168:19 213:18 |
| quote 16:9 | reading 26:11 | reason 32:9 | 213:19 |
| 18:10 22:10 | 79:2 116:15 | 79:8 99:16 | recipient 211:7 |
| 41:12 46:4 | 197:3 | 138:16 205:2 | recognition |
| 95:5 180:12 | ready 112:17 | 207 | 18:17 53:3 |
| 213:11 |  |  | 96 |
| r |  | 200:16 | recognize 31:7 |
| r | real 48:4,4 55:5 | reas | 2 |
| race 1 | 1 | 06 | $7: 1684$ |
| 迷 | 95:14 | 113:10 117:19 | 90:2 92:11,19 |
|  | realistic 137: | 160:10 162:3 | 120:7 123:8 |
|  | reality 69:14 | 167:21 168:2 | 138:17 165:10 |
| raise 79:19 | 69:18 | 178:12 204:16 | 169:1 188:2 |
| 103:4 | realize 28:1 | 206:7 | 191:14 199:8 |
| sed | 07:17 209:10 | receive | 214:2 |
| 40:21 | realized | 47:18 | recogni |
| :3 | real | ece | 49:19 165:7 |
| 9:8 80:3 94:2 | 0:8,15 41:21 | 97:21 68:1,3 | recognizes |
| 54:11 | 3:21 45:5,15 | 83:17 90:7,8 | 144:8 |
| $2:$ | $3: 15$ 72:1 | :10,12,14 | recognizing |
| rallying | 82:8,14,15 | 123:12,13,14 | 91:2 99:6 |
| rather 102:6 | 10 104:12 | 15, | ommen |
| 121:7 137:12 | 105:4 118:1 | 169:6,7,9,10 | 56:20 68:17,17 |
| 139:10 140:13 | 124:21 129:17 | 169:11,13,14 | 91:5 124:4,7 |
|  | 137:6 140:20 | 169:15,16,17 | 131:16 137:9 |
| 148:18 | 142:20 150:3 | 187:5 188: | 141:20 144:13 |
| 148 | 150:14 154:13 | 212:5 214:11 | recommenda |
| reach 55:8 | 159:8 162:4 | 214:12,13,14 | 2:11,13 21:2 |
| reaches 45:1 | 164:7 167:18 | 214:15,16,17 | 22:14 71:1,4,8 |
| $45: 15$ | 167:18 175:10 | 214:18,19,20 | 76:19 77:8 |
| read | 177:9 178:14 | receiving 68:4 | 97:9 98:21 |
|  | 183:12 186:3 | 68:5,6 95:10 | 125:10 136:20 |
| $100: 20 \text { 196:11 }$ | 186:14 191:3,4 | 176:5 | 137:3,12 144:1 |
|  | 191:5,9,20 | recent | 151:4 152:14 |
|  | 192:20 193:10 | recess 67:6,9 | 178:10 183:5 |
|  | 193:17 194:8 | 67:11,12 89:18 | 184:5 185:20 |


| $186: 4$ | referred $16: 8$ | released | $155: 18,20$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| recommenda... | reflected $25: 21$ | $173: 18,19$ | $176: 20188: 18$ |
| $151: 1186: 16$ | $129: 9$ | relentless | $197: 2$ |
| recommended | reflecting | $173: 4$ | reminded |
| $2: 78: 11,20$ | $187: 4$ | relief $28: 18$ | $160: 5$ |
| $21: 7180: 10$ | reflection | $79: 4,8,12,20$ | reminder $7: 5$ |
| recommends | $137: 12$ | $80: 3,4154: 8$ | $9: 19103: 15$ |
| $215: 10$ | reflective | $154: 18$ | reminds |
| reconnecting | $182: 17$ | religion $46: 11$ | $110: 14128: 14$ |
| $148: 3$ | reform $173: 2$ | $102: 2148: 20$ | reminiscent |
| reconsider | $206: 5$ | religious | $78: 10$ |
| $140: 9$ | reforms $21: 14$ | $101: 21102: 8$ | remote $2: 12,14$ |
| record $11: 3$ | $175: 21$ | $102: 10$ | $3: 5,184: 3,4,6$ |
| $13: 1236: 14,16$ | refused $174: 5$ | remainder | $4: 7,8,10,11$ |
| $68: 1672: 11$ | regard $20: 20$ | $143: 13174: 7$ | remotely $7: 21$ |
| $82: 11109: 5$ | $36: 847: 18$ | $218: 4$ | $10: 16217: 2$ |
| $145: 7146: 7$ | $118: 16144: 2$ | remained | removing |
| $188: 5205: 17$ | regarded $17: 6$ | $148: 15$ | $199: 4$ |
| $209: 21210: 6$ | regarding | remains $174: 10$ | renamed $95: 3$ |
| $215: 16217: 16$ | $66: 1380: 11$ | remarkable | $120: 17$ |
| $219: 7$ | $103: 8155: 6$ | $28: 6157: 10$ | rendition |
| recorded $7: 6$ | $200: 17$ | remarked | $199: 18208: 3$ |
| $74: 13219: 3$ | regardless $84: 7$ | $27: 14$ | $212: 17$ |
| rectangle | regiment | remarking | repeat $82: 11$ |
| $100: 17$ | $173: 12$ | $30: 5$ | $120: 12$ |
| rectilinear | register $217: 8$ | remarks $40: 6$ | repeated |
| $119: 16$ | regularly $24: 11$ | $183: 17$ | $183: 14$ |
| red $128: 13$ | reinforces | remember $50: 6$ | repetition |
| $147: 6152: 4,7$ | 193:21 $207: 18$ | $64: 781: 12$ | $195: 18196: 16$ |
| $153: 4163: 15$ | reintroduce | $101: 19,20$ | replacing |
| $163: 16,17$ | $8: 3$ | $104: 19$ | $199: 6$ |
| reed $16: 13,14$ | rejected $47: 19$ | remembered | report $5: 6,13$ |
| reference $76: 11$ | related $219: 8$ | $189: 10$ | $5: 206: 7,14$ |
| $145: 15$ | relationship | remembrance | $15: 673: 17$ |
| referenced | $211: 5$ | $75: 2$ | $93: 18147: 2$ |
| $46: 10$ | relative $143: 9$ | remind $7: 18$ | $172: 4$ |
| references | $143: 12$ | $37: 480: 17$ | reporter $37: 11$ |
| $179: 5188: 7$ |  | $121: 17,20$ | $81: 4104: 1$ |


| $156: 3189: 4$ | require $149: 6$ | $123: 6,9168: 20$ | reverses $22: 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| reporting | required | $169: 3213: 20$ | $22: 1933: 20$ |
| $141: 3$ | $122: 10$ | $214: 3$ | $78: 698: 5$ |
| represent | requires $68: 15$ | resume $10: 13$ | $151: 19192: 11$ |
| $30: 1752: 21$ | rescinded | return $174: 6$ | review $10: 7$ |
| $138: 15$ | $174: 2$ | returned | $14: 1736: 7$ |
| representation | reservation | $173: 20$ | $73: 1093: 11$ |
| $24: 253: 2$ | $147: 8$ | revealed | $146: 17171: 15$ |
| $106: 15119: 11$ | reserving $58: 6$ | $199: 16$ | reviewers |
| $135: 16161: 2$ | resides $180: 7$ | revealing | $178: 1$ |
| $161: 13186: 9$ | resonate $44: 13$ | $184: 19$ | reviewing |
| $188: 9205: 15$ | respect $39: 15$ | reverence | $135: 11$ |
| $206: 3$ | $44: 1953: 21$ | $177: 5$ | revised $136: 2$ |
| representations | $72: 14207: 12$ | reverend $15: 10$ | revisions |
| $161: 6203: 4$ | respected | $15: 1117: 18$ | $135: 13$ |
| representative | $186: 5$ | $18: 3,7,15$ | revisited $185: 6$ |
| $2: 4,5,895: 21$ | respond $8: 4$ | $19: 2121: 11$ | revote $70: 10$ |
| $178: 4$ | $208: 17$ | $22: 1,2042: 10$ | $125: 11,12,21$ |
| representatives | response $13: 10$ | $42: 15,2045: 13$ | $125: 21126: 1$ |
| $94: 12149: 16$ | $14: 1466: 9$ | $47: 948: 16$ | $139: 13$ |
| represented | $72: 8,1580: 13$ | $65: 2166: 20$ | rhythm $77: 15$ |
| $19: 1524: 15$ | $89: 1191: 10$ | reverently $22: 3$ | $122: 1171: 11$ |
| $27: 6117: 13$ | $103: 10132: 1$ | reverse $10: 8$ | ribbon $187: 10$ |
| $154: 8,14$ | $142: 8144: 20$ | $14: 1815: 3$ | richard $111: 14$ |
| representing | $168: 10170: 5$ | $20: 2121: 10,20$ | richmond |
| $8: 6,149: 13$ | $170: 11188: 14$ | $22: 10,1223: 7$ | $173: 18$ |
| $23: 698: 1,7$ | $215: 19216: 3$ | $23: 2026: 10$ | rico $85: 2$ |
| $152: 21179: 20$ | $218: 1$ | $33: 1547: 6$ | ride $176: 15$ |
| represents $70: 2$ | rest $26: 1353: 4$ | $66: 1873: 10,16$ | ridicule $177: 4$ |
| $77: 14151: 21$ | $54: 14199: 15$ | $77: 9,1678: 1$ | right $20: 16$ |
| $194: 12$ | restaurant | $79: 293: 11,17$ | $21: 926: 11,18$ |
| request $21: 3$ | $74: 21$ | $97: 18,1998: 15$ | $32: 1534: 18,19$ |
| $22: 1574: 19$ | restored $174: 8$ | $99: 11126: 12$ | $35: 1738: 15,15$ |
| $101: 4139: 4$ | restrictive | $144: 14146: 17$ | $38: 18,1842: 5$ |
| $173: 8$ | $172: 19$ | $151: 15156: 17$ | $50: 358: 2$ |
| requested $21: 8$ | results $5: 9,15$ | $171: 15172: 3$ | $76: 2177: 5,11$ |
| $109: 19$ | $6: 3,9,1667: 14$ | $192: 8,15$ | $80: 784: 4,6$ |
|  | $67: 1790: 3,4$ |  | $85: 1992: 2$ |


| :14 101:12 | roles 170:17 | sacred 148:11 | saved 18:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103:5 104:11 | roll 5:4 8:1 | safe 218:5 | saw 24:15 |
| 120:2 137:19 | 132:10,13 | sainted 17:3 | 39:10 47:19 |
| 138:3 143:6 | room 61:16 | salmon 2:14 | 88:3 212:17 |
| 146:13 148:21 | roosevelt 22:8 | 9:1,4 45:2,3,4 | saying 31:3 |
| 155:2 162:2 | rooted 21:15 | 69:4,4 88:1,2 | 64:10 85:19 |
| 163:10 174:19 | ross 4:3 13:17 | 113:5,6 134:6 | 96:16 130:6 |
| 179:16 181:6 | 17:9,13 24:20 | 161:20,21 | 132:4 137:7 |
| 193:20 208:12 | 25:2,11,13,17 | 198:14,15,16 | 141:9 142:11 |
| 213:6 | 7:20 40:4 | 215:14,14,17 | 142:14 167:10 |
| rights 15:13,14 | 56:2,9 58:14 | salsa 74:11,12 | 182:16 184:11 |
| 15:20,21 16:6 | 59:4,9,11,17 | 77:13 78:3,5 | 185:11,12 |
| 16:21 18:8,18 | 61:5,14 65:12 | 84:2 85:4,17 | 207:17 211:14 |
| 19:2,5,11 22:6 | 72:20 | sam 8:13 51:4 | 211:16 |
| 42:13 148:16 | ross's 38:5 54:1 | 81:8,10 104:16 | says 114:8 |
| 149:10 172:14 | rough 190:9 | 104:18 133:9 | scale 57:13,13 |
| ring 97:21 | round 112:15 | 133:13 164:17 | 57:15,19 62:1 |
| 101:8,10 | 112:16 | 164:19 202:16 | 62:15,21 63:9 |
| risk 30:19 | rules 122: | 202:18 | 100:10 126:17 |
| 33:10 | rumba 77:3 | samuel 2:8 | 130:1 178:15 |
| road 175:14,19 | run | sanitary 173:1 | 197:14 |
| robin 2:14 | runs 201:12 | sarcastically | scales 22:4,9 |
| 45:2,3 47:8 | russ | 101: | 23:3 46:11 |
| 67:7 69:4 | russell | satisfied 203:8 | 62:10,10,20 |
| 87:21 88:2 | 11:20 | 212:15 | scattered 47:10 |
| 113:4,5 114:19 | ruth 16:11 | satisfies 1 | schedule 171:5 |
| 123:1 132:7 |  | saunders 2:11 | scholarships |
| 134:5 161:19 |  | 6:8,11,12 | 18 |
| 161:20 163:7 | sa $4: 96: 610: 12$ | 71:13,14 86:15 | school 95:15 |
| 198:14,15 | sa 4.96 .610 .12 | 86:16 107:16 | 118:13 147:9 |
| 212:5 215:14 |  | 107:17 109:20 | 195:14 |
| 215:17 | 148.14149 .21 | 128:3,3 133:13 | score 66:18,21 |
| robust 59:13 | -10 | 133:20 140:11 | 67:19 71:6 |
| roger 3:5 11:14 |  | 140:12,15 | 89:17 90:6,10 |
| role 47:11 | $153 \cdot 3$ | 141:19 144:16 | 90:12,15,18 |
| 111:13 141:5 | $\text { sa's } 151: 10,16$ | 144:17 165:21 | 123:11 125:15 |
| 143:5 183:10 |  | 166:1 189:8,9 | 168:18 169:5 |
| 200:9 |  | 189:9 | 212:2 214:6 |


| scored 214:7,8 | 189:19 190:19 | 131:19 150:14 | selection 193:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 214:9 | 193:17 215:12 | 154:13 157:20 | self 118:14 |
| scoresheet | 215:15 217:15 | 158:3,4 161:4 | semantic |
| 212:4 | 217:18 | 161:13 167:2 | 145:14,14 |
| scoresheets | secondarily | 183:1 184:5 | senate 2:13 |
| 122:21 | 160:2 | 185:13 187:20 | 8:20 94:11 |
| scoring 5:9,15 | secondary | 187:20 196:6 | send 67:2,8 |
| 6:3,9,16 67:14 | 77:21 97:11,16 | 196:16 197:16 | 123:2 187:13 |
| 67:17 68:2,9 | 98:13 | 201:19 205:9,9 | senior 3:4,5,14 |
| 71:3,3 90:3,4 | seconded | 205:10 207:3 | 3:17 10:19 |
| 90:16 123:6,9 | 215:17 | 209:14 | 11:12,14 12:18 |
| 168:17,20 | seconding | seeing 31:5 | 12:21 48:19 |
| 169:3 213:17 | 128:8 | 38:16 47:8 | sense 34:5 |
| 213:18,20 | seconds 116:18 | 66:10 72:16 | 42:21 112:1,2 |
| 214:4,10 | secretary 68:18 | 81:13 168:11 | 131:9 134:12 |
| screen 29:14 | 131:17 137:7 | 170:12 183:18 | 157:17 163:9 |
| 63:17 | 144:3,12 | 188:17 197:20 | sentiment |
| script 2 | 215:10 | seem 136:21 | 21:16 129:3 |
| sculpt 29:4,6,9 | see | seemed 27:11 | entiments |
| sculpted 62:16 | 29:3,13 30:6 | seemingly | 54:19 55:6 |
| 78:8 154:15 | 30:21 35:10 | 74:19 | separate |
| sculptor 62:16 | 39:2 40:9 41:2 | seems 82:18 | 108:21 144:6 |
| sculpture 2:14 | 41:9 42:12 | 119:15 139:20 | separating |
| 9:3 | 48:4 49:21 | 154:17 162:15 | 99:10 |
| sea 38:17 | 50:13,14 54:2 | 191:6 192:1 | sequence |
| seahorse 23:5 | 54:16 55:12 | seen 18:13 | 135:11 |
| 24:10 62:19 | 56:3,17 60:20 | 22:12,16,16 | series 96:8 |
| seahorses 25:6 | 63:16 65:20,21 | 28:4 30:10 | servant 95:17 |
| 25:14,20 | 66:179:15 | 38:12 39:14 | serve 94:13 |
| seated 98:16 | 83:14 85:16 | 40:7,18,18 | 173:5,7 |
| seats 94:9 | 86:7 87:6 88:4 | 41:3 44:4 | served 94:14 |
| second 7:6,15 | 100:13,21 | 51:18 166:5,8 | 174:4 |
| 68:19 69:1,3,5 | 101:8,9,19 | 177:12 178:18 | service 173:20 |
| 71:10 73:9 | 102:3 106:10 | 198:21 202:2 | 205:19 |
| 76:8 91:7 | 106:13 116:11 | segregation | serving 9:15 |
| 131:18 142:2,5 | 117:12 119:7 | 16:4 | 37:21 200:11 |
| 144:15,17 | 120:1 121:5 | selected 19:13 | session 10:5 |
| 166:2 171:8 | 128:21 130:3,4 |  | 11:5 216:19 |


| set 30:15 50:11 | 202:11 205:3 | similarly $22: 9$ | skills 74:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 86:2 161:5 | 207:13 215:16 | 27:15 | skipped 204:10 |
| settled 175:17 | 217:17 | simmons 147:7 | sleep 201:8 |
| seven 94:16 | showed 19:17 | simple 47:16 | sleeve 80:1 |
| several 27:8 | 110:18 200:9 | 69:14 74:19 | slightly 27:10 |
| 52:15 | showing 32:2 | 105:16 107:21 | 36:4 206:2 |
| sex 95:7 | 194:3 200:19 | 126:11 | slogan 19:18 |
| sexist 190:6 | shown 34:15 | simplicity | small 107:9 |
| sexually 26:1 | 35:2 197:12,13 | 117:14 126:19 | 110:1 189:16 |
| shape 21:12 | shows 57:7,7 | 136:13 | 197:14,15 |
| 62:4 151:13 | 57:21 165:11 | simply 30:13 | smaller 197:7 |
| 153:19 181:6 | 165:12,12 | 70:15 126:16 | smile 22:3 77:2 |
| shaped 94:5 | 203:5,6 | 139:12 192:9 | 77:19 |
| 153:18 | side 47:21 | 201:21 204:16 | smiling 112:5 |
| share 27:8 63:2 | 184:18 | singer 88:6 | 136:5 |
| 66:12 81:1 | sides 137:5 | 92:21 93:1 | smithsonian |
| 132:8 176:19 | siding 52:7 | singing 77:10 | 27:12 177:13 |
| 177:12,18 | sign 107:6 | 77:18 | social 10:20 |
| 178:7,13 | signature | single 211:2 | 15:19 17:7 |
| 179:10 185:20 | 23:20 24:1,4,5 | sioux 147:8 | 175:21,21 |
| 187:6 | 74:16 77:3 | sir 34:14 66:15 | societal 21:14 |
| shared 48:3 | 219:15,19 | 67:10 75:11 | society 18:11 |
| 59:15 208:2 | signatures | 82:3 89:14 | 47:12 |
| sharing 54:20 | 201:20 | 105:10 122:16 | solar 112:14 |
| 92:15 | signed 10:16 | 165:19 168:14 | soldiers 173:14 |
| sheet 219:5 | 111:14 | 174:20 209:18 | 174:4 200:8 |
| sheets 67:1 | significance | sit 52:18 | solve 185:6,10 |
| sheriff's 201:15 | 89:7 108:13 | sitting 22:21 | somebody |
| shifting 69:13 | 153:20 156:13 | 46:8 52:14 | 42:18 126:2 |
| shine 165:3 | 199:13 | $\boldsymbol{s i x} 94: 14$ | somewhat |
| short 162:3 | significant | size 100:10 | 45:14 160:14 |
| 201:9 | 21:14 194:10 | 105:7 126:1 | 187:1 |
| shoulders | 199:11,19 | 192:18 194:4 | son 25:18 |
| 31:14 32:5 | signifiers 96:21 | 196:20 197:1,5 | song 19:6 |
| 33:1 | signify 132:3 | 198:7 199:1 | 21:18 22:18 |
| shouting 85:8 | 142:11,14 | 201:17 213:13 | 41:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { show } 20: 20 \\ & 33: 18,20188: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { similar } 42: 20 \\ 158: 5,8,10 \end{gathered}$ | sketch 50:15 | soon 46:18 |


| sophisticated | speaking 25:10 | 05 | 206:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41:18 | 7:12 47:4 | spreading 20:2 | stance 137:10 |
| sorry 36:18 | 1:6 104:3 | spy 173:15 | stand 170:7 |
| 48:12 63:14 | 19:10 120:4 | stack 28:18 | 176:20 218:3 |
| 69:6 75:12,12 | 128:12 138:8 | stacks 16:7 | standalone |
| 82:10 84:15 | 156:4 189:6 | staff | 55:14 |
| 182:11 185:18 | specialist 3:4 | 13:9 86:10 | standards 63:3 |
| 193:18 203:19 | 3:14 11:12 | 217:4 | 131:4 |
| 204:3 208:19 | 13:1 | stafford 3:2 5:7 | standing |
| 212:10 | specially $2: 14$ | 5:13,20 6:7,14 | 192:18 |
| sort 112:13 | 2:16,17 | 11:8,10 14:21 | standpoint |
| 117:8 118:4,10 | specific $42: 4$ | 15:7,8 20:14 | 81:19 102:17 |
| 135:7 153:20 | 101:4 107:7 | 24:3,5,12,16 | 116:11 |
| 160:1 207:18 | 115:1 120:16 | 25:11 26:6,19 | stands 179:17 |
| soul 106:12 | 124:19 143:21 | 26:20 30:3,4 | stars 109:13 |
| sound 109:6 | specifically | 35:20 36:2,16 | start 20:17 |
| sounds 92:3 | 2:10 8:17 9:2,6 | 73:13,18,19 | 55:8 122:20 |
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| staunch 15:12 | 121:13 125:1 | 59:3 99:21 | 29:11 36:16 |
| stayed 33:2 | 136:20 | 108:19 137:21 | 46:17 52:17 |
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| 62:2 63:1 | 111:1 113:12 | telling 121: | 47:1,2 48:7,8 |
| 113:11,18 | 127:1 | 170:19 | 48:13 51:1,2,5 |
| 159:21 | taken 2 | tells 113: | 52:9,10,12 |
| symbolize 78:8 | talk 28:4 61: | 168:5 202:8,20 | 54:17,19 55:2 |
| symbolized | 85:13 100:1 | 203:7 | 56:11 58:13 |
|  | 120:19 | tend 102 | 61:9,10 63:10 |
| symbol | talked | 130:11 | 64:15 65:8,12 |
| 36:3 | talking 27:1 | tents 181:2 | 66:6,16 67:10 |
| symbols 97:21 | 58:1 85:19 | 200:8 | 72:18,19 73:3 |
| 98:2,6 101:21 | 130:12,13 | term 109:1 | 73:3,18 75:8 |
| 102:10,21 | 208:5 | 198:3 | 76:14 78:16 |
| syracuse 195:6 | tallchief | terminolog | 80:7 81:9 82:3 |
| system 112:14 | tally 67:5 | 109:3 110:3 | 82:4,6 83:3,4,6 |
| t | per 79:13 | terms 40:17 | 84:11,19,21 |
| t 7:1 95:3 | tas | 2:12 94:14, | 86:9,10,13,14 |
| 148:18 | tate 3:14 12: | 108:1 131:1 | 87:11,13,19 |
| table 38:16 | 13:4 | 166:19 193:21 | 88:1,14,15 |
| 70:21 71:7,10 | teacher 113:17 | terrific 87:18 | 89:3,16 90:19 |
| 119:17 129:16 | team 28:13 | territori | 91:8,19 92:9 |
| 131:21 132:17 | 31:6 | 94:10 149:5 | 92:13,14,17,18 |
| 142:7,10 | technical 23:18 | test 38:1 | 93:5,7,19 |
| tabletop 38:15 | 26:9 63: | 40:13 | 96:12 97:2 |
| 40:13 | 78:17 80:12 | testament | 99:2 101 |
| 40.13 | 99:4,8 100:7 | 21:17 | 103:6 104:15 |


| $104: 17105: 10$ | $201: 4,5202: 14$ | $50: 1651: 15,16$ | $190: 16191: 8$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $105: 11,13,20$ | $202: 17203: 11$ | $52: 454: 656: 5$ | $191: 14192: 2,6$ |
| $106: 1,7107: 14$ | $203: 12206: 16$ | $57: 158: 5,8,10$ | $192: 9,13,17$ |
| $107: 15110: 5,8$ | $206: 17210: 8$ | $58: 1660: 13$ | $193: 1,9,20$ |
| $112: 21113: 2,6$ | $210: 11211: 18$ | $62: 165: 18$ | $194: 3,4,10$ |
| $114: 13,14$ | $213: 14,21$ | $66: 368: 15$ | $196: 17197: 17$ |
| $115: 9,10,15$ | $215: 2216: 7,12$ | $71: 2075: 18$ | $197: 19198: 3,9$ |
| $118: 17120: 8$ | $216: 13,14,21$ | $76: 181: 16$ | $198: 21199: 7$ |
| $121: 15122: 3$ | $217: 3$ | $82: 1583: 1$ | $199: 18201: 15$ |
| $122: 12,13,17$ | thankful | $86: 3,1887: 17$ | $203: 9204: 17$ |
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