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(Thereupon, the following meeting is had.)
DR. BROWN: Good morning. I call to order this meeting of the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee, for Tuesday, September 27, 2022. Please note that this meeting is recorded and transcribed.

For the sake of our public listeners, we may prefer -- we may refer to ourselves during the meeting as the CCAC.

The notice published and the Federal Register included as an agenda item for today's meeting, the review and discussion of candidate designs for the Harlem Hell Fighters Congressional Gold Medal. We understand that this portfolio is not yet ready for review, and will instead be presented at a future meeting. I would like to remind each and every member of the CCAC members, liaisons, and Mint employees participating remotely to mute his or her phone, or microphone on the Microsoft Teams program when not talking, and to announce your name at the beginning of each time you speak. By the way, I didn't do that, Lawrence Brown. Additionally, $I$ remind the public that this is a listening only hearing for the
public. Before we begin, and as this may be the first time for those participating during a meeting of the CCAC, $I$ want to introduce the members of the CCAC at this time, indicating the diversity of the members. Please respond, my colleagues, present when $I$ call your name. Representing the general public, Sam Gill? MR. GILL: Present. DR. BROWN: Specially qualified in

American History, Dean Kotlowski? MR. KOTLOWSKI: Present. DR. BROWN: Specially qualified in Medallic Arts or Sculpture, Robin Salmon? MS. SALMON: Present. DR. BROWN: Recommended by the Senate Majority Leader, Mike Moran. MR. MORAN: Present. DR. BROWN: Recommended by the Senate Minority Leader, Donald Scarinci? MR. SCARINICI: Present. DR. BROWN: Specially qualified in Numismatics, Dennis Tucker? MR. TUCKER: Present. DR. BROWN: Specially qualified as a Numismatic Curator, Peter Van Alfen?

MR. VAN ALFEN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Representing the general public, Arthur Bernstein.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Recommended by the Speaker of the House, Dr. Harcourt Fuller?

DR. FULLER: Present.
DR. BROWN: Recommended by the House Minority Leader Mary Lannin?

MS. LANNIN: Present.
DR. BROWN: I am again Dr. Lawrence Brown, representing the general public, and Chairperson of the CCAC. I believe we have a quorum.

The agenda for today's meeting, public meeting, includes the following: presentation of the CCAC Public Service Awards to Mr. Robert Hoge, acceptance of the letters to the secretary and approval of the minutes of our June 14, 2022 meeting, review and discussion of candidate designs for the Congressional Gold Medal to Greg LeMond, review and discussion of reverse candidate designs for the 2024 American Innovation $\$ 1$ coins honoring innovations in Maine and Missouri, and finally, review and
discussion of candidate designs for the Congressional Gold Medal to women known as "Rosie the Riveter". Before we began our proceedings, I asked the Mint liaison to the CCAC, Ms. Jennifer Warren, if we are aware of any members of the press who are remotely signing into this public meeting.

MS. WARREN: This is Jennifer Warren. Mike Unser of Coin News Media Group, founder and editor; Paul Gilkes, Amos Media Coin World Senior Editor; Brandon Hall, Whitman Publishing, Senior Editor.

DR. BROWN: For the record, I would like to also to confirm the following Mint staff, and the former CCAC members attending the meeting today. Please indicate by saying present after I've called your name. Director Ventris Gibson?

MS. GIBSON: Present.
DR. BROWN: April Stafford, Chief Office of Design Management?

MS. STAFFORD: Present.
MS. BROWN: Megan Sullivan, Senior Design Specialist?

MS. SULLIVAN: Present.

DR. BROWN: Boneza Hanchock, Design Manager?

MS. HANCHOCK: Present.
DR. BROWN: Pam Borer, Design Manager.
MS. BORER: Present.
DR. BROWN: Roger Vasquez, Design Manager?
MR. VASQUEZ: Present.
DR. BROWN: Russell Evans, Design Manager?
MR. EVANS: Present.
DR. BROWN: Joe Menna, Mint Chief Engraver?

MR. MENNA: Present.
DR. BROWN: You know, I had to say something delayed for that because -- Jennifer Warren, Director of Legislative, Intergovernmental Affairs and liaison to the CCAC?

MS. WARREN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Mike Costello, Manager, Design and Engraving?

MR. COSTELLO: Present.
DR. BROWN: Greg Weinman, Senior Legal
Counsel and counsel to the CCAC?
MR. WEINMAN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Elizabeth Young, attorney
advisor?
UNKNOWN SPEAKER: She'll be coming on later.

DR. BROWN: Mike White, Office of Corporate Communication?

MR. WHITE: Here.
DR. BROWN: Sir Robert Hoge, former CCAC member?

MR. HOGE: Present.
DR. BROWN: And finally, the following liaisons will be on the call with us today. For the Greg LeMond Congressional Gold Medal, we have the pleasure, because we have with us Mr. Crozier Connor.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Probably coming on later.

DR. BROWN: Senior Legislative Assistant for Representative Mike Thompson; for the 2024 American Innovation Dollar honoring innovation in Maine, we have with us Deputy -- I'm sorry --

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Gregory Olsen.

DR. BROWN: Deputy Gregory Olsen, and Anne Lown, the daughter of Dr. Bernard Lown, the
subject of the innovation theme; for the 2024 American Innovation Coin honoring innovation in Missouri, we have with us Mr. Philip Artin; Deputy Legislative Director of the Office of the Governor, Michael L. Pearson; and for the Congressional Gold Medal to the women known as a "Rosie the Riveter", we have with us Mae Krier, a former "Rosie the Riveter"; Lori Gold, the daughter of Phyllis Gold, a former "Rosie the Riveter"; Sarah Pritchard, Executive Director of "Rosie the Riveter" Trust. I want to thank all of you for joining with us today. I'd like to start with a question to the Mint. Are there any other issues that need to be addressed at this time? Hearing none, seeing none.

As is my pattern, I would like to consider this meeting in the context of matters of significance to our society and roles of the CCAC. By the way, who does not like autumn of the year? September represents the threshold to autumn of the year, and for those of us in the Northeast, it means returning to school, and we know how we remember those number two pencils. Albeit we chart a little later than
many parts of the country. Looking back, September has heralded unfortunate historical events, such as the execution in 1776 of the Patriot Nathan Hale, and who can forget his last words, "as I only regret that I have but one life to lose for my country." And it still looms, September still looms in the minds of most, because of the worst terrorist attack in the history of the United States on September 11, 2001 .

September has also provided us historical events, such as the establishment of Congress, of the US Treasury, as the third presidential cabinet of the U.S. Being a veteran, I would be remiss if $I$ did not include the establishment of the United States Army, and the U.S. Armed Forces in the ninth month of the year. September is also the birth month of a number of famous Americans, such as Supreme Court Justices John Marshall and Warren Burger, Patriots Samuel Adams and Marquis de Lafayette, and other famous Americans in music such as George Gershwin, happens to be from Brooklyn, and in sports, Jesse Owens. Now, please forgive me as I take this point of personal
privilege, and mention for the record another person very close to me, who just celebrated her birthday, my wife, Dr. Faith Brown.

Since we are going to be discussing designs pertaining to innovations, I would be remiss if $I$ did not mention two matters related to this topic. September is the birth month of the British scientist Michael Faraday, whose invention ultimately led to the development of electric generators. Even more significant, chewing gum was first produced commercially for the first time in September of 1848. I'm sure certain dentists probably say, you know, that's significance to them. Closer to numismatics, September is also a month of historical significance. In 1968, Treasury Secretary Fowler laid the cornerstone of the fourth Philadelphia Mint Building. September press release by the US Mint announced production of the American Gold Eagles in 1986, Platinum Eagles in 1996, and coins honoring the Botanic Garden in 94, Basketball Hall of Fame (by the way, I love basketball; $I$ thought $I$ was going to perhaps do that professionally) and 2019, the 75th anniversary of the end of the World

War II in 2020, and even closer to the hearts of many of us on the CCAC, the appointment of none other than Dr. Harcourt Fuller to the CCAC last September. Based on today's agenda, September 2022, will join its predecessors as a phenomenal chapter in the history of the CCAC. Now turning -- and thank you for your patience with this chair of the CCAC. I know that you'll probably say he's unique. You may have other words to say as well, but we have those as a sidebar.

Turning to the first order of business for this committee is the presentation of the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee Public Service Award to Mr. Robert Hoge. In recognition of his loyal service, Director Gibson will finally -- will be making the presentation to him today. Director?

MS. GIBSON: And good morning and thank you so much, Dr. Brown, for that intro and thank each and every one of you for joining us today.

I had the honor from the Philadelphia the first Mint, we're welcoming the treasurer of the United States today to the Mint, and thus,

I am not there with you.
Mr. Robert Hoge was first appointed to the CCAC in 2012 and then reappointed in 2016 as the member specifically qualified in numismatic curation. From 2001 to 2013, Mr. Hoge served as curator of North American Coins and currency at the American Numismatic Society in New York City, where he later served as Curator

Emeritus. Prior to that, from 1981 to 2001, he served as Curator of the ANA, or, as we know, the American Numismatic Association. Mr. Hoge directed the ANA's money museum in Colorado Springs, and also served as manager of its authentication bureau, and was a regular columnist and contributing director for the ANA's official publication, The Numismatists.

For 20 years, that is 20 years, he taught at the ANA's summer seminar and prepared numerous seminars from museological organizations. Mr. Hoge brought his extensive knowledge to the CCAC during his eight years on the committee, providing his thoughtful and insightful knowledge to the numerous portfolios that came in front of our committee. Among the designs Mr. Hoge reviewed were the Native

American $\$ 1$ coin, the American the Beautiful Quarter program, bullion designs for Liberty and several Congressional Gold medals, including the series of Code Talkers medals. Mr. Hoge's final meeting as a CCAC member was in June 23rd of 2020. But due to the pandemic only now are we able to formally, and properly thank him for his service on the CCAC, and to present him with the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee Public Service Award.

The CCAC Public Service Award recognizes the contributions of a member of the CCAC, who has honorably served the public interests by advising the Secretary of Treasury on themes and design proposals relating to circulating coinage, bullion coinage, Congressional Gold Medals and national and other medals produced by the United States Mint. The award -- and let me repeat this, the Public Service Award -contains a framed certificate and two bronze medals; a three-inch Alexander Hamilton Secretary's medal, and a three-inch bronze duplicate of a medal reviewed by the CCAC, typically, during the tenure of the recipient. Mr. Hoge requested the three-inch David Ryder
bronze medal, as a pleasant reminder of his term in CCAC, and of the Mint personnel whom he got to know during his tenure. Today, I am pleased to present the CCAC Public Service Award to Mr. Robert Hoge. Mr. Hoge, you have consistently provided, inspired and thoughtful input, and you know what, what an accomplishment, thoughtful input, because that is so important in this day and time to the development of numerous United States coins, and medals, and is highly regarded by your colleagues, and you're respected for your opinion and your insight. For this, we say thank you.

Ladies and gentlemen, please join me in thanking and congratulating Robert Hoge for his service to the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee. Robert, the microphone is yours to say a few words, sir.

MR. HOGE: Thank you so much, Director Gibson. It has been an extraordinary pleasure and honor for me to participate with the many wonderful people I have had the opportunity to get to know as a part of my service. It has been an extraordinary experience, and I'm fully
thrilled (to have) the privilege to have be a part of this procedure, which is an important part of our nation's history and participation of the entire population of our country, and the use and understanding of our coins and medals honoring our past history. It's really an important event for me to be here today, and I feel very humbled and very honored to be recognized in this lovely fashion. Thank you so much. Ah, there it is.

DR. BROWN: Robert, on behalf of the CACC, the U.S. Mint, the Department of Treasury, we are thankful for the opportunity to present this to you, to let you know that while some of us are here for whose term overlapped with yours, there are few of us who, in fact, did not have that pleasure. But nonetheless, we have the esteemed honor of continuing to hear from you, prior to the CCAC of you joining and subsequent to that. So, we are pleased, and we look forward to hearing more from you because even though you have left the CCAC, the CCAC has not left your heart.

MR. HOGE: That's true. DR. BROWN: So, thank you so much.

Another round of applause for Mr. Hoge.
MS. WARREN: Okay, we're going to just take like a three-minute break, because we have to move the computers and stuff. So just bear with us, everybody. Thank you, Director. (Thereupon, a break was taken, and the proceeding continued as follows:)

MS. WARREN: Okay, we're going to go ahead and start.

DR. BROWN: Thank you for your patience. The next item on the agenda is the review and approval of the minutes and the letters to the Secretary from the public meeting on June 14 , 2022. Are there any comments from any of the members of the CCAC? Hearing none, is there a motion to approve the minutes and letters?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Art Bernstein so moves.
DR. BROWN: Is there a second?
MS. LANNIN: Mary Lannin, second.
DR. BROWN: Hearing that, all those in favor signify saying aye.
(Aye)
DR. BROWN: Those not in favor? Thank you. My apologies. I'll make sure to pause a
second, for our colleagues that are participating virtually. Are there any objections to the motion? If not, without objection, the minutes and letters are approved.

Now, we, in fact, are returning to the main course of the meeting and turning over to now April Stafford, Chief of the Mint Office of Design Management, who will present the obverse and reverse candidate designs for the Congressional Gold Medal to Greg LeMond.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. It is Public Law 116-208, the Greg LeMond Congressional Gold Medal Act that awards the Congressional Gold Medal to Greg LeMond in recognition of his service to the nation as an athlete, activist, role model and community leader. Gregory, Greg LeMond began cycling at the age of 14. He took home a full suite of medals at the 1979 Junior World Championships, and at age 18 became the youngest cyclist in the history of the sport to be selected for the U.S. Olympic team. The Tour de France, the world's preeminent cycling competition takes place over 23 days, covering an extraordinary 2200 miles, winding through
multiple mountain ranges, and spanning multiple nations. LeMond first competed in the Tour de France in 1984, finishing third and the following year second. In both years, he deputized himself to his teammates, sacrificing a chance to win for himself, to boost his teammates towards victory. LeMond emerged victorious in the 1986 Tour de France after ascending the fabled Alpha Dreads, ahead of the field by more than three full minutes, becoming the first American and the first non-European to win cycling's most prestigious race. Later, LeMond mounted the greatest comeback in the history of American sports, winning the 1989 Tour de France following multiple surgeries, life threatening wounds, tendon repair, and an appendectomy. LeMond went on to win a third Tour de France in 1990. LeMond completed his professional career, having won two world championships, three Tour de France championships, and 22 titles overall. More than any other cyclist, LeMond personifies the breakaway culture of American cycling in the 1970 s and eighties, attempting to accomplish feats no other American had up until that time.

LeMond has not only reached the pinnacle of international sport, but also has devoted his time and resources to assisting his fellow athletes. LeMond has demonstrated the commitment to excellence, generosity, community, and tenacity that makes him an example for all to follow; a commitment and tenacity exemplified by his personal guiding principle, "Don't ever give up. It doesn't get easier. You just get faster." Common inscriptions across the obverse designs you'll be reviewing today include Greg LeMond, Tour de France, 1986, 1989 and 1990, which were the year of his Tour de France victories. And on the reverse, you'll see act of Congress 2022 and 1979, 1983 and 1989, the years of his world championship victories. And I believe earlier I said that he had two. So, it is three and those years are 79, 83 and 89. Also on the reverse are the inscriptions, World Champion and his again personal motto, "Don't ever give up. It doesn't get easier. You just get faster."

So, for this Congressional Gold Medal, Greg LeMond had a specific vision, and it is
reflected in his identified preferences of obverse eleven and reverse five. And we will show them to you here. That's obverse eleven and reverse five.

Now, our liaison to Mr. LeMond, Crozer Conner, who is the senior legislative assistant to representative Mike Thompson, is with us to answer any questions that the committee might have. Mr. Connor, I believe you're with us. Do you have anything you'd like to share with the committee before we review the candidate designs?

MR. CONNOR: I don't think so. I would just say thank you all for your good work. April, and Boneza and Jennifer have all been great. You know, this is a cause that was very important to my boss, not only as a cyclist, but also as a veteran and a champion for mental health. Greg has done a lot of tremendous charitable work in the years since his career came to an end.

I also think it was important to note, and this is not, you know, inherent in the medal, but, you know, Greg was kind of one of the first athletes to stand up against cheating in
this sport, and he was really kind of railroaded for that, and like, you know, wanted to find a way to correct the record. So, I'm happy to answer any questions. But thank you all very much for your work on this, and I look forward to getting this done.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much. So, I should also note that obverse eleven and reverse five. In addition to being Mr. LeMond's preferences, they are also the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts recommendations. So, we will go through the portfolio candidate design, starting with obverse designs. We'll start with obverse three. This depicts LeMond waving the American flag while riding past the Arc de Triomphe, with the years of his Tour de France victories emblazoned on the pavement before him. Obverse four shows LeMond with the Arc de Triomphe in the background, a representation of the American flag is set in the background, and angled to emphasize LeMond's amazing speed. Obverse five is a portrait of the LeMond with the Arc de Triomphe in the background, along with an image of him in action on his bicycle. Obverse six depicts
the American flag projecting outward from the Arc de Triomphe, as LeMond banks to make a turn. The inscriptions are on a tilt, reinforcing the motion of the design. Obverse nine depicts LeMond in action, wearing race number one, awarded to the winner of the previous year's Tour de France. Also shown are the years LeMond won with that year's winning time in brackets and incused diamonds, representing the number of stages he won in that year's race. The design also features a map of France in the background. And finally, obverse 11, which again is Greg LeMond's preferred obverse design, and the recommendation of the CFA. This design depicts Greg LeMond in action, riding past the Arc de Triomphe with the years of his Tour de France victories inscribed.

Moving on to the reverse designs. Again, that is the preference of Mr. LeMond and the CFA's recommendation. The candidate designs are as follows: Reverse one depicts a globe with the inscription, "Don't ever give up." LeMond races the uphill sweep of the inscription, bridging the gap between North

America and Europe. Reverse two is a representation of the world superimposed over a bicycle sprocket, and LeMonds quote, "Don't ever give up. It doesn't get easier. You just get faster." featured in the center. Reverse three is a depiction of LeMond superimposed over the globe, writing above his quote with his signature beneath. Reverse five again read the most preferred reverse, and the recommendation of the CFA. This design is a textured globe to offset is from the field, while gently alluding to road asphalt, the service, the surface LeMond competed on. Bicycle chains and the inscription World Champion are inscribed on the outer border. Also, this design includes a shortened version of LeMonds quote, "It doesn't get easier. You just get faster." Moving on, reverse six depicts a bicycle free wheel with a chain, the inscriptions along the border and the incused inscription "World Champion" center. And finally, reverse eight features, chain, rings, and a crank with a globe on the center. The inscription, "World Champion" is along the crank arm.

Chairman, that concludes the candidate designs.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Are there any technical or legal questions from the committee about this program, or these designs for the great Greg LeMond Congressional Gold Medal before we began our general discussion?

MR. SCARINCI: Would it be appropriate to ask the stakeholder a question at this point or wait?

DR. BROWN: I think that makes sense.
MR. SCARINCI: Can I -- I just have one question for the stakeholders.

DR. BROWN: Donald, my apologies. I should have, in fact, that's Donald Scarinci.

MR. SCARINCI: Oh, I'm sorry. Donald Scarinci. Was this, you know, your choice of design preference, was it like resounding or were you close to considering one of the other designs, particularly in the reverse?

MS. STAFFORD: So, I believe that question is aimed at you, Mr. Connor. Crozer Conner is, again, our liaison to Mr. LeMond, and has been with us throughout the development. Mr. Connor, did you hear the question, or should we
repeat it real quick?
MR. CONNOR: Could you repeat it real quick? I only got pieces of it.

MR. SCARINCI: Sure. I just -- I was just wondering, $I$ was just curious if the decision for the preference, for your preference was a resounding decision, or if you had, if it was between, if it was close between one of the other designs, particularly on the reverse.

MR. CONNOR: Sure, I would say that Greg is a man of few words. And so, he was pretty concise in his preference. I mean, he was, he was very clear about the obverse, and, you know, he really just selected the reverse and told me that, you know, why he liked it. I don't want to put words in his mouth. It's not that he didn't like any of the other proposals. I just, I know that that's the one that he thought was best, and he was pretty clear about that.

MR. SCARINCI: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Any other questions from the CCAC? And let me also, in fact, for the record, share the fact that when Mr. Connor mentioned about Mr. LeMond's, in fact, effort
to reduce cheating in sports, I happened to actually be one of the members of the United States Anti-Doping Agency that came into existence because of Mr. LeMond advocacy, that actually, in fact, was responsible for testing American athletes, whether they were competing abroad or competing in the United States and Olympic type of events. So, again, I certainly salute him for his efforts there because I had another role there that was more minor, but as a result of his advocacy.

Let us then begin our discussion. I would
like to remind members to try to keep our comments to five minutes or less, and to identify, each and every time, ourselves. We'll be keeping track of time, and that typically means you know Jennifer is helping me, so, you know Jennifer. She's the one that keeps us on track here. Additionally, if any members have any questions or comments on any program, please refrain from asking at this point, or discussing that until you are recognized, or at the end of the discussion of this program when $I$ will ask if you have additional comments.

For the benefit of the court reporter and those calling in, I ask that you speak loudly and clearly due to the microphones, and to again to state your name before you begin speaking. As a reminder, again, you have five minutes. So, let's start with Dean Kotlowski, Dean?

MR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I'm not sure Robert Hoge is still there, but $I$ just wanted to say if you are Robert, your insight and your experience really did set an example for a lot of us who joined the committee in the years you were on it, and your warmth, sensitivity, and sense of humor, I think it made a lot of us, and me in particular, feel very comfortable serving on the CCAC, and I want to thank you for your service, and say you truly are in our hearts, and congratulate you on this really important day.

I think there are a lot of really excellent designs here, and with respect to the obverse, I want to applaud Mr. LeMond for picking what $I$ think is the best design. It's very striking. It's very dramatic. I love the
way it has the Arc de Triomphe, the American flag, Mr. LeMond, the cyclist, and the way the dates are composed going into the background. So, I think that's very, very striking, and I really love the design and $I$ hope it will get some attention in France. It's a nice melding of some important iconic symbols of the two countries. With the reverse again, there is a lot of very, very good designs. I don't know where to begin. I have an inclination just to simply go with what Mr. LeMond wants. I can see why number five is very important to him, because the quotation is key, I would imagine, for him, and it is a nice representation of the globe; bike chain is very nice. The design though struck me as just a little faint and maybe a little undramatic. And there are some other designs that $I$ would just point to that I think are interesting, creative, original, striking. Number eight really struck me. I like the bike chain and how the world, the globe was at the center here, and the way the dates are written, and "World Champion" just stands out for me. It's, you know, the incused words there, very bold, very dramatic. Number
six is a little bit on the same theme, maybe just a bit less dramatic, but worth noting as well. And I was looking again, I passed it over when $I$ first looked at the portfolio, but number, just want to point to this, this is -I never use this word when I'm discussing designs, but this is a kind of cute design, $I$ think. The way it ties the Atlantic world together with the cyclists and, you know, you have the globe and you have the "Don't ever give up.", and a bit of cuteness, and this is where, Mr. Chairman, I'm going to close my comments. Number three is worth pointing out, and it reminded me of E.T. I'm not sure that was the effect that they were going for, but it's a good example, maybe just of how a design can be intended one way and received another way. So, those are my comments, and I'm looking forward to listening to what my colleagues have to say. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much for your thoughtful comments. Let's turn now to Arthur Bernstein. MR. BERNSTEIN: Good morning. This is

Arthur Bernstein. I'd like to speak in favor of the obverse and reverse that was selected by Mr. LeMond. With obverse 11, I also appreciate the architectural feature of the Arc de Triomphe. On reverse five, the bike chain border $I$ think is very attractive. I will say as a cyclist, $I$ can verify that it does get easier, but I'm not sure it's true that $I$ get any faster. But I appreciate having the quotation on the medal. I had one minor criticism of obverse nine. I guess as a father, $I$ don't like to see a cyclist not wearing a helmet. Other than that, I'm in favor of the selections that were chosen. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Let's return to Mary Lannin. MS. LANNIN: Okay. I'm going to be the outlier here. I understand why Mr. LeMond liked number 11. What bothers me on that one is the position of the flag. I don't know where else you can put it, but it looks like it's attached to the bicycle. I don't know, that just bugged me. I preferred number six rather than number eleven, because $I$ think it
shows the same speed. I like the swirling of the stars and stripes, and I thought that the years that he won the Tour de France were very bold. So, my preference would be the number six over number 11 in terms of obverse. And then getting to reverse, again, I'm an outlier. I did like number three, Jean. So, thank you. The thing that $I$ liked about it, is that it's something with his signature, and I think that that, you know, if you're going to be a young kid and a biker, I'm a former Sonoma County resident, so we saw bikes all over because Greg sponsored lots of things at Sonoma County. He would be a hero, and so you try to collect things of your heroes, and so here you've got an autograph, you've got him in profile whizzing across the globe, and then down at the bottom you've got the years, very clearly, that he was the world champion, and I think that that stands out. Now, as to his selection number five, $I$ understand he's a man of few words, but these are too few for me. I think it's -- I think it's too self-effacing. He's an incredible champion, and this reverse is just kind of fading away from me. So, my
favorite for the reverse is number three, and for the obverse is number six. Thank you. DR. BROWN: Thank you. Let's turn to Peter.

MR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Peter Van Alfen. As an avid cyclist myself, and a huge fan of Greg LeMond, particularly for his anti-doping efforts, I have to say I'm really thrilled to participate in the selections of the designs for this, and I also want to point out that there is a website called Velominati, the Keepers of the COG, and on that website there are 95 rules, most of which are somewhat tongue-in-cheek. But rule number ten, is it doesn't get easier, you just get faster. For myself and those that I cycle with, rule number ten is something of a mantra for us, so something we invoke all the time. I'm very happy to see this on the medal itself. So, I'm more than happy to support Mr. LeMond's selections of obverse eleven and reverse three. I also want to point out that reverse six I find particularly attractive, both because I'm somewhat of a cycling gear head, but $I$ also just think that this is a very
attractive design, with the free wheeler that are (unintelligible) here. So, with that, I'm happy to wrap up my comments. Thank you very much.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. We turn now to Donald.

MR. SCARINCI: Donald Scarinci. Um, you know, since there is not a close second choice, I always, I always, as we all know, I always support the gold medalist's selection when it comes to congressional gold medals. So, I don't need to belabor it. I simply do not want to give a lot of merit to the portfolio as a whole, and I particularly, if it were my pick, I particularly like obverse number six. I think the -- I think that's a, that's a medal in motion. I love the motion. I love the curvature. You feel like you're riding and, you know, so the artist who designed this really did a particularly outstanding job. And in the reverse, I think it was reverse number eight that caught my attention, as being particularly creative as well as reverse number six, and, you know, I, too, like those other artists who designed those should be commended
in as well. So, with that said. I'll be voting for the stakeholder's selection.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Next is Michael Moran.

MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Mike Moran. I too will concur with the stakeholder's selections here.

DR. BROWN: A little louder.
MR. MORAN: I too would concur with the stakeholder's selections here out of respect for his choice. At the same time, $I$ think that the artist that -- I'm with Donald -- that created obverse six, it's an excellent piece of work. I also particularly like obverse -reverse three and reverse eight. My votes will go for number eleven and number five. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Turn now to Sam Gill.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Sam Gill. I'm going to echo Mike and Don's comments that I'm going to support the stakeholder here for sure. I agree that with Donald that it's always good form to do that, particularly with the Congressional Gold Medal.

I would like to just draw attention to one obverse that $I$ particularly think is a beautiful coin, and that it obverse three. I don't think it would work particularly well, because it's very busy, but it's just really pretty art to me. And then finally on the reverse, either six or eight were just very interesting to me and I like them, but I certainly respect the choices that the stakeholder made, and that would be obverse eleven and reverse five. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Next is Doctor --

MS. WARREN: One second. This is Jennifer Warren. Please, members, if you could speak up, it's still hard sometimes for the court reporter here on his microphone. So, especially those in the back of the room, if you could just make sure you project and speak up each time. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Just as a helpful, let's use our outdoor voice a little bit. That may help out. Dr. Fuller?

DR. FULLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you to the committee again. I will be voting for the stakeholders choices. However, please allow me to make a couple of comments on the -- the obverse. I really like obverse three. I like the fact that he's celebrating with the American flag. I think that seems to be the only one that really shows that, you know, he's won and that he's, you know, doing it for America. I also like obverse five, because $I$ think we get, we get several things here. For those -- for those people who may not be familiar with Greg LeMond, you see his face, his profile. So, you get, you know, you get to see what he looks like, and then, of course, you see him on a bike, the years that he won and things of that nature. I think obverse six is also great because it shows him in motion, it shows his speed, and so I will be giving high marks to that one as well. With respect to the reverse, $I$ really like two of them. The first one, reverse number one. I just love "Don't ever give up." I think that it's, you know, $I$ think it's a wonderful message for people in general who might be facing challenges and they need some
inspiration. So, I really like that one. And I particularly like a reverse six. Just seeing it, the first time I saw it, it just jumped out at me more so than any other design. So, I like those, but again, $I$ will defer to the, to the choices of the stakeholders. Thank you. DR. BROWN: Thank you. Next is Robin Salmon.

MS. SALMON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Robin Salmon, and I -- I do like obverse 11, the honoree's choice, but $I$ also really like obverse six. The motion, not just movement, but the speed implied in this particular design is beautiful, and $I$ think it has all of the elements that the other design, obverse eleven, has, but just put together in a more lyrical way. Reverse five is a very nice design, and I understand why he would prefer this one. However, reverse three has the entire quote, that $I$ always think it's important to be complete as much as possible. It also has the addition of his signature, it includes the world and everything that's necessary in the reverse design. I like also the silhouette of the rider. And this seems to sum up for me
more of the significance of who this man was, and -- or is, and what he did. So those are my choices. There -- there are many lovely designs in this portfolio and I commend the artists. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Let's turn now to Mr. Dennis Tucker.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Dennis Tucker. Donald, I want to kind of follow up on something that you said, and that Harcourt and Sam concurred with --

DR. BROWN: Dennis.
MR. TUCKER: Yes, thank you. I would
remind the committee that congressional gold medals have a history that goes back to the Revolutionary War, and I think it's well within our purview to analyze them from that perspective. Congressional gold medals are not personal medals, but they are national medals with a -- of a personal nature. I respect Mr . LeMond's preferences, but as I said, I think as a, as an advisory committee, we're within our rights to look at these designs and offer our expertise and insight, and I agree that obverse six is much more dynamic design than obverse
eleven. It's -- every design element in obverse six speaks to motion and energy. The Arc de Triomphe is at a three-quarter profile, if you will, rather than just a flat facing view. Even the typography is in motion, and it captures speed I think in a way that number eleven does not as, as Robin pointed out.

Mary, I had your same concern about the American flag. I think the placement is unusual in number eleven. That's something that struck me. I love the way the flag is triumphant in obverse three, as Dr. Fuller pointed out. But for me obverse six is, is the most dynamic, energetic, motion oriented and an appropriate design, and that's the one that $I$ will endorse. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. This is Lawrence Brown, and I want to say, first and foremost, I would like to commend the artists because all the designs in this portfolio are fantastic. I also want to applaud the comments and the merits expressed by my fellow members of the CCAC. To me, I generally express and lean towards designs that are sufficiently explanatory and relevant, clearly have
historical significance, and that will spur interest. And as many may not know in the public, that while we're talking about a gold medal, there's an opportunity for U.S. Mint to actually make bronze replicas. In that sense, it does make sense that we take into consideration, if we're going to increase the appetite of the public, that it should be something that they will embrace as well. At the same time, $I$ lean in the direction of supporting the fav -- those favored by the -by Mr. LeMond. So, I'm going to vote in that direction. But I do, nonetheless, underscore that the comments made by the members of the CCAC are phenomenal. Now, I want to turn to, to ask if there are any other additional comments or motions from the members at this time?

MR. MENNA: I apologize for interrupting.
I don't know if I'm allowed to speak right now, but can $I$ just make one quick comment about the obverse that Greg selected?

DR. BROWN: Certainly, and you are always welcome to speak. MR. MENNA: So, as far as the comments
about the flag, I just, you know, I understand that this may not be clear to members of the public, but $I$ think someone pointed out that it looks like the flag is attached to the bike, and that is because it is attached to the bike. This is from a picture that Greg selected, and it shows him at the end of the race. He has already won the Tour de France, he's -- like I believe the race ends at the Arc de Triomphe. And so, the idea was he had crossed the finish line, and he had planted an American flag on the back of his bike, and that's where the image came from. And I just -- I would reiterate that $I$ don't think, my sense is that Greg was not, my sense is that he felt more strongly about the obverse than the reverse. I'll leave it at that. I think he very much liked the obverse design that we presented, and he simply selected the reverse one. Thanks. DR. BROWN: Thank you. Let's go to Donald.

MR. SCARINCI: Let's go to Donald. Your definitive decision to embrace the one that -that you selected saved you, and spared you from my passion in support of what Dennis, you
know, what Dennis feels about obverse six. And you know, $I$ just want you, and, you know, that obverse six is very a artistic, you know, very, you know, would make a very compelling medal, and I just had to say that because Dennis is right, we are an advisory committee to advise on design, and we can't let it pass without telling you. And with that said, I supported, you know, I fully support the, you know, your choice because it's a Congressional Gold Medal. If this were a coin, this would be a much more heated debate.

DR. BROWN: Joe Menna?
MR. MENNA: This Joe Menna. Not to editorialize, but regardless of Mr. LeMond's selection, $I$ support every design in this portfolio fully. But personally, I'd rather see the flag shown in victory, than somebody riding on top of the flag, on the flag. I think that that could give -- that's an odd symbolism to have Mr. LeMond actually riding on the flag, despite the fact that it's a wonderful design. My comment was going to be, I can assure you that the way number eleven would be sculpted, the concerns of it looking
as if it's one contiguous mass would be alleviated by the way it would be layered and stacked, and it would look exactly the way Mr . LeMond wants it to look. Sorry to editorialize about the flag thing, but you know, we'll talk about that later.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much, Joe. Thank you so much. Joe, do you and Mike or Mike have any additional comments you would like to offer at this time?

MR. MORAN: No.
DR. BROWN: Are there any additional comments or clarification by April, Boneza, or Mr. Connor? They're not. I'm going to thank you, Mr. Connor. Thank everyone who participated in this conversation. Hearing them, the committee will now score the obverse and reverse candidate designs for the Congressional Gold Medal to Greg LeMond.

Each of you have in front of you a score sheet, if you're here in person. Dean and those who are participating virtually, you should have received that from Jennifer.

CCAC general counsel, Greg Weinman will
tally the scoresheet, and will present the
results in a few minutes. We will take a five-minute break for the scoring and tallying of the score.

MS. WARREN: Let's make it ten. DR. BROWN: We're going to move that to ten. We're a generous committee.
(Thereupon, a break was taken, and the proceeding continued as follows:)

DR. BROWN: We are back. I recognize Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC, to present the results from the scoring sheets.

MR. WEINMAN: The scoring being completed, obverse number three received nine points. Obverse number four received four points. Obverse number five received five points. Obverse number six received twenty-one points. Obverse number nine received three points. And obverse eleven received twenty-seven out of 33 possible points. That is the high scorer for the obverse. It's obverse eleven with twenty-seven points.

Reverses. Reverse one received seven points. Reverse two received four points. Reverse three received nine points. Reverse
five received twenty-seven out of thirty-three points, that is the high scorer for reverse is reverse five. Reverse six received ten. And reverse eight received fourteen points.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, Greg. Are there any motions? Hearing none, I'm assuming then that the committee is, in fact, supporting the votes as been tallied too. Is there any further debate? If -- since all discussion has been concluded, I will call the question, all those in favor of what you heard, aye?
(Aye)
DR. BROWN: Those opposed? It appears that the motion has passed. Are there any further motions? Hearing or seeing none, if all discussion has concluded, then we'll move to the next order of business, but we want to thank Mr. Connor for having joined us today. I want to thank Ms. Hanchock for her contribution and Mr. Evans as well.

MR. CONNOR: Thank you all very much.
DR. BROWN: Now April will present the reverse candidate designs for the 2024 American Innovation $\$ 1$-coin, honoring innovations in the State of Maine.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much. And first, just a little bit of general information about the program. It is Public Law 115-197, the American Innovation Dollar Coin Act that requires the Secretary of the Treasury to mint and issue dollar coins, with a reverse design honoring innovation or innovators from each state in the union, as well as the territories and the District of Columbia. In accordance with the Act, the United States Mint worked with the governors of the states being honored in 2024, to develop design concepts or themes for the coins. The Secretary of the Treasury approved those concepts.

Candidate designs for the first two states to be honored in 2024, specifically, Illinois and Alabama, were presented to you in June of 2022, and the final two states for Maine and Missouri for 2024 are presented here now. We worked with liaisons and experts from each state in developing the following candidate designs. The obverse design for this program will remain the same as in previous years, and will contain a unique gear shaped privy mark to distinguish the date, or the set for this, for
the 2024 annual issuances. So, for Maine, we have the theme to honor the innovator, Dr. Bernard Lown.

So, a little bit of background about Dr. Lown. Lithuanian American cardiologist, Dr. Bernard Lown, was a pioneer in public health, a graduate of Lewiston High School and the University of Maine, Dr. Lown immigrated from Lithuania to Maine at the age of 14 to escape Nazi persecution. In 1962, Dr. Lown, a leader in cardiac research in cooperation with engineer Baruch Berkowitz, developed the defibrillator, a new method for correcting dangerously abnormal heart rhythms called fibrillations. Fibrillations at the time were believed to be responsible for $40 \%$ of the half million fatal heart attacks in the United States every year. This breakthrough became a lifesaving technique worldwide, and helped make open heart surgery possible. It ushered in a new era of cardiac resuscitation techniques and technological developments, including modern pacemakers and defibrillators implanted in the chest of heart patients that automatically detect and correct abnormal rhythms. While the
portfolio you'll see does focus on honoring Dr. Lown, and depicts his role as co-creator of the direct current defibrillator, as an innovator, Dr. Lown's career went far beyond this important medical device. It included cutting edge approach approaches to public health, drug treatment, coronary care units, and the impact of stress on cardiovascular health. Dr. Lown was also active in anti-nuclear proliferation advocacy, and in 1985 accepted the Nobel Peace Prize as a founding member on behalf of the International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War.

I should note that several designs in this portfolio, if recommended to the Secretary, will need to be adjusted to accommodate a legislative requirement, that there be no head and shoulders depiction of any person. I will speak to those designs as we move through the portfolio for review, and we have our chief engraver and our legal counsel with us, if you have any specific questions relating to that. Also, the Governor's Office of Maine identified design one as a preference. It is also the recommendation of the CFA. Representatives
from the Lown family expressed an appreciation for design eight. Our liaison with the main governor's office had an unexpected conflict, but his deputy, the main deputy treasurer, Greg Olsen, should be with us today to answer any questions you may have. We are fortunate also to have a family representative, Anne Lown, with us today also, and it's my understanding that Ms. Lown would like to say a few words. So if it's okay with Mr. Olsen, I'll defer to Ms. Lown first, if that's okay, Mr. Chair, yes? DR. BROWN: That is okay. MS. STAFFORD: Ms. Lown, would you like to say a few words?

MS. LOWN: Yes. Hello. MS. STAFFORD: Hello.

MS. LOWN: Okay. Thank you to Dr. Brown and members of the Committee for the opportunity to introduce you to my father, Dr. Bernard Lown, who died in 2021 at the age of 99. I am speaking as a representative of his children and grandchildren.

To say that he had a long fulfilling life is to say the very least. As you heard, he came to the U.S. at age 14, a refugee from

Lithuania escaping anti-Semitism. Many family members left behind were murdered in the second world war, and this profoundly shaped my dad and his concern for social justice and world peace. At 14, he arrived in Lewiston, Maine speaking no English. Yiddish was his only language. And at 17, he was admitted to the honors program at the University of Maine. He graduated summa cum laude. My dad always stood up for social justice, even when at personal risk. He attended Johns Hopkins, a medical school where there was a quota for Jews and women and non-African American students. While there, he worked at the Hopkins Blood Bank, where he discovered that black and white blood was segregated. My dad refused to honor the racist system, but found a way to undermine and relabeling the blood. When discovered, he was suspended from medical school and a group of friends protested his suspension. He was able to be reinstated to medical school, but fired from the blood bank. My dad went on to become a cardiologist and a research scientist. He was always committed to the use of science and technology to serve humanity. In spite of
being a technology pioneer, he was always in search of the least invasive treatment to improve patient outcomes. He became focused on sudden cardiac death, which was causing fatalities throughout the world. At this time, he was studying how stress and intense emotions can affect one's health and even lead to death, and as has been stated, this lead in 1962 to the invention of the defibrillator. He refused to get a patent as he did not want to profit off his invention, but to have it globally available. His greatest love was the practice of medicine, and he continued to see patients until age 86. He recognized that the mind and body are integrated, and to help his patients, he adds, listened empathically to their life stories. He wrote "The Lost Art of Healing: Practicing Compassion" in 1996, a best seller. My dad was always concerned with the state of the world. He wondered how he could spend his professional life keeping people alive while the world was building nuclear weapons that would wipe out all life on the planet. In 1962, he co-founded Physicians for Social Responsibility, an organization that educated
millions on the medical consequences of nuclear war. In 1980, he co-founded the International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War that enlisted 135,000 doctors from nations, mobilizing to the dangers of nuclear war. In 1985, the organization won the Nobel Peace Prize. As my dad wrote, "When doctors take the solemn oath to preserve health and protect life, they assume responsibility for the well-being of the human family."

In 1988, he launched a satellite which connected health care workers in developing world, with up-to-date medical information. In 2008, he began The Lown Scholars Program, a global initiative based at the Harvard School of Public Health, to bring together physicians from the developing world, to be trained in the use of public health strategies. To date, 92 Lown scholars from 23 countries have been trained. He also inspired the Lown Institute, a think tank, to translate my dad's vision of a healthier world into a reality by advocating bold ideas for a just and caring system. Even in the darkest of times, my dad had an undying optimism in faith in humanity. He believed
that each of us could make a difference in changing the world if we join with others. All my life, I heard my dad's voice asking, what am I doing to make a better world? He had a passion for life, a great storyteller, an incredible memory. He traveled all over the world, loved classical music, art, literature. He knew how to understand that to understand human beings we must read world literature, and each morning at medical rounds, he would ask his medical residents what they were reading. And he was most passionate about my mother, Louise, his wife of more than 70 years, who accompanied him on this journey and shared his commitment to making a better world. She died at a in 2019 at age 96. My family is so honored and wishes to thank Governor Mills for recommending Bernard Lown to represent Maine for the 2024 American Innovation Dollar coin. MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much, Ms. Lown. We really appreciate hearing about your father. Thank you so, so much. And Mr. Olsen, thank you so much for being with us today. Would you like to add anything or are you comfortable with us moving through the
candidate options?
MR. OLSEN: I just want to add, you know, Dr. Lown is somebody that is -- who the state of Maine and Mainers can be extremely proud, and we're very pleased to see him honored in this way, and eager to see the decision of the committee.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much. So, if it's okay, I will proceed with going through the candidate designs. Required inscriptions for these coins are United States of America and Maine. So, we'll start with design one.

This design features a profile portrait of Dr. Lown. A lightning bolt splits an electrocardiogram line under Dr. Lown, showing the effective application of his innovative direct current defibrillation in converting a dangerous case of ventricular fibrillation to a stable and healthy heartbeat. The inscriptions "Dr. Bernard Lown" and "DC defibrillator" round out the design. I will note that this is the preference of our liaison, the Governor, and it is also the recommendation of the CFA. It is also one of the designs it recommended to the Secretary, that would need to be adjusted to
ensure we comply with the legislative requirement that no head and shoulders of any person be depicted in this program, and so the Mint team has come together and would recommend, that the banner that you see across the lower area be lowered slightly, and to allow for more of his torso to be depicted. And so that would do one of two things. We can certainly increase the inscription "Maine" and the device, the defibrillator. Device is represented symbolically, obviously, through that device that cuts through the center of the design, and as we know from the theme that's been selected, it is Dr. Lown that is being honored as opposed to the innovation specifically of the defibrillator. And again, our chief engraver and our legal counsel, we have Liz Young joining us via the conference, can answer any questions as the committee members may have them.

We'll move on to design 2A. This design represents a portrait of Dr. Lown above an electrocardiogram line, going from an irregular to a regular rhythm. A heart appears with a starburst, suggesting the defibrillation made
possible by the defibrillator. The inscription, "Bernard Lown, MD" appears below the (unintelligible). Okay, and for the committee's information, the design previously labeled $2 B$ has been removed from consideration. So, when you see that on your score sheets, that should be ignored. And we will remind you of that when scoring takes place.

Okay, moving on to Design $3 A$ and $3 B$.
These designs portray Dr. Lown administering defibrillation to a patient. In 3A, a heart appears to the right of Dr . Lown and the inscriptions "Dr. Bernard Lown" and "DC defibrillator" on cues below. In 3B, the inscription "Dr. Bernard Lown" appears above the starburst with "direct current defibrillator" below. Again, for the committee's information, the design previously labeled four has been removed, and we will note that when scoring comes.

Design five features a large heart with a starburst and an electrocardiogram line in the background. The inscription "Dr. Bernard Lown, M.D." And "direct current defibrillator" appear above and below the heart. Design six
shows a doctor's hands gripping direct current defibrillator paddles. The inscription "direct current defibrillator" appears above the composition. Just a note, if recommended, this design would be updated to include the inscription "Dr. Bernard Lown".

Design 7A and 7B present a human torso as a central device. In 7A, a cardioverter paddles flanks each side of the torso with the incused inscription "The Lown Cardioverter" along its bottom. 7B shows the rod of Asclepius -- forgive me if I'm mispronouncing that -- the classical symbol of healing over the heart of the patient. Stylized electricity appears incused along the coin's border to symbolize the action of the cardioverter and electrodes appear on the torso.

And finally, design eight presents a profile portrait of Dr. Lown with his direct current defibrillator in operation below. The design is flanked by the inscriptions "Dr. Bernard Lown" and "direct current defibrillation". This design is the one that the Lown family has expressed deep appreciation for, in particular because of the portrait of
their father. That concludes the candidate designs.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Are there any technical or legal questions from the committee about this program, or these designs for the 2024 Maine American Innovation Dollar Coin? Before we begin our general discussion, I want to recognize Art.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you. This is Art Bernstein. I have two questions. In the discussion of the modifications to design one, were any of the words going to be removed or I just didn't --

MS. STAFFORD: It is very possible. I won't say likely. I'll defer to our chief engraver on that, that in shifting that banner down, that the inscription that would remain would be Maine, and this accomplishes two things. Not only does it address the need that we need to illustrate more of his torso, but it also plants the focus firmly on Dr. Lown as the honoree, as opposed to naming an innovation that he co-invented amongst his numerous, numerous accomplishments. Joe, may I ask you to --

MR. MENNA: Sure. This is Joe Menna.
Yeah. It's not just you, Art, the entire committee, say if you were to lower the horizontal element -- say the top of the horizontal element was lowered to about the midpoint of the EKG readout, you could lower the name and have it arched along the bottom of the coin. Probably beef it a little bit because $I$ think it should be more prominent if it's by itself. So, that would create, it already is a built in exergue there. So that that would accommodate more of the figure. So, it meets the legislative requirements. DC defibrillator would be difficult to accommodate with this adjustment, because as April said the defibrillator -- sorry it's a tongue twister for me and I suffer from a (unintelligible). So, yeah, I believe the EKG readily supplies us with what the apparatus is, and again we're celebrating him. So, I think, I'm not telling you guys what to think, but I'm not -- I'm not advising the committee how to think, but symbolically and compositionally we can fully accommodate the legislative request for your consideration.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you. That was helpful. I had a second question, April. I see different -- as we look at the different designs, there are different ways in which Dr. Lown's title is used. In some cases, it's doctor, in some cases it's M.D., and I think in some cases it was both. I'm not a doctor. I'm just curious how that decision was made and what is -- is there one correct way or, or all of them correct?

MS. STAFFORD: So, our understanding currently is that Dr. Bernard Lown or Bernard Lown, M.D., is correct. If there -- if you see one with both --

MR. BERNSTEIN: I may have --
MS. STAFFORD: -- we would -- we would recommend eliminating one or the other. So -MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, design five has them both.

MS. STAFFORD: That would be something that if recommend that we would want to address. Certainly. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Donald?
MR. SCARINCI: A question about --
DR. BROWN: Donald?

MR. SCARINCI: A question -- Donald Scarinci. A question about number 7A, or I'm sorry, maybe it's six. Number six. Where would you insert the doctors name there?

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna again. Again, as April noted with the previous design, the apparatus is clearly visible. It is the primary element along with the hands. So, you could take direct current defibrillator away, and replace with the doctor's name very easily, I believe very easily.

MR. SCARINCI: Or could you put the doctor's name under direct current defibrillator, and just continue that in the same type?

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna again. There used to be a member of the committee who was always talking about negative space, and advocating the use of it. So, in honor of that committee member who might still be on the committee, I would say no.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: There still is. There still is.

MR. MENNA: But that's a great shape there. It's a great shape in between there $I$
think. But whatever you -- I'm not going to tell you guys what to do. I'm just here to give my advice, my opinion. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Any other comments?
MS. SALMON: This is Robin Salmon. I'm having a very hard time hearing everybody. I don't know whether it's a device I'm using, or whether you're just not close enough to the microphones.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, Robin. This is Lawrence Brown. I think it's because of the fact that we're so much involved with being diplomatic, that we'll make sure that we actually convey and project our voices going forward.

MS. SALMON: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Are there any other questions or comments from the committee? Mary?

MS. LANNIN: This is Mary Lannin. When April first began talking about this coin, we are honoring the doctor not the innovation. And as much as I like the cleanness of 06 , that shows the innovation not the innovator. So, I think that that we need to figure out how to adjust the portraits of Dr. Lown.

DR. BROWN: Thank you very much. Any other comments? If not, then let us -- April, do you have something additional you want to add?

MS. STAFFORD: I just wanted to acknowledge Robin's comment on behalf of the Mint. We really appreciate all of the CCAC members' patience, as we reenter our in-person meetings with the new technology that that we are employing. We do have our I.T. team fully focusing on this, and we will be reaching out to collect any notes that you have of your experience and having a meeting afterwards of lessons learned to ensure that we improve all of this for our next in-person meeting. So, thank you very much, Robin and Peter, for joining us virtually, and we will continue to improve the aspects that you're experiencing. So, on behalf of the Mint, just wanted to say that. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much, April. We really appreciate that.

Let us begin our conversation -- our consideration of the issues. As before, I'd like to remind members to please try to keep
your comments to five minutes or less and identify yourself prior to speaking. Also, remember that we need to make sure we project, so that others in the room, and I would suggest that every member of that project at least in my direction. So, if you do that, then it's likely that the speaker, speakers will pick you up and pick up your voice. We'll be keeping track of time. Additionally, if there are any members who have questions or comments on any program matter, please refrain from asking them during this time until you are recognized, or at the end of the discussion of the program, when $I$ will ask you again are there any additional comments. For the benefit of the court reporter and those calling in, I ask that you speak again loudly due to the microphones, microphones, and to state your name when you begin speaking. Let us begin this conversation with Sam Gill.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Sam Gill. I had originally settled on our first obverse, I mean 01, because I like the whole, the whole picture. I like the electrocardiogram going across, but I also
really like the DC -- D5 open the (unintelligible). You know, the defibrillator. So, I like that. But $I$ think that's important that it goes on the coin because it designates the invention -- the innovation that occurred. So, I had to move away from a 01 , and just for the sake of art, I liked 02A, but it doesn't have it on there as well. So, I settled on the family preference, which was number eight, because it does describe the invention, and I'm not crazy about it, but it, but I, it's, it's, it's, it's still good, and that's where I settled out, number eight.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Mary Lannin.

MS. LANNIN: Well, I started to say earlier -- this is Mary Lannin. I actually preferred number one. I know that we're going to make adjustments to it, but $I$ thought that it was really interesting that sort of like the lightning bolt was pointed right at his heart, and so it divided it into an emergency before and sort of calmness and regularness after. So, my preference is number one. I know the family's preference is number eight. I think
it's just got too much going on, and if $I$ need to see defibrillator, I don't want to see DC defibrillator. I would like it spelled out direct current defibrillator. We're in DC, you know, as a, as a shorthand for where we meet, and so I'd like to see direct current defibrillator. So, with that being said and with April's notice that we are honoring the man not the invention, I prefer number one. Thank you. DR. BROWN: Thank you. Turn now to Donald.

MR. SCARINCI: I have to very passionately disagree with the whole concept of honoring the man, right. So, I'm sorry about that. This is an American innovation series, right, and we are only -- we're not even halfway through this, this, this program and halfway through the series, and if we do this today, then we are opening the door to a whole other series, that is not honoring innovations but honoring the person. This is a remarkable person, and he should have a commemorative coin. A whole commemorative coin should be for this person. Remarkable. There is no taking it away from
this man, all right. But this is just not the place to do this. This is not the series to do this. And this would be, and this would -- and I, and I, and I would only pray that this would be an outlier in this series, but my fear, since the states are all involved, if we do this, we're not going to see the last of it, and there are many remarkable people in America, and there are many people that Congress should commemorate and we are including him -- including many of those topics in our annual report, and we certainly should include, you know, in the annual report, a recommendation to honor this man, right, who deserves it. But this is just not the place. It's not the series. And I can't support any of these designs that have, that have a double portrait, no matter how, you know, creative. You know, I'm a lawyer, so $I$ can, you know, we do creative things, right. And no matter how creatively we want to interpret it, it's just not the right thing to do, and I can't do it. So, I think, you know, I'm going to give all of my support to number six. I'm honoring the innovation, which is a remarkable innovation
that saved, that's saved countless lives. And, and, you know, and, and it is, it is truly a significant innovation for any state and Maine should be very proud. So, I, having said that, I have to give all of my votes to number six. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. That was Donald Scarinci.

MS. YOUNG: I'm sorry. This is Elizabeth Young. I'm a legal counsel for this program. I just wanted to jump in real quick, to clarify that the legislation for this coin program does allow for honoring either an innovation or innovator. Just to make sure that you all understand that that is something that we're able to do in this program, and that we have done prior in other states for this program. Thank you.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: There was, just for the record, Annie Jump Cannon came in this series. It was the first coin in the series, I believe. Septima Clark and Ralph Baer, that one did not depict the man but the invention.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Joe?
MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna. I know
we're supposed to wait until the end. My boss just reminded me. I would never argue semantics with an attorney, let alone Donald Scarinci, but $I$ would not consider it a double portrait as to obverse, as a sculptor, as a sculpture of the Statue of Liberty, scenes from afar, it would be the only portrait on the coin. With all due respect, Donald.

MR. SCARINCI: No, absolutely.
DR. BROWN: Thank you all. Let's turn now to Michael.

MR. MORAN: Dr. Brown, this is Mike Moran. If it were me in isolation, I would pick design reverse one. It's the most pleasing to me. But as I've listen, and $I$ wanted to envision lowering the tape, and the printout of the heartbeats, $I$ can't get there. I think it's going to mess with the design. I really do. I also, I know we don't want to get into issues of opposing counsels here, but I'm on Donald's side. We didn't do Alexander Graham Bell; we did the dial on the telephone. To me at heart, it is the innovation. I'm sorry.

On the other hand, his life story is compelling. Absolutely compelling. But let's
look at number five. Right there, that says as much as number one, and it focuses upon the heart. His name is there, direct current is spelled out. It's simple. There is plenty of negative space. I know the heart isn't the most lovely thing to look at, but we all need it. Given that $I$ can't really make myself support number one, that's where I would go. So, we're probably going to be all over the place.

MS. STAFFORD: Chairman Brown, I'm sorry we were --I was conferring with the chief engraver because both Mr. Moran and Mr. Scarinci brought up design one. I wanted to make sure for the record, at least I can clarify the option that we described for how to adjust it. If we would go to design one -yes, thank you -- was what the team would suggest. But there is another way, I believe, to retain both the inscriptions in the lower portion, and I asked Joe Menna, our chief engraver, to speak to that in case, in case you would consider multiple options. But just for your information.

MR. MENNA: This is Joseph Menna, and

Roger can help me on this one. Roger Vasquez has been very instrumental in the development of our template creation, which is, which are minimum sizes for coinability, not minimum sizes for visibility. So, we have a template that is, that is, we have templates for all different denominations of CGM's and stuff that provide the minimum letter text heights and stuff like that. But $I$ don't recommend the minimum usually. But here $I$ think we can go down a little bit, in the current -- in the size of it. Right, Rog, according to our template? If we shrunk DC defibrillator down a little bit? Kept that mark? Then we drop names so it's nested within DC defibrillator, like an excerpt within an excerpt sort of? It'll make its own little semi lunar shape, drop that down, you could, you could, you could still drop the full horizontal element down, same as discussed and keep the full text. It would just be less legible. But it would be coinable. That's one option. This is an option, not a recommendation.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much for that additional information.

MR. MENNA: Yes, sir.
DR. BROWN: Dr. Fuller?
DR. FULLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have to say that one of the joys of serving on this committee, is when you get to learn about wonderful Americans, wonderful global citizens that just knock your socks off, and $I$ really, really mean that. Just to learn about this, this wonderful person who forgo the potential for profit, forgoing a patent because he wanted this to be available to humanity, and all of the wonderful things that he has done throughout his long life is really and truly inspiring. And if $I$ may, just on a personal note, my wife, Dr. Christina Fuller, is a graduate of the Harvard School of Public Health. So, I'm sure she will be delighted to know about the work that he did with that school as well, in service of humanity. So, I'm just so happy to learn about this wonderful human being, and we need more people like that in this world, quite frankly. With that said, I liked 1, 3B and I like 3B because I, I really believe that you cannot separate the innovation from the innovator. I think that, pun
intended, they are two sides of the same coin. So, I like the fact that we're seeing Dr. Lown, as well as his invention in $3 B$, and $I$ also appreciate 8 for the same reasons. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. A reminder for my colleagues, remember to state your name before you begin speaking pretty, please. Next, let's turn to Robin Salmon.

MS. SALMON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This Robin Salmon.

DR. BROWN: She has froze.
MS. SALMON: -- for his points. I'm drawn to both the innovator and the innovation, and for that reason, $3 B$ is the one that $I$ preferred. It seems to have, for me, all of the elements that are required. It shows the man in action. It spells out direct current, which to me is important. It has his name, and it also has the symbolism of what the defibrillator is all about. Sorry about that. I am mindful, however, of the family's preference and $I$ will certainly be giving points to number eight for that reason. It too has the defibrillator in action and all of the other requirements, at least in my mind. Thank
you very much.
DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Mr. Dennis Tucker.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Dennis Tucker. And if Ms. Lown is still there, I wanted to thank you for that wonderful description of your father's life and work. A couple of things you said stuck out to me as you were speaking with us. You commented on his commitment to the well-being of the human family, and you emphasized his question, what am I doing to make a better world? That's wonderful.

MS. LOWD: Thank you.
MR. TUCKER: Thanks to you, and thanks to your family and to your father, of course. I like number eight, which was the family's preference. I think this has a better balance in the weight of the lettering than some of the other designs have. It gives a little more emphasis to Dr. Lown's name, but it also spells out direct current defibrillator, and I like the use of a cameo portrait. That's something that we don't often see in American coinage. That really spoke to me. I just like, I like
the way that looks. So, I think, I think this is a good combination of the innovator and the innovation, and it works quite well. So those are my comments. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Let's turn now to Dean.

MR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for having me go near the end, because this was a design portfolio that, that I struggled with. And I also want to pay tribute to this Ms. Lown and to Dr.

Lown. Ms. Lown, I thought what you said was absolutely beautiful, was inspired, and inspiring, and eloquent and very much befitting of your father and his magnificent accomplishments, and I was really looking for the committee to provide me with leadership and guidance, and you did, just not a lot of clarity. There's a lot of good thoughts out there, and $I$ think I'm just going to speak from the heart as a collector of this series.

The coins that $I$ really like to look at in the series are simple, forceful, mechanical, and geometrical. Landscapes don't really work for me very well in this. We've got one in the
series and the portraits don't work quite as -quite as well, although the exception here is any Annie Jump Cannon. That was one of the first coins in the series and that was -- that was wonderful artistry, beautiful artistry integrated in with the history and the biography. And here, there's a little bit more of $a$, there's more of a struggle as $I$ was going through these designs. I appreciated Dennis's comments because I do like the cameo in number eight, and I do like the family's design, and I want to be very mindful of what the family is interested in and prefers. All of the qualifications I mentioned before about geometrical, you know, and having a nice, I think, very sort of clean design. Number six, number six is very, very, very nice. And by the way, $I$ forgot; my name is Dean Kotlowski. So, I forgot my name before. Number six, I'm wondering what the family would feel if you removed, as was suggested, direct current defibrillator, and we put Dr. Lown's name. There's a part of me that still feels we need his portrait here, I think, to give him a kind of visibility because he is not as well-known
as other scientific innovators. So, that brings me to two designs. Number one, I hear what you're saying about all the adjustments, and so on and so forth. I just think after the adjustments, it's a little too bland and a little too inactive for me. I was very pleased to hear Dr. Fuller and Robin mention 3B. I think 3B is a little busy. There's quite a bit going on here. You know, you have the sort of star there in the middle. But as Robyn said, it shows quote/unquote, man in action, and $I$ also like the outline at the bottom of the human figure that he is, that he's engaged with, and $I$ think that this is a nice design that I'm going to give some points to, but, you know, I started out all over the place and I think, I think to some extent that $I$ still am on these designs. Thank you very much, Mr. Chair.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Peter.

MR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
This is Peter Van Alfen. I also want to preface my comments by thanking Ms. Lown as well for her wonderful introduction to her
father. I, of course, have done a little bit of Googling of her father. I've learned a great deal more from her, of course, than ever would have from the Internet. So, thank you again very much for her comments.

Now, I have to say that like Dean, I also struggle with this portfolio. I am not a huge fan of number one, simply because $I$ don't find it particularly attractive design but also, I think that there is a lot of information here that might be lost on some observers, for example, might not be entirely clear what DC mean. This is something that a number of my colleagues have raised. But also just the electrocardiogram graph there. You know, the size of a relatively small coin might not convey what it's supposed to mean. And I'm also not a huge fan of the lightning bolt because it seems a little bit comic book like. While, like Mike, I also quite like the elegance and simplicity of the number five for the negative space, there are certain problems with this as well. I'm not entirely sure, again, if the representation, the sort of anatomically graphic representation of the
heart would necessarily not be lost on some of the observers. I think from a distance, it might look a little bit too much like a frozen chicken, for example. And again, I'm not hugely fond of this starburst in the middle of, again, because of the sort of comic book element. All told, I have to say I do like number eight, the families preference the best from the portfolio. Like Dennis, I really do like this cameo portraits, and as Dr. Fuller said as well, I think the balance between the innovator and the innovation is nicely conveyed here. So, my preference overall, I think is number eight. Thank you very much.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Art.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Hello, this is Arthur
Bernstein. I'm pleased to be speaking on the Maine one dollar coin. I was recently in Maine for a family reunion, and there $I$ learned that Maine is the only state name that is a single syllable. You'll all be thinking about that now as I speak, but $I$ can assure you it's true. I'm going to speak in favor of design eight, and $I$ support that for all the reasons that
have been stated by my colleagues. I think this silhouette is very distinguished. I find it's busy, but I think it's busy, the design is busy in a good way. If we choose that design or we choose, or we, or design one is selected. In either case, I wanted to comment on two matters of abbreviation. I don't think we should use DC because of its similarity to a geographic place, and I, I prefer that we have Dr. Lown's name with M.D. after his name, as opposed to the doctor abbreviation because I think it clarifies that he is a medical doctor and not a PhD. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. This is Lawrence Brown. And I must confess that this series and this design has been very interesting to me. First and foremost, let me again thank you, Ms. Lown, for the information you provided about your father, and let me share with the committee members my bias. You all know that I'm a physician. I'm a physician in addiction medicine. I'm not a cardiologist. So typically, when someone is going to have a picture about an anatomical picture of the body, I'm generally interested in knowing
whether other colleagues have been consulted to determine that it's a faithful representation. But hearing from Ms. Lown and actually, I did a little bit of Googling as well to hear more about the foundation, I really feel that the defibrillator is understating his innovation. When you think about the contributions he's made, as stated by his daughter, they far, in fact, exceed those things that, in fact, reduction in deaths due to defibrillator. And when my colleague Dr. Fuller shared with us about his wife, those in public health would probably say amen to that, because they would say that oftentimes in medicine we talk about the pill, the injection, as opposed to other things we do in life, that improve life. So, in a way, $I$ must confess that the defibrillator is understating this, and to the extent to which we go forward with that, I would recommend that the Mint actually does even a greater job to really speak to the contributions that this physician has given. I must agree with Art with respect to the M.D. versus the D.R., and part of this, I must confess, $I$ do it with some caution. I do it
with caution because sometimes we physicians are viewed as arrogant. We need to recognize that. I'm just saying, folks, having dealt with a lot of PhDs, sometimes they feel that we, in fact, do not really appreciate their contribution. But at the same time, if the public is going to review this coin, I think it is better to be clear than to have them wonder, because there are a number of scientists who are involved in scientific research that are not MDs. In fact, many of them are not MDs. I must confess that as much as I appreciate the suggestions by the family on design number eight, I think this still understates his contribution as an innovator. I really do based on what I've heard from his daughter, and what I've read from the Internet. But at the same time, I also recognize that design number one, is one for which, yes, it's going to stir some questions. Not many people who in fact, are not physicians, not too many people who are not of the age range of 50 and above, are likely to have had a EKG to know what it means. But I think still, at the same time, I think having the DC, I would agree with my colleague

Mary that we probably should try to do what we can, to either not have a defibrillator there or to spell that out. But $I$ think it's still going to stimulate some questions about what it means. And my bias is also that I'm a collector of this series. So, I'm very attuned to the fact that what does it say when you pick up the coin? To what extent am I going to be asked to question what it says, if there's not going to be someone to actually answer the question. I know it doesn't circulate. So, for those of us who say, well, you know, yes, it's there, but there's circulate with much, maybe that's not going to be an issue. But I think that's part of the problem, quite frankly, $I$ think that not enough of our coins are stimulating the questions by the public to ask them, so that we in fact, are answering their questions. So please forgive me. That was long winded and Lawrence Brown, but I'm probably going to lean in the direction of one and eight. Not because they answer all the issues that I've raised about the innovator versus the innovation, and I appreciate Donald your point, but I'm going to lean to the other
lawyer to say that we're already copacetic with respect to going forward. So, those are going was going to be my votes, and again, thank you for your patience with this contribution.

Now returning to being the chair, are there any additional comments or motions from the members at this time?

DR. FULLER: Yes.
DR. BROWN: We have Dr. Fuller?
DR. FULLER: Yes, this is Harcourt Fuller.
Are we not looking at a reverse for this? Okay, okay. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Other questions or comments? I'm going to turn now to Joe and Mike. Do you have anything you'd like to share with the committee, regarding the designs for this coin?

MR. COSTELLO: This is Mike Costello; I have no additional comments.

MR. WEINMAN: Joe, would you just for the record, clarify because of the earlier comments in the introduction, why is 08 not a prohibited bust or head and shoulders portrait, just in case anybody would ask?

MR. MENNA: Well, I think that there's a difference between a bust and a portrait, or
just part of the bust. A bust is typically anything from the waist up, going all the way up to maybe the sternum or even just one shoulder. You see Rodin's portion in the round --

DR. BROWN: Joe, a little louder.
MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna. If you see Rodin's portraits in the round or --

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Walkie talkie or something.

MS. STAFFORD: So, folks that are joining in might want to mute your speakers. We'll return to our chief engraver.

MR. MENNA: Oh, I'm sorry. So, if you see here, imagine this is the kind of portrait you see of by Despiau or Rodin, 19th century academic portrait that is just a head. A bust is typically shoulders right down to the sternum, or even all the way down to the waist, a monumental bust. Think about some of the Renaissance busts, even some of the ceramic ones that were done further. So, anyway, the people are talking from my prospective, I'm going to diverge what Greg asked me to clarify, if you see, but also those are Dr. Lown's
hands. This is a very interesting sculpture in that design in that you are -- the POV is you, you become Dr. Lown. That's crazy cool. You become the physician and yet, and that you're able to double identify that by seeing, you know, the effigy. Typically in 19th century, remember in the $19 t h$ century they did silhouette before they had cameras. They do like the little paper silhouettes. Even my mom had them down when $I$ was a kid on the boardwalk. A profile is the most readily identifiable way to determine the individual's character. No two people on the planet have the same profile, nobody. It's the one line that $I$ was taught by my mentor. The one line that distinguishes all of us, each of us. So that the character of his profile combined with the hands, that's him. And then you become him, too. There's something really kind of -this is a really neat, this is like, this is like the Apollo obverse or reverse rather. You know, you're the astronaut in that one. It's very similar, symbolic. I'm not trying to sell you on it, but I did. So, I'm sorry.

DR. BROWN: This is Lawrence Brown. Joe,
can you share with the committee, would there be any difficulty in having M.D. instead of the D.R. In any of the design?

MR. MENNA: Absolutely not. It's that occupies the exact same space, and in this one, in particular, Lown would probably just move to the left a little bit, and there we'd scooch in after his name because you couldn't stack it like this. But absolutely not, sir.

DR. BROWN: April?
MS. STAFFORD: I believe Dean has his hand raised.

DR. BROWN: Dean?
MR. KOTLOWSKI: Joe, thank you for those comments. I could hear you. I was having some trouble hearing some of the other folks. Dr. Brown, I think I had a lot of trouble hearing you, and I think in the end you suggested which design you were leaning toward. If that's true, if I didn't get it, could you repeat it, please?

DR. BROWN: I'd be happy to. This is
Lawrence Brown. My challenges that I had was the fact, that being able to really appreciate that the innovator, correction, that the
defibrillator understated the innovation, the contributions of the innovator. So, I was a bit hesitant, but things that focus on defibrillator without, in fact, recognizing the other contributions this physician has had. And I appreciate Donald's point that he's made earlier. So, but still, I think that $I$ would lean in that direction if $I$ had to, given the suggestion by the Mint staff regarding design one. I'd be leaning that with the adjustments as well as, in fact, I'm also receptive to design eight, even though $I$ do recognize that nowadays, and as Joe pointed out, that it appears that you may be the doctor's hand, but I'm not sure anyone who sees that coin, even if they're a physician, they're going to actually look at it from that perspective. The electrodes are very different now than they were then. So, I just want to make that comment. But still, I'm not I'm not totally adverse to it. So, I can lean in the direction of design one and design eight, or even the limitations that I've just articulated. I hope that is helpful Dean.

Are there any other questions, or
clarifications or comments from anyone? I want to turn back to April, to, in fact, Mr. Evans, Elizabeth Young, Deputy Olsen or Ms. Lown, is there anything else you would like to add? I wanted to pause for a few moments just to make sure. Ms. Lown, do you want to add anything more based on this conversation that you've heard?

MS. LOWN: No, I really appreciate the opportunity to hear people's comments, and again, the family's in support of number eight, because we think it most vividly reflects the, both the man and the invention, the innovation. So, thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Are there any further discussions by any of the members? Hearing none, the committee will now score the reverse candidate designs for the 2024 American Innovation $\$ 1$ coin honoring innovations in Maine. Each of you should have received in front of you a scoresheet, and our members who are participating virtually, you would have received that from Jennifer. Again, we want to thank our liaisons. I want to thank you, Ms. Lown. Thank you and your family. We really
appreciate your contribution. We're going to take 10 minutes. We're going to take 5 minutes to tally. So, we are in adjournment for five minutes.

> (Thereupon, a break was taken, and the proceeding continued as follows:)

DR. BROWN: Ladies and gentlemen, we are back. I recognize Greg Weinman, counsel to the CCAC, to present the results from the scoring sheets.

MR. WEINMAN: Yes. Once again, out of a possible score of 33 points, these are the following scores. Obverse 1 received 14. Obverse $2 A$ received five. Obverse $3 A$ received four. Obverse 3B received 15. Obverse 5 received 12. Obverse 6 received 10. Obverse 7A received 4. 7B received four. And obverse 8 received 26, making it the high point getter. DR. BROWN: Thank you, Greg. Are there any motions?

MR. TUCKER: This is Dennis Tucker.
DR. BROWN: Dennis.
MR. ARNZEN: Phillip Anson, with Governor Parson's office Missouri.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Welcome with us. We thank you for your contribution to this process. Really appreciate it. We're going to turn now to Dennis Tucker.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Dennis Tucker. I would move that we make the recommended change of the text to Bernard Lown, M.D. in reverse eight.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Art Bernstein would second that.

DR. BROWN: There's a motion and a second. Is there any discussion on the motion? Hearing none, all those in favor Aye?
(Aye)
DR. BROWN: Are there any nays?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There is one abstention.

DR. BROWN: We have one abstention. The motion passes. Are there any other motions? Hearing none, and the votes stand as you have been, as we've heard. I don't think there is a reason for any other motions based on that. If not then, since we've essentially concluded all the discussion, we are moved to, in fact, thank again Deputy Olsen and Lown for joining us
today, and we concluded this matter, and we are --one second. We're going to turn to the next order of business.

Turning back to you, April, who will present the reverse candidate designs for the 2024 American Innovation $\$ 1$ coin, honoring innovations from the state of Missouri.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much, and the design concept for the state of Missouri, the theme is to honor the innovator, George Washington Carver. A little bit of background.

George Washington Carver was one of the most revered figures in early 20 th century American history. His work at the Tuskegee Institute in Alabama is considered instrumental in changing southern approaches to agriculture. Born into slavery in Diamond Grove, Missouri, Carver received his early education in Missouri, in Kansas. He later studied in Iowa, and in 1897, Booker T. Washington, founder of the Tuskegee Institute, convinced Carver to serve as the school's director of agriculture. At Tuskegee, Carver developed his crop rotation method, which alternated nitrate producing legumes such as peanuts, and peas with cotton
which depletes soil of its nutrients. Carver's methods restored nitrogen to the soil, improving crop yields, and was more cost effective than using commercial fertilizer. Despite difficult growing conditions, cotton was firmly positioned as the primary cash crop in the South. Carver's interest in Chemurgy, a branch of applied chemistry that involves finding industrial uses for agricultural raw materials, was driven by his desire to identify new uses for non-cotton southern crops. As both an agricultural scientist and an inventor, George Washington Carver is famous for developing hundreds of different, different products using sweet potatoes, peanuts, and soybeans, and in 2000, Carver was a charter inductee in the USDA Hall of Heroes, as the father of chemurgy.

Required inscriptions for these candidate designs are United States of America and Missouri. We have our liaison to the Missouri governor's office with us today, Phillip Arnzen, deputy legislative director. The governor's office recently cited a preference for design four, highlighting the agricultural
aspect of -- because it highlights the agricultural aspect of Carter's work. Mr. Arnzen, would like to say a few words to the committee?

MR. ARNZEN: Yeah, glad to be here and it's exciting getting to work on this project. I'm really just wanted to reiterate what you said regarding the Governor's selection for design number four. It really ties the agricultural focus of George Washington Carver, as well as the agricultural history, and the present focus on agriculture for the state of Missouri. So really, the Governor viewed of as kind of having a having a double benefit of tying you back to his history with the state, and also tying back the state's overall history as well as, you know, it has a, you know, aesthetically pleasing design, and it's nice, I guess nice to look at for lack of a better word there. That's all, you know, that's the only thing I have to say on the coin. If you have any other questions, let me know.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you so much. And we will move through the candidate options. We'll start with design one. This design features
imagery commemorating the lively, fertile mind of George Washington Carver in earthen textures cut away to reveal peanuts and sweet potatoes growing into the soil. A microscope evokes the diligence and scientific inquiry he displayed throughout his remarkable life. The inscription George Washington Carver is incused next to the microscope. Now, I want to say just a couple of things about this design. First, the CFA declined to make a formal recommendation. However, they gave very strong support to this design, but asked that a slight reworking be brought back for their consideration. They first focused on this design out of the portfolio because it is the only one that depicts Carver himself and they felt it was critical to depict this very important black American scientist. But they thought that the initial execution of how Carver integrated into the other elements was slightly inelegant, and if you extend that concept that they worried, perhaps it could even be seen as disrespectful. So, they wanted a slight differentiation between the man and his imaginings. Of course, when we heard their
very strong feedback on this point, our team immediately convened and our chief engraver led the way, and worked with the artist to retain the original artist vision, but yet answer the very important ask that the CFA gave us. So, we have a, uh, a slight update revision for you to see here, if we can go to that. And I'll ask Joe, our chief engraver, to speak to this because this is an indication, but really it's about how it would then be modeled or sculpted. So, if you don't mind.

MR. MENNA: Sure, so, this is Joe Menna. When listening to the CFA's request, we went to work with the artist to develop a way to have, not have the figure and the ground earth be contiguous, but still the earth, the earth was his life, right? The gifts that he gave humanity sprung from the earth. Everything he did was agriculturally based. So, he's kind of one with the Earth. That was kind of the artist's idea. But under the CFA's request, I thought it would be really cool of Donald's idea to, and we've done this on medals, but we never did this on a circular, we never did this on coins, slightly incuse the back of his head
and back into the, so you have that division. You still have a connection with him to the Earth, and in a way that is very typical of monuments done in say Eastern Europe, Martin Luther King monument, Washington, D.C., where they're coming out of the stone, in this case he's coming out of the earth, but yet he's distinct from the Earth. That distinction is also carried by the line of the microscope. And the last thing was, and also not to be hypocritical in the talk about busts, and not busts, this whatever, because it is part of a broader sculptural mass, we didn't consider it a bust. We consider this more of a sculpture, really. This is a different thing here. We've never done this on a coin before. This is -to incuse, partially incuse the back of the head into the feet, into the other massive art would be something that $I$ don't think has been done since like 1908. Something like that? MS. STAFFORD: Okay. And of course, I'll move through the rest of the portfolio. Thank you so much.

MR. MENNA: And if I could add, my strong silent type. This is Joe Menna again, but we
also consult with Mike to make sure it's coinable and feasible. I would never make any change that were rendered by another set of eyes.

MS. STAFFORD: Okay. All right. Moving on to design 3A, and 3B, these designs feature a beaker holding a germinating plant. The outer border is inspired by old produce crates of slatted wood and contain the inscription George Washington Carver. Design four represents George Washington Carver's use of the Jessup wagon to educate farmers about beneficial agricultural practices. Carver would travel the countryside, sharing an innovative series of free brochures that included information on crops, cultivation techniques and recipes for nutritious meals. The inscription, "George $W$. Carver" appears above the wagon. Please note, if recommended, this design would need to spell out Washington instead of just having the initial. Design five centers George Washington Carver on a banner overlapping two fields of produce. The top half is filled with peanuts and peanut plants. The bottom field is filled with
soybeans, sweet potato vines and sweet potato flowers. A test tube fostering a small plant symbolizes George Washington Carver's work in science and agricultural. Design six features George Washington Carver's promotion of crop rotation as a way of preserving soil, and diversifying the types of crops grown in the rural southern United States. Cotton blossoms above and peanuts below are within the circular arrows labeled with the words crop rotation. The inscription "George Washington Carver" rounds out the design. And finally, design seven features a design with incused and raised graphic peanuts, including the inscription George W. Carver, suggesting the idea of crop rotation. The three organic peanuts at the lower right, represent the development of the peanut in new recipes and industrial production, a process attributed largely to George Washington Carver's innovations. And please note we confirmed recently with the State Historical Society of Missouri that George Washington Carver's name was ideally spelled out in full. For that reason, our liaison to the governor's office cannot support
this design, and unfortunately there is really with this concept, it would be difficult, if not impossible, to include the appropriate name involved. And Mr. Chairman, that concludes the candidate designs.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much, April. To the committee, are there any technical or legal questions from the committee, about this program or designs for the Illinois 2024 American Innovation $\$ 1$, before we begin our general discussion, I recognize Mike Moran. MR. MORAN: This is Mike Moran. Joe, let's look back at change of one. Are you bothered by the curvature of the skull there, it looks a little unnatural?

MR. MENNA: That's easily remedied. I would say that the art is definitely work from source material, of which there was plenty, but a lot of the references of Dr. Carver from the profile that are in this (unintelligible). See the artist never tried strengthen it. I think it was (unintelligible). I think he went too far. We can revisit that very easily. That line can be adjusted in any way, so that when something that would --

MR. MORAN: It looks, first blush, flattened on the top, because it's made to conform with the horizon there.

MR. MENNA: But it won't be because heads going to sink back. The head's not going to just curve. The head's actually going to sink down.

MR. MORAN: I'm talking about the top.
MR. MENNA: I know, but you have to, there was that was the notion of raising the ground, but it makes for some slight coinability things, it makes for a really strange pinch point. If the ground is higher than the head, he did explore his options.

DR. BROWN: Speak up.
MR. MENNA: He did explore those options. We felt that for both coinability and aesthetics, that this was the most viable version in terms of the flatness of the top right.

DR. BROWN: All right.
MR. MENNA: But again, also, that could be remedied, not right at the top, not right the connection, but as the ground goes further in the back, you can definitely, you can
definitely play with the amplitude of ups and downs of dirt and stones to make it more active if that was a recommendation that you, that the committee chose to endorse. We could do anything you want.

DR. BROWN: This is Lawrence Brown. One correction with my statement. It should be the state of Missouri.

Any other comments or questions from the committee? A legal, or design issue or technical issues that you'd like to ask?

DR. FULLER: This is Harcourt Fuller. I suppose $I$ can reserve my comments when I'm -when it's my time to speak. So, I guess I might have some design questions, but I'll, I'll wait my turn.

DR. BROWN: Okay, any other comments from any other committee members? This is Lawrence Brown, again. Then let us begin our discussion of our consideration. I'm sorry. My apologies. Dean, please forgive me.

MR. KOTLOWSKI: Certainly. The Governor's office objects to number seven, because George Washington Carver's name is not spelled out. I also notice that as a difficulty, but the
governor's preference is for number four and number four is George W period Carver.

MS. STAFFORD: Yes, yes, that's correct, and I believe I noted it would need to be remedied. Yes.

MR. KOTLOWSKI: Okay. Thank you. I just missed that. I'm sorry.

DR. BROWN: Quite alright. Any other questions or comments from the committee? Then let us begin our consideration. Once again, I'd like to remind us to please try to keep your comments to five minutes or less, and identify yourself prior to speaking. Additionally, if any members have any questions or comments on any program, please refrain from asking or discussing these until you are recognized at the end of this current discussion, and $I$ promise you that $I$ will ask for those comments later on. For the benefit of the court reporter and those calling in, I ask that you speak loudly due to the, due to the microphones and state your name when you begin speaking. With that then, let's turn to and begin with Dr. Harcourt Fuller?

DR. FULLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
think that every coin that we have the privilege of reviewing is important, but bar none, and so $I$ treat all of them equally. However, I do have to say that for particular coins, they might have a particular importance. We're talking about an African-American scientist, which $I$ think for many people will be very important, because we need more diversity on our money to say it bluntly. And please forgive me if $I$-- if $I$ have to be a little bit blunt. With all due respect to all the stakeholders, including the governor's office, the designers, and everyone else, I'm a little bit disappointed in this portfolio, and I hope I'm not overstepping my boundaries in saying that, but I think $I$ have to be open and honest as a member of this committee and not always be diplomatic. I'm a little bit disappointed because the first of all, I think the design that is chosen should include his image because that will be important to the public. I think we have to remember that seeing is believing. People are looking for -a lot of people are looking for inspiration. They are looking for people with whom to
identify. And so, I think his image should be on the coin, and that's why it's difficult for me to consider the other designs, even though I think five and six have merit. I would, I would prefer five because his name is in bold, George Washington Carver, whereas in six, when I look at the coin, $I$ immediately see crop rotation because it's in bold, and I would flip that and put his name in bold and not crop rotation. But let me finish my comments by talking about why I said I'm disappointed. When I look at one, if you could go to one, please. Again, and I, I apologize to the artist, but when $I$ look at this, George Washington Carver is turning his back on his inventions. That's how $I$ read it. He's not looking through the microscope. It's as if it's a prop, and I'm not a designer, but I have an opinion. I would want to see him facing, embracing his inventions, perhaps looking through the microscope and perhaps seeing the sweet potato and the peanuts. You know, he's kind of looking through the microscope and seeing those underneath the ground or the earth. Yeah, it's -- he -- yes, it's, it's, he
needs to face them, and I'm sorry, this merger, I understand what the artist was trying to do, this merger, this oneness between Carver and the earth, I'm trying to find the best word is -- doesn't work for me. It just, there's something disturbing and $I$ have to use the word that I'm feeling, there's something disturbing about that. It doesn't work for me. This is the most promising one. I would go back to the drawing board on this one. It has all the elements. They just need to be reimagined and rearranged. And I'm sorry if I overstepped my boundaries, but I'm just being open and honest, and doing my duty as a member of this committee. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much, and before we move on, $I$ think that it's important for us to feel comfortable, to be able to share and to appreciate the diversity, that each of the members provide to this committee. I think that if we, if we do anything other than that, then we will actually be undermining the roles that we play, and the contributions that we're making on behalf of the public. Turning now to Mary Lannin.

MS. LANNIN: Okay. I remember lying on my parent's couch when $I$ was probably ten years old. I must have had the measles or something, and I picked up a book on George Washington Carver, and $I$ read it cover to cover. I thought I'd never heard of him before. I thought it was one of the coolest things that anybody could have done, and he had such a fertile mind. So, unlike my colleague, Dr. Fuller, I look at this and I see him whizzing to the left, like trying to invent something else. He's active. He's a scientist. I like the fact that we could probably do this incuse. That hasn't been done since as Joe said like probably 1908. I think that the solution to what you perceive might be a very exciting point because of the incuse. You know what I'm saying? And so, to me, he's on his way to do much more stuff. Dynamic, he didn't just settle for this. He's busy. That was absolutely my favorite one out of this -- out of this whole group. But $I$ would like to give the other artists some consideration. I did like the crop rotation because I did like pointed arrows, which I thought was really
neat. I'm reading a great book called Operating Sweetgrass right now about the treatment of soil, and going back to Mother Earth, and it's very good. And I also like the inventiveness of number seven, even though we couldn't get Washington in there. I thought that that was very clever. It would be very textural to pick up. So that's it.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Turn to Peter.
MR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Peter, Van Alfen. I have to admit that I struggled quite a bit with this portfolio. The concepts of crop rotation and chemurgy, I think, are really rather difficult to encapsulate on a small coin, and so like Dr. Fuller, I also think that the innovator in this case really should be portrayed, but I have to say that I'm not really enormously pleased with number one. I think that number four, the governor's office's selection is probably one of the more elegant designs in the portfolio, but $I$ also find it really rather impersonal. I think it would have been better to have a portrayal of Carver's standing next to the wagon, for example, and again, it really
doesn't necessarily convey the notion of crop rotation or chemurgy. Some of the other selections in the portfolio, such as number three, you know, from a design prospective I think, you know, is okay, but again just what is being portrayed here might be lost on some of the observers and what the significances is. So, you know, all in all, $I$ have to say I'm really struggling to select a favorite from all of this and again, leaning towards the governor's preference for simplicity, and the fact that it does convey agriculture more successfully in some cases than others, but doesn't really suggest Washington's role in this, and of course, doesn't -- nor or his innovation of crop rotation and chemurgy, and of course, is lacking the portrait of him. So, the fact, and sort of inconclusive bit of commentary. I'll pass it onto the next person. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn to Art.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Arthur Bernstein. And Dr. Fuller, I'd like to thank you, I'd like to acknowledge your remarks and tell you I
appreciate them. I, I don't think you stepped outside your bounds, and it is helpful of my consideration. And I feel a little bit like Peter, in that I'm a little baffled by which one I'm going to ultimately support. I didn't have the same reaction, Dr. Fuller, that you had to the -- to the design, and I really wanted to see George Washington Carver portrayed, and this is the only design we have in which he appears. So, no disrespect, but I think I'm going to lean towards number one, because $I$ want to see George Washington Carver. Like Mary, I liked design seven. I thought it was clever, but we've got to use the man's real name, and unfortunately, that just doesn't work. Design four, I wanted to echo Peter's remarks. It is elegant. It is simple. It has a lot of negative space. But $I$ think it's a real leap to look at the cart, and unless one had April's explanation, and I guess you would on the card, but it's a leap to the innovation that he used the cart to teach about the science, and so I would give some high marks to design six, because that at least makes the innovation clear with the rotation that appears
on the coin. Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Thank you. Turn to Mike.
MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Mike Moran. Of the designs that were presented to us, I would put my votes on number six because $I$ think it's a unique and good representation. It's easily understood a crop rotation. However, I agree with Dr. Fuller that there is more to this man, just as we have with the Maine images. I think one was close, but unlike horseshoes, close doesn't count and it needs to start -- the elements are right; the composition is wrong. Dr. Fuller hit it. I think as he was saying that $I$ remembered one we did the medal on the convoy system, World War Two, and one of the designs had the officer with the binoculars up, and then the artist had taken them within two circles, what he was seeing in the ships convoy. You can take this microscope and do something of the same there. In terms of what George Washington Carver was looking at and trying to do. And I'll probably never be able to look at this coin without Dr. Fuller's comment about that he's turned his back on his developments, which was the last
thing that they did or would want to do. He built on his developments and took them to a higher level. I really think that we ought to side with the CFA, and ask the Mint designers and go back on this one, given the input, and try again. That's it.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Let's turn to Sam Gill.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Sam Gill. I agree with Dr. Fuller on number one, and that I'm going to shorten and just say I just couldn't get there with it. It's the only image we have. I would prefer an image on this coin. He did appear of one other image in our history. In the 1950s, I have it right here, if anybody wants to see it. He appeared on a 50-cent piece, commemorative 50-cent piece with Booker T. Washington. But his image is the first one. So, you can see it there. So, I was comfortable having seen his image on another coin that $I$ could go to number six. And I like it, I like the arrows because it points to the rotation, and I also, I will tell you, the crops are right with cotton on top and peanuts on the bottom, and that's what offsets
the other, that's the nutrients. So, I like number four in the elegant, from the standpoint of being elegant, but it doesn't tell the story. Dr. Brown and I share this, we'd like to see the story. So those are my comments. Thank you, Chairman.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. And if you were to pass the coin around so that other members of the committee can see it, for those who have not had --

MR. SCARINCI: Dr. Brown, one other thing. One of the reasons for that coin was they were concerned about the spread of communism within the African-American community. You'll see that in the inscriptions. We could not just honor the man. We had to do something more, or in this case less.

DR. BROWN: Mike, I'm glad you said that, and I'll hold my other comments about that little later. But let's then move on to Robin.

MS. SALMON: Thank you, Dr. Brown. This is Robin Salmon. The design number one struck me from the beginning that the subject of this innovation is looking in the wrong direction. I felt just like it was wrong and that he
needed to be looking into the design. I understand that some of this is an allusion of all the things that of all the things that are in his mind, but it's, he still needs to be looking into the design and either by flipping the profile, or by moving it over to the right side of the design itself. With that having been said, putting together the plants and the microscope and the materials that he worked with so successfully, I think are important, and I just don't want the subject of this innovation to be totally lost. There were a couple of other designs that I did like, simply because they're very organic and agricultural and presentation; that would be five. Yeah, even though it doesn't have the image of George Washington Carver, it does have his name prominent in the center of this particular design, and then all around are the plants that he was so instrumental in bringing to the forefront in the South, and in other parts of the country. And then number six also I think is a very nice design. It does focus on crop rotation, which was one part of Dr. Carver's innovations. But to me, it's a pleasing
design. Does it honor the man as much as it might? Otherwise, I'm not sure. But I have, I have difficulty going with number one for the reasons $I$ stated, and $I$, for that reason, will probably focus on number five as my choice. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Let's turn now to Dennis Tucker.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Dennis Tucker, and thank you, Dr. Fuller, for saying what you said and expressing those thoughts. I think this is precisely the place for these ideas, and feelings and insights to be shared and discussed. Everything you said about representation really struck me. My daughter's six years old. She's half American and half Filipino she will proudly tell you so. Whenever there are coins or medals, that can show kids that there are minorities being successful, contributing to the American experience, I think that those opportunities should be taken. I agree $100 \%$ that we need to show Professor Carver on this coin. We need to show some representation of him as a portrait, or a bust or however we determine. If we look
at number one, I like Joe's solution of delineating his portrait with an incuse line. I think that's a that's an interesting solution that works. And I understand what you're saying, Dr. Fuller, about him, you know, we should show Dr. Carver owning his innovations, facing them. But I'm a writer and a voracious reader, of course, and in the Western world, we read left to right. So, if $I$ were to read this coin, I would read it first as Dr. Carver, then I'd read the microscope, and then I'd read the developments, agricultural developments and the innovations. So, I, I think if we stay with this design, I'm happy with it. I understand what you're saying about how it, how it's almost as if he's turning his back on his innovations, but reading it, quote/unquote, $I$ read it differently. However, I would like to see the Mint develop another option along the lines that you've discussed. So, I imagine at some point one of us will make a motion of sending this back to the design team, just so that we can focus on what we might call the design 1 and design $1 A$, just because the possibilities are very intriguing, and as
somebody said, we have all of the ingredients here. We just need to kind of make them work as best they can. So, thank you all for this wonderful discussion. Mr. Chair, I'm finished. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Let's turn now to Dean.

MR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Dean Kotlowski. April, I want to apologize for missing the comment that you made. I've spent a lot of time thinking about this portfolio, and, you know, I've been thinking about it even while you were talking. And that's why I was so distracted. And I'm a little bit, I'm a little bit oddly emotional about it. The design that $I$ think is just the superior design, which isn't going to work, is number seven and something I noticed late in the game here. I Googled George Washington Carver, who's always referred to as George Washington Carver, never George W. Carver. So, this just doesn't work. Let me talk to you about why I like this design so much. This is a kind of artistry that is, is very delicate, and its messaging is stitched in here like fine
lace. He had raised and infused peanuts. So that gives you the crop rotation. I looked at the graphic peanuts and $I$ saw the peanuts, but I also saw footprints, and I saw footprints moving upward in an elevated way. I also saw the footprints as imprints, something that George Washington Carver left behind in terms of legacy. I agree with a lot of almost everything that Dr. Fuller mentioned. I find myself agreeing with Sam on one point and in particular, and that is I think it would be nice, but it is not necessary to show George Washington Carver's portrait, if we had a really great design that didn't show it, and I think this was a really great design, but it's not working for this reasons I'm talking about, and it is for the reason that Sam was mentioning. George Washington Carver is also featured on two United States stamps issued 50 years apart, that have portrait; 1948 and 1998. And you know, what Sam and Dr. Brown were saying about the Carver Washington coin in 1954, I think they were issued a little bit earlier is true about anti-communism. I think it's just a great coin, and it is, it was
designed by Isaac Scott Hathaway, who was an African-American sculptor, who also designed the Booker T. Washington commemorative coin which is just a few years earlier. That's a lot of throat clearing, and I think it was important to mention Hathaway in this kind of context. I really don't like number one at all, and it's not really for the reasons that were stated, although I think that that is true about looking away. I don't think that revision quite works. Here, I think the themes and the motifs, they're kind of, it's very heavy. If one is like fine lace, you know, this is kind of caked on with a trowel, I think that it's messaging. I didn't see him as looking away from the inventions, but I saw this as an non-elevated portrait. It's putting him in the ground. I was thinking of the Martin Luther King Junior monument. I was thinking of the crazy horse monument, somebody coming out of a mountain, but that's not what $I$ was thinking of here. I was thinking the ground, and I think there are all sorts of connotations there beyond science, that $I$, you know, and $I$ worry how this will be received.

So, I am not going to go any further, but I have a pretty strong reaction against number one. So, what are we left with? I'm not sure that we can send this back and get a satisfactory design, I think there would be pressure to improve the design. I'm going to be very blunt. The governor's office, you guys are in politics. You can take bluntness.

Number four is boring, sorry. So, we're left with number five and number six. I think number six is clever in the way, I think Mary was talking about the rotation, but I'm probably going to go with number five, for the reasons Robin talked about, that at least you have George Washington Carver's incused name right there, and it's bold, state of Missouri is clear, and it's probably the best that we have. But I'm going to say this again, kudos to the artists for number seven. That really was a very good design. Thank you. DR. BROWN: Thank you. Now turn to Donald. MR. SCARINCI: So -DR. BROWN: And you are Donald? MR. SCARINCI: I'm sorry, Donald Scarinci.

I completely embrace what Harcourt said, and I, you know, and I'm hoping that we're going to be able to pull it off. But $I$ really feel we need to make a motion to see a whole new set of designs, and throw this batch of designs into the waste bin. And, and I think, I think this is this is an important topic, and I think none of these designs express it appropriately, and I think, John, it was a great attempt at saving number one, you know, but $I$ just don't think number one does it. You know, if I'm forced, if my motion fails and I'm forced to vote on one of these, then $I$ would probably, I, you know, I, you know, and Dean thank you for saying the things you said, because it means I don't have to say those things. So, thank you. You know, but $I$ think seven is a workable solution. But just not as it is. I mean, you could, you could, you could get Washington in there by linking George up and putting Washington, you know, in; it could be done and you know, and that would, and that has the potential of being a design that would be in tandem with other coins in the series, without going to the extent of having to have a
portrait, right? If you did have to have a portrait, if there is a strong sentiment of a portrait, I would really like the artist to see, you know, coins like the 2018 Irish of five-pound coin depicting James Joyce, you know, very cleverly, or some of the Eastern bloc coins, country coins which depict portraits in, you know, in more modernistic style and also show what they did and the portrait together in combination. So, there are, there are coins, you know, there are coins if we had to have a portrait on this one. You know, there are other ways to do a portrait, that would also be in keeping in, keeping with, you know, the that kind of techy look of the rest of the series and break, but my preference would be to try to communicate without reverting to a portrait, right, and I agree with also what's been said earlier about the, you know, the 1950s commemorative series, and that was a series of coins by the way, produced in multiple years, you know, but it wasn't produced, you know, to honor necessarily to honor the two individuals depicted on the coin. It was produced for some other reasons at the
time. So, I'm going to make a motion to, you know, whether $I$ win or lose, I'm going to make a motion to reject all of the designs, and ask Joe to go back to the drawing board and give us a new set of designs, and maybe we can have a special meeting of the CCAC on Zoom to consider them, so we don't delay, you know, any manufacturing process and time frames that are implicated.

DR. BROWN: Motion has been made. Is there a second?

MR. MORAN: Second.
DR. BROWN: As the chair has come to appreciate from time to time, I must be able to hear the voices of all the members of the committee, even though sometimes that may be contrary to my voice. But as the chair, I've come to appreciate that that is indeed the role of the chair. So first I've heard the motion. I've got a second by Mike.

MR. WEINMAN: Any discussion in the motion discussion?

DR. BROWN: Right, now we're going to take discussion of the motion. Who would like to offer a discussion for the motion?

DR. FULLER: Harcourt Fuller. I don't think we necessarily have to throw out every single design. I do think there are some designs that have merit, but I, I do think we should reconsider this portfolio and specifically number one, but $I$ think there are some, a few others, I would say, you know, five, six, for example, Dean convinced me on seven that it has merit. So, even if we reject everything, you know, I feel like, I guess we could bring some of these back if that makes sense.

MR. WEINMAN: We can offer a friendly amendment.

DR. FULLER: A friendly, okay.
MR. WEINMAN: To modify, to say you know don't want to reject all of them, but you just want to --

DR. FULLER: Add some of them.
MR. WEINMAN: And then maker of the motion can either accept or deny your friendly amendment.

DR. FULLER: All right. So, I'd like to make a friendly amendment to amend, to amend -MR. WEINMAN: To amend rejecting all of
the designs.
DR. FULLER: Right.
MR. WEINMAN: And instead request additional new designs to be presented to us. And, you know, I accept that amendment. That is now a motion on the table.

DR. BROWN: So, any additional comments anyone would like to offer. Let me, if I can, then take the privilege of the chair.

MR. WEINMAN: I believe Dean has his hand up.

DR. BROWN: I'm sorry. Dean, please forgive me.

MR. KOTLOWSKI: I know something's going a little weird in my Teams here, so hopefully you can hear me.

DR. BROWN: Quite well.
MR. KOTLOWSKI: I guess my question is, I don't want to keep hammering this home, but I want to pursue every avenue and opportunity. Is number seven completely lost? I mean that there's nothing that we can do with it, I mean, if we send it back to the artist. Again, I don't want to do, you know, design by committee, but $I$ think you can tell $I$ feel very
strongly about this and, yeah.
DR. BROWN: Thank you, Dean.
MR. WEINMAN: Now, back to Mike so he can comment on this.

DR. BROWN: Yes, Mike?
MR. COSTELLO: Yes, as far as number seven, I know everybody's expressed some interest in this one.

DR. BROWN: And you are Michael?
MR. COSTELLO: Mike Costello, I'm sorry. It's Mike Costello. We could replace Missouri with George $W$ Carver, and fit George Washington Carver on the outside edge here. So, it is a possibility with this design.

MR. MENNA: And if I could follow up. This is kind of out of my lane. From an artistic perspective, artistic excellence is primary, but one of the components of artistic excellence, when you're trying to tell an America's story, is to honor all the voices that are part of America's story, and we're working towards that in the future. That's a different conversation. But if I, if I was an artist taking this task order, Dr. George Washington Carver to me, he was one of the
first African-American, he's the only
African-America scientist you learn that when you're about when you're little kids. The first, he is legendary. And at the time when he did what he did as artists, to me the message would be he is, he is as much part innovation as what he innovated. I don't see how you could not have a representation of him, and what he did because of who he is. It's so important who he is. He's not just another doctor. He's an African-American doctor that fought an incredibly uphill battle to what he did. Who knows what he must have gone to become who he was before he even made the innovations? So, that in itself to me, I mean if you are to consider redesigning, I wouldn't, I would from an artistic perspective, I'm not telling the community what to do, just symbolically incorporating the portrait in some capacity, then we're in portrait, just alluding to his identity is very important $I$ think, because identity cannot be separated from his achievements, and I'm saying that from position of privilege, I don't mean to overstep my bounds.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, Joe. I would like to speak in favor of the motion, of the amended motion. Number one, as a collector of the series I'm mindful of that. Number two is the fact that I'm a collector of a limited amount of series of classic commemorative, and the Washington Carver, Carver Washington one is one of them. And I'm too familiar with the history behind that, and I think the history behind that deserves that this body really think about other designs, that actually demonstrate some portrait of this important American. And Dr. Fuller, you've only awakened our minds in this table. So, feel free from what you've said. It's been phenomenal. I think that we have an opportunity to actually say that we're not doing it again because, in fact, trying to compete or stop communism, that was the total reason for that commemorative. We want to say that, we want to do it for the reasons that Dr. Fuller articulated, that this, in fact, is a diverse America, a different America. And we have to represent folks who've done what they've done for all Americans. So, in that regard, my comments is in favor of the amended
motion. Are there any other conversations or comments for or against the motion?

MS. STAFFORD: May I just add; it's neither for nor against, but I, I want to say if it's if it's allowable, that they're there absolutely, the Mint staff, we all welcome all of these comments, and for folks who are rather new to the committee, we have had vigorous, colorful, sometimes very hard, strong conversations, and what we have proven time and time again is this team collectively have very broad shoulders. So please don't ever feel like there isn't a reason to be blunt or a need to pull punches. This is exactly what we're here for. I would also just note, if folks remember being part of the original discussion about the American Women Quarters program, when we first brought that portfolio for that program to this committee, there were designs that only featured the accomplishments of the women, and it was this committee that said, for this program we want to include a convention that requires these women themselves be depicted. And from that, from that recommendation, it was then on a requirement.

And we hear you loud and clear that this portfolio is lacking. Not only does this committee seem to be communicating that, but we heard similar from the CFA, and we absolutely need that feedback, and we'll double back to you. Of course, this program was slightly launched in a different way than the American Women quarters. We wanted to allow for the artists to explore the different ways to communicate, whether about innovator or innovation, and so there wasn't a requirement one way or another about to include people or innovations, one or the other, but perhaps room to consider all of it, which is why we sometimes see a broad spectrum of representation. So, thank you for that.

MR. WEINMAN: And if may follow up on that, and just Dr. Fuller, if there's even -and that's the beauty of this committee and our relationship because you give us different perspectives that would, maybe, maybe we get perspectives that we haven't yet entertained or been aware of. So, if there's even a question from a symbolic perspective, as an artist, is he of the land or bound to the land, in the
latter connotation there are horrific. If anybody has a question, $I$ get it. You know, so do -- I'll do better as an (unintelligible) and try and avoid that kind of symbolism in the future, be more careful about it, think about it more clearly.

DR. FULLER: And if I may take a final brief point, Mr. Chairman?

DR. BROWN: You certainly may.
DR. FULLER: Just two quick things $I$ want to follow up on. I think and I'm glad you mentioned the land issues; it's critical. I know that as a designer or as an artist, we're going to see, we're going to see something one way. But people see things a different way because we have different backgrounds. And I, as a writer, I have to say, you know, I might write something, and it might come out in a different way when the public sees it. So, I'm very happy we are reconsidering this because I think it's very important. And just finally, again, $I$ want to, $I$ want to make sure that, again, the representative from the governor's office as well as from the artist, again, no disrespect meant, but $I$ just felt like I need
to, I needed to express my opinions based on several different factors. So please convey that to the representatives, to the governor, as well as the artist. No disrespect meant. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Well said. Are there any other comments with respect to the motion on the table? Hearing none, all favor say aye? PANEL: Aye.

DR. BROWN: Any abstentions? Any nays. The motion passed unanimously.

MR. SCARINCI: Let's make a point it's unanimous.

DR. BROWN: Are there other further discussions on this matter? Hearing none and if all discussion has concluded, then we are recessed to 1:15.

I would like to thank, in fact, Mr.
Arnzen, the representative of the governor's office and everyone else who has participated. Thank you so much. Really appreciate it. We reconvene at 1:15.

> (Thereupon, a break was taken, and the proceeding continued as follows:)

DR. BROWN: We are back from lunch. I
will take a quick roll call. And as a
reminder, this hearing is recorded and
transcribed. Please acknowledge your presence verbally when I call your name, Dean?

MR. KOTLOWSKI: Here present.
DR. BROWN: Mary Lannin?
MS. LANNIN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Peter?
MR. VAN ALFEN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Art?
MR. BERNSTEIN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Mike Moran?
MR. MORAN: Here.
DR. BROWN: Robin Salman?
MS. SALMAN: Present.
DR. BROWN: Dennis Tucker?
MR. TUCKER: Present.
DR. BROWN: Sam Gill?
MR. GILL: Present.
DR. BROWN: Dr. Fuller?
DR. FULLER: Present.
DR. BROWN: And I am Dr. Brown. We have a quorum. Now let's turn once again to April Stafford, who will present for consideration
the obverse and reverse candidate designs, for the Congressional Gold Medal to the women known as "Rosie the Riveter".

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. And some background about this program. It is Public Law 116-195 that awards a Congressional Gold Medal collectively to the women in the United States, who joined the workforce during World War II, providing the aircraft, vehicles, weaponry, ammunition, and other material to win the war who were referred to as, quote, "Rosie the Riveter", unquote, in recognition of their contributions to the United States and the inspiration they have provided to ensuing generations. The term "Rosie the Riveter" includes any woman who held employment, or volunteered in support of the war efforts during World War II. A shortage of white male workers led to active recruitment by the U.S. government for war industry jobs. Initially, beginning in 1942, white middle-class women were recruited, followed by minority men and finally, minority women. Integration of women and minorities into the workforce was initially met with resistance. However, these new
opportunities nudged the door open for equal rights, and profoundly impacted both the civil rights movement and the women's movement in the decades to follow. During World War II, a minimum of six million and potentially as many as 16 million women entered the workforce. Homefront workers faced many challenges, some of which would lead to significant change in the nation. Working conditions were difficult and dangerous. Between the bombing of Pearl Harbor in December 1941 and the D-Day invasion of Europe in June 1944, there were more home front industrial casualties than military casualties. This would lead to improved workplace safety and regulations, as well as better access to affordable health care. Childcare was also a concern for many working women, as mothers comprised a significant portion of the workforce, and this led to the establishment of child development centers, and the professional field of early child development. These working wartime women filled industrial jobs like fastening rivets on aircraft and welding. They built the armor, ammunition, and other war supplies that powered
the U.S. military to victory in Europe and Asia. They operated heavy cranes, milling machines and other heavy tools that most women had never even heard of before the war. Across the country, women stepped up and stepped into work many jobs too numerous to list, that were vacated by the men who were serving our country overseas. They not only supplied the war effort, but also kept homes and farms running during the war years as well. For this program, we are very fortunate to have a number of liaisons with us. They are Mae Krier, a former "Rosie the Riveter" herself, Lori Gould, daughter of Phyllis Gould, another former "Rosie the Riveter", and Sarah Pritchard, executive director with the "Rosie the Riveter" Trust.

Because we have a number of liaisons, there are a number of preferred designs that have been identified. I will go ahead and list them now, before asking our liaisons if they would like to make any comment. Amongst the preferences of our liaisons are obverse, one. Okay, I'll go ahead and list them and then we'll throw to our liaisons. Okay, we'll just
pause for a second if that's all right. We're calling up the document now. All right.

So, the multiple obverse press preferences include obverse one. Thank you. Obverse one, obverse five, and obverse eight, which includes 8A and 8B, and there is a single preference for a reverse design, and that is reverse one. The CFA recommended obverse 1A, which is a version of one of our liaisons preferences, and they further requested that the design be slightly revised to remove the hairnet from one of the figures and they also recommended reverse one, and we'll stop at those designs as we move through and reinforce those preferences. But for now, we'd like to call upon our liaisons to see if they have anything that they'd like to share. Can I ask Lori Gould, would you like to share some comments first?

MS. GOULD: Yeah, hi, I'm Lori Gould, Phyllis Gould's daughter, and I have her great granddaughter sitting here with me as well. So as far as just a short history of my mother, she was one of the original six hired in the shipyards in Richmond, and this has been her project for decades to see this happen.

Unfortunately, she passed away last year and won't see the finished medal, but she was, had lots of notes and drawings on what her vision of this metal would look like. So, it's been quite the journey. The one that $I$, for the face of the coin or medal I liked the RO3, and the one that you said would need to change the hairnet. I think that on the face of the coin, that it should represent the women, the faces of the women that we're honoring, and then $I$ feel like the reverse of the medal should tell their story, tell what they did. So, as far as the reverse, I really like the RO3. I think that it does tell that story, the welders. I don't even know what it's called, but I grant, my niece does, I think that needs to be changed a little bit. It doesn't look quite right to me, but $I$ like the design and I really like the artist of the face of the coin that's up on the screen right there. So, I don't know what kind of information you need.

MS. STAFFORD: Well, actually, Lori, if it's okay, and this is Did Stafford. Can I ask you to repeat the designs that you identified as preferences, and just for your awareness we
have at present entered into record, that the collective preferences for the obverse included designs number one, five and the series of eight, 8A, 8, 8A and did you say there was an obverse three or did I mishear?

MS. GOULD: Yeah, so the 05 for the front, and then I looked through a lot of completed metals and coins, and just looking at those and how they looked finished, I really like the RO3 with the recessed areas, and it just seems like it would be absolutely beautiful finished. It's hard to see on line to sort of visualize it, but --

MS. STAFFORD: Okay, so just for the committee's awareness, of our liaison is introducing it appears reverse three as well and we had reverse one previously stipulated as a as a collective preference. So, thank you, Lori. I appreciate you circling back to that. Sarah, would you like to say a few words?

MS. PRITCHARD: Hi. Good morning, everyone. I really appreciate having worked and being part of this committee, and so I just want to thank you all. And I do want to say on behalf of Mae Krier, Rosie Mae Krier, who I
believe is not on this call today because of technical barriers, that she just is also very, very grateful that this is happening, and very excited. And so, I think that my words and I'm speaking on behalf of Mae Krier, part of what $I$ think is very important is the lettering that says honoring "Rosie the Riveter", all women of many races who came together to carry our nation during World War II. And there's a couple of different designs with this on it. And so, the ones that are, that are stronger it's not this one shown, but and this design is great. And I think what's important on one side of the coin, is to have the women representing the different ethnicities. So, this is a strong one. And there's a couple others. I'll leave that to the group, and then can I talk about the reverse side?

MS. STAFFORD: Sure, please.
MS. PRITCHARD: Okay. So, on the reverse side, $I$ think one side of the coin it's to have the women of the different ethnicities, and $I$ think this one, the one, yes sorry. My computers switching around, not just one again, but on the reverse side, what $I$ see is that
there's a lot of different choices, but there's only a few of them that show one woman. And I'm going to advocate that the reverse side has the one woman with the different, you know, the different industries that they were in.

There's this design here, and then there's, also, this we're seeing up on the screen. And then there's also another one of a what looks like an African-American woman that's in the position, much like $I$ don't know if you knew, but there was a very famous artist that on the cover of a magazine, someone help me here, they kind of created this "Rosie the Riveter" that was different than the Westinghouse, this one exactly. So, my yeah, I think I'm going to come away with request one, is that there is this writing honoring all women that came together, and two is that one side shows the diversity of the women that came, and then the second sign does show a woman with her face, because there's a number of them that are just tools. There's a number of them that they're wearing welding and protective gear. That doesn't show -- am I making myself pretty clear?

MS. STAFFORD: I think so, yes, and we'll have an opportunity as we move through the portfolio for the members to ask questions. And you're also welcome to insert commentary as well when the discussion ensues absolutely. MS. PRITCHARD: Great. Thank you so very much.

MS. STAFFORD: Thank you. So unfortunately, as Sarah indicated, we don't appear to have Mae with us. So, with that, we'll move through the portfolio, we'll highlight the preferences. So first of all, preferences that we were aware of coming into this meeting offers obverse one you see here, obverse five, and the series of obverse eight includes eight, 8A and 8B and as far as reverses, well, look, we'll go ahead. We'll go on to the obverses. Let's start with obvious one and 1A. These designs feature profiles of five Rosie's to depict the diversity of women in the war effort. They represent the wide variety of professions women stepped into during World War Two. Design one includes the inscription "Rosie the Riveter", 1942 and 1945. While Design 1A includes the inscription
honoring "Rosie the Riveter". All women of many races who came together to carry our nation during World War II. I should note you've heard obverse on was amongst the preferences of our liaisons. The CFA recommended 1A, which is a version of obverse one because they felt the inscription was critical for the obverse. So, and this is the image that the CFA recommended the hairnet on one of the individuals being removed. Moving on to obverse two, this design features profiles of five Rosie's to represent the diversity of women in the war effort. They all wear the signature polka dot bandanas. This design also features a wrench at the bottom of the design, representing the work of the Rosie's, and the inscription "Rosie the Riveter". Obverse three depicts five Rosie's representing the diversity of women in the war effort, each wearing a signature polka dotted bandana. One holds a wrench, and another holds a rivet gun. The design is surrounded by a border of rivets, and this is a design, I believe that Lorie just indicated was amongst her preferences most appropriate. Is that
correct, Rosie? I'm sorry, Lori, or was it the reverse three you're talking about?

MS. GOLD: It was reverse three. MS. STAFFORD: Okay. Thank you. Thank you. Obverse five. We'll move to first obverse four, depicts five Rosie's to represent again all of the women in the war effort, wearing their signature work attire and standing in the way, in a way that soldiers might stand at attention. One Rosie carries a wrench held in a similar manner to a soldier's rifle. An American flag bellows in the background. The inscription is "Rosie the Riveter", and here is obverse five. Again, this is the obverse five that our liaisons identified as a preference. It depicts five Rosie's to represent the diversity of women in the war effort. One Rosie holds a rivet gun, and another is prepared to weld. The inscription is honoring "Rosie the Riveter". And obverse six depicts five women to represent the diversity in the war effort. The portraits are encased in here representing that it was the Rosie's powering American industry during World War Two. The inscription "We Did It

Together" references the iconic "We Can Do It" slogan and signals a mission accomplished. The additional inscriptions are "Rosie the Riveter" "Act of Congress" and "2020". Obverse seven depicts five Rosie's to represent the diversity in the war effort, all wearing their work attire with rivets along the border. The inscriptions are "Rosie the Riveter", an "Act of Congress", "2020". Next we have a series of obverses. Obverse 8, 8A and 8B, depict five Rosie's to represent he diversity of women in the war effort. The designs feature Rosie's with rivet guns, welding tools, drills, wrenches, and clocking in four work. The designs also feature a riveted surface with an inscription that reads, "We went to work when the world needed us the most." On designs 8 seen here and 8A, and then finally on 8B, you see the inscription simply "We did it." Again, those designs are amongst the liaisons preferences. Obverse nine depicts five Rosie's again to represent the diversity of women in the war effort. Standing in the formation of a unified phalanx. Their depiction and placement echo the configuration of the cockpit, and the
engines of the silhouette of the 17-bomber flying as a result of the Rosie's efforts. The inscriptions are "Rosie the Riveter", "1942" and "1945". Moving on to the reverses. And here, we'll mention the preferences. We have reverse one, which was a collective preference of our liaisons, as well as the recommendation of the CFA. And I'll also note when $I$ get to it, reverse three was just identified by one of our liaisons as well. So, we'll start with this design. Reverse one features a Rosie with a wrench, casually resting on her shoulder and a rivet in her other hand. A Liberty Ship and M4 tank traveled behind her and a B-17 bomber flies above, representing the variety of equipment built by the Rosie's. A ring of rivets frames the design with windows featuring the inscriptions "Act of Congress 2020" and "1942 to 1945". Again, preference of our liaisons. The CFA's recommendation. The CFA, there was a suggestion made to consider switching out the phrase act of Congress with the inscription "Rosie the Riveter".

Moving on to obverses 2 and 2A. These designs depict a Rosie with her wrench, and a
rivet gun looking towards a B-17 bomber, a liberty ship, an import tank, and a Jeep with a group of soldiers representing the wide variety of project she's helped accomplish. The inscriptions are "Honoring the women of many races coming together to save our country during World War II", and "1942 to 1945". Obverse three depicts three Rosie's at work. I'm sorry these all are reverses. Reverse three depicts three Rosie's at work. One welds the circular frame around the design, and one aims her rivet gun at the silhouette of a B-17 bomber, while one wields a wrench near the silhouette of a liberty ship, as if she's just tightened enough. Rivets encircle the design. The inscriptions are "Honoring the women who helped win World War Two", and "Act of Congress 2020". Again, this is the new design that one of our liaisons has identified as a preference. Obverses four and Reverses excuse me, 4 and 4A depict a "Rosie the Riveter" name patch on a border of rivets. The inscriptions are "Rosie the Riveter", "1942, 1945" and "Act of Congress 2020". Design four also features a World War II star stencil, 4A also features an adjustable
wrench and bolt. Reverse 5 features silhouettes of a B-17 bomber, a liberty ship, and an import tank against the background of sheet metal, that has been riveted together with two wrenches atop. The addition inscriptions are "Women Working Together for Victory", "1942", and "1945". Reverse six features a Liberty Ship and a B-17 bomber above a pair of gloves positioned in a $V$ for victory. An adjustable wrench and a rivet gun represent the variety of manufacturing tools used by the Rosie's. Additional inscriptions include "Act of Congress 2020" and "World War II". Reverse seven depicts a pair of gloves and an adjustable wrench, with silhouettes of ships and planes in the background. Inscriptions include "Act of Congress 2020", "Made in USA" and "Victory World War II". Reverse eight features the classic polka dot "Rosie the Riveter" headscarf as a schematic blueprint against a backdrop of riveted panels. Reverse nine features an upraised arm clutching a wrench, with a B-17 bomber and liberty ship in the background with a border of riveted panels. The inscriptions are "World War II", and "Women
of many races came together to save our country". Finally, reverse ten depicts a Rosie's lunchbox, bandana, and wrench against the backdrop of a waving American flag. The inscriptions are "1942 to 1945", and "All women of many races who came together to save our country during World War II". That concludes the candidate designs.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Now to the members. Are there any technical or legal questions from the committee about this program, or these designs for the Congressional Gold Medal, for the women known as "Rosie the Riveter"?

MR. VAN ALFEN: Dr. Brown, this is Peter Van Alfen. I do have an observation if $I$ may? DR. BROWN: Please proceed.

MR. VAN ALFEN: On reverses one, and reverses five, the $B-17$ depicted is a $C$ or a D model, and those were out of production long before the war started. If this reverse, if one of these two reverses is selected, I would suggest that we make a motion to have the $B-17$ depicted, to have either an $E, F$ or $G$ model that were in production during the time of the

Rosie's would have been building B-17s. And the B-17 as depicted on the Reverses two and nine $G$ models, which would be correct for the period that again $C$ or $D$ model that's depicted on a reverse one and five, $I$ would suggest the change to later models the Rosie's would have built. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, Mary?
MS. LANNIN: This is Mary Lannin. I have a question. Does anybody know if there was an age restriction to be a "Rosie the Riveter"? Could you be too young or too old?

MS. STAFFORD: That might be a, Sarah, is that a question you could answer?

MS. PRITCHARD: Yeah, it is. The answer is no. You could not be too young or too old, but we do know that they, to work in the different companies, that it was to be 18 years old, and we know that at a number of Rosie's including some of our Rosie ambassadors, they, they just, they lied and they came in at 16,17 years old. But $I$ think for the majority, you know, you can say 18 and above.

MS. LANNIN: How far is the above, I mean could you be and working as Rosie?

MS. PRITCHARD: I mean that is, it's a very overarching definition. It's any woman, and actually, it's not just for women that you can call the "Rosie the Riveter", but it's anyone that came to the home front and worked. And it could be in an office. It could have been, you know, growing vegetables. It could be collecting elastic and metal, and it could be in the factory. So, it's a very, very loose definition. But, you know, if you were able bodied, then you were considered a "Rosie the Riveter" going into the factories. And, you know, what we do see is there was a lot of young women; we'll say that.

MS. LANNIN: Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Dr. Fuller?
DR. FULLER: This is Harcourt Fuller, and sorry if I missed this. Was there actually a woman known as Rosie? Was there an actual Rosie?

MS. PRITCHARD: No.
DR. FULLER: I understand that the term is a generic term?

MS. PRITCHARD: No. Yeah, and this is Sarah again from "Rosie the Riveter" Trust.

There was, there was not, there was not an actual person named "Rosie the Riveter", but there was a song that came out and was very popular during that time called "Rosie the Riveter", and that song was actually later, it wasn't until decades later that that song connected to the Westinghouse posters. We don't have an image of the, you know, the iconic "Rosie the Riveter", but I think you all know what I'm talking about, that iconic Westinghouse poster, and the song kind of came together, but it wasn't until decades after the war. And now today we look at that Westinghouse poster and the woman that's flexing her muscles and the song, and we call her "Rosie the Riveter".

DR. FULLER: And if I may follow up on that. I think that's very important for the designs, because if there were a specific woman identified as Rosie, $I$ think at least for me, that would help me decide if on the obverse $I$ would want all the women, and then on the reverse, just one woman, because she was identified as Rosie. So since, if I understand you correctly, that's not necessarily the case,
although there might be this association with this poster in the song, you know, it clarifies some things. So, thank you for that.

DR. BROWN: This is Dr. Brown.
MS. PRICHARD: Correct, right.
DR. BROWN: I'm sorry. This is Dr. Brown.
I have a follow up question to that. Did you ever consider, and I'm just asking, matter of fact requiring that there were Rosies the Riveters? Yes, given the comments that Dr. Fuller raised? Was it ever a consideration? MS. PRITCHARD: I'm sorry, did you say considered Rosies plural, Rosies the Riveter? DR. BROWN: Yes.

MS. PRITCHARD: I mean $I$ think that that's you know, that's a possibility. But that's just not what we find culturally, how we, how we call that. So, this is something that is, you know historical relevance, and also cultural relevance and just mainstream society. We tend to say "Rosie the Riveters" or "Rosie the Riveter".

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Any the other question from the members of the committee? And by the way --

MR. KOTLOWSKI: I just wanted to jump in with something. This is Dean Kotlowski, and Ms. Pritchard, you can come in and what I'm about to say, but just to kind of reinforce your point and about the song. You know the song and the diversity come together beautifully in a 1980 documentary called The Life and Times of "Rosie the Riveter", which is just a terrific film. And if $I$ remember correctly, there's no narrator in the film which was kind of common for documentaries at the time. It just moves seamlessly from the interviews and the footage. It is definitely worth taking a look at.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much.
MS. PRITCHARD: Thank you, but I don't know that film. I'll look it up.

DR. BROWN: Okay, and let me just, this is Lawrence Brown. Just to say that, Peter, your comment that you make, we'll take that into consideration later in the conversation, to see if it's even necessary to do so based on the design and the discussion later on. So let us begin our consideration. I would like to remind members again to please try to keep your
comments to five minutes or less, and identify yourself prior to speaking. Additionally, if any members have questions or comments about the program, let's in fact hold those back until we're at the end of this discussion period. And I certainly will ask for those comments or questions later on. For the benefit of the court reporter and those calling in, I ask that you speak loudly due to the microphone, directly on the microphones, and state your name before you begin speaking. So, let's begin with Mike Moran.

MR. MORAN: Thank you, Dr. Brown. Let's start looking at obverse one and 1A1. I originally liked that. I thought it was good use of space. I like the images. They're very pleasing. They are very pleasing, and they're ethically correct, but then as I looked at it, and looked through the rest of the portfolio, I realized there was one thing that didn't jive to me. Most of these girls look like they're headed down to the canteen for the Saturday Night Dance at the USO. It does not convey, the thing that struck me, the casualties, the industrial accidents that they suffered that I
never thought about before I heard those statistics, and $I$ think as a result of that, there are other images of "Rosie the Riveter" here that are more appealing to me. And specifically, I liked it hasn't been mentioned by anybody R7. Let's go by R7, R07. Obverse seven. It looks like to me like the lift photographer, or the light photographer was on the production line. Here, I want to get five young girls right here. Come on over here. Let me get your picture for the magazine. To me, it's got a gritty look. It's got a right then and there on the production line look to me. The girls have good expressions on their faces, and it just looks like I would want, would have expected to see them come off the production line. Nothing fancy about it. Just matter of fact, here we are. We're doing a job. So that's where $I$ am in terms of the obverse. Let's go to the reverse, and let's go specifically to R5. Peter, my hats off to you. On B-17s. I wouldn't have known A, B, C, D, E, or F. I don't think any of the rest of us would have either. But $I$ do like this design. I like the fact that the weapons of war are in
silhouette. What's not in silhouette are the riveted iron plates, and $I$ think to me that makes, I'm kind of assuming that if it isn't silhouette, it will be incused but even so, I think that makes a very compelling artistic reverse of a subject that's difficult to make artistic, and as a result, that's where my recommendations are. Dr. Brown, I'm done.

DR. BROWN: Thank you, sir. Turn to Mary Lannin?

MS. LANNIN: I just knew you were going to do that. This is Mary Lannin, and let's start with the obverses first. So, the preference of, one of the preferences was 1 and $1 A$, and $I$ have to say that there's a certain charm to 1 that I liked because it was clean looking. 1A which is a preference of the CFA, I think we've all heard the expression word salad. There's too much stuff in there. It could be simplified by saying women who came together to carry our nation during World War II. It's obvious that there are different races. It's far less wordy that way. So that was my sort of like editor hat on that one. Then the reason $I$ ask the question about the age. Take
a look at obverse four, and we have in this one, though $I$ like the fact that they were lined up like soldiers, as April said, but there's a definite, there's a definite range of ages here that showed different aged women being able to do different things. Certainly, the woman that second from the left is not anywhere near the age of the woman who's directly on the left. And so, I think that that shows not only races, but a broad age range of people who helped. So, I like that very much. Now, to get to the reverses, Mike and I think alike. I found number five to be completely appealing to me as amended by Peter van Alfen. And so, I would definitely put my votes toward number five. I wanted to make a comment, on number, on reverse number one. She's holding a wrench on her shoulder, which is meant to be like a hexagonal wrench, I think. And she's holding a rivet that's round. And I don't think that's the right tool for the job. You know, and we're going to get called out on this, so what is, so in case this one is chosen, somebody has to match the tool and the rivet correctly, and that's all $I$ have to say.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. Let's turn now to Donald.

MR. SCARINCI: You know, I jumped out of my skin when $I$ see five people on --

DR. BROWN: And you are?
MR. SCARINCI: Donald Scarinci, and, you know, I jump out of my skin when $I$ see five, five people on any metallic object. You could carry it because it's this is a this is a medal, it's a three-inch thing. So, it could work. You know, I'm not so sure, you know, that you know, that they particular look like they're going to a dance. I mean, you wouldn't be wearing, you know, those scarves, and the drawing, the drawing does make it -- I guess the drawing does give you that impression, but the drawing would not be the medal. So, I wouldn't discount, $I$ wouldn't discount this obverse. I do think 1A, you know, it just has too many words. And, you know, while it does communicate a message, if it's paired, it's really all about how it's paired. And if it's paired with reverse five, you know, I, you know, thank you, and really, Peter, you saved the day on that. But if it's paired with, I do
kind of, $I$ do kind of like this the way, the way the Mint will ultimately do it. You know, I think they'll create texture. You know, I know, you know, what Joe would have in his mind with this, you know, it could be made to look, you know, like a very contemporary medal if we could, you know, have relief and texture on the, you know, on the riveted panels. You know, they could even be a little higher, a little lower, you know, and the silhouettes, you know, really is somewhat profound, you know, in terms of, you know, thinking about the casualties of that war. So, you know, there is some depth of meaning there. And if we went with, if we went with the five reverse, you could, you could go with the obverse that has a lot of words, right? And the words then will communicate. You would have to put act of Congress in there somewhere and you could put it in the reverse. I'm not sure it's in the reverse yet, but, so I could live with the one with the words if you pair it with reverse five. If not, you know, I think this is really going to come down to the pairings, you know, and clearly we're looking at one of the
obverses that, you know, has a lot of people in it and, you know so, you know, a reverse, reverse seven to me, you know does look, I'm sorry obverse seven, I would caution that one. I'm sure legal has vetted that to see there's no, that $I$ mean because it does look like a photograph, and the fear is maybe it is a photograph. Right. So, you know, it does look like a photograph that, you know, and I would commend the artist whole heatedly if that was not drawn from a photograph and that's that is compelling. If it was not drawn, you know, if it's safe, if legal determines it to be safe. So, you know, I see the merits in that. That's more, you know, it's more kind of how they would look. I mean, you know, I would think. But so, I'm kind of open on this. You know, I do think, $I$ do think the reverse with the correction on the plane would be will be a nice one. The other one is supposed to, so yeah, that's the one. The other one is reverse 07. You know that interesting, but just not it's not as compelling as the other reverse.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. We
appreciate that. Let's turn now to Sam Gill.

MR. GILL: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm Sam Gill. Just starting from the beginning here obverse one, clearly that would make it very, very, very pretty medal. It's spaced beautifully. The faces are all well-defined. They look like real people, and I think people would enjoy looking at that. I think I agree with Mary that 01 obverse 01A is too busy with wording. Number six, I liked only from the standpoint that these depicts individuals and after all, at the end of the day, they were all individuals that got together and they all went home to some place, and all had relatives or friends in the service some place, and it and it shows them in these gears. So, I like that. In number eight, 8A or B, I liked, one little thing here I liked the $V$ for victory, and then the American flag right beneath it. A little bit of detail there, that was just kind of nice. On the reverse side, I liked 03 It still gives a silhouette, but actually it gives, I like the silhouettes very much in this, including, and the way it includes the people. It just seems like a very interesting, nice design. I could
live with 05, but 03 tells the story for me. That's it. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Let's turn to Dr. Fuller.

DR. FULLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. These were all very compelling designs. There are lots of great designs in here. If we could go to one and 1 and 1A. I'm not sure why this is the case for me, but I like the, I like the, the women looking to the right, and not to the left. I think symbolically means something. Maybe Joe can tell me why I think like that. I'm not sure. And that's actually a question, Joe when I'm done, please. On 1Aa, if $I$ can put on my film hat. Show me not the don't tell me. But we see that it's a diverse group. I don't think we need to say women from different races because we can clearly see. So, I would make that adjustment, but $I$ do like $1 A$ in that sense. I like six. I really like the gears and having the women in the gears, because it, when $I$, when $I$ see that, it tells me okay because I'm thinking about how the public might see it. Okay, that tells me that it has something to do with mechanics, you know,
mechanical, something like that, you know? The one that $I$ really like is nine. There is just something aesthetically pleasing about that, if I may use the word. It looks menacing, you know, we're going to war. You know, it's we're here. You know, we're doing the nation's work. And I if I may also, I wanted to ask Joe, if I may as well, is this, is this an identifiable tradition? I'm, I'm referring to the -- what is this style that artistry. I'm curious to know if it's some artistic tradition, and it has a name or something, but it's really they're holding up the Air Force? It's just very compelling to me. That'll get most of my votes. If I may turn to the reverse, I like, I like one because, you know, on the obverse, if we have five women, you can't really focus on any one person. But in one, in R1 you get to really stop and think that these were individuals. You can focus on the individual, and I also like the rivets and, you know, the texture and also the war machinery, if you will give the background on that. I do like three and five because of the silhouettes and, you know, three has the women. And so, it's
showing what they're working on, even though that would depend on what we choose for the obverse. And, that's it. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you very much. Let's turn to Robin.

MS. SALMAN: This is Robin Salman. On the obverse, there's are so many wonderful designs to choose from. It makes it very difficult. I like a variety of them for a variety of reasons, but I've settled on seven as my first choice for the obverse, because it does show the women. I think Mike was the one who said it's almost like a photographer came in, and said let me take your picture. Momentarily pausing. And another issue is that $I$ had with some of the designs was trying to make sure, that all the wording was on one, one side or the other as it needed to be. So, this, this design does solve that with act of Congress 2020, along with the theme "Rosie the Riveter", and then for the reverse, $I$ was drawn to three and five for all of the reasons that and previously stated. Three then shows the women at work and their various activities, and it has the phrase honoring the women who helped
win World War II. If that one who is chosen along with obverse seven, then one side would have to do without act of congress, but the dates 1942 , 1945 could be substituted. There with number five, I liked it because of the simplicity. The silhouetted plane, and ship and tank, the dates, women working for victory. All of it spoke to me exactly what $I$ think this is all about. And then the background being the metal plates. Very simple, but definitely getting the point across of how important this work was to the country and the world, in fact, during World War two. So, I'm either a reverse three or reverse five, I think would work beautifully. There are many other wonderful designs. I have a hard time with this portfolio trying to make a decision, and $I$ think you can tell. Thank you, Mr. Chair. DR. BROWN: Thank you, turning to Mr. Tucker?

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Dennis Tucker, and Robin, I have to echo your sentiment. April, Megan, Joe, thank you to the artists for making our job so difficult. This is a great portfolio, and it's nice to see
so many wonderful designs. Sometimes we talk about congressional gold medals, and we look at the obverse and reverse, and we talk about the obverse being the verb, the active side and letting the reverse, you know, so the obverse will be where we show action. We show people with movement and doing what they do, and what they did to deserve this honor, and then on the reverse, we show more verbiage, and that's where we have the noun of the medal contrasted to the verb. In this case, I think and as Robin mentioned, we need to kind of carefully balance the obverse as the reverses, make sure that we're showing all of the text that we need to show, and not leaving anything out by mismatching designs. So, I would like to focus on two potential combinations of obverse and reverse. One would be obverse 1A, which yes, is a little bit wordier than we normally do on an obverse. But $I$ think this text is well balanced. It has some contrast in the weights and it's just nicely done. And this would be especially nicely done on a three-inch format. And so, we would combine obverse 1A with reverse one. Reverse one, Mary that's an
interesting observation about the hex wrench versus the rivet, but $I$ think, I don't think that will bother too many people, because if you're in a garage, if you're, if you're picking things up, tools and devices and things, you could conceivably maybe not so much of a production line in a factory where you're, you're regimented in what you're doing, but you would have all of this equipment at your disposal, and plus, there's artistic license to be thought of.

MS. SALMON: Not the right rivet, Dennis. MR. TUCKER: That distinction there, but I like that combination of obverse 1A and reverse 1, and $I$ think that it gets the verbiage in, and it gets the design in, the design elements that tell the story. The other combination would be obverse one, which has pretty much the same theme as 1A but less wording. So, it's not crowded with as much text, and combine that with the reverse 2 or reverse 2 A . I love the, I love this illustration. I think it would make a wonderful medal, and I like the entirety of this medal, because it has a potential to be a metal that you really spend a lot of time.

You know, you're looking at it and studying, and seeing all of this detail. So that would be my, that would be my recommendation, either obverse 1A and reverse 1 , or obverse 1 and reverse 2 or $2 A$. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. We turn now to Dean.

MR. KOTLOWSKI: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I have to begin by acknowledging the, this is Dean Kotlowski. I would like to begin by giving the liaisons, and thank you for your comments and in particular, Ms. Gold. I'm glad you keep the memory of your mother alive and her contributions. My grandmother was a "Rosie the Riveter". So, this is personal for me, as well as an academic, I did a Paul McNutt who was head of what was called the War Manpower Commission in World War II. That was responsible for recruiting women to the war industry, and he had a transformation that $I$ can't go into now, but that's what they called it back then manpower, not human resources. So, it's interesting. I also want to say that we had a set of designs that were difficult because there, we had trouble finding something
that appealed to us. And here so much appeals to me and there's so much to choose from. Thank you for the preferences that you've outlined. I'm not going to try to match things up here too much. I'm going to argue for and against some designs to think about. Between 1 and 1A, I prefer one for the reasons that have been stated. It's cleaner, it's more simple. Yes, they're facing left. I tried to come up with a historical reason why I liked that, and I decided this privilege is one part of the country over the others. You know, the West Coast was very important to Seattle, and the airplane Industry, and a lot of Americans moved around during the war and moved all over the country, not just West, but the fact that they were looking west, I think that that is important. I also think it is very good to have 1942 to 1945, to give us something of a re-periodization of WW II, and how the war meant different things, and it begin differently, some groups in the United States than others. At least in one aspect that's important, 1A it's just too much words. It's just too busy. I would be very happy, or I'd
be reasonably happy with Mary's choice of number four. I really like number five. Nobody said anything about obverse five yet, and what $I$ see here is strength, determination, unity and working in unison. I see diversity of peoples, and races and ethnicities has been discussed, but $I$ also see different diversity of occupations with the headgear and the tools. So, I found that very compelling. I really don't care very much for number six, but $I$ want to give a shout out to it. It reminds me of some of these earlier gendered cameos and little portraits of women, and the gears are kind of interesting as to how those gender roles were changing. Nobody has said very much about 8A or 8B. I like all of them, not as much, maybe some of the other designs, but again, I'd be very happy (unintelligible) reverses. I did like three, three stood out for me. I think there's an element of reality and metaphor here that works very well, and again, this is reverse three. I like the activity. I know that those rivets are not the size that we obviously have on a ship, but it doesn't matter. I mean, it's metaphor, it's
symbolism, and the activity really was inspiring, and it worked for me, 2 and 2 A somebody mentioned a magazine cover for a "Rosie the Riveter", and I think that was, I'm pretty sure that was the Saturday Evening Post. I don't mind this one. Yeah, I don't mind this one very much at all. But $I$ really don't like reverse number one. I think and I may be the only one who thinks this way. I think it subverts the diversity message of the obverse that we're trying to go for, by focusing on one individual, we could assume she's representing one racial or ethnic group. And the other thing two, that she's about in terms of the image, it's almost about the same size or so, of some of the faces on the other side of the of the medal. And the other thing is she's very passive. She's just sort of looking at something. And then we have the end products in the background, and it just doesn't really come together for me. And if you're going to go with one, or four or five for the obverse, this is maybe just too much of the face for the reverse. And my final comment is thanks to the committee for pointing out number five. I
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think that there's real artistry in number five about the end product. And I think Robin talked about the panels and the rivets. And maybe if $I$ can do one last comment, this is not something I'm going to advocate, but there was something, it's a little bland, but there was something about number ten that appealed to me, just the American flag and the bandana, and the way that was, the way that came out. I wouldn't advocate for it, but $I$ just wanted to just give a shout out to the artist. Thank you very much.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Let's turn now to Peter.

MR. VAN ALFEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Peter Van Alfen. As many of you know, I have a rather strong aversion to these sort of facing portraits, coins and medals, probably because of the concern that either the modeling, or the way that life may capture the object could lead to some unintended, grotesque expressions of those face in portraits and the rest. So, my preference always has been and generally will be for profile portraits on coins and medals, which is what I focus
primarily on obverse one, and 1A. I really have a preference for 1 or 1A simply because I find this a much, much stronger design with a very strong diagonal element, and that diagonal line bisecting between negative space and the more filled space, as well as the simplicity of the inscription there. 1A and some of the other that also picture profiles, I don't think are as well balanced or as elegant. So, my preference for the obverse is number one. Terms of the reverses, unlike Dean, I really do like reverse one quite a bit. I think that this is actually a really rather strong design, but and $I$, in fact, do like the focus on this sort of contemplated individual featured here, although like Dean I also have some concerns about how the women are portrayed here might subverts the diversity messaging in the overall project. In terms of little things like the rivet that she's holding, you know, that could easily be changed to a hex bolt rather than a rivet to address Mary's concerns, and I think is appropriate. Aside from one, I do quite like reverse number five as well. I think that this is also a rather strong design, and $I$ do
like the incused elements of it then quite a bit, as well as the paneling and the rivets and so forth. So, that's what $I$ have to say. Thank you very much.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Turn now to Art? MR. BERNSTEIN: Hello, this is Art

Bernstein, and $I$ want to echo Mary's comments about the wrench and the rivet, but I'm going to take it a step further. When I first looked at this portfolio, I was focused on "Rosie the Riveter", and then $I$ saw a lot of designs that had wrenches, and I'm thinking that that to me, I found confusing, that if we're focusing on "Rosie the Riveter", the tools should be related to rivets. So, I, I tend to take things literally, and $I$ was rejecting most of the designs that had tools, that were not related to riveting. Now, to be more specific on the obverse side, I, I like the design seven. I think that Mike that was the one you mentioned as well. To me they all look very serious, and when you look at what they're wearing, and what they're when you get the idea of what they're holding, you get the idea of what they're doing. The other, some of the
other designs were more posed portraits, and this looked like they just came off the line. They were sort of dirty, and tired, and they worked hard. And I'm attracted to that design. I also liked design number 8, 8 and 8 A, both of which had a lot of other accouterments related to World War II. The V for Victory and we will win, and I appreciated all the other slogans that went with that design. Going to the reverses, I liked reverse one. What attracted me to that design was the, if we get rid of the wrench problem or the rivet problem, it shows the things that people built. And I appreciated the rivets around the edge again, focusing on the riveting and in reverse three, we see the women hard at work. "Rosie the Riveter" is hard at work, and I thought it was pretty clever, and $I$ don't think anyone's mentioned this, or maybe April mentioned that, that one of the women is riveting the design on metal itself. And $I$ just thought that was a very clever element. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. I too must add my compliments to the artists. This was a phenomenal portfolio, and I applaud the
comments of my colleagues on the committee. They had great comments, and $I$ must confess, I probably would be happy with any of these, quite frankly. And I really applaud, Dennis, your effort to, in fact, combine, because I think there is some merit to making sure that there is some synchrony between the obverse and the reverse. Having said that, $I$ can probably well, probably throw most of my points on the obverse to either 1 A or 1 , because $I$ think they have benefits. I agree with Mary, 1A we probably need to do some reduction of words there, in order to make that even more readable. With respect to the reverse, I probably would lean in the direction of five or three. So, based on that are there, Mary?

MS. LANNIN: I did, this is Mary Lannin. I just had a question. I'm looking at number three, which $I$ think is very clever, is it asking a lot for the artist to change, I have a wrench thing I guess. The woman with the wrench in her hands, it would be really cool if it was actually wrenching into place the last rivet, on the boat, or ship or whatever it's called. I think because you see somebody
actually welding, you can imagine, you know, the plane has many, many rivets on the wings, as we've often seen. But the real effort would be to put that rivet into place, with the woman with the wrench in her hand. Can that be done, Joe?

MR. MENNA: Sure, the rivets would have to be made much smaller, same size as the --

MS. LANNIN: No, I didn't mean to have would be smaller.

MR. MENNA: Not rivet I mean the bolts.
MS. LANNIN: Yeah.
MR. MENNA: Oh, you mean the rivet or the bolts, I'm sorry? You mean the wrench with the bolts, or the riveter with the rivets?

MS. LANNIN: I think that the wrench could be bigger. It's just a thought.

MR. MENNA: Absolutely.
DR. BROWN: Donald?
MR. SCARINCI: Just two points I wanted to make for the record. So, when the artist reads this, $I$ don't want the artist to not think we got it. On number nine, reverse in nine, I think it was reverse nine.

DR. BROWN: Little louder, Donald.

MR. SCARINCI: Obverse nine. Harcourt raised a question about obverse nine, you know, that is, $I$ think what the artist was trying, was doing is creating, creating a medal that would be very similar in style to medals of the period. You know, kind of, you know, there's an art deco-ishness to it, and, you know, and things like how rewind would have, would have, would have done that you see all over New York and Miami and Bar Belsky (ph), you know, so I think that's what the artist was going for here was going through a period of, look, you know, just that's was happening. There's a lot, there's a lot of nice designs here. I also agree, and $I$ think the challenge that we're going to now have, you know, that kind of hit me in beginning is, we need to pair the obverse with the reverse. And that's going to be a little challenging here. So, after we vote and decide on the obverse, we may want to see how that pairs with the reverse we selected, maybe have a revote or something if it doesn't match, because I'm hearing a lot of, a lot of preferences, and we are, and there is really an opportunity here to convey a message. So, we
either go with an explanation on the obverse or the reverse, but either one of them has to have it. So, depending on people's preferences, we might want to consider the revote to the obverse first, I have no idea, do the obverse first or do both, and then look and see how they match.

DR. BROWN: Dr. Fuller?
DR. FULLER: Thank you, Harcourt Fuller. To Donald's point, $I$ think if we go with an obverse that has the five women, perhaps a reverse, that either has one woman or no women at all, so that it's not too crowded. So, I would let's say if we went with 1 or 1 A , and I'm not saying that that's what I'm going with, but let's just say we went with 1 or 1 A on the obverse, then I think probably something like is that five on the reverse? Yeah, because I think that would be a great balance. UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Yes, that's exactly. DR. BROWN: Ladies and gentlemen, right here on the table, a couple of comments, but before I do that, let me hear from Joe and Mike to see if they have anything they would like to add.

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna. I just have four background points, not for advocacy, just for clarification. Number one, the Michelangelo figure that the one medal's referring to, the woman seated on the chair, that's actually referring to one of the agnuti (ph) from the Sistine ceiling. Norman Rockwell's, Saturday Evening Post cover is Isaiah from the Sistine Chapel ceiling. They're completely different figures just for your consideration, and a little art history lesson there. In terms of the woman holding the rivet on reverse one, "Rosie the Riveter", as we were, as it was told us, is a name encompassing the entire group., the legions of women and the different orders in which they were called to service, and all the different works that they performed. So, to have a woman symbolically holding, I think the artist's intention was if you have the woman holding a wrench, but symbolically looking at that rivet, which is the symbol for the entire statement of "Rosie the Riveter". So, she is considering, she's not considering that rivet as literal rivet. She's looking at what they are. You
know, that's the name of them, "Rosie the Riveter". That's my understanding of the artist intent, and I'm not the artist. In terms of the facing the direction, Dr. Harcourt, Dr. Fuller mentioned, we just had a Dr. Jane James Fishburne. We're in a museum out on the West Coast in L.A., and he's a renaissance art medal expert. That question came up the origins of the right and left facing, and he pointed to the typical direction of Caesar, the emperor on Roman coins, and the model for the, Mary would know better than me, the genesis of the alpha of the kind of art that we make, particular congressional gold medals, our Renaissance art medal started a piece Anello (ph). Those typically faced to the right. An interesting side note is when (unintelligible) director Rider's medal, he wanted his left featured. He felt that was his best side, but he was afraid that he would be seen as a bad Republican, because he was facing the left and not the right. And then lastly of the style for number nine, $I$ worked with that artist for a little while now. They have a pension for liking kind of Byzantine, Eastern
and African styles as opposed to Western literal depiction. So very much in the kind of stylization, modernist stylization that Donald was talking about. I know that artist never likes to work, literally, but that $I$ don't know that artists that well.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Any of the liaisons would like to add any comments based on what they've heard thus far?

MR. WEINMAN: No, it's been all really good information.

DR. BROWN: Dennis?
MR. TUCKER: Dr. Brown, this is Dennis Tucker.

MS. PRITCHARD: Hi, this is Sarah Pritchard, and yeah, I really appreciate the conversation and all the thought that was being put into this. It really helps to open my eyes, and also just your job and what, you know, the decisions need to be. I am really appreciating the reverse design of the three women that are with the ship and the plane. If we can go and look at that because that that does go to, with the women. There's one woman who's a welder. There there's been significant
discussion I'm sorry to the-- but it's one of the other reverse designs that we've looked at. You can go through it. Keep going. Three women, yeah, I really appreciated in particular the -- this idea of like the women, the women working. I understand that they want to be cleaned up. So that that was really -- I appreciated looking at that design from all of the discussion. And then for the front side, you know, when $I$ when $I$ was asking for there was the verbiage for honoring the women. This reverse actually does help it. And I understand a lot of people felt like this design that's showing right now was is too crowded. But there was but a number one not 01A but 01. I think that came up, but there's also, the other I would say this one was good, but there is also one that people said, oh, these women are showing, there's another design, the women showing diversity. They're like standing at attention just sort of in a military way. If we can bring that one up. This one, yeah. So, my eyes were opened for this one as well in terms of the discussion. And yeah, that's all $I$ want to sort of pull
out, and $I$ know it's typical discussion. Thank you.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much. You can sense the fun that we're having as a committee, really appreciate that.

MS. PRITCHARD: Absolutely.
DR. BROWN: I think, Dennis, you wanted to say something?

MR. TUCKER: Yes. This is Dennis Tucker. I was just going to say that maybe as a, as an administrative way to kind of have a circle in on a decision, if we could just use our normal voting which is an informative tool, and not necessarily a decision-making tool, but if we could, if we arrive at some obverse that has a great number of votes, and a reverse that has a great number of votes, a majority of votes, and if they work together, then that's our recommendation, and if they don't, we tweak the or kind of circle in on our answer, rather than take the straight arrow through a motion, for example.

DR. BROWN: Is there receptivity to that approach?

MR. WEINMAN: Just as a point of order and
the very purpose of the voting system. It's not a voting system. It's a scoring. And the purpose in scoring is just to gauge interest. That's why there's always a motion after that, what you do with it. So yeah, use the information any way that you feel is appropriate. It isn't necessarily a given. (unintelligible)

DR. BROWN: I'm appreciative at the time of the day, so I do understand that some level of efficiency does make sense given where we are. But if there's no objection to the suggestion that was offered by Dennis, then it does make sense that we see you make sure that at this time, that we begin the process of scoring for the obverse and reverse candidates designs for the Congressional Gold Medal for women known as "Rosie the Riveter". Each of you has the scoresheet. For those of us who are participating virtually, you've received that from Jennifer. Can we reasonably do this in five minutes?

MR. WEINMAN: I can't guarantee that, but we'll give it a shot.

DR. BROWN: We will do as little as five
but as much as ten. We stand adjourned until you come back.
(Thereupon, a break was taken, and the proceeding continued as follows:)

DR. BROWN: We are back, and I recognize Greg Weinman, counsel for the CCAC, to present the results from the scoring sheets.

MR. WEINMAN: Okay. I think this will help you narrow a bit. Beginning with the obverse again, out of a possible 33 points, obverse one had twenty-four, making it the top vote getter, obverse 1A had eleven, two had three, three had three, four had eight, five had six, six had seven, seven had twenty, so that's number two, eight had nine, 8A had eleven, 8B had six and nine had five. Moving onto the reverses. Reverse one had seventeen points, two had nine, 2A had six, three had twenty-six, making it the top vote getter, four had six, 4A had eight, but then five had twenty-four, so close, six had two, seven had three, eight had three, nine had two, ten had four.

DR. BROWN: Thank you. Are there any
motions?
MR. WEINMAN: I'm thinking.
DR. BROWN: Can we display again, option one, that's had votes, obverse, and obverse seven that had twenty votes.

MR. WEINMAN: And by the way, as a point of -- the question was asked earlier, I confirmed, this is an original, this is not from a photograph.

MR. MORAN: Oh, it is original.
DR. BROWN: Wow.
MR. SCARINCI: I'll make a motion, Lawrence.

DR. BROWN: Please.
MR. SCARINCI: I'm going to move, and we'll see what happens with this, that we combine obverse seven with reverse five.

DR. BROWN: Is there a second?
MS. LANNIN: Number two? I'm hearing number twos.

MR. SCARINCI: These are two number twos. We're going to find out how this works.

DR. BROWN: So, the motion is obverse seven with reverse five, correct?

MR. SCARINCI: Yep.

MR. BERNSTEIN: I'll second for the purpose of discussion.

MR. SCARINCI: If you want to let it die --

DR. BROWN: Okay. So, the motion's up for discussion.

MR. SCARINCI: Let's just call a vote.
MR. TUCKER: Mr. Chair, this is Dennis Tucker. I would actually recommend that we propose several combinations.

DR. BROWN: That's where I'm going with this exactly.

MR. TUCKER: Okay.
DR. BROWN: Watch me go through this. I have several motions.

MR. TUCKER: Well, if that's what you're doing.

DR. BROWN: That's what I'm doing.
MR. TUCKER: That's agreeable.
DR. BROWN: And I just found out that the two second place, there's (unintelligible) for our seven match with our five. So, I'll make second motion.

MR. SCARCINI: Hold on a second. That motion has to be --

DR. BROWN: For one second.
MR. BERNSTEIN: He's going to withdraw his motion, and $I$ will withdraw my second.

MR. MORAN: Okay, therefore, I will move that we consider our one with reverse five. Obverse one with reverse five.

DR. BROWN: Motion on the table. Is there a second?

MS. LANNIN: I second.
DR. BROWN: Okay. It's open for discussion.

MR. SCARCINI: Let's vote.
MR. VAN ALFEN: This is Peter Van Alfen.
Can I just ask a question that the audio was cutting out a little bit there. So, my understanding is that there is only one motion on the table at that moment, and that's to combine obverse one with reverse five, is that correct or are there two motions?

DR. BROWN: No, you're correct. The first motion was withdrawn.

MR. VAN ALFEN: Okay. Thank you.
DR. BROWN: Any other further comments?
MR. VAN ALFEN: While I'm still speaking, sorry, this is again, Peter Van Alfen, I would

any other motions?
MR. VAN ALFEN: So, again, sorry, this is Peter Van Alfen, so my understanding is that motion is carried, and therefore we are recommending obverse one and reverse five, is that correct?

DR. BROWN: That is correct, yes.
MR. VAN ALFEN: Okay, then $I$ will make a motion to amend the silhouette of the B17 from the $C$ or $D$ model which was portrayed at the moment to an E, $F$ or $G$ model which has a larger vertical stabilizer and a longer nose, which would be more accurate for production from 1942 onward.

DR. BROWN: Is there a second?
MR. SCARINCI: I have a question. How the hell do you know that?

DR. BROWN: I said do we have a second? MR. VAN ALFEN: I know this because I'm an aviation nerd who has building models since I was a boy.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Dr. Brown, I'll second it.

DR. BROWN: Okay, second. All those in favor, Aye?

EVERYONE: Aye.
DR. BROWN: Any objections? Any nays?
Any abstentions? Motion carries.
MR. BERNSTEIN: Hi, this is Art Bernstein.
I wonder, Mr. Chairman, should we involve ourselves with the matter that April pointed out concerning the hairnet on one of the --

MS. STAFFORD: I don't think it was a number 1.

MR. MORAN: I think it was on 1 A.
MR. BERNSTEIN: No, she's wearing a hairnet.

MR. WEINMAN: May I ask a question?
DR. BROWN: Please.
MR. WEINMAN: Unless I missed this, what was the objection to the hairnet? Is it historically accurate or not?

MS. STAFFORD: It's both accurate for her to be wearing one, and it's, it would also be accurate if she were not wearing one, so our best understanding is that if you can go back to one, that on both -- and 1A, the net makes her hair, so I think someone may have used the word like almost like a brain or some kind of odd texture, and it kind of sets it apart in a
way that is not necessary, and therefore, why not? Why not remove that? MS. SALMON: This is Robin Salmon. DR. BROWN: Robin?

MS. SALMON: We don't have Act of Congress 2020 on either of these designs, and we need to fix that.

MR. WEINMAN: Only if you want to. DR. BROWN: The answer is only if we desire to. It's not necessary. MS. SALMON: I thought it was required. MR. WEINMAN: There's not a legally required inscription. It's a traditional inscription, but it's not legally required. DR. BROWN: That was from Greg Weinman, yes.

MS. SALMON: Okay.
MR. WEINMAN: But you certainly can make a recommendation to include it on the medal if you feel it's appropriate. MS. SALMON: That's alright. If it's not necessary, then we don't need to add it. DR. BROWN: Thank you. DR. FULLER: May I ask a question? DR. BROWN: You certainly may.

DR. FULLER: Even though -- this is Harcourt Fuller. Even though it may not be necessary, would it be, would it be -MR. WEINMAN: Desirable.

DR. FULLER: Desirable, thank you, since the words an Act of Congress carries a certain weight, and is officially sanctioned? It's a question --

MS. STAFFORD: Typically, our liaisons enjoy having that inscription on congressional gold medals. Would you say?

MR. WEINMAN: We'll defer to our committee members.

DR. BROWN: So, Joe, is this possible to add this between you and Mike? MR. MENNA: Absolutely. DR. BROWN: Say again? MR. MENNA: Yes, sir. MS. STAFFORD: Put it under the tank, Joe. MR. MENNA: What?

MS. STAFFORD: Put it under the tank. MR. MENNA: What this? Oh, sorry. We can always juggle inscriptions around. It may sometimes be more radical, but we'll always find a way.

MR. MORAN: Can we convey to the committee that Act of Congress needs to be there? MR. MENNA: (Unintelligible)

MR. MORAN: Okay, I vote it's the sense of the committee that Act of Congress should be added on to either the obverse or reverse in the least obtrusive manner.

DR. BROWN: Is there a second?
MR. BERNSTEIN: Art Bernstein, second. DR. BROWN: All those in favor, Aye?
(Aye)
DR. BROWN: Please forgive me, point of order? Excuse me point of order. Let me return, is there a discussion firsts on the motion? We have Dennis Tucker.

MR. TUCKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Dennis Tucker. I would have -- I object to the motion because we've never really solidified any sort of rules around this kind of language. And I think giving it the weight of saying that it's the sense of a committee, that we give a strong recommendation for this wording goes too far.

MR. MORAN: What would you want?
DR. BROWN: Let me, wait.

MR. TUCKER: I think, I think, I mean I have made proposals in the past on, on addressing this question. I'm not prepared right now to say one way or the other, this is what we need, or this is what we don't need. But I think if, but $I$ will circulate what I've written in the past. I think if the liaisons have not either objected or asked for that wording, then we just let the designs stand as they are, or give the Mint the option, April, to go back to the liaisons, and have further discussion with them, rather than making it a sense of the committee statement.

DR. BROWN: Thank you for your comment. Let's now turn to Dean. He has a point on the motion.

MR. KOTLOWSKI: Right. It was just an observation. When you pair both of these, when you pair the obverse, and the reverse together, on each side, you have the dates, 1942 to 1945. And I'm just, I'm not trying to make a specific recommendation because that's beyond my capacity to do. I think it's nicely balanced here, so $I$ wouldn't mess with it, but I'm wondering on the obverse, if the design team
might want to take a look at that, and see maybe we don't need 1942 to 45, and maybe Act of Congress can be put there. But let me reiterate, I want to leave this to the design team.

DR. BROWN: Thank you so much, Dean. We have a motion on the table. Any further discussion on the motion?

MR. MORAN: Is the is the motion amended to allow the Mint staff --

DR. BROWN: No. That was not accepted by --

MR. SCARINCI: How about an amendment to get that done, because $I$ don't want to be in a position dictating things like this.

DR. BROWN: So, for the record, let's make sure we have the motion on table as it is now, but you accept it as an amendment, so you're the maker of the motion, correct?

MR. SCARINCI: How about if $I$ just change it?

DR. BROWN: Okay. So, for the record, let's make sure we know what we are voting on. MR. SCARCINI: Okay.

MR. TUCKER: State the motion.

MR. SCARCINI: I move that the Mint Design Committee, resolve for themselves whether to add Act of Congress or not to the medal wherever they see fit.

DR. BROWN: Is there a second to this amendment?

DR. FULLER: I second this.
MR. KOTLOWKI: Dean Kotlowski, I second this.

DR. BROWN: Dean, okay, second. Any further discussion on the amended motion? Hearing none, seeing none, all those in favor, Aye?
(Aye)
DR. BROWN: Any nays? Any abstentions.
MR. MORAN: I got one more question on this thing and we'll let this die. Joe?

MR. MENNA: Yes, sir.
DR. BROWN: So, the motion passes.
MR. MORAN: You going to do 1942, 1945 on both sides? You going to leave 1942 , 45 both sides?

MR. MENNA: This is Joe Menna. This is not a dodge in any way, shape, or form. This is very finely balanced as it is. So, it would
have to be really carefully considered. I was even thinking about like, you know, popping it in between "Rosie the Riveter". There's all kinds of different ways that we can address this. So, figure out a way where it doesn't tip it over (unintelligible).

DR. BROWN: Question, comment?
MR. BERNSTEIN: I have a motion.
DR. BROWN: Please.
MR. BERNSTEIN: I'd like make the same motion that Mike made with regard to the hair net, that we leave it to the Mint Design Committee to consider whether or not the hairnet should be removed.

DR. BROWN: Is there a second? Any discussion on the motion? Seeing none, all those in favor, Aye?
(Aye)
DR. BROWN: Oppose? Abstentions? The motion carries. Any further motions on this matter?

If all discussion has concluded, then we want to give our thanks to Mae Krier, Lori Gould and Sarah Pritchard for joining us today. We really are thankful for your contributions
to this matter. As a reminder to our members and to the public, we are not reviewing the candidate designs for the Harlem Hell Fighters today. Therefore, this concludes the order of business for today. I appreciate your attendance of the public, the media, the Mint staff and CCAC members at this meeting. I also want to express special appreciation to those who have written to the CCAC, asking questions or making recommendations. This is especially important in the deliberations of the CCAC. If there are no further business to come before this committee, I will entertain a motion to adjourn.

MS. STAFFORD: So, moved.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Art Bernstein seconds. DR. BROWN: Okay, all those in favor, Aye? (Aye)

DR. BROWN: All those nay? In the opinion of the chair, the aye's have it, and the meeting is adjourned. The next meeting is tentatively scheduled for November 15, 2022. The next meeting will take place virtually as the agenda is limited to approving the minutes and letters to the secretary from this meeting,
and to review the fiscal year 2022 annual report. Thank you dear sir, dear, ma'am. Be safe. We look forward to seeing you next time. (Thereupon, the proceeding was concluded)
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