1. Chairperson Marks called the meeting to order at 9:17 A.M.

2. The letter and minutes of the April 26, 2012 meeting were unanimously approved.

3. Ron Harrigal of the United States Mint presented the candidate designs for the Five Star Generals Commemorative Coin Program, inclusive of a $5 gold coin, $1 silver coin and a clad half dollar.

4. After Mr. Harrigal’s presentation the Committee discussed the directive and legislative intent of Public Law 111-262 that the program recognize and celebrate the attendance and graduation of Generals Douglas MacArthur, George C. Marshall, Henry H. Arnold, Dwight D. Eisenhower and Omar N. Bradley from the Command and General Staff College located at Fort Leavenworth, Kansas. It was noted that contrary to the legislative directive and intent for the program reverse designs provided for the $5 gold coin and $1 silver coin featured other themes. It was also noted that reverse designs for the clad half dollar utilized themes associated with the Command and General Staff College. A motion was made by Mr. Olson, and seconded by Ms. Stevens-Sollman, that the Committee select reverse designs for the $5 gold coin and the $1 silver coin only from among designs provided by the Mint for the clad half dollar. The motion was approved on a vote of seven (7) ayes and three (3) nays.

5. After each member had commented on the candidate designs, Committee members rated proposed designs by assigning 0, 1, 2, or 3 points to each, with higher points reflecting more favorable evaluations. With ten (10) members voting, the maximum possible point total was 30. By Committee rule, a majority
of the maximum possible point total (i.e. 16) was required to garner the Committee’s recommendation. The committee’s scores for the Five Star Generals Commemorative Coin Program were:

$5 Gold Coin
Obverse Designs:
FSG-O-G-01: 0
FSG-O-G-02: 6
FSG-O-G-03: 18 (Recommended design)
FSG-O-G-04: 0
FSG-O-G-05: 14
FSG-O-G-06: 4

Reverse Designs:
(Committee evaluated only half dollar designs for use on the $5 gold coin.)
FSG-R-C-01: 8
FSG-R-C-02: 3
FSG-R-C-03: 9
FSG-R-C-04: 10 (Recommended design)*
FSG-R-C-05: 0
FSG-R-C-06: 8
FSG-R-C-07: 9

*The point total for Design FSG-R-C-04 was insufficient by Committee rule to be selected as a recommended design. A designation as the recommended design was assigned to the design through a subsequent motion of the Committee (see below).

$1 Silver Coin
Obverse Designs:
FSG-O-S-01: 0
FSG-O-S-02: 0
FSG-O-S-03: 0
FSG-O-S-04: 10
FSG-O-S-05: 5
FSG-O-S-06: 18 (Recommended design)
FSG-O-S-07: 0
FSG-O-S-08: 3

Reverse Designs:
(Committee evaluated only half dollar designs for use on the $1 silver coin.)
FSG-R-C-01: 5
FSG-R-C-02: 4
FSG-R-C-03: 4
FSG-R-C-04: 2
FSG-R-C-05: 3
FSG-R-C-06: 18 (Recommended design)
FSG-R-C-07: 7

Clad Half Dollar
Obverse Designs:
FSG-O-C-01: 3
FSG-O-C-02: 0
FSG-O-C-03: 9
FSG-O-C-04: 0
FSG-O-C-05: 0
FSG-O-C-06: 10*

*Although Design FSG-O-C-06 received the highest number of points its total was insufficient by Committee rule to be selected as a recommended design. The Committee forwarded no recommendation for the obverse of the clad half dollar.

Reverse Designs:
FSG-R-C-01: 9
FSG-R-C-02: 9
FSG-R-C-03: 7
FSG-R-C-04: 2
FSG-R-C-05: 3
FSG-R-C-06: 7
FSG-R-C-07: 15 (Recommended design)*

*The point total for Design FSG-R-C-07 was insufficient by Committee rule to be selected as a recommended design. A designation as the recommended design was assigned to the design through a subsequent motion of the Committee (see below).

6. A motion was made by Mr. Olson, and seconded by Mr. Jansen, to recommend Design FSG-R-C-04 for use on the reverse of the $5 gold coin. The motion was approved on a vote of seven (7) ayes, one (1) nay and two (2) abstentions. A subsequent motion was made by Mr. Olson, and seconded by Mr. Jansen, to recommend Design FSG-O-C-06 for use on the obverse of the clad half dollar and failed on a vote of four (4) ayes, five (5) nays and one (1) abstention. Finally, a motion by Mr. Olson, and seconded by Mr. Ross, to recommend Design FSG-R-C-07 for the clad half dollar reverse was approved on a vote of eight (8) ayes and two (2) nays.

7. The Committee recessed at 11:24 a.m. and reconvened at 11:46 a.m.

8. Ron Harrigal of the United States Mint presented the candidate obverse and reverse designs for the Code Talkers Congressional Gold Medals for the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Choctaw Nation, Osage Nation and Pawnee Nation.
9. After each member had commented on the candidate designs, Committee members rated proposed designs by assigning 0, 1, 2, or 3 points to each, with higher points reflecting more favorable evaluations. With ten (10) members voting, the maximum possible point total was 30. By Committee rule, a majority of the maximum possible point total (i.e. 16) was required to garner the Committee’s recommendation. The committee’s scores for the obverse and reverse designs for the Code Talkers Congressional Gold Medals were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tribe</th>
<th>Obverse</th>
<th>Reverse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cheyenne River Sioux</td>
<td>01: 2</td>
<td>07: 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>02: 27</td>
<td>(Recommended design)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choctaw</td>
<td>01: 0</td>
<td>01: 29 (Recommended design)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>02: 0</td>
<td>02: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>03: 0</td>
<td>03: 28 (Recommended design)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04: 0</td>
<td>04: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>05: 5</td>
<td>05: 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osage</td>
<td>01: 22</td>
<td>01: 18 (Recommended design)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>02: 7</td>
<td>02: 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pawnee</td>
<td>01: 24</td>
<td>01: 18 (Recommended design)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>02: 9</td>
<td>02: 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pawnee Reverse:
10. A motion was made by Ms. Wastweet, and seconded by Mr. Ross, was approved unanimously to remove the date “1868” from recommended Cheyenne River Sioux Reverse-07. Additionally, a motion was made by Mr. Olson, and seconded by Mr. Moran, to change the number of arrowheads appear at the bottom of Pawnee Nation Obverse-01 from eight (8) to seven (7). The motion was approved on a vote of nine (9) ayes and one (1) abstention.

11. There being no further business, Chairperson Marks adjourned the meeting at 1:27 p.m.